ΣΥΣΤΑΣΙΣ ΠΡΑΓΜΑΤΩΝ:

THE PLAYWRIGHT'S USE OF THE ACTION IN ATHENIAN TRAGEDY

Submitted by Rowan Ellis Siobhan Fraser,
to the University of Exeter as a thesis for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Classics, July 2010

This thesis is available for Library use on the understanding that it is copyright material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement.

I certify that all material in this thesis which is not my own work has been identified and that no material has previously been submitted and approved for the award of a degree by this or any other University.

.....

ABSTRACT

This thesis contributes to the understanding of the stagecraft and composition of Athenian tragedy through a re-evaluation of its component elements within the structure. I undertake a re-interpretation of the Aristotelian terms for 'plot', which allows for a more nuanced examination of events occurring within a tragedy. As Aristotle notes, the *systasis* of *pragmata* is the structure of events that forms a tragedy. The *muthos* is the way in which these events are presented and includes the actions and words of the *dramatis personae*. *Pragmata* are constituent elements of both the *systasis* and *muthos*. This thesis identifies and evaluates the *pragma*'s effects upon the movement of the *systasis*, its contribution to the enrichment of the *muthos* and its influence on audience engagement with a performance through both enacted and non-enacted forms.

My approach involves a rigorous examination of the elements common to an enacted *pragma*, before identifying the variations therein. While a *pragma* involves all actions which serve the same general function, every instance of a *pragma* is unique. Each chapter in turn focuses on a particular *pragma*, before examining the role of that *pragma* within an entire tragedy. Enactments of each *pragma* in extant tragedy are tabled in appendices. The *pragma* of return home is examined within *Andromache*; recognition in Sophocles' *Elektra*; supplication in *Hekabe*; and reporting in *Women of Trachis*.

This analysis demonstrates the dynamic role and versatility of different types of *pragma* within a tragedy, and the playwright's ingenuity as demonstrated by his deployment of this element. No single approach or methodology can by itself fully interpret an Athenian tragedy, but a focus on a particular *pragma* illuminates different themes and emphases and ultimately provides us with a better understanding of a tragedy.

CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	2					
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS						
ABBREVIATIO	ns ϵ					
Introduction	N					
1.	TERMINOLOGY					
2.	SYSTASIS					
3.	<i>MUTHOS</i>					
4.	PRAGMATA					
5.	ROLE OF PRAGMATA IN A TRAGEDY					
	5.1. ENACTED PRAGMATA					
	5.2. Non-enacted <i>Pragmata</i>					
6.	AIMS OF THE RESEARCH					
CHAPTER ONE: RETURN HOME						
1.	THE MANIFESTATIONS OF RETURN IN TRAGEDY					
	1.1. THE ENACTED RETURN					
	1.1.1. ELEMENTS OF THE ENACTED RETURN42					
	1.1.2. ROLE OF THE ENACTED RETURN IN TRAGEDY50					
	1.2. THE NON-ENACTED <i>PRAGMA</i> OF RETURN					
2.	ANDROMACHE: ΤΗΕ ΑΝΟΣΤΟΣ ΝΟΣΤΟΣ PLAY					
3.	Conclusion					
CHAPTER TWO	o: Recognition					
1.	THE MANIFESTATIONS OF RECOGNITION IN TRAGEDY					
	1.1. THE ENACTED RECOGNITION					
	1.1.1. ELEMENTS OF THE ENACTED RECOGNITION82					
	1.1.2. LANGUAGE					
	1.1.3. RECOGNITION OF A CORPSE					
	1.1.4. ROLE OF THE ENACTED RECOGNITION IN TRAGEDY 101					

		1.2. THE NON-ENACTED <i>PRAGMA</i> OF RECOGNITION				
	2.	SOPHOCLES' ELEKTRA: WAITING FOR RECOGNITION				
	3.	Conclusion				
CHAPTER THREE: SUPPLICATION						
	1.	THE N	139			
		1.1. THE ENACTED SUPPLICATION			140	
			1.1.1.	Process	.143	
			1.1.2.	LANGUAGE	.151	
			1.1.3.	PARTICIPANTS	.155	
			1.1.4.	REASONS FOR SUPPLICATION	.159	
			1.1.5.	ROLE OF THE ENACTED SUPPLICATION IN TRAGEDY	.164	
		1.2.	THE NO	N-ENACTED PRAGMA OF SUPPLICATION	168	
	2.	НЕКА	BE: SUPI	PLICATION AS A RESPONSE TO <i>TUCHĒ</i>	172	
3. CONCLUSION					191	
CHAPTER FOUR: REPORTING						
	1.	THE MANIFESTATIONS OF REPORTING IN TRAGEDY			195	
		1.1.	THE EN	ACTED REPORT	195	
			1.1.1.	STRUCTURE	.196	
			1.1.2.	PARTICIPANTS	.202	
			1.1.3.	Language	.222	
			1.1.4.	ROLE OF THE ENACTED REPORT IN TRAGEDY	.229	
		1.2.	THE NO	N-ENACTED <i>PRAGMA</i> OF REPORTING	233	
	2. WOMEN OF TRACHIS: ὄψ' ἐκδιδάσκειν				235	
	3. CONCLUSION				249	
Conclusions						
APPENDIX 1: ENACTED RETURN PRAGMATA						
APPENDIX 2: NON-ENACTED RETURN PRAGMATA						
APPENDIX 3: ENACTED RECOGNITION PRAGMATA						
APPENDIX 4: ENACTED SUPPLICATION PRAGMATA						
APPENDIX 5: NON-ENACTED SUPPLICATION PRAGMATA						
APPENDIX 6: ENACTED REPORTING PRAGMATA						
BIBLIOGRAPHY						

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisor Dr Matthew Wright for his insightful suggestions of possible avenues of thought, his critical comments and his reading and rereading of drafts. It has been a delight to explore the nuances of Athenian tragedy and to learn so much about irony and old comedy with him.

The Exeter Classics and Ancient History Department has been a stimulating community within which to research and work and I have sincerely enjoyed being part of it. Thanks especially to Lynette Mitchell, Richard Seaford and Claire Turner for the sanity checks.

A huge thank you to the amazing community of postgrads for the reading groups, the seminars, the 'networking' at the pub and, above all, the laughter and good times! My thanks especially to Pauline, Kyle, Steve, Kiu, Sam, Valeria, Liz and James. I couldn't ask for better colleagues. But my biggest debt of gratitude is to Sharon for the constant encouragement, love and support. I would not have achieved this without you.

I am exceedingly grateful to all my friends in Exeter and around the world for their constant support and words of encouragement. And a huge thank you to those who went above and beyond in also commenting on and proofreading drafts, notably Pauline Hanesworth, Mike Marshall, Sophie Mynott, Anne-Sophie Noel, Bronwyn Notzon, Michelle Russell and Michelle Smith. I would also especially like to thank Michael Scott, Liz Pritchard, Steve Roderick and Evan True for the regular morale boosts.

The European Network of Research and Documentation of Performances of Ancient Greek Drama summer course at Epidavros helped to inspire this research, as well as to create deep friendships beginning from intense discussions about, and a love of, these amazing plays.

Finally, this thesis would not have been possible without the support of my family back in Australia and in Britain. Thank you for the chance to follow through with this crazy love of ancient Greek. This thesis is for my parents, Ian and Dorothy Fraser, who knew I could do it and helped me do so.

ABBREVIATIONS

A. Aeschylus

E. Euripides

EGF M. Davies, Epicorum Graecorum Fragmenta (1988) Göttingen:

Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.

FGrH F. Jacoby, Die Fragmente der griechischen Historiker (1923-62) Berlin &

Leiden: Brill.

LfgrE Lexikon des frühgriechischen Epos (1955) Göttingen: Vandenhoeck &

Ruprecht.

PMGF M. Davies, Poetarum Melicorum Graecorum Fragmenta (1991) Oxford:

Clarendon Press.

S. Sophocles

TrGF B. Snell, R. Kannicht and S. Radt, Tragicorum Graecorum Fragmenta (5

vols, 1971-2004) Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.