

Commitment and Cooperation in Partnerships

Lachlan Deer

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Master of Philosophy at the University of Adelaide

April 2012

School of Economics The University of Adelaide

ABSTRACT

This thesis uses experimental methods to investigate whether pledges of commitment can improve cooperation in partnerships facing a social dilemma. In the game studied, subjects form partnerships endogenously and choose contribution levels to a partnership account. The treatments vary in terms of the individual's (a) opportunity to commit to their partner, (b) the cost of dissolving committed partnerships, and (c) the distribution of these dissolution costs between partners. I find that pledges of commitment can increase cooperation levels within partnerships. Cooperation increases when committed partnerships can be dissolved without cost due to an increase in partnership stability; stable partnerships are more cooperative. I also find pledges of commitment improve cooperation when it is costly to dissolve a committed partnership. Dissolution costs are most effective when they are shared between committed partners because both partners respond to the threat of costly dissolution. Surprisingly, the increase in average cooperation when committed partnerships can be dissolved without cost is of similar magnitude to the increase when dissolution costs are equally shared between committed partners.

- ■JEL Classification Codes: C92, D03, D83, H41
- ■Keywords: Commitment, Cooperation, Endogenous Group Formation, Experiment

CONTENTS

1.	Intr	oductio	1		•	•		•	•	7
2.	Experimental Design								12	
	1	Desigr	Goals		•					12
	2	Procee	ures					•		13
		2.1	Voluntary Contribution Mechanism							14
		2.2	Commitment					•		15
		2.3	Regrouping							16
	3	Treatr	nents		•	•		•		18
3.	Prec	lictions	& $Hypotheses$					•		22
4.	Rest	ults								28
	1	Overa	l Partnership Efficiency							28
	2	Comm	itment Rates							31
	3	Comm	itment and Subject Level Contributions .							33
	4 Stable Partnerships, Commitment and Contributions									39
	5	Dissol	tion of Partnerships		•	•		•		44
5.	Con	clusion								49
Bibliography									53	
Appendix A - Summary Table for Contributions by Treatment									57	
Ap	opend	lix B - S	ample Experiment Instructions					•		59

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Summary of Treatments and Cost of Dissolving Committed	
	Partnerships	20
4.1	Results of Mann-Whitney rank sum tests of differences in con-	
	tribution levels between treatments $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	30
4.2	Tobit panel regression with random effects – Impact of Com-	
	mitment on Contributions	36
4.3	Tobit panel regression with random effects – Impact of Costly	
	Commitment and Partnership Stability on Contributions	42
4.4	Panel Logit Regression – Partnership Dissolution	47
A.1	Summary of Contribution Behaviour by Sequence and Treatment	58

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Timeline of a typical sequence of the game	14
4.1	Average Investment by Treatment	29
4.2	Proportion of Committed Partnerships by Treatment	32
4.3	Impact of Commitment on Contributions by Treatment	34
4.4	Proportion of Stable Partnerships by Treatment	40
4.5	Partnership Dissolution Rates by Treatment	45

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank Ralph-C. Bayer for his support, encouragement and advice throughout my Master's degree. Without his faith in my abilities and willingness to engage in lengthy discussion at any time of the day, this thesis would not have been possible. I am grateful for all I have learned from him over my Honours and Masters study since 2009.

Members of the Adelaide Laboratory for Experimental Economics have played an integral role in the running of the experiments used in this thesis. Mickey Chan programmed the matching algorithm in z-Tree. He and Nok Shatragram assisted in the running of laboratory sessions. I am sure that the experiment could not have run smoothly without their help.

I thank attendees at the 2011 Australasian Meeting of the Econometric Society, 2011 Australian Conference of Economists and the 6th Annual Australia New Zealand Workshop on Experimental Economics. I am particularly grateful to Changxia Ke and Lionel Page for their valuable comments on the earlier drafts presented at these conferences. I also thank two anonymous examiners for their useful suggestions to improve the thesis.

Regular coffee breaks with Virginie Masson ensured my caffeine levels remained at their optimum, providing the necessary boosts to my productivity. Members of the Honours cohorts from 2010 and 2011 made the office a enjoyable place to work and kept the laughs coming at regular intervals.

I thank Mum and Dad for their encouragement throughout my study and allowing me to be as ambitious as I desire. Constant reminders from Nicholas Deer that there is more to life than economics helped keep everything in perspective. My partner, Yiwei Sun, provided tremendous support throughout my study and accepted my absence as I filled the following pages with words and diagrams.

DECLARATION

I, Lachlan Kelley Deer certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma at any university or tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

SIGNATURE: _____ DATE: April 12, 2012