PUBLISHED VERSION

Wang, Jueqiong; Hughes, Timothy Peter; Kok, Chung Hoow; Saunders, Verity Ann; Frede, Amity; Groot-Obbink, Kelvin Stuart; Osborn, M.; Somogyi, Andrew Alexander; D'Andrea, Richard James; White, Deborah Lee

Contrasting effects of diclofenac and ibuprofen on active imatinib uptake into leukaemic cells British Journal of Cancer, 2012; 106(11):1772-1778

© 2012 Cancer Research UK

From twelve months after its original publication, this work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/</u>

The electronic version of this article is the complete one and can be found online at: http://www.nature.com/bjc/journal/v106/n11/full/bjc2012173a.html

PERMISSIONS

http://www.nature.com/bjc/authors/submit.html#open

BJC publishes BJC OPEN articles under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non commercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported licence.

This licence allows users to:

- share to copy, distribute and transmit the work
- remix to adapt the work

Under the following conditions:

- attribution users must attribute the work in the manner specified by the author or licensor (but not in any way that suggests an endorsement)
- non commercial users may not use this work for commercial purposes
- share alike If users alter, transform, or build upon this work, they may distribute the resulting work only under the same or similar license to this one

20th May 2013

http://hdl.handle.net/2440/72375

www.bjcancer.com

Contrasting effects of diclofenac and ibuprofen on active imatinib uptake into leukaemic cells

J Wang^{1,2}, TP Hughes^{1,2,3}, CH Kok^{1,2}, VA Saunders¹, A Frede¹, K Groot-Obbink¹, M Osborn^{1,4}, AA Somogyi^{3,5}, RJ D'Andrea^{1,2,3,6} and DL White^{*,1,2,3}

¹Department of Hematology, Centre for Cancer Biology/SA Pathology (RAH site), Adelaide, SA, Austarlia; ²School of Medicine, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA, Austarlia; ³Centre for Personalized Cancer Medicine, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA, Austarlia; ⁴Women's and Children's Hospital, Adelaide, SA, Austarlia; ⁵Discipline of Pharmacology, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA, Austarlia; ⁶The Basil Hetzel Institute for Translational Health Research, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Adelaide, SA, Austarlia

BACKGROUND: The human organic cation transporter-I (OCT-I) is the primary active protein for imatinib uptake into target BCR-ABL-positive cells. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are frequently used by chronic myeloid leukaemia (CML) patients on imatinib to manage musculoskeletal complaints.

METHODS: Here we investigated the impact of NSAIDs on functional activity of the OCT-1 (OCT-1 activity; OA) in CML cells. RESULTS: Although ten of twelve NSAIDs tested had no significant impact on OA (P>0.05), we observed increased OA (27% increase in K562; 22% increase in KU812 cells, P<0.05) and reduced IC50^{imatinib} when treated with diclofenac. Co-incubation with imatinib and diclofenac resulted in a significantly lower viable cell number compared with imatinib alone. In contrast, ibuprofen led to a significant decrease in OA, an increase in IC50^{imatinib} and thus reduced the cytotoxicity of imatinib. In primary CML samples, diclofenac significantly increased OA, particularly in patients with low OA (<4 ng per 200 000 cells), and significantly decreased IC50^{imatinib}. Ibuprofen induced significant decreases in OA in CML samples and healthy donors.

CONCLUSION: On the basis of the expected impact of these two drugs on OA, ibuprofen should be avoided in combination with imatinib. Further studies are warranted regarding the potential benefit of diclofenac to improve OA in a clinical setting.

British Journal of Cancer (2012) 106, 1772–1778. doi:10.1038/bjc.2012.173 www.bjcancer.com

Published online 24 April 2012

© 2012 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: CML; OCT-1; drug-drug interaction; NSAIDs; imatinib

Imatinib mesylate is a rationally-designed inhibitor of BCR-ABL currently used as first-line treatment for chronic phase chronic myeloid leukaemia (CP-CML). According to the 7-year update of IRIS (International Randomized Study of Interferon and STI571), patients treated with imatinib (STI571) achieved an overall event-free survival of 81% and transformation-free survival (to accelerated phase/blast crisis) of 93% (Hughes *et al*, 2010). Despite these excellent outcomes, suboptimal response or resistance to imatinib was reported soon after the introduction of imatinib into clinical practice (Gorre *et al*, 2001; Shah *et al*, 2002).

Although the presence of mutations within the kinase domain of BCR-ABL is widely accepted as the most common reason for secondary imatinib resistance (Gorre *et al*, 2001; Hughes *et al*, 2006), the underlying cause of primary resistance is less well characterised. The human organic cation transporter-1 (OCT-1) has been identified as the major transporter responsible for imatinib uptake in CML cells (Thomas *et al*, 2004). We have demonstrated that low functional activity of the OCT-1 protein (OCT-1 activity; OA) measured at the time of diagnosis, results in reduced imatinib-mediated *in vitro* tyrosine kinase inhibition

E-mail: Deborah.White@health.sa.gov.au

(White *et al*, 2005) and is associated with a poor outcome in CP-CML patients receiving imatinib therapy (White *et al*, 2007b, 2010). In some patients the negative impact of a low OA may be partially overcome by escalating imatinib dosage where tolerated (Hughes *et al*, 2008; White and Hughes, 2012). However, clinical experience has demonstrated that increasing imatinib dose is related to higher rates of adverse events and may lead to dosage interruptions or cessation (Cortes *et al*, 2010).

Several commonly prescribed drugs have been identified as substrates or inhibitors of OCT-1, thus, the contribution of OCT-1 to drug-drug interactions (DDI) has been reported recently in several pharmacokinetic studies (Kindla et al, 2009; Fahrmayr et al, 2010). Most of these studies used cell lines stably expressing OCT-1, with 1-methyl-4-phenylpyridinium (MPP+) and metformin as test compounds. In MDCKII-OCT-1 cells, OCT-1 mediated MPP + and metformin uptake were inhibited by oral anti-diabetic drugs, rosiglitazone and repaglinide (Bachmakov et al, 2008). Antiretroviral drugs for HIV treatment and cardiovascular drugs have been found to inhibit OCT-1 mediated MPP + uptake in transformed HEK293 cells expressing OCT-1 and in primary hepatocytes (Jung et al, 2008; Umehara et al, 2008). Although there is accumulating evidence regarding OCT-1-mediated DDI, few studies have investigated the effect of DDI on OCT-1 mediated imatinib uptake. Minematsu and Giacomini (2011) reported the selective and potent inhibitory effect of imatinib on ¹⁴C-metformin uptake using HEK293 cells stably expressing OCT-1. However, the

^{*}Correspondence: Associate Professor DL White;

Received 11 January 2012; revised 23 March 2012; accepted 30 March 2012; published online 24 April 2012

1773

DDI involving imatinib and OCT-1 in CML cells has not been fully assessed to date.

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are a class of structurally diverse drugs that effectively inhibit cyclooxygenases (COX-1 and COX-2) (Ulrich et al, 2006). Non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs are very commonly used for treatment of arthritic conditions and different types and severities of inflammation. There has been abundant evidence of DDI between NSAIDs and other co-administrated drugs, which may prolong the plasma elimination and lead to various side effects such as liver damage (Bjorkman, 1998), kidney dysfunction (Perneger et al, 1994), and aggravation of cardiovascular diseases (Faroog et al, 2008). Recently, several studies suggested that the transporter responsible for the renal uptake and secretion for NSAIDs is the human organic anion transporter-1 (OAT-1) (Apiwattanakul et al, 1999; Nozaki et al, 2004; Honjo et al, 2011). At clinically relevant concentrations, NSAIDs efficiently inhibit hOAT-1-mediated transport of adefovir in a cell line stably expressing hOAT-1 (Mulato et al, 2000). Although it has been reported that NSAIDs are not substrates of OCT transporters (Khamdang et al, 2002), NSAIDs such as diclofenac, ibuprofen, indomethacin and sulindac have been demonstrated to significantly inhibit OCT-1-mediated TEA uptake at the concentration of 0.5 mM in transfected S₂ cells expressing hOCT-1 (Khamdang et al, 2002). As about one-quarter to one-half of CML patients on imatinib develop musculoskeletal complaints (muscle cramps, myalgia, arthralgia), which may need to be managed with NSAIDs (Deininger et al, 2003), it is of particular relevance to investigate the effect on imatinib uptake of NSAIDs. An understanding of the effects that these drugs may have on imatinib influx in BCR-ABL-positive cells is of significant value for clinical practice.

In this study we performed a systematic functional analysis of the effects of 12 commonly used NSAIDs on imatinib uptake via OCT-1 in CML cell lines, and *de novo* CP-CML patients' cells. As previous studies have demonstrated that the influx of nilotinib and dasatinib are not mediated by OCT-1 (White *et al*, 2006; Giannoudis *et al*, 2008; Hiwase *et al*, 2008), imatinib was selected as the only relevant substrate for the OCT-1 transporter in the current study. Our data demonstrates that NSAIDs result in varying effects on OA. Among them two widely used NSAIDs, diclofenac and ibuprofen, resulted in significant change in the OA, kinase inhibition and imatinib efficacy *in vitro* at clinically achievable total plasma concentrations (10 μ M for diclofenac and 130 μ M for ibuprofen) (Siu *et al*, 2000; Juhlin *et al*, 2004; Bramlage and Goldis, 2008). Thus, their concomitant use with imatinib in CML patients warrants further experimental and clinical examination.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines

Human BCR-ABL-positive K562 and KU812 CML cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VI, USA). All cell lines were cultured in a 37 °C humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ in RPMI-1640 media (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (JRH Biosciences, Lenexa, KS, USA), 2 mm L-glutamine (SAFC Biosciences, Lenexa, KS, USA) and 100 U ml⁻¹ penicillin G/streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich).

Patient samples

Blood was obtained from *de novo* CP-CML patients, before the commencement of imatinib therapy. Normal blood was obtained from healthy volunteers. All blood samples were collected with informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Mononuclear cells (MNCs) were isolated from blood using

Lymphoprep (Axis Shield, Oslo, Norway) density gradient centrifugation. Experiments were performed on fresh or frozen cells. Use of clinical trial patients' samples (TIDELI) was approved by the Royal Adelaide Hospital Research Ethics Committee (Novartis protocol No: CST15710106).

Drugs

Imatinib mesylate, together with labelled imatinib ([¹⁴C]-STI571) were kindly provided by Novartis Pharmaceuticals (Basel, Switzerland). Celecoxib and rofecoxib were purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals (Ontario, CA, USA). Paracetamol was kindly provided by Professor Andrew A Somogyi, the University of Adelaide (Adelaide, Australia). Other NSAIDs were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and dissolved as per manufacturer's instructions. For those drugs dissolved in DMSO or ethanol, the final concentration of the solvents ranged from 0.14-0.25% (v/ v). The concentrations of NSAIDs used in this study were selected according to the concentrations reported to be the maximum plasma concentrations after therapeutic dosing. For those of which the optimal concentrations were unknown, the concentrations commonly chosen in high-throughput cell-based small-molecule screens were used (Supplementary Table 1). The OCT-1 inhibitor prazosin (Sigma-Aldrich) was dissolved in methanol and used at 100 μ M. The final concentration of methanol was 1% (v/v).

Radio-labelled drug uptake (IUR) assay and OA assay: assessment of the effects of NSAIDs on OA modulation

As previously described (White *et al*, 2007b), the radio-labelled intracellular uptake and retention (IUR) assay measures the intracellular concentration of imatinib achieved and maintained in cells over a 2-hour period. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and the potent inhibitor of OCT-1, prazosin were added to the IUR assay simultaneously with radio-labelled imatinib. After incubation, the cellular and aqueous phases were separated and incorporation was determined using a Topcount Microplate Beta Scintillation counter (Canberra Packard, Meriden, CO, USA) following the addition of Microscint20 scintillation fluid (Perkin Elmer, Boston, MA, USA). The OA was determined by calculating the difference of the IUR with or without $100 \,\mu$ M prazosin.

IC50^{imatinib}assay: assessment of the effects of NSAIDs on tyrosine kinase inhibition

The IC50 assay was performed as previously described (White *et al*, 2005), based on the *in vitro* reduction in the level of phosphorylated Crkl (p-Crkl) as a result of exposure to increasing concentrations of imatinib (ranged from 0 to $100 \,\mu$ M). The percentage of p-Crkl to non-p-Crkl at $0 \,\mu$ M imatinib was standardised to 100%, and all other data points were normalised to this value. The IC50 was defined as the concentration of imatinib producing a 50% decrease in the level of p-Crkl compared with untreated controls. The effects of NSAIDs were determined by comparing the IC50 in the presence and absence of NSAIDs in cell lines and primary patients' material.

Trypan blue exclusion assay: assessment of the effects of NSAIDs on the number of viable cells in the presence of imatinib

After treatment with NSAIDs and various concentrations of imatinib (indicated in the text) for 72 hours, cells were harvested and viable cell numbers were assessed using the trypan blue (Sigma-Aldrich) exclusion method. The number of viable cells was then compared with a control treatment in the absence of NSAIDs.

RQ-PCR assay: assessment of the effects of NSAIDs on OCT-1 mRNA levels

The RQ-PCR for transporter mRNA expression was performed as previously described (White *et al*, 2007b). The cells were harvested after 2-hour incubation with NSAIDs. RNA was then extracted using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The sequences for the primers were as follows: OCT-1 forward 5'-CTGAGCTGTACCCCACATTCG-3', OCT-1 reverse 5'-CCAACA CCGCAAACAAAATGA-3'.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses are indicated where applied and were performed using Sigma Stat Software 3.0 (Systat Software Asia Pacific Ltd, Richmond, CA, USA). The unpaired *t*-test was used to define differences between blank and NSAIDs-treated group, and correlation was performed using the Pearson Product Moment. Data are presented as mean \pm s.e.m. for at least three biological replicates. Differences were considered to be statistically significant when the *P*-value was less than 0.05.

RESULTS

Effects of NSAIDs on OA and IC50^{imatinib} of BCR-ABL-positive cell lines

Diverse effects on OA were observed in BCR-ABL positive cell lines (K562 and KU812) in the presence and absence of NSAIDs. Although the majority of NSAIDs selected (9 of 12) failed to induce any significant change in OA (Figure 1A), a significant increase in OA was observed in K562 cells treated with either 10 µM diclofenac (27%, n = 4, P = 0.007) or 16 μ M fenbufen (33%, n = 9, P = 0.006), Similarly, a 22% increase was observed in OA when KU812 cells were treated with 10 μ M diclofenac (n = 6, P = 0.003, Figure 1B). Although not significant, incubation with fenbufen resulted in a 21% increase in OA in KU812 cells (n = 5, P = 0.328). Surprisingly, and in contrast, the OA was reduced in the presence of ibuprofen (48% of vehicle control in K562, n = 4, P < 0.001, Figure 1Å; 59% of vehicle control in KU812, n = 6, P < 0.001, Figure 1B). As diclofenac and ibuprofen demonstrated the most significant effect on OA, and as both are commonly used in clinical practice, these drugs were selected for further experimentation.

Effects of diclofenac and ibuprofen on IC50^{imatinib} of BCR-ABL-positive cell lines

To assess whether the observed divergent alterations in OA translate into changes in tyrosine kinase inhibition, the IC50^{imatinib} was examined in K562 and KU812 cells with or without diclofenac or ibuprofen. A significant decrease in the IC50^{imatinib} was observed in K562 cells when treated with diclofenac (5.7 ± 0.8 to $3.7 \pm 0.7 \mu$ M, P = 0.013, n = 3, Figure 2A). In addition, a similar decrease was observed in the KU812 IC50^{imatinib} in the presence of diclofenac (3.5 ± 0.6 to $2.2 \pm 0.4 \mu$ M, P = 0.007, n = 3, Figure 2B). In contrast, ibuprofen significantly elevated the IC50^{imatinib} in K562 and KU812 cells (5.7 ± 0.8 to $8.1 \pm 0.5 \mu$ M and 3.5 ± 0.6 to $6.6 \pm 0.8 \mu$ M, respectively; P < 0.001, n = 3).

Effects of diclofenac and ibuprofen on viable cell counts when co-administrated with imatinib and OCT-1 mRNA levels in BCR-ABL-positive cells

To address whether the changes in imatinib intracellular concentration and IC50^{imatinib} mediated by diclofenac or ibuprofen translate to changes in viable cell number, the trypan blue cell exclusion assay was performed with K562 and KU812 cells in the presence or absence of diclofenac and ibuprofen. After 72 hours



Figure 1 Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs induce divergent effects on OCT-1 activity in BCR-ABL-positive cells. NSAIDs and the potent inhibitor of OCT-1, prazosin, were added to the OCT-1 activity assay simultaneously with ¹⁴C-imatinib. After 2-hour incubation, the OA was determined by calculating the difference of the intracellular uptake and retention with or without prazosin. Results (mean ± s.e.m.) are expressed as percentage of own solvent control, which was set at a value of 100%, for at least three biological replicates. *P<0.05 vs control; **P<0.001 vs control. (**A**) OCT-1 activity in the presence of 12 NSAIDs in K562 cells. (**B**) OCT-1 activity in the presence of 10 μ m diclofenac, 16 μ m fenbufen and 145 μ m ibuprofen in KU812 cells.

incubation with varying doses of imatinib as shown in Figure 3, KU812 cells are more sensitive to the effects of imatinib than K562 cells. Thus, different doses of imatinib were used in K562 and KU812 cells. At relatively low doses of imatinib ($0.25 \,\mu$ M for K562 cells; $0.05 \,\mu$ M for KU812 cells), diclofenac resulted in a significantly lower number of viable cells after 72 hours compared with imatinib alone ($7.82 \pm 0.56 \times 10^5 \,\mathrm{ml}^{-1} \, vs \, 10.95 \pm 1.01 \times 10^5 \,\mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ in K562 cells: P = 0.021, n = 3; $5.40 \pm 0.34 \times 10^5 \,\mathrm{ml}^{-1} \, vs \, 8.40 \pm 0.74 \times 10^5 \,\mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ in KU812 cells: P = 0.019, n = 3, Figures 3A and B), which is consistent with the observed decrease in IC50^{imatinib}. Interestingly, this effect is not due to diclofenac-induced cell death as there was no significant change observed in cell viability in the presence or absence of diclofenac alone.

In contrast, when K562 or KU812 cells were co-incubated with imatinib and ibuprofen there was a significant increase in viable cell number compared with cells treated with imatinib alone $(8.16 \pm 1.01 \times 10^5 \text{ ml}^{-1} \text{ vs } 4.88 \pm 0.96 \times 10^5 \text{ ml}^{-1} \text{ in K562 cells}$ at 0.5 μ M of imatinib, P = 0.004, n = 3; $7.23 \pm 0.78 \times 10^5 \text{ ml}^{-1} \text{ vs}$



Figure 2 The IC50^{imatinib} results in the presence or absence of $10 \,\mu\text{M}$ diclofenac or $145\,\mu$ M ibuprofen. The *in vitro* reduction in the level of p-Crkl by imatinib was detected using the IC50^{imatinib} assay. Cells were incubated with 10 μ M diclofenac or 145 μ M ibuprofen and increasing concentrations of imatinib for 2 hours. The percentage of p-Crkl to non-p-Crkl at 0 μ M imatinib was standardised to 100%, and all other data points were normalised about this value. The IC50 was defined as the concentration of imatinib producing a 50% decrease in the level of p-Crkl compared with untreated controls. Error bars represent mean ± s.e.m. for three biological replicates. *P<0.05 vs control; **P<0.001 vs control. The cumulative results (A) in K562 cells (B) in KU812 cells.

 $4.97 \pm 0.47 \times 10^5$ ml⁻¹ in KU812 cells at 0.1 μ M of imatinib, P = 0.002, n = 3, Figures 3A and B).

To determine whether the level of OCT-1 mRNA was affected by the presence of NSAIDs OCT1 transcript levels were analysed following a 2-hour incubation in the presence and absence of NSAIDs in both K562 and KU812 cells. There was no significant change in the level of OCT-1 mRNA with diclofenac or ibuprofen over the 2-hour period (data not shown), suggesting that these NSAIDs function via a post-transcriptional mechanism.

Effects of diclofenac and ibuprofen on the OA of primary cells

Our previous TIDEL study in newly diagnosed CML patients receiving imatinib at 600 mg per day has described the link between OA and achievement of optimal or sub-optimal molecular response. The percentage of patients with high OA achieving major molecular response (MMR) by 24 months was significantly greater than that of patients with low OA (85% vs 45%, P = 0.004) (White et al, 2007b). Dividing the OA groups further into quartiles, patients with OA in the lowest quartile (Q1, OA < 4 ng per 200 000 cells) have a significantly poorer response to imatinib treatment than those in higher quartiles (White et al, 2010). To examine the effect of both drugs on OA in primary CML samples, OA of MNCs



10

5

0

0

10 μM diclofenac 145 μM ibuprofen

0.25

Figure 3 The effects of diclofenac and ibuprofen on the number of viable cells after 72 hours co-incubation with imatinib. The number of viable cells was determined using the trypan blue exclusion method after treatment with NSAIDs and imatinib for 72 hours. $10 \,\mu\text{M}$ diclofenac coadministered with imatinib induced a significantly lower viable cell number compared with imatinib alone. Conversely, the viable cell numbers were significantly increased by 145 μ M ibuprofen with imatinib, especially at relatively higher concentration of imatinib. The results (mean ± s.e.m) are expressed as the viable cell number determined by trypan blue exclusion assay of three biological replicates. *P < 0.05 versus control. (A) in K562 cells (n=3) (**B**) in KU812 cells (n=3).

0.05

0.1

Concentration of imatinib (µM)

isolated from TIDEL patients were assessed in the presence or absence of 10 µM diclofenac or 145 µM ibuprofen.

We then compared the effect of diclofenac on MNCs from patients with OA in Q1 (OA less than 4 ng per 200 000 cells) with the effect observed in patients with OA greater than 4 ng per 200 000 cells. After treatment with diclofenac, the OA was increased in 93% of the Q1 patients' samples tested. The median OA in Q1 patients rose from 1.39 to 4.17 ng per 200 000 cells (n = 29, P < 0.001). Notably, as the result of this increase, 15 of 29 cases (51.7%) moved from Q1 to a higher OA quartile group (Figure 4A). However, for patients with higher basal OA value, treatment with diclofenac did not lead to a significant increase in OA (median OA in the absence or presence of diclofenac: 5.49 ng/200,000 cells vs 5.92 ng per 200 000 cells, n = 21, P = 0.714, Figure 4B). The different effect of diclofenac between quartiles indicated that the increase in OA mediated by diclofenac was largely confined to patients with low OA rather than in patients with higher OA.

In MNCs treated with ibuprofen, a consistent inhibitory effect (41% reduction in OA) was observed in all 12 cases tested (Figure 4C). The average OA in these 12 samples $(7.53 \pm 0.82 \text{ ng per})$





Figure 4 The effects of diclofenac or ibuprofen on OA in primary MNCs. OCT-1 activity assays were performed with fresh or frozen MNCs isolated from the blood of newly diagnosed patients with CML Box-plots display the median value, the minimum and maximum value of OA. (**A**) 10 μ M diclofenac increased OA in patients with basal OA less than 4 ng per 200 000 cells (n = 29). (**B**) No significant increase was observed in patients with basal OA greater than 4 ng per 200 000 cells (n = 21). (**C**) Decreased OA in MNCs from *de-novo* CP-CML patients were observed after treatment of 145 μ M ibuprofen (n = 12). (**D**) Ibuprofen downregulated OA in healthy donors (n = 6).

200 000 cells, range 3.53–14.52) was significantly reduced (4.30 \pm 0.52 ng per 200 000 cells, range 1.53–7.85, *P*<0.001) after the treatment with ibuprofen.

In addition, we have examined the effect of diclofenac (n = 10) or ibuprofen (n = 6) on MNCs collected from normal individuals. Diclofenac did not result in a significant change in OA (data not shown), however, a 50% decrease in OA was observed following ibuprofen treatment (OA before treatment: 3.70 ± 0.45 ng per 200 000 cells, range 2.21–4.89; OA post treatment: 1.83 ± 0.26 ng per 200 000 cells, range 1.41-2.82, P < 0.001, Figure 4D).

The impact of diclofenac on the IC50^{imatinib} in primary cells

As diclofenac resulted in a significant increase in OA in MNCs of CP-CML patients, its impact on *in vitro* kinase inhibition was determined in 9 *de novo* CP-CML patients. Patients were chosen based on the availability of sufficient fresh blood cells for this analysis, not on the basis of OA. The median IC50^{imatinib} of this group was 1.2 μ M (range 0.5–1.7 μ M). A significant reduction was observed when diclofenac was added (median IC50^{imatinib} reduced to 0.75 μ M, range 0.25–1.15 μ M, P = 0.018, Figure 5).

DISCUSSION

The interaction between NSAIDs and OCT-1 remains unknown but has significant potential to impact response to imatinib. Here we investigate the potential involvement of NSAIDs in targeted cancer therapy where transport of the anti-leukaemic drug into leukaemic cells has a major role in patient response. Twelve NSAIDs were selected in this study; including the commonly used diclofenac, ibuprofen, naproxen, and celecoxib. Their effects on OCT-1 were first validated in assays of imatinib uptake (IUR). Two NSAIDs with the most significant effects on OA, diclofenac and ibuprofen, were then chosen for IC50^{imatinib} and cell proliferation assays using CML cell lines.

As OCT-1 is the major active influx transporter for imatinib, OA is a strong predictor of response to imatinib treatment in *de novo*



Figure 5 The impact of diclofenac on the IC50^{imatinib} in primary MNCs from *de-novo* CP-CML patients. Mononuclear cells samples from 9 *de-novo* CP-CML patients were incubated with 10 μ M diclofenac and increasing concentrations of imatinib for 2 hours. The percentage of p-Crkl to non-p-Crkl at 0 μ M imatinib was standardised to 100%. All other data points were normalised about this value. The IC50 was defined as the concentration of imatinib achieving a 50% decrease of p-Crkl compared with no imatinib controls. When there were sufficient MNCs, analysis was performed in duplicate. Box-plots display the median value, the minimum and maximum value of OA.

CML patients (White *et al*, 2005, 2007a). Here our data demonstrated that OA was increased in BCR-ABL-positive cell lines (K562 and KU812) by diclofenac. Importantly, this increase in OA translated to a significant increase in BCR-ABL kinase inhibition as demonstrated by a reduction in the IC50^{imatinib} in both cell lines. This finding also highlights, in line with our previous studies (White *et al*, 2007b), the correlation between OA and IC50^{imatinib}. In addition, trypan blue exclusion assays provided further evidence that by increasing functional OA and tyrosine kinase inhibition, diclofenac in combination with imatinib results in a significant reduction in viable cell numbers.

Interestingly, the effect of diclofenac was also observed in CML patients' samples. In 93% of MNCs samples from CML patients with basal OA less than 4 ng per 200 000 cells (Q1), we demonstrated a significant increase in OA in the presence of diclofenac at clinically relevant concentrations. Importantly, 51.7% of Q1 cases tested increased from Q1 to higher quartiles. From a clinical perspective, this increase is of greater significance for the Q1 patients who have a particularly poor outcome on standard imatinib therapy. On the basis of the TIDEL data this may significantly increase the probability of these patients achieving a MMR, and significantly reduce the risk of suboptimal response or imatinib failure (White et al, 2010). However, diclofenac did not significantly increase OA in cells from patients with higher OA. This differential effect of diclofenac in patients with higher OA suggested that this population may not be targeted as well as Q1 by diclofenac intervention.

When applied to MNCs from healthy donors, diclofenac did not mediate the same effect as seen in CML patients, implicating a role for BCR-ABL or disease-related processes in this interaction. These findings raise the possibility that combination therapy with imatinib plus diclofenac may be more effective than imatinib alone, although not adding greatly to the toxicity of the therapy. Importantly from a clinical perspective, this may translate to an increase in the probability of patients with low OA achieving a MMR, and a significantly reduced risk of suboptimal response or imatinib failure. In addition, this strategy may also benefit patients with low OA by allowing for a lower/standard dosage of imatinib (400 mg per day) without adding greatly to the toxicity commonly observed when higher doses of imatinib are used (600 or 800 mg per day). However, extrapolations of *in vitro* effects to *in vivo* effects and clinical response will require careful validation.

Another interesting finding in this study is that NSAIDs can exhibit divergent effects on OA in CML cell lines. Although all NSAIDs have antipyretic, analgesic, and anti-inflammatory properties, there are several important differences in their activities that are mostly due to their affinity towards COX enzyme isoforms: COX-1 and COX-2. In CML, it was reported that expression of COX-2 was significantly higher in CML than in healthy volunteers and the increasing levels of COX-2 were significantly associated with shorter survival (Giles et al, 2002). Therefore several studies have been conducted to investigate the role of COX-2 in imatinib resistance and the use of COX-2 inhibitors as an alternative therapy. It has been reported that celecoxib, a COX-2 inhibitor, reduced cell growth with arrest of the cell cycle at G0/G1 phase and induction of apoptosis by inhibiting NF-kB activation in K562 cells (Subhashini et al, 2005). In imatinib-resistant K562 (IR-K562) cells, over-expression of COX-2 and MDR-1 were observed and in addition, celecoxib could induce cell apoptosis by inhibiting COX-2 and MDR-1 (Arunasree et al, 2008), probably through the PGE2cAMP-PKC-mediated pathway (Kalle et al, 2010). However, we did not observe any universal effect among COX-2 inhibitors in this study. Although sharing similar COX-2 selectivity with diclofenac (Rich, 2001), celecoxib, as well as another more potent selective COX-2 inhibitor, rofecoxib, had no significant impact on imatinib uptake via OCT-1 in K562 or KU812 cells. This finding suggests that COX-2 inhibitors are unlikely to be the critical mediator of the interaction between OCT-1 and diclofenac observed in this study.

Unexpectedly, although diclofenac increased OA significantly, ibuprofen significantly decreased the OA in both K562 and KU812 cells. This effect on OA translated into an increase in IC50^{imatinib} and cell growth in the presence of imatinib. Given the common administration and over-the-counter access of ibuprofen, this finding is likely to be of significant clinical relevance. Unlike diclofenac, the effect of ibuprofen is also observed in normal cells to the same extent as leukaemic cells, suggesting that the mechanisms of these two interactions are different.

The various effects of NSAIDs on OCT-1-mediated DDI is in contrast with a previous study reporting that diclofenac, ibuprofen, indomethacin and sulindac could all significantly inhibit OCT1-mediated TEA uptake (Khamdang *et al*, 2002). However, it should be noted that the concentration of NSAIDs used in that study (0.5μ M) was much higher than the concentrations used in our study. Given that the mean maximum plasma concentration (Cmax) of diclofenac after a single dose of 50 mg in healthy volunteers is 5μ M (Siu *et al*, 2000; Juhlin *et al*, 2004), the dose used in this study is more relevant to the clinical setting. Similarly, the concentration of bipprofen used here (145μ M, equal to 30μ g ml⁻¹) is determined according to the clinically achievable level (Cmax = 37μ g ml⁻¹, after 400 mg single administration in healthy volunteers) (Bramlage and Goldis, 2008). Although this concentration is also lower than 0.5μ M, it is still much higher

REFERENCES

- Apiwattanakul N, Sekine T, Chairoungdua A, Kanai Y, Nakajima N, Sophasan S, Endou H (1999) Transport properties of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs by organic anion transporter 1 expressed in Xenopus laevis oocytes. *Mol Pharmacol* 55(5): 847–854
- Arunasree KM, Roy KR, Anilkumar K, Aparna A, Reddy GV, Reddanna P (2008) Imatinib-resistant K562 cells are more sensitive to celecoxib, a selective COX-2 inhibitor: Role of COX-2 and MDR-1. *Leuk Res* **32**(6): 855–864
- Bachmakov I, Glaeser H, Fromm MF, Konig J (2008) Interaction of oral antidiabetic drugs with hepatic uptake transporters: focus on organic anion transporting polypeptides and organic cation transporter 1. *Diabetes* 57(6): 1463–1469
- Bjorkman D (1998) Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug-associated toxicity of the liver, lower gastrointestinal tract, and esophagus. *Am J Med* **105**(5 A): 17S–21S
- Bramlage P, Goldis A (2008) Bioequivalence study of three ibuprofen formulations after single dose administration in healthy volunteers. BMC Pharmacol 8: 1471–1487

(up to 20-fold) than other NSAIDs selected in the current work. As it has been shown that NSAIDs are not substrates of OCT transporters (Khamdang *et al*, 2002), it is unlikely that ibuprofen inhibits imatinib uptake by competing for OCT-1. Molecular structural analysis is necessary to further understand the interaction between ibuprofen and imatinib at the binding site of OCT-1.

We did not observe changes in OCT-1 mRNA that could explain the differences in imatinib uptake and intracellular level. Thus, the major cause of increased OA and kinase inhibition in these shortterm assays may be from the effects of these drugs on the post-transcriptional regulation of OCT-1. So far a number of posttranscriptional mechanisms for OCT-1 modulation have been reported, including protein kinase A phosphorylation sites which can affect transporter regulation and substrate specificity (Ciarimboli et al, 2004; Ciarimboli et al, 2005; Holle et al, 2011). Other regulatory pathways identified in transfected Chinese hamster ovary cells include p56^{lck}, calmodulin and the calmodu-lin-dependent protein kinase II (Ciarimboli *et al*, 2004). However, the mechanisms underlining OCT-1 regulation in leukaemic cells remains unknown. Further studies are required to establish whether these drugs affect these pathways or impact on OCT-1 transcript or protein levels over longer time periods, or with constant in vivo exposure as would occur clinically. Better understanding of OCT-1 regulation and imatinib influx may lead to additional approaches and drug candidates to enhance imatinib efficacy in CML.

In conclusion, this study provides evidence for interactions between selected NSAIDs and imatinib that directly impact on leukaemic cell response, suggesting that these drugs have the potential to impact significantly on CML patient outcome. The effect of NSAIDs on OA was highly selective suggesting that the mechanism is not related to direct COX inhibition and further studies are required to establish the nature of the interaction in leukaemic cells. Although drugs such as diclofenac may have a positive influence on imatinib efficacy, this is in contrast to the effect seen with ibuprofen suggesting caution is required when administrating NSAIDs to CML patients on imatinib treatment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by funding from the Leukemia & Lymphoma Society (USA). We acknowledge the support of Novartis Pharmaceuticals for providing the Imatinib mesylate, together with labeled imatinib ($[^{14}C]$ -STI571).

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on British Journal of Cancer website (http://www.nature.com/bjc)

- Ciarimboli G, Koepsell H, Iordanova M, Gorboulev V, Dürner B, Lang D, Edemir B, Schröter R, Van Le T, Schlatter E (2005) Individual PKC-phosphorylation sites in organic cation transporter 1 determine substrate selectivity and transport regulation. J Am Soc Nephrol 16(6): 1562–1570
- Ciarimboli G, Struwe K, Arndt P, Gorboulev V, Koepsell H, Schlatter E, Hirsch JR (2004) Regulation of the human organic cation transporter hOCT1. J Cell Physiol 201(3): 420-428
- Cortes JE, Baccarani M, Guilhot F, Druker BJ, Branford S, Kim DW, Pane F, Pasquini R, Goldberg SL, Kalaycio M, Moiraghi B, Rowe JM, Tothova E, De Souza C, Rudoltz M, Yu R, Krahnke T, Kantarjian HM, Radich JP, Hughes TP (2010) Phase III, randomized, open-label study of daily imatinib mesylate 400 mg versus 800 mg in patients with newly diagnosed, previously untreated chronic myeloid leukemia in chronic phase using molecular end points: tyrosine kinase inhibitor optimization and selectivity study. J Clin Oncol 28(3): 424-430

- Deininger MWN, O'Brien SG, Ford JM, Druker BJ (2003) Practical management of patients with chronic myeloid leukemia receiving imatinib. J Clin Oncol 21(8): 1637–1647
- Fahrmayr C, Fromm MF, König J (2010) Hepatic OATP and OCT uptake transporters: Their role for drug-drug interactions and pharmacogenetic aspects. *Drug Metab Rev* 42(3): 380–401
- Farooq M, Haq I, Qureshi AS (2008) Cardiovascular risks of COX inhibition: Current perspectives. *Expert Opin Pharmacother* 9(8): 1311-1319
- Giannoudis A, Davies A, Lucas CM, Harris RJ, Pirmohamed M, Clark RE (2008) Effective dasatinib uptake may occur without human organic cation transporter 1 (hOCT1): implications for the treatment of imatinibresistant chronic myeloid leukemia. *Blood* 112(8): 3348–3354
- Giles FJ, Kantarjian HM, Bekele BN, Cortes JE, Faderl S, Thomas DA, Manshouri T, Rogers A, Keating MJ, Talpaz M, O'Brien S, Albitar M (2002) Bone marrow cyclooxygenase-2 levels are elevated in chronicphase chronic myeloid leukaemia and are associated with reduced survival. Br J Haematol 119(1): 38-45
- Gorre ME, Mohammed M, Ellwood K, Hsu N, Paquette R, Nagesh Rao P, Sawyers CL (2001) Clinical resistance to STI-571 cancer therapy caused by BCR-ABL gene mutation or amplification. *Science* 293(5531): 876–880
- Hiwase DK, Saunders V, Hewett D, Frede A, Zrim S, Dang P, Eadie L, To LB, Melo J, Kumar S, Hughes TP, White DL (2008) Dasatinib cellular uptake and efflux in chronic myeloid leukemia cells: therapeutic implications. *Clin Cancer Res* 14(12): 3881–3888
- Holle SK, Ciarimboli G, Edemir B, Neugebauer U, Pavenstädt H, Schlatter E (2011) Properties and regulation of organic cation transport in freshly isolated mouse proximal tubules analyzed with a fluorescence reader-based method. *Pflugers Archiv Eur J Physiol* **462**(2): 359–369
- Honjo H, Uwai Y, Aoki Y, Iwamoto K (2011) Stereoselective inhibitory effect of flurbiprofen, ibuprofen and naproxen on human organic anion transporters hOAT1 and hOAT3. *Biopharm Drug Dispos* 32(9): 518-524
- Hughes T, Deininger M, Hochhaus A, Branford S, Radich J, Kaeda J, Baccarani M, Cortes J, Cross NCP, Druker BJ, Gabert J, Grimwade D, Hehlmann R, Kamel-Reid S, Lipton JH, Longtine J, Martinelli G, Saglio G, Soverini S, Stock W, Goldman JM (2006) Monitoring CML patients responding to treatment with tyrosine kinase inhibitors: Review and recommendations for harmonizing current methodology for detecting BCR-ABL transcripts and kinase domain mutations and for expressing results. *Blood* 108(1): 28-37
- Hughes TP, Branford S, White DL, Reynolds J, Koelmeyer R, Seymour JF, Taylor K, Arthur C, Schwarer A, Morton J, Cooney J, Leahy MF, Rowlings P, Catalano J, Hertzberg M, Filshie R, Mills AK, Fay K, Durrant S, Januszewicz H, Joske D, Underhill C, Dunkley S, Lynch K, Grigg A (2008) Impact of early dose intensity on cytogenetic and molecular responses in chronicphase CMLpatients receiving 600 mg/day of imatinib as initial therapy. *Blood* **112**(10): 3965-3973
- Hughes TP, Hochhaus A, Branford S, Müller MC, Kaeda JS, Foroni L, Druker BJ, Guilhot F, Larson RA, O'Brien SG, Rudoltz MS, Mone M, Wehrle E, Modur V, Goldman JM, Radich JP (2010) Long-term prognostic significance of early molecular response to imatinib in newly diagnosed chronic myeloid leukemia: An analysis from the International Randomized Study of Interferon and STI571 (IRIS). *Blood* **116**(19): 3758–3765
- Juhlin T, Björkman S, Gunnarsson B, Fyge A, Roth B, Höglund P (2004) Acute administration of diclofenac, but possibly not long term low dose aspirin, causes detrimental renal effects in heart failure patients treated with ACE-inhibitors. *Eur J Heart Fail* **6**(7): 909–916
- Jung N, Lehmann C, Rubbert A, Knispel M, Hartmann P, van Lunzen J, Stellbrink HJ, Faetkenheuer G, Taubert D (2008) Relevance of the organic cation transporters 1 and 2 for antiretroviral drug therapy in human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Drug Metab Dispos* **36**(8): 1616–1623
- Kalle AM, Sachchidanand S, Pallu R (2010) Bcr-Abl-independent mechanism of resistance to imatinib in K562 cells: Induction of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) by histone deacetylases (HDACs). *Leuk Res* **34**(9): 1132-1138
- Khamdang S, Takeda M, Noshiro R, Narikawa S, Enomoto A, Anzai N, Piyachaturawat P, Endou H (2002) Interactions of human organic anion transporters and human organic cation transporters with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **303**(2): 534–539

- Kindla J, Fromm MF, Konig J (2009) *In vitro* evidence for the role of OATP and OCT uptake transporters in drug-drug interactions. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol* 5(5): 489–500
- Minematsu T, Giacomini KM (2011) Interactions of Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors with Organic Cation Transporters, OCTs, and Multidrug and Toxic Compound Extrusion Proteins, MATEs. *Mol Cancer Ther* 10(3): 531-539
- Mulato AS, Ho ES, Cihlar T (2000) Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs efficiently reduce the transport and cytotoxicity of adefovir mediated by the human renal organic anion transporter 1. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **295**(1): 10–15
- Nozaki Y, Kusuhara H, Endou H, Sugiyama Y (2004) Quantitative evaluation of the drug-drug interactions between methotrexate and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in the renal uptake process based on the contribution of organic anion transporters and reduced folate carrier. J Pharmacol Exp Ther **309**(1): 226–234
- Perneger TV, Whelton PK, Klag MJ (1994) Risk of kidney failure associated with the use of acetaminophen, aspirin, and nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs. N Engl J Med 331(25): 1675–1679
- Rich SA (2001) The coxibs, selective inhibitors of cyclooxygenase-2. N Engl J Med 345(23): 1709
- Shah NP, Nicoll JM, Nagar B, Gorre ME, Paquette RL, Kuriyan J, Sawyers CL (2002) Multiple BCR-ABL kinase domain mutations confer polyclonal resistance to the tyrosine kinase inhibitor imatinib (STI571) in chronic phase and blast crisis chronic myeloid leukemia. *Cancer Cell* **2**(2): 117-125
- Siu SSN, Yeung JHK, Lau TK (2000) A study on placental transfer of diclofenac in first trimester of human pregnancy. *Hum Reprod* 15(11): 2423-2425
- Subhashini J, Mahipal SVK, Reddanna P (2005) Anti-proliferative and apoptotic effects of celecoxib on human chronic myeloid leukemia *in vitro. Cancer Lett* **224**(1): 31-43
- Thomas J, Wang L, Clark RE, Pirmohamed M (2004) Active transport of imatinib into and out of cells: Implications for drug resistance. *Blood* **104**(12): 3739–3745
- Ulrich CM, Bigler J, Potter JD (2006) Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for cancer prevention: Promise, perils and pharmacogenetics. *Nat Rev Cancer* 6(2): 130–140
- Umehara KI, Iwatsubo T, Noguchi K, Usui T, Kamimura H (2008) Effect of cationic drugs on the transporting activity of human and rat OCT/Oct 1-3 *in vitro* and implications for drug-drug interactions. *Xenobiotica* 38(9): 1203-1218
- White D, Saunders V, Grigg A, Arthur C, Filshie R, Leahy MF, Lynch K, To LB, Hughes T (2007a) Measurement of *in vivo* BCR-ABL kinase inhibition to monitor imatinib-induced target blockade and predict response in chronic myeloid leukemia. *J Clin Oncol* **25**(28): 4445-4451
- White D, Saunders V, Lyons AB, Branford S, Grigg A, To LB, Hughes T (2005) *In vitro* sensitivity to imatinib-induced inhibition of ABL kinase activity is predictive of molecular response in patients with de novo CML. *Blood* **106**(7): 2520–2526
- White DL, Dang P, Engler J, Frede A, Zrim S, Osborn M, Saunders VA, Manley PW, Hughes TP (2010) Functional activity of the OCT-1 protein is predictive of long-term outcome in patients with chronic-phase chronic myeloid leukemia treated with imatinib. *J Clin Oncol* **28**(16): 2761–2767
- White DL, Hughes TP (2012) Classification of Patients With Chronic Myeloid Leukemia on Basis of BCR-ABL Transcript Level at 3 Months Fails to Identify Patients With Low Organic Cation Transporter-1 Activity Destined to Have Poor Imatinib Response. J Clin Oncol **30**(10): 1144–1145
- White DL, Saunders VA, Dang P, Engler J, Venables A, Zrim S, Zannettino A, Lynch K, Manley PW, Hughes T (2007b) Most CML patients who have a suboptimal response to imatinib have low OCT-1 activity: higher doses of imatinib may overcome the negative impact of low OCT-1 activity. *Blood* **110**(12): 4064-4072
- White DL, Saunders VA, Dang P, Engler J, Zannettino ACW, Cambareri AC, Quinn SR, Manley PW, Hughes TP (2006) OCT-1-mediated influx is a key determinant of the intracellular uptake of imatinib but not nilotinib (AMN107): Reduced OCT-1 activity is the cause of low *in vitro* sensitivity to imatinib. *Blood* **108**(2): 697–704

This work is published under the standard license to publish agreement. After 12 months the work will become freely available and the license terms will switch to a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported License.