



**INDUCTION OF  
CORTICAL REORGANISATION  
FOR REHABILITATION  
IN STROKE**

*A thesis submitted for the Degree of*

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

*in*

**The Discipline of Physiology**

**School of Molecular and Biomedical Science**

**The University of Adelaide**

**Adelaide, South Australia**

*by*

**Jeric Uy, BS (Physical Therapy); MAppSc (Physiotherapy)**

**September 2004**

# Induction of Cortical Reorganisation for Rehabilitation in Stroke

page

<b>Abstract</b> .....	x
<b>Declaration</b> .....	xii
<b>Acknowledgments</b> .....	xiii
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	xv
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	xvii
<b>Chapter 1 A Review of Literature</b> .....	1
<b>1.1 Introduction</b> .....	1
<b>1.2 The Motor Cortex</b> .....	3
<i>1.2.1 Anatomy and Organisation</i> .....	3
<i>1.2.2 Cortical Control of Movement</i> .....	5
<b>1.3 Cortical Plasticity</b> .....	7
<i>1.3.1 Long Term Potentiation and Long Term Depression</i> .....	9
<b>1.4 Induction of Cortical Plasticity</b> .....	12
<i>1.4.1 Enriched Environment</i> .....	12
<i>1.4.2 Learning – Dependent Plasticity</i> .....	13
<i>1.4.3 Mechanisms of Learning – Dependent Plasticity</i> .....	16
<i>1.4.4 Afferent Stimulation and Cortical Plasticity</i> .....	17
<b>1.5 Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (TMS)</b> .....	21
<i>1.5.1 Introduction</i> .....	21

1.5.2 <i>Neural Activation with TMS</i> .....	23
1.5.3 <i>TMS and Neuroplasticity</i> .....	24
<b>1.6 Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation Parameters</b> .....	26
1.6.1 <i>Motor Threshold</i> .....	26
1.6.2 <i>Motor Evoked Potentials</i> .....	28
1.6.3 <i>TMS Map Parameters</i> .....	29
<b>1.7 Stroke and TMS</b> .....	31
<b>Chapter 2 Stroke</b> .....	34
<b>2.1 Introduction</b> .....	34
<b>2.2 Stroke Epidemiology</b> .....	35
<b>2.3 Mechanisms of Recovery</b> .....	36
2.3.1 <i>Recovery in the Acute Phase</i> .....	36
<b>2.4 Plasticity of the Brain After A Stroke</b> .....	39
2.4.1 <i>Recovery of the Undamaged Hemisphere and Ipsilateral Pathways</i> .....	39
2.4.2 <i>Reorganisation of the Affected Hemisphere</i> .....	43
2.4.3 <i>Axonal Sprouting</i> .....	47
<b>2.5 Functional Recovery After A Stroke</b> .....	49
2.5.1 <i>Gait After A Stroke</i> .....	51
2.5.2 <i>The Upper Limb After A Stroke</i> .....	52
<b>2.6 Neurophysiological Measures and Functional</b> .....	53
<b>Recovery Following Stroke</b>	

**2.7 Functional Assessment Following Stroke.....55**

*2.7.1 Gait Analysis.....55*

*2.7.2 Functional Assessment of the Affected Upper Limb.....57*

**2.8 Rehabilitation After Stroke.....58**

*2.8.1 Conventional Rehabilitation Therapies.....59*

*2.8.2 Task Oriented Training.....63*

*2.8.2.1 Motor Relearning Program.....63*

*2.8.2.2 Treadmill Training.....64*

*2.8.2.3 Constraint Induced Movement Therapy.....65*

*2.8.3 Peripheral Afferent Stimulation in Stroke.....67*

*2.8.4 Other Rehabilitation Strategies.....70*

**2.9 General Principles to Enhance Neuroplasticity in.....75**  
**Stroke Rehabilitation**

**2.10 Dual Stimulation and Stroke.....78**

**Chapter 3 Stability of Maps of Human Motor Cortex.....80**  
**Made with Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation**

**3.1 Introduction.....80**

**3.2 Materials and Methods.....81**

*3.2.1 Subjects.....81*

*3.2.2 Subject Preparation.....81*

*3.2.3 Protocol.....82*

3.2.4 <i>Statistical Analysis</i> .....	84
<b>3.3 Results</b> .....	<b>84</b>
3.3.1 <i>Centre of Gravity</i> .....	84
3.3.2 <i>Map Area</i> .....	85
3.3.3 <i>Map Volume</i> .....	86
<b>3.4 Discussion</b> .....	<b>88</b>
<b>3.5 Conclusion</b> .....	<b>90</b>
<b>Chapter 4 Induction of Plastic Change in Motor Cortex</b> .....	<b>91</b>
<b>and Associated Improvement of Gait in Chronic Hemiparesis</b>	
<b>4.1 Introduction</b> .....	<b>91</b>
<b>4.2 Methods</b> .....	<b>93</b>
4.2.1 <i>Subjects</i> .....	93
4.2.2 <i>Testing Protocol</i> .....	94
4.2.3 <i>Subjective Measures of Function</i> .....	94
4.2.4 <i>Objective Functional Tests</i> .....	96
4.2.4.1 <i>Range of Movement</i> .....	96
4.2.4.2 <i>Gait Analysis</i> .....	96
4.2.4.3 <i>Neurophysiological Tests</i> .....	97
4.2.4.4 <i>Dual Stimulation Protocol</i> .....	98
4.2.5 <i>Statistical Analysis</i> .....	100
4.2.5.1 <i>Motor Evoked Potential Size and Latency</i> .....	100

4.2.5.2	<i>Stimulation Thresholds</i> .....	101
4.2.5.3	<i>Functional Measures</i> .....	101
<b>4.3</b>	<b>Results</b> .....	102
4.3.1	<i>Neurophysiological Parameters</i> .....	102
4.3.1.1	<i>Thresholds of MEPs</i> .....	102
4.3.1.2	<i>Maximal voluntary EMG</i> .....	102
4.3.1.3	<i>MEP Amplitude</i> .....	105
4.3.1.4	<i>MEP Latency</i> .....	108
4.3.2	<i>Functional Tests</i> .....	110
4.3.2.1	<i>Group analysis</i> .....	110
4.3.2.2	<i>Analysis of Individual Responses</i> .....	110
4.3.2.3	<i>Plantar Pressure</i> .....	110
4.3.2.4	<i>Cadence</i> .....	112
4.3.2.5	<i>Stride Length</i> .....	112
4.3.3	<i>Subjective Scores</i> .....	112
<b>4.4</b>	<b>Discussion</b> .....	113
4.4.1	<i>Neurophysiological Parameters</i> .....	113
4.4.2	<i>Functional Changes in Gait</i> .....	115
4.4.3	<i>Subjective Questionnaire Data</i> .....	116
4.4.4	<i>Pattern of Response to Dual Stimulation</i> .....	117
4.4.5	<i>Factors Contributing to the Variable Pattern of Responses</i> .....	118
<b>4.5</b>	<b>Conclusion</b> .....	121

<b>Chapter 5 Cortical Excitability and Hand Function</b> .....	122
<b>Following Dual Stimulation in Chronically Hemiparetic Patients</b>	
<b>5.1. Introduction</b> .....	122
<b>5.2. Methods</b> .....	123
5.2.1. <i>Subject Selection</i> .....	123
5.2.2. <i>Testing Protocol</i> .....	125
5.2.3. <i>Functional Tests</i> .....	125
5.2.3.1 <i>Range of Movement</i> .....	125
5.2.3.2 <i>Action Research Arm Test (ARAT)</i> .....	126
5.2.4. <i>Subjective Questionnaire</i> .....	127
5.2.5 <i>Motor Cortex Mapping and Neurophysiological Measures</i> .....	127
5.2.6. <i>Dual Stimulation Protocol</i> .....	128
<b>5.3. Data Analysis</b> .....	129
5.3.1 <i>Baseline Tests</i> .....	129
5.3.2. <i>Motor Evoked Potential size and latency</i> .....	129
5.3.3. <i>Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation Thresholds</i> .....	129
5.3.4. <i>Centre of Gravity Measures</i> .....	130
5.3.5. <i>Functional Measures</i> .....	130
<b>5.4. Results</b> .....	131
5.4.1. <i>Baseline Measurements</i> .....	131
5.4.2. <i>Neurophysiological Measures</i> .....	131
5.4.2.1 <i>TMS Motor Threshold</i> .....	131

5.4.2.2. <i>Maximal EMG</i> .....	132
5.4.2.3. <i>Motor Evoked Potential Latency</i> .....	133
5.4.2.4. <i>Motor Evoked Potential Amplitude</i> .....	133
5.4.2.5. <i>Centre of Gravity Measures</i> .....	136
<b>5.4.3 Functional Measures</b> .....	139
5.4.3.1. <i>Modified Ashworth Scale Scores</i> .....	139
5.4.3.2. <i>ARAT Scores</i> .....	139
5.4.3.3. <i>Motor Activity Log (MAL) Scores</i> .....	140
5.4.3.4. <i>General Functioning Questionnaire</i> .....	141
<b>5.5. Discussion</b> .....	144
5.5.1 <i>Motor Evoke Potential Changes</i> .....	144
5.5.2. <i>Movement of the CoG</i> .....	145
5.5.3. <i>Mechanism of Change</i> .....	147
5.5.4. <i>Functional Improvements</i> .....	148
5.5.5. <i>Factors Affecting Change</i> .....	150
<b>5.6. Conclusion</b> .....	151
<b>Chapter 6 Increased Cortical Excitability Induced by</b> .....	152
<b>Transcranial Direct Current (tDCS) and Peripheral Nerve Stimulation</b>	
<b>6.1 Introduction</b> .....	152
<b>6.2 Materials and Methods</b> .....	154
6.2.1 <i>Electromyographic (EMG) Recording</i> .....	154
6.2.2 <i>Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (TMS)</i> .....	154



6.2.3 <i>Direct Current Stimulation (DC)</i> .....	155
6.2.4 <i>Peripheral Ulnar Nerve Stimulation (ES)</i> .....	155
6.2.5 <i>Experimental Protocol</i> .....	156
6.2.6 <i>Statistical Analysis</i> .....	157
<b>6.3 Results</b> .....	<b>158</b>
<b>6.4 Discussion</b> .....	<b>160</b>
6.4.1 <i>Specificity</i> .....	161
6.4.2 <i>Mechanism of Change</i> .....	162
<b>6.5 Transcranial Direct Cortical Stimulation (tDCS) and Motor Learning</b> .....	<b>164</b>
<b>6.6 Conclusion</b> .....	<b>165</b>
<b>Chapter 7 General Discussion</b> .....	<b>166</b>
<b>7.1 TMS Motor Maps</b> .....	<b>166</b>
<b>7.2 Functional Plasticity of the Stroke-Injured Brain</b> .....	<b>167</b>
7.2.1 <i>Functional Changes Following Dual Stimulation</i> .....	169
7.2.2 <i>Possible Mechanisms of Reorganisation</i> .....	173
<b>7.3 Limitations of the Study</b> .....	<b>174</b>
<b>7.4 Modulation of Cortical Excitability by Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation (tDCS)</b> .....	<b>176</b>
<b>7.5 Areas for Future Research</b> .....	<b>177</b>
<b>7.6 Conclusion</b> .....	<b>179</b>

Appendices.....237

Bibliography.....181

## **Abstract**

Stroke is a cerebrovascular injury to the brain leading to neural tissue death and is the leading cause of long-term disability in the world today. My primary goal in this study was to examine the possibility that reorganisation in the stroke-affected brain could be made in a manner that supported improved motor function. To achieve this, I induced reorganisation of the motor cortex by stimulating peripheral afferents, in both normal subjects and stroke-affected patients. I also carried out a series of experiments examining the reliability of the test methods used to evaluate cortical function, and to induce functional changes in the motor cortex.

Reorganisation is possible in the neural networks of the adult nervous system following alteration of afferent inputs brought about by conditions such as motor learning or by injury. This concept of dynamic functional plasticity in the nervous system provides the foundation for the development of learning and memory throughout life and offers a potential for repair and recovery in pathological conditions. The stroke-injured brain is capable of reorganisation; hence, rehabilitation techniques should be aimed at enhancing possible mechanisms for the brain to compensate for the lesion through reorganisation or brain plasticity.

I used the technique of transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) to examine corticomotor function, and a series of functional tests to examine motor performance. My first series of experiments in this thesis investigated the stability of TMS map parameters over time in healthy individuals. The areas of the scalp from which responses were evoked from corticospinal cells projecting to three intrinsic hand muscles were systematically mapped with TMS at intervals of 24 hours, one week and two weeks from eight normal subjects. The area, volume and centre of gravity of these maps did not change significantly over this

period. I concluded that the conventional method for mapping the cortical representational areas of individual hand muscles gives maps that are stable over periods of up to two weeks. This validates the use of such maps for the investigation of both short-term and long-term effects of interventions that may modify the cortical representation of muscles.

I induced prolonged changes in the excitability of the motor cortex in a group of stroke patients using the technique of dual stimulation. This combines a central stimulus (TMS) with electrical stimulation of afferent nerves. The experiments described in Chapters 4 and 5 aimed to determine the effect of dual stimulation on cortical reorganisation and motor function in a group of stroke patients. Chapter 4 describes the results of my intervention on stroke-affected lower limbs and chapter 5 on upper limbs. Neurophysiological and functional changes were seen in the affected upper and lower limb muscles. Some stroke-affected individuals showed marked neurophysiological and functional changes. Furthermore, stroke-affected subjects who had the largest changes in neurophysiological measures were also the ones with the largest change in functional measures. However, the effect of the intervention was highly variable and the overall changes in the group scores were not statistically significant.

The final series of experiments described in this thesis (Chapter 6) investigated the effects of a short period of anodal direct current (DC) stimulation and peripheral nerve stimulation on corticospinal excitability. The effect of this combined DC stimulation and peripheral nerve stimulation was contrasted with peripheral nerve stimulation alone. It demonstrated that the effects of peripheral nerve stimulation on cortical excitability could be potentiated by a preceding period of DC stimulation.