CATARACT IN A RURAL SRI LANKAN POPULATION

PAUL ALEXANDER ATHANASIOV MBBS, MMed(OphthSc)

This thesis is submitted for the Degree of Master of Ophthalmology, Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, University of Adelaide

February 2010

ABSTRACT

The aims of this study are to determine the prevalence of and risk factors for cataract, the cataract surgical coverage (CSC) and self-reported barriers to cataract surgery in a rural region of central the Kandy District of central Sri Lanka.

A population-based, cross-sectional ophthalmic survey of the inhabitants of rural villages in central Sri Lanka was conducted; 1375 individuals participated (79.9%; age >/= 40 years, average age 57) and 1318 (95.9%) had an examinable lens in at least one eye. Data collection included district, age, gender, occupation, education level, smoking history, height, weight and dilated lens assessment using Lens Opacities Classification System III grading: nuclear (≥4), cortical (≥2) and posterior subcapsular (≥2) cataracts. Aphakic and pseudophakic eyes were included as operated cataracts for statistical analysis. Participants with cataract-induced visual impairment (acuity < 6/18 in the better eye) were also invited to respond to a verbal questionnaire about barriers to cataract surgery.

The prevalence of any cataract including operated eyes was 33.1% (95% CI, 22.4 – 43.7%): 26.0% cortical; 7.9% posterior sub-capsular and 4.5% nuclear cataracts. No significant association was found between cataract and gender, smoking or outdoor occupation. Low level of education (secondary or higher vs no education: OR 0.6, CI 0.4-0.9, P=0.04) and shorter stature were associated with a higher likelihood of any cataract. (OR 1.7, CI 1.1-2.7, P=0.02) Cataract surgical coverage per individual for visual acuity cut-offs of <6/18, <6/60 and <3/60 was 41.9%, 76.8% and 82.7%, respectively; and per eye was 34.0%, 60.3% and 65.2%, respectively. Cataract surgical coverage was higher for men than women, and two thirds refused referral for surgery, for the following reasons: no desire to improve vision, fear of surgery and lack of awareness were the most frequently reported barriers.

The overall prevalence of cataract in central Sri Lanka is similar to that in other developing Asian regions except for the unusually low prevalence of nuclear cataract. Illiteracy and height appear to be significant predictors for cataract in this population and further investigation is required to explore their influence. Cataract surgical coverage in central Sri Lanka is higher than that in neighbouring developing regions, however improved community education may further increase surgical uptake.

DECLARATION

I am aware of no conflicts of interest, of any nature, pertaining to this manuscript. Pfizer Ophthalmic, Sydney, Australia, and Alcon Australia, Sydney, Australia, lent equipment for this study, but the design of the survey and its execution, analysis, interpretation, and publication were carried out independently by myself (Paul Athanasiov) and those acknowledged within this manuscript.

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution to Paul Athanasiov and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis (as listed below) resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Acknowledgements must first be given to those involved with the planning and implementation of the Kandy Eye Study (KES).

Data collection could not have been performed without the assistance of Dr Kapila Edussuriya, Dr Saman Sennanayake and Dr Tissa Senaratne. Further acknowledgement must be given to the numerous health workers, nurses and ophthalmology registrars who also made the data collection possible. Mention must also be given to Dr Mangala Dhanapala who was involved in the collection of data and who also provided valuable moral support during the preparation of the final manuscript.

Generous academic support and guidance during the preparation and writing of this manuscript was provided by Associate Professor Robert Casson and Professor Dinesh Selva. Mr Thomas Sullivan from the Department of Statistics and Public Health (The University of Adelaide, South Australia) provided statistical expertise.

Thanks must be given to Pfizer Ophthalmic, Sydney, Australia, and Alcon Australia, Sydney, Australia, who lent equipment for this study.

Finally I would like to thank my friends and family for their endless support and encouragement.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| - | | \mathbf{n} | LES | | _ | _ | \sim 1 | - | - |
|---|---|------------------|-----|------------------|---|---|----------|----|---|
| | Δ | \boldsymbol{H} | _ | $\Delta \Lambda$ | | | | 12 | _ |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| | List of Tables | vi | | |
|---------------|--|-----|--|--|
| | List of Figures | vii | | |
| ABBREVIATIONS | | | | |
| CHAPTER ONE | | | | |
| Introdu | iction | | | |
| | Lens anatomy and function | | | |
| | Changes with age | | | |
| | Cataract pathogenesis | | | |
| | Treatment | | | |
| | Epidemiology of cataract and visual | | | |
| | impairment | | | |
| | The Kandy Eye Study | | | |
| | References | | | |
| CHAP | TER TWO | 11 | | |
| Catara | ct in Central Sri Lanka: Prevalence and | | | |
| Risk Fa | actors from the Kandy Eye Study | | | |
| | Introduction | 16 | | |
| | Methods | 16 | | |
| | Results | 18 | | |
| | Discussion | 22 | | |
| | References | 25 | | |
| CHAP | TER THREE | 29 | | |
| Catara | ct in Central Sri Lanka: Cataract Surgical | | | |
| Covera | age and Self-Reported Barriers to Catara | ct | | |
| Surger | У | | | |
| | Introduction | 33 | | |
| | Methods | 33 | | |
| | Results | 35 | | |
| | Discussion | 36 | | |
| | References | 38 | | |
| CHAPTER FOUR | | | | |
| Conclu | sion and Closing Statements | | | |
| | Results | 42 | | |
| | Areas of improvement and | | | |
| | directions for future research | 42 | | |
| | Conclusion | 42 | | |

LIST OF TABLES

- **Table 1** Demographic profile of study population in rural Sri Lanka. (Page 19)
- **Table 2** Selected results from the multivariate analysis of risk factors for any cataract and specific cataract subtypes. (Page 21)
- Table 3 Comparison of cataract prevalence using standardised classifications. (Page 23)
- Table 4 Cataract surgical coverage in rural Sri Lanka according to sex and visual acuity. (Page 35)
- Table 5 Cataract surgical coverage in rural Sri Lanka compared to previous studies. (Page 37)

LIST OF FIGURES

- **Chart 1.** Gender-specific cataract subtypes in rural Sri Lanka. (Page 20)
- Figure 1: Diagram of the eye in cross-section. (Page 2)
- Figure 2: A dense cataract caused by trauma. (Page 3)
- Figure 3: Lens opacities classification system II (LOCS II). (Page 4)
- **Figure 4**: Surgeon's view of the nucleus of a crystalline lens that has been removed via extracapsular extraction technique (ECCE), with the use of a specialised instrument known as an irrigating vectis. (Page 6)
- **Figure 5:** Insertion of intraocular lens through a small corneal wound. This type of insertion is usually associated with cataract extraction by phaecoemulsification; the lens must be rolled up and inserted through a tube so that it fits through the small limbal wound; once inside the eye, the lens unfolds and is positioned centrally. The lens pictured has a yellow tint, but many other intraocular lenses are clear. (Page 7)
- Figure 6. Landscape surrounding one of the villages in the survey region. (Page 28)
- **Figure 7.** Measuring keratometry and refraction using Nikon Retinomax autorefractor (Tokyo, Japan). (Page 28)

ABBREVIATIONS

BDES: Beaver Dam Eye Study

BMI: Body Mass Index

CSC: Cataract Surgical Coverage

CI: Confidence Interval

ECCE: Extracapsular cataract extraction

ICCE: Intracapsular cataract extraction

IOL: Intraocular Lens

LOCS: Lens Opacities Classification System

KES: Kandy Eye Study

OR: Odds ratio

PSC: Posterior subcapsular

UV-B: Ultraviolet B radiation

VA: Visual acuity

WHO: World Health Organisation