Obesity, Place and Environment

The spatial distribution and correlates of weight status in South Australian preschool children

JULIE FRANZON

B.A. (Adelaide)
Grad. Dip. SIS (Adelaide)

Thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
Discipline of Geographical and Environmental Studies
The University of Adelaide

June 2010

Table of Contents

List of	Tabl	lesvi	
List of	Figu	resix	
Abstra	ct	XV	
Declara	ation	xviii	
Acknov	wled	gementsxix	
List of	Acro	onymsxx	
CHAP	ГER	1 Introduction: Obesity, Place and Data1	
1.1	Int	roduction	1
1.2	Aiı	ns and Objectives	2
1.3	Ke	y Data	5
1.4	Ov	erweight in Context	<i>6</i>
1.5	Не	alth and Place	10
1.6	Spa	atial Epidemiology	11
1.6	5.1	Thinking Spatially	12
1.6	5.2	Linking Health and Environmental Data	14
1.6	5.3	Children and Place	14
1.7	So	uth Australia – The Big Picture	16
1.8	Str	ucture of the thesis	23
1.9	Co	nclusion	26
CHAP		2 Informing the Present: Childhood Obesity in the Literature27	
2.1		roduction	
2.2		finition of Child Overweight and Obesity	
2.3		nilar Studies	
2.4	Ris	sk Factors for Overweight and Obesity	35
2.4	1.1	Biological Factors	36
2.4	1.2	Physical Environmental Factors	39
2.4	1.3	Social Environmental Factors	43
2.4	1.4	Discussion	47
2.5	Int	ervention and Prevention	48
2.5	5.1	Current Recommendations	48
2.6	Dis	scussion	51

CHAP	TER 3 Data and Methods	
3.1	Introduction	52
3.2	The CYWHS Data Set	52
3.2	2.1 Demographic Representation	57
3.2	2.2 Direct comparison	59
3.2	2.3 Spatial comparison	61
3.2	2.4 Weight Status and Gender	66
3.3	Aspects of space	67
3.3	3.1 Scale	68
3.3	The Modifiable Areal Unit Problem (MAUP)	68
3.3	3.3 Ecological Fallacy	69
3.3	3.4 Implications for this research	69
3.4	Spatial Attribution of the CYWHS Data	70
3.5	Supplementary Data Sets	71
3.5	5.1 Administrative Boundaries	72
3.6	Software	74
3.7	Ecological and statistical methods	75
3.8	Conclusion.	78
CHAP	TER 4 Distribution: Overweight in place and time	79
4.1	Introduction	79
4.2	Overweight in South Australia	79
4.2	2.1 Overweight by place – discrete location types	83
4.2	2.2 Regional Centres	86
4.2	2.3 Discussion	93
4.2		
4.3	Urban Children and Overweight	94
4.3		
	3.1 Prevalence of overweight	94
4.3 4.3 CHAP 7	3.1 Prevalence of overweight	
4.3 4.3 CHAP of over	3.1 Prevalence of overweight	
4.3 4.3 CHAP 2 of over 5.1	3.1 Prevalence of overweight	
4.3 4.3 CHAP 7 of over 5.1 5.1	3.1 Prevalence of overweight. 3.2 Discussion. TER 5 Individual Children and Unique Characteristics: weight. Individual Variables. 1.1 Birth weight.	the who and why103103
4.3 4.3 CHAP 2 of over 5.1 5.1	3.1 Prevalence of overweight	
4.3 4.3 CHAP 2 of over 5.1 5.1 5.1	3.1 Prevalence of overweight. 3.2 Discussion. TER 5 Individual Children and Unique Characteristics: weight. Individual Variables. 1.1 Birth weight. 1.2 Indigenous Status. 1.3 Language.	
4.3 4.3 CHAP 2 of over 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1	3.1 Prevalence of overweight. 3.2 Discussion. TER 5 Individual Children and Unique Characteristics: weight	
4.3 4.3 CHAP 2 of over 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1	3.1 Prevalence of overweight. 3.2 Discussion. TER 5 Individual Children and Unique Characteristics: weight	

5.2	As	signed variables for individuals	119
5	2.1	Housing	119
5	2.2	Fast Food Outlets	126
5	2.3	Recreational Facilities	130
5	2.4	Walkability	134
5	2.5	Accessibility	136
5	2.6	Topography	137
5	2.7	Discussion	138
СНАР	TER	8 6 Census Statistics and Obesity	140
6.1	Ar	ea Level Variables	140
6.2	Αg	ggregate Measures of Disadvantage	141
6.3	Ke	ey Census Variables	143
6.	3.1	Socio-Demographic Measures	144
6.	3.2	Other Indicators of Disadvantage	168
6.	3.3	Discussion	172
6.4	Co	onclusions	173
СНАР	TER	R 7 Analysis Outside of the Square	175
7.1	Int	roduction	175
7.2	Di	stribution of Children	176
7.	2.1	Distribution of Obese Children	178
7.	2.2	Areas for further study	185
7.3	Sta	atistics for small areas	189
7.	3.1	Census Variables	190
7.4	As	signed Variables	209
7.5	Di	scussion	210
7.6	Qι	nantifying the area differences	213
7.	6.1	Discussion	222
СНАР	TER	8 8 Implications and Summation	224
8.1	Int	roduction	224
8.2	Ob	pjectives and Achievements	225
8.3	Stı	udy Limitations	229
8.4	Sy	nthesis of Findings	232
8.5	Po	licy Implications	235
8.	5.1	Implications for data collection	237
8.6	Fu	ture Directions	238

8.7 Conclusion	241
References	243
Appendices	269
Appendix 1: Summary Table of Census Variables.	269

List of Tables

Table 3-1 Variables supplied with the CYWHS database	.53
Table 3-2 Comparison between included records, excluded records and total database	e
for selected characteristics	.55
Table 3-3 Year of birth and percentage of birth cohort	.58
Table 3-4 Comparison of CYWHS numbers with census count of four year olds	.59
Table 3-5 Comparison of census variables and CYWHS data	.59
Table 3-6 Comparison of geocoded and uncoded records by year	.63
Table 3-7 Comparison between CYWHS and Census children living in CDs with hig	gh
and/or low values for selected census variables	.65
Table 3-8 BMI cut off points for overweight and obesity by month of age	.66
Table 3-9 Overweight and obesity by gender	.67
Table 3-10 Supplementary data providers	.72
Table 3-11 Analysis variables and selection rationale	.77
Table 4-1 Overweight and obesity prevalence by year in the CYWHS data set	.80
Table 4-2 Overweight in the CYWHS data set by metropolitan/non-metropolitan	
location	.82
Table 4-3 Overweight and obesity in the CYWHS data set by location category	.85
Table 4-4 Overweight and obesity by regional centre, 1996-2003 inclusive	.87
Table 4-5 Selected socio-economic statistics for South Australian regional cities, 199	96
and 2001	.89
Table 4-6 Prevalence of overweight (including obesity) by statistical region of	
Adelaide	.96
Table 5-1 Number of children for which individual variables have been recorded in	
CYWHS research database	104

Table 5-2 Prevalence of overweight and obesity by birth weight	106
Table 5-3 Indigenous status and overweight	109
Table 5-4 Language background and prevalence of overweight/obesity	112
Table 5-5 Language background and prevalence of overweight/obesity - Chinese	and
Other Asian children assessed using BMI cut-off points for Asian children	113
Table 5-6 Breastfeeding and overweight	115
Table 5-7 Obesity prevalence by number of CYWHS consultations	116
Table 5-8 Housing condition codes as derived from the valuation data, and the	
proportion of children in the database whose residences fall into these categories.	122
Table 6-1 Obesity prevalence of children living in CDs with or without public ho	ousing
stock	169
Table 7-1 Statistics derived from the CYWHS data set, urban and selected sub-ar	eas,
2000 – 2002	189
Table 7-2 Index of dissimilarity calculations for the distribution of obese children	ı in
five selected areas of Adelaide	213
Table 7-3 Binary logistic regression – all areas compared to East	215
Table 7-4 Binary logistic regression – influence of SEIFA IRSD score	215
Table 7-5 Binary logistic regression – influence of high personal income	215
Table 7-6 Binary logistic regression – influence of post-school qualifications	216
Table 7-7 Binary logistic regression – influence of very low education levels	216
Table 7-8 Binary logistic regression – influence of internet use	216
Table 7-9 Binary logistic regression – influence of unemployment rate	217
Table 7-10 Binary logistic regression – influence of single parent families	217
Table 7-11 Binary logistic regression – influence of languages other than English	ı217
Table 7-12 Binary logistic regression – influence of public housing tenancy	218

Table 7-13	Binary logistic regression – influence of indigenous population	218
Table 7-14	Binary logistic regression – influence of purchasing a home	218

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Location map for Adelaide, South Australia	17
Figure 1.2 Detail of Northern Adelaide suburbs with relative disadvantage index	18
Figure 1.3 Detail of Western Adelaide suburbs with relative disadvantage index	19
Figure 1.4 Detail of Eastern Adelaide suburbs with relative disadvantage index	20
Figure 1.5 Detail of Southern Adelaide suburbs with relative disadvantage index	21
Figure 2.1 The Determinants of Childhood Overweight	36
Figure 2.2 The Ecological Model of Predictors of Childhood Overweight	44
Figure 4.1 Change in overweight and obesity prevalence over time in the CYWHS	data
set	80
Figure 4.2 Overweight and obesity by metropolitan location over time,, 1995 - 200	3
(Males)	82
Figure 4.3 Overweight and obesity by metropolitan location over time, 1995 – 200	3
(Females)	83
Figure 4.4 Overweight and obesity by location, 1996 – 2003 inclusive	86
Figure 4.5 Overweight and obesity by regional centre, 1996-2003 inclusive	87
Figure 4.6 Overweight and obesity in regional centres over time	91
Figure 4.7 Comparison of overweight (including obesity) in regional centres 1995 -	-
2003	92
Figure 4.8 Percentage of children overweight (including obese) by suburb	97
Figure 4.9 Percentage of children overweight (including obese) by CD	98
Figure 4.10 Average BMI by suburb	100
Figure 4.11 Average BMI by CD	101
Figure 5.1 Birth weight category and prevalence of overweight/obesity	107

Figure 5.2 Language background and prevalence of overweight/obesity	112
Figure 5.3 Language background and prevalence of overweight/obesity – Chinese	and
Other Asian children assessed using BMI cut-off points for Asian children	113
Figure 5.4 Comparison between residential house condition and disadvantage,	
Adelaide	121
Figure 5.5 Obesity prevalence by housing condition code	123
Figure 5.6 Obesity prevalence by quintile of capital valuation of properties	123
Figure 5.7 Obesity prevalence by quintile of distance to nearest public housing	
property	125
Figure 5.8 Obesity prevalence by quintile of public housing density	125
Figure 5.9 Distribution of fast food restaurants, Adelaide 2004	128
Figure 5.10 Density of fast food restaurants by CD disadvantage quintiles	129
Figure 5.11 Overweight prevalence by quintile of distance to recreational space	132
Figure 5.12 Overweight prevalence by quintile of density of recreational space	133
Figure 5.13 Walkability Index for Urban Adelaide by CD, 2005	135
Figure 5.14 Obesity prevalence by CD quintile of walkability	136
Figure 5.15 Obesity prevalence by MetroARIA (accessibility) quintile	137
Figure 5.16 Obesity prevalence by quintile of the degree of slope in the landscape.	138
Figure 6.1 Obesity prevalence by decile of disadvantage	142
Figure 6.2 Obesity prevalence according to CD advantage/disadvantage comparison	n
	143
Figure 6.3 Obesity prevalence by quintile of Indigenous population	145
Figure 6.4 Obesity prevalence by quintile of population born overseas	145
Figure 6.5 Obesity prevalence by quintile of population who speak a language other	er
than English at home	146

Figure 6.6 Obesity prevalence by quintile of population born in south-east Europe	147
Figure 6.7 Obesity prevalence by quintile of population born in south-east Asia	147
Figure 6.8 Obesity by quintile of married persons	150
Figure 6.9 Obesity by quintile of separated or divorced persons	150
Figure 6.10 Obesity by quintile of couple families with children (traditional family	
structure)	151
Figure 6.11 Obesity by quintile of single parent families	152
Figure 6.12 Obesity by quintile of population who completed year 8 or less (low le	vel
of high school education)	153
Figure 6.13 Obesity prevalence by quintile of population who completed yr 11 or y	r 12
(high level of high school education)	154
Figure 6.14 Obesity by quintile of post-school qualifications	155
Figure 6.15 Obesity prevalence by quintile of unemployment	157
Figure 6.16 Obesity prevalence by quintile of persons employed	157
Figure 6.17 Obesity prevalence by quintile of persons not in the labour force	158
Figure 6.18 CD level comparison of NILF and SEIFA disadvantage, urban Adelaid	le,
2001	159
Figure 6.19 Obesity prevalence by quintile of high status occupations	162
Figure 6.20 Obesity prevalence by quintile of low status occupations	162
Figure 6.21 Obesity prevalence by quintile of employment in lower status industries	es
	163
Figure 6.22 Obesity prevalence by quintile of employment in property and finance	
(high status)	164
Figure 6.23 Obesity prevalence by quintile of employment in the education industr	y
(mid-high status)	164

Figure 6.24 Obesity prevalence by quintile of low income families	166
Figure 6.25 Obesity prevalence by quintile of high income families	166
Figure 6.26 Obesity prevalence by quintile of low income (individuals)	167
Figure 6.27 Obesity prevalence by quintile of high income (individuals)	167
Figure 6.28 Obesity prevalence by quintile of homes being rented	169
Figure 6.29 Obesity prevalence by quintile of dwellings being purchased	170
Figure 6.30 Obesity prevalence by quintile of internet use	171
Figure 7.1 Density of children in the Adelaide urban area, 2000 - 2002	177
Figure 7.2 Suburbs with the highest densities of children, 2000 - 2002	178
Figure 7.3 Distribution of all and obese children, urban Adelaide, 2000 - 2002	180
Figure 7.4 Distribution of obese children by gender, urban Adelaide, 2000 - 2002	181
Figure 7.5 Concentration of obesity – males and females combined	182
Figure 7.6 Areas of high and low obesity concentration, urban Adelaide, 2000 - 200)2
	183
Figure 7.7 Distribution of z-BMI scores, urban Adelaide, 2000 - 2002	184
Figure 7.8 Areas of high and low z-BMI concentration, urban Adelaide, 2000 - 200	2
	185
Figure 7.9 Location of small areas for further analysis, urban Adelaide	186
Figure 7.10 Detail of small areas for further analysis, urban Adelaide	187
Figure 7.11 Obesity prevalence by urban and selected sub-areas, 2000 - 2002	190
Figure 7.12 Average SEIFA disadvantage quintile, urban Adelaide and selected sub)_
areas, 2001	191
Figure 7.13 Proportion of population indigenous, urban Adelaide and selected sub-	
areas, 2001	192

Figure 7.14	Proportion of population who speak another language, urban Adelaide	and
selected sub	-areas, 2001	194
Figure 7.15	Proportion of the population born overseas,	194
Figure 7.16	Proportion of the population with 2 parents born overseas	195
Figure 7.17	Proportion of the population born in Asia,	196
Figure 7.18	Proportion of the population born in South-East Europe,	196
Figure 7.19	Proportion of families which have single parents,	197
Figure 7.20	Proportion of the population married,	198
Figure 7.21	Proportion of the population who completed Year 8 or less,	199
Figure 7.22	Proportion of the population who completed year 11 or year 12,	199
Figure 7.23	Proportion of the population completed a Bachelor degree,	200
Figure 7.24	Proportion of the population with any post-school qualification,	200
Figure 7.25	Proportion of the population unemployed,	201
Figure 7.26	Proportion of the population not in the labour force,	202
Figure 7.27	Proportion of the population in low status employment,	202
Figure 7.28	Proportion of the population in high status employment,	203
Figure 7.29	Proportion of families with income >\$1500 per month,	204
Figure 7.30	Proportion of persons with income <\$200 per week	204
Figure 7.31	Proportion of persons with income >\$700 per week,	205
Figure 7.32	Proportion of homes being rented,	206
Figure 7.33	Proportion of Homes being purchased,	207
Figure 7.34	Proportion of the population who use the internet,	207
Figure 7.35	Proportion of the population employed in low status industries	208
Figure 7.36	Proportion of the population employed in high status industries,	208

Figure 7.37 Proportion of the population employed in the education industry	
(indeterminate status),	209
Figure 7.38 Assigned variables (from Chapter 5)	210

Abstract

The issue of overweight and obesity in childhood has received a great deal of recent attention in both the academic literature and popular media. These discussions have tended to concentrate on individual responses to behavioural and nutritional choices, with limited exploration of how the wider social and economic environment might influence weight outcomes. However there is a growing body of research which has identified area level effects on health outcomes, and this suggests that location should be an important consideration in obesity research.

Currently, very little formal investigation of weight status has been conducted among children of preschool age and location is not routinely considered in obesity research, especially at the small area level and particularly with reference to children. Given that childhood overweight is known to persist into adulthood and that behavioural change may be easier to effect in preschoolers, it is appropriate to focus research attention on this age group.

This study explores an administrative data set containing over 120 000 individual records collected over ten years and supplied by the South Australian Children, Youth and Women's Health Service. Geographical Information Systems (GIS) are used to determine the prevalence, distribution and area-level correlates of obesity in South Australian four year old children between 1995 and 2003. It aims to determine if there has been significant variation in the spatial distribution of obesity prevalence between different communities over this time period, and to detect relationships between weight status, socio-economic variables and environmental attributes at a small scale which

may be able to explain some of the discrepancy. These are investigated in conjunction with the data items available for the individual children in this data set.

A univariate analysis approach using cross-tabulation and chi square testing has been used to explore the relationships between the obesity prevalence of the study population and selected socio-demographic and environmental variables at a small area level. The Australian Census of Population and Housing is the primary source of socio-demographic data, but other variables including housing characteristics, proximity to fast food outlets, proximity to recreational areas and the walkability of neighbourhoods have also been examined.

Analysis of this data set reveals an increase in obesity prevalence over time, in line with national and international trends. For individual children, birth weight, ethnicity and breastfeeding history appear to be particularly influential in the development of overweight at four years of age, but there is nevertheless a distinct spatial patterning of obesity prevalence throughout the state, and also within the metropolitan Adelaide area. While there is generally a positive association between socio-economic status and obesity, these relationships are not necessarily straightforward and the area-level physical and social environmental variables actually show a varying relationship with obesity prevalence in different communities.

This study has clearly identified neighbourhood characteristics as an important component in the complex etiology of obesity development in even very young children. It has shown that aspects of environment such as ethnicity and disadvantage should be taken into account when targeting and tailoring public health initiatives to combat the development of obesity in these populations.

The exploration of this unique, administrative data set with reference to location has illustrated the complexity of the relationship between biology and environment in the development of overweight and obesity in young children. This has implications for policy development across many spheres of government.

Declaration

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other

degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution to Julie Franzon and, to

the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or

written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library,

being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the

Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the

web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the

Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines,

unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of

time.

Julie Franzon

June 3rd 2010

XVIII

Acknowledgements

A journey like this is never taken alone. To my supervisors – Graeme Hugo, David Wilson and Gary Wittert – I would like to extend my deepest appreciation for their direction, constructive criticism, patience and much needed encouragement throughout all stages of research. Their individual expertise and enthusiasm for my work has been invaluable.

I would like to acknowledge and thank Bob Volkmer and colleagues at the Children, Youth and Women's Health Service; who enabled this study by making the primary data set available to me and supporting me while I came to grips with it. My thanks also to the other government departments and organisations who freely supplied supporting data when requested – in particular DEH, Planning SA and DAIS.

A special thank you to my friends and colleagues in the NOBLE Study and at GISCA, AISR and the Department of Geographical and Environmental Studies at the University of Adelaide for sharing this journey with me and lightening the road. In particular I would like to acknowledge Natasha Howard – my travelling companion on journeys both physical and mental during the last four years.

Finally, love and thanks to my family and friends whose interest and encouragement has meant a great deal to me. But especially to Stephen, Megan and Connor who have cheerfully dealt with my occasional withdrawals from domestic life and given me the freedom and support to pursue my goals.

List of Acronyms

ABS - Australian Bureau of Statistics

ARIA - Accessibility and Remoteness Index for Australia

ASD - Adelaide Statistical Division

ASGC - Australian Standard Geographical Classification

ATSI - Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander

BMI - Body Mass Index

CBD - Central Business District

CD - Census Collection District

CDC - Centres for Disease Control and Prevention

CT - Computed Tomography

CYWHS - Children, Youth and Women's Health Service

DAIS - Department for Administrative and Information Services

DCDB - Digital Cadastral DataBase

DEH - Department of Environment and Heritage

DXA - Dual X-Ray Absorptiometry

GIS - Geographic Information Systems

GISCA - National Centre for Social Applications of Geographic Information

Systems

IOTF - International Obesity Task Force

IRSA - Index of Relative Socio-Economic Advantage/Disadvantage

IRSD - Index of Relative Socio-Economic Disadvantage

LSAC - Longitudinal Study of Australian Children

LSG - Land Services Group

MARIA - Metropolitan ARIA

MAUP - Modifiable Areal Unit Problem

MRI - Magnetic Resonance Imaging

NILF - Not in the Labour Force

NOBLE - Nutrition, Obesity, Lifestyle and Environment Study

OR - Odds Ratio

SA - South Australia

SAHT - South Australian Housing Trust

SD - Statistical Division

SEIFA - Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas

SES - Socio-Economic Status

UK - United Kingdom

USA - United States of America

WHO - World Health Organisation