



Detection of DNA Copy Number Imbalance Using Array CGH

**A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of
Philosophy to the University of Adelaide**

By

Jillian Nicholl

School of Paediatrics

5/02/2010



Statement of Declaration

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution to Jillian Nicholl and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968. I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signed.....

Date .../.../...

CONTENTS

Statement of Declaration	ii	
Contents	iii	
Acknowledgements	iv	
Summary	v	
CHAPTER 1	Introduction	2
CHAPTER 2	Material and Methods	17
PART A	SUBTELOMERE CLINICAL ARRAY	
CHAPTER 3	Testing the efficacy of the subtelomere array: by mapping unbalanced cytogenetic rearrangements	35
CHAPTER 4	Using the subtelomere clinical array to screen Patients with idiopathic disability	71
PART B	WHOLE GENOME ARRAY	
CHAPTER 5	Using the whole genome array to screen for number imbalance in patients with intellectual disability.	102
CHAPTER 6	Using the whole genome array to screen for copy number imbalance in neonates with malformations and/or multiple dysmorphic features.	127
CHAPTER 7	Using the whole genome array to screen for copy number imbalance in patients with intractable epilepsy.	138
CONCLUSION		
References		
<i>Appendices</i>		



Acknowledgments

I give many thanks to my principle supervisor, Professor Grant Sutherland for his guidance and support over the duration of this study. His steady assurance that I could make it to the end was essential to its completion.

I would also like to give warm thanks to my co-supervisor Dr Sui Yu, whose constant interest in my work kept me focused and engaged both during good times and bad.

I would like to give warm thanks to my second co-supervisor Dr Ashley Connolly, for this excellent guidance, both technical and academic which built my enthusiasm for DNA chemistry.

I am grateful to the Department of Genetic Medicine for both the financial support and use of equipment and resources, and to the University of Adelaide array facility for the use of equipment.

There is a number of staff who gave assistance at crucial times of this study. Thanks to Trudy Hocking and Helen Palethorpe for their assistance with the production of the subtelomere/clinical array. Thanks must go to Hong Pan, Sue Brown and Wendy Waters for their assistance with the preparation of FISH probes. I would especially like to thank Chris Boulter, who at a crucial time gave invaluable assistance in progressing the manufacture of the whole genome array when the end did not appear in sight.

I would like to give thanks for the support of the Department of Clinical Genetics, in particular Professor Eric Haan for his clinical expertise and patient follow up and to Dr Drago Bratkovic for his assistance.

Lastly, I would like to thank my husband, Don and children Lucy and Oliver for the sacrifice made during this period of study and allowing me the time and space to complete this PhD.

SUMMARY

The association of constitutional chromosome imbalance in patients with intellectual disability with or without related dysmorphism and malformations is well established. The resolution of conventional cytogenetic examination is limited to imbalances of 5-10Mb. Patients with characteristic phenotypes which allude to a specific microdeletion or duplication syndrome may be investigated using locus specific fluorescent in situ hybridisation (FISH). Subtelomere FISH, a recently new improvement for cytogenetics screening, detects subtelomeric rearrangements in around 6% of patients with idiopathic disability. However it is evident that for these patients, most do not have a recurrent pattern of dysmorphism or malformations suggesting imbalance in a particular chromosome region.

Array CGH has the potential to detect chromosome imbalances beyond that of current technology allowing the whole genome can be screened in a single hybridisation at a resolution limited only by the genomic distance between the arrayed target clones. The aim of this study was to develop a custom whole genome array and utilize this array to screen a number of diverse patient groups.

Rather than immediately begin with the development of a whole genome array a smaller pilot study was initiated, in so enabling the efficacy of the methodology to be tested. A small clinical/ subtelomere array was designed and constructed to screen for cytogenetic imbalances within the first 5Mb of each chromosome end (excluding acrocentric chromosomes) together with the number of known clinically significant regions.

This clinical/subtelomere array (chapter 3), was utilised to map the extent of deletion and/or duplication in patients with previously determined subtelomere abnormalities. This was followed with the screening of a small group of patients with idiopathic intellectual disability (chapter 4). Novel Copy number changes were identified together with a number of changes determined to be non-pathogenic variants.

The methodology used in the utilization of this array could determine copy changes in patients, however it became clearly evident that the effective resolution was compromised

when a number of clones were shown to map to other sites of the genome or cross hybridise to multiple sites.

With this knowledge the next stage of the project, a whole genome array, primarily constructed from a FISH validated clone set, reduced the possibility of mapping discrepancies. These FISH mapped clones did not give an even genomic coverage. All clones from this set were mapped using Ensembl resources. Any identified gaps (greater than 1Mb) were covered using clones from a second clone set (32K), giving a resolution of ~0.2-1Mb.

Three diverse groups of patients were screened using the whole genome array. Using a novel scoring system which evaluated degree of intellectual disability/developmental delay, dysmorphism, presence of malformations and the presence of an apparently balanced cytogenetic rearrangement, twenty five patients with idiopathic disability were assessed. Four novel copy number changes were determined of which three were determined likely to contribute to the phenotype of the patient. The fourth patient is under investigation.

Chapter 6 saw the application of the whole genome array to a second patient group; medically terminated pregnancies, in utero fetal deaths or newborn infants with one or more facial dysmorphism or fetal malformation. One potentially pathogenic copy number change was ascertained from this study.

The third patient group, those with retractable epilepsy (chapter 7) showed two copy number changes both of which will require further follow up and investigation, including screening with a higher density array platform.

The screening of more than seventy varied patients has shown the efficacy of these two custom platforms, to detect previously undetermined copy number changes.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.0	INTRODUCTION	13
1.1	Historical perspective	13
1.2	Chromosome banding	13
1.3	Contiguous gene syndromes	14
1.4	Use of Molecular Cytogenetics to detect sub microscopic abnormalities	14
1.5	Types of probes available for FISH applications.....	15
1.5.1	Chromosome specific repeat DNA probes.	15
1.5.2	Locus specific	16
1.5.3	Whole chromosome or region specific paints.....	16
1.6	Subtelomere FISH.....	17
1.7	Comparative Genomic Hybridisation (CGH).....	18
1.8	Diagnosis of DNA copy number using array CGH	19
2.0	PROPOSED RESEARCH	25
2.1	Specific aims of the proposed research.....	25
2.1.1	Development of array CGH technology.	25
2.1.2	Testing the efficacy of the array CGH technology.	25
2.1.3	Construction and validation of a 0.2-1Mb whole genome array	26
2.1.4	Screening of different patient groups using 0.2-1Mb array CGH.	26
2.2	Hypothesis	26

CHAPTER 2

MATERIAL AND METHODS

1.0	PATIENT SAMPLES	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.0	DNA EXTRACTION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.1	DNA extraction of patient genomic DNA from blood ..	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.2	Extraction of patient DNA from tissue	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.3	Quantification of DNA and quality check of patient DNA ..	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.4	Clone DNA isolation	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.4.1	Antibiotic stocks/solutions.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.4.2	Bacterial Artificial Chromosome (BAC) and Cosmid DNA Isolation	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.4.2.1	Culture set up	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.4.2.2	DNA extraction.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.4.3	Plasmid Artificial Chromosome (PAC) DNA Isolation ...	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.4.3.1	Culture set up	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.4.3.2	DNA extraction.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.0	ARRAY MANUFACTURE	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.1	Clone selection.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.1.1	Subtelomere/clinical array	Error! Bookmark not defined.

3.1.2	0.2-1Mb whole genome array	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.1.3	Preparation of pooled DNA stocks using Degenerative oligonucleotide (DOP)PCR	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.3	Amplification of DOP PCR DNA stocks	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.4	Printing of pooled DOP-PCR DNA.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.0	ARRAY-CGH.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.1	Labelling of DNA samples for array CGH.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.2	Preparation of DNA samples for array CGH..	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.3	Blocking of slides prior to CGH.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.4	Hybridisation of combined DNA samples to array	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.4.1	Preparation of hybridisation buffer	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.4.2	Setting up hybridisation chamber	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.4.3	Preparation of probes for hybridisation ..	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.4.4	Hybridisation of slide.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.4.5	Post hybridisation washing	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.0	SLIDE SCANNING AND DATA GENERATION.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
6.0	CONFIRMATION OF COPY NUMBER CHANGES WITH FISH....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
6.1	Labelling of probes	Error! Bookmark not defined.
6.2	Probe hybridisation	Error! Bookmark not defined.

PART A SUBTELOMERE CLINICAL ARRAY

CHAPTER 3

TESTING THE EFFICACY OF THE SUBTELOMERE CLINICAL ARRAY: BY MAPPING UNBALANCED CYTOGENETIC REARRANGEMENTS.

1.0	INTRODUCTION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.0	MATERIALS AND METHODS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.1	Patent selection	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.1.1	Patient 1 46,XY.ish del(9)(q34)(RP11-112N13-) <i>de novo</i>	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	Bookmark not defined.	
2.1.2	Patient 2 46,XY.ish del(4)(q35)(CTC-963K6-) <i>de novo</i>	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	Bookmark not defined.	
2.1.3	Patient 3	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	46,XX.ish der(4)t(4;10)(q35.2;p15.3)(CTC-963K6-,CTC-306F7+) <i>de novo</i> ..	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	Bookmark not defined.	
2.1.4	Patient 4	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	46,XY,der(10)t(10;11)(q26.1;q23.3)(CTB-137E24-,PAC770G7+)mat.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	Bookmark not defined.	
2.1.5	Patient 5	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	46,XX.ish der(5)t(5;16)(q35.3;q24.3)(CTC-240G13-,c372B12/c301F3+)pat	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	Bookmark not defined.	
2.1.6	Patient 6	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	46,XX.ish der(5)t(5;16)(q35.3;q24.3)(CTC-240G13-, /372B12/c301F3+) <i>de novo</i>	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.2	Array CGH.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.

3.0	RESULTS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.1	Patient 1 46,XY.ish del(9)(q34)(CTD-112N13-) de novo.	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.1.1	Array CGH mapping of 9q deletion.	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.1.2	Fine FISH mapping of the 9q breakpoint	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.2	Patient 2 46,XY.ish del(4)(q35)(CTC 963K6-)de novo	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.2.1	Array CGH mapping of 4q deletion.	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.3	Patient 3 46,XX.ish der(4)t(4;10)(q35.2;p15.3)(CTC -963K6- , CTC -306F7+)de novo	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.3.1	4q mapping	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.3.2	10p mapping	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.4	Patient 4 46,XY,der(10)t(10;11)(q26.1;q23.3)(CTB-137E24-,PAC770G7+)mat	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.4.1	10q mapping	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.4.2	11q mapping	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.5	Patient 5 46,XX.ish der(5)t(5;16)(q35.3;q24.3)(CTC-240G13-,c372B12/c301F3+)pat.	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.5.1	5q mapping	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.5.2	16q mapping	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.6	Patient 6 46,XX.ish.der(5)t(5;16)(q35.3;q24.3)(CTC-240G13-,c372B12/c301F3+)de novo	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.6.1	5q mapping	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.6.2	16q mapping	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.7	Summary of clone performance for two sex is-mapped experiments.	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.8	Summary of mismapped, crosshybridising and false negative clones.	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.0	DISCUSSION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.1	Clinical implications of mapping data.	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.1.1	Patient 1. 46,XY.ish del(9)(q34)(RP11-112N13-) de novo	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.1.2	Patient 2. 46,XY.ish del(4)(q35)(CTC 963K6-)de novo	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.1.3	Patient 3. 46,XX.ish der(4)t(4;10)(q35.2;p15.3)(CTC-963K6-,CTC-306F7+)de novo	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.1.4	Patient 4. 46,XY,der(10)t(10;11)(q26.1;q23.3)(CTB-137E24-,PAC770G7+)mat	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.1.5	Patient 5 46,XX.ish der(5)t(5;16)(q35.3;q24.3)(PAC240G13-,c372B12/c301F3+)pat.	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	Patient 6 46,XX.ish der(5)t(5;16)(q35.3;q24.3)(PAC240G13-,c372B12/c301F3+).	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.2	Assessment of clone performance	Error! Bookmark not defined.

CHAPTER 4

USING THE SUBTELOMERE CLINICAL ARRAY TO SCREEN PATIENTS WITH IDIOPATHIC INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY Error! Bookmark not defined.

1.0	INTRODUCTION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.0	MATERIALS AND METHODS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.1	Patent selection	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.2	Array CGH.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.0	RESULTS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.1	Patient 4– Duplication of 9q34.3	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.2	Patient 5 – Duplication of 11q25	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.3	Patient 8 – Duplication of 17p11.2	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.4	Patient 9 – Duplication of 17p13.3	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.6	Single clone abnormalities expected to be polymorphic changes	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	Bookmark not defined.	
4.0	DISCUSSION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.1	Interpretation of copy number changes (Copy number variation).....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	Bookmark not defined.	
4.2	Array CGH copy number changes from this study which are likely to be clinically significant.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.2.1	Patient 8 – Duplication of 17p11.2	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.3	Array CGH copy number changes which are likely to be clinically insignificant (based on the evidence in the current literature)...	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	defined.	
4.3.1	Patient 4– Duplication of 9q34.3	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.3.2	Patient 5 – Duplication of 11q25	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.4	Array CGH copy number changes from this study of which the clinical significance remains inconclusive.	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.4.1	Patient 9 – Duplication of 17p13.3	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.2	Array CGH copy number changes from this study which are likely to be clinically significant.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.2.1	Patient 8 – Duplication of 17p11.2	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.3.1	Patient 4– Duplication of 9q34.3	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.3.2	Patient 5 – Duplication of 11q25	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.4	Array CGH copy number changes from this study of which the clinical significance remains inconclusive.	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.4.1	Patient 9 – Duplication of 17p13.3	Error! Bookmark not defined.

PART B WHOLE GENOME ARRAY

CHAPTER 5

USING THE WHOLE GENOME ARRAY TO SCREEN FOR COPY NUMBER IMBALANCE IN PATIENTS WITH INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY. Error! Bookmark not defined.

1.0	INTRODUCTION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.0	MATERIAL AND METHODS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.1	Patent selection	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.2	Array CGH.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.0	RESULTS	Error! Bookmark not defined.

3.1	Patient 2. Interstitial duplication of 1p36.11-p36.12	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	Patient 8. Two interstitial duplications: 11q22.2-q22.3 and 11q25. Patient 8 also has an apparently balanced translocation involving chromosomes 2, 8 and 10.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.3	Patient 12. Duplication of 17q25.1 inherited from intellectually disabled Mother.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.4	Patient 24. Interstitial deletion of 9q22.31-q22.31.	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.0	DISCUSSION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.1	Patient discussion.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.2	Future study	Error! Bookmark not defined.

CHAPTER 6

	USING THE WHOLE GENOME ARRAY TO SCREEN FOR COPY NUMBER IMBALANCE IN NEONATES WITH MALFORMATIONS AND/OR MULTIPLE DYSMORPHIC FEATURES.	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.0	INTRODUCTION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.0	MATERIAL AND METHODS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.1	Patent selection	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.1	Array CGH.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.0	RESULTS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.1	Patient 5. Loss of a single clone RP11-139L10 at 15q26.1 ..	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.2	Patient 8. Loss of a single clone RP11-100N8 at 15q22.2 ...	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.3	Patient 10. Three non-contiguous interstitial deletions of 6q-	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.0	DISCUSSION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.0	FUTURE STUDIES	Error! Bookmark not defined.

CHAPTER 7

	USING THE WHOLE GENOME ARRAY TO SCREEN FOR COPY NUMBER IMBALANCE IN PATIENTS WITH INTRACTIBLE EPILEPSY.	Error! Bookmark not defined.
--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

1.0	INTRODUCTION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.0	MATERIAL AND METHODS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.1	Patent selection	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.2	Array CGH.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.

3.0	RESULTS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.1	Patient 1. Two copy number changes observed; interstitial deletion at 6q27 and interstitial deletion at 18q22.1-q22.3.	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.2	Patient 18. Single copy number changes observed; interstitial duplication at 19q13.42.	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.0	DISCUSSION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.0	CONCLUSION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
6.0	FUTURE STUDIES	Error! Bookmark not defined.

REFERENCES	Error! Bookmark not defined.
-------------------------	-------------------------------------

Appendicies 1. Subtelomere/Clinical array	Error! Bookmark not defined.
-------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

Appendicies 2. Whole genome array	Error! Bookmark not defined.
-----------------------------------------	-------------------------------------