



***An Investigation of Carbon Sequestration/ECBM  
Potential in Australian Coals: A Simulation Study  
for Sydney Coal Basin***

by

Rahul Shrivastava

A Thesis Submitted to

the Australian School of Petroleum

of

the University of Adelaide

in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Engineering Science

in

Petroleum Engineering

16<sup>th</sup> February 2006  
Adelaide, Australia

## ABSTRACT

A 2002 report by Australian National Greenhouse Gas Inventory suggests that since 1990 Australia's net emissions of carbon dioxide equivalent from stationary combustion sources are on the rise and they are likely to rise even at a higher rate in keeping with continued economic and industrial growth. In order to reduce Australian CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, there is a need to identify and explore large-capacity storage locations for CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration. In that context, Australian coal seams, notably the coal-bed methane (CBM) reservoirs in Sydney and Bowen Basins, could potentially be attractive sites to sequester large volumes of greenhouse CO<sub>2</sub> emissions while also recovering the methane gas, a relatively cleaner source of fossil fuel.

This study investigates the deliverability and economic feasibility of CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration through CO<sub>2</sub>-Enhanced CBM recovery (CO<sub>2</sub>-ECBMR) in the Camden area, Sydney coal basin. The results of the study show that the CO<sub>2</sub>-ECBMR impacts the absolute permeability of the Camden area significantly. Because of a good reticulated fracture system, the CO<sub>2</sub> breakthrough from the producers is faster. The enhancement of CH<sub>4</sub> recovery by preferential adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub> occurs simultaneously with the abatement of CH<sub>4</sub> recovery by overall decrease in permeability in the CBM reservoir. Hence, the actual CH<sub>4</sub> is produced under the overall effect of these two competing processes, with the operating parameters like producer-injector spacing and injection pressure affecting their relative dominance over each other. The study also addresses the opportunities of a niche for CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration in these coals, which will be dictated mainly by the factors of sequestration economics and status of these coals being "unmineable". The results derived from the study could help the design of an optimum operating strategy in implementing the CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration and enhanced CBM recovery in Sydney Basin, Australia and elsewhere.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY .....	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	III
ABSTRACT .....	IV
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	V
LIST OF FIGURES.....	VII
LIST OF TABLES.....	XI
ABBREVIATIONS.....	XII
LITERATURE REVIEW.....	1
INTRODUCTION .....	1
COALBED METHANE (CBM).....	3
ECBM RECOVERY TECHNIQUES .....	6
N <sub>2</sub> -ECBM VERSUS CO <sub>2</sub> -ECBM RECOVERY TECHNIQUES: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS WITH CO <sub>2</sub> SEQUESTRATION PERSPECTIVE. ....	6
SIMULATION STUDIES FOR ECBMR.....	8
PAST RESEARCH FOR CBM IN BOWEN AND SYDNEY BASINS.....	9
OTHER RELEVANT STUDIES RELATED TO THE PROPOSED RESEARCH.....	11
TARGET COAL BASINS OF AUSTRALIA .....	13
<i>Sydney Basin</i> .....	13
Introduction .....	13
CBM in the Basin.....	13
Current Activity.....	15
<i>Bowen Basin</i> .....	16
Introduction.....	16
CBM in the Basin .....	16
Current Activity.. ..	17
SUMMARY OF FAVOURABLE .....	18
<i>Sydney Basin</i> .....	18
<i>Bowen Basin</i> .....	18
SUMMARY .....	20
RESEARCH OBJECTIVES.....	21
METHODOLOGY.....	22
BENCHMARKING STUDIES FOR CMG'S SIMULATOR – GEM .....	23
INTRODUCTION .....	23
SIMULATION OF CO <sub>2</sub> SEQUESTRATION IN AN INFINITE AQUIFER .....	25
<i>Introduction and General Description of the Problem</i> .....	25
<i>Definition of the Problem and Input Data</i> .....	25
<i>Results</i> .....	26
<i>Discussion</i> .....	26
ALLISON UNIT SIMULATION STUDY.....	31
<i>Site Selection</i> .....	31
<i>Site Description</i> .....	32
<i>Construction of the Reservoir Model</i> .....	33
<i>Simulation Model Results</i> .....	43
SIMULATION STUDY FOR CAMDEN AREA, SYDNEY COAL BASIN .....	46
INTRODUCTION .....	46
SITE DESCRIPTION.....	47

<b>GENERAL GEOLOGY AND STRATIGRAPHY OF THE CAMDEN AREA</b> .....	53
<i>Geology</i> .....	53
<i>Structure</i> .....	53
<i>Stratigraphy</i> .....	53
<b>RESERVOIR DESCRIPTION</b> .....	56
<i>Structure and Thickness</i> .....	56
<i>Adsorption Isotherms and Langmuir Properties</i> .....	56
<i>Relative Permeability</i> .....	63
<i>Porosity</i> .....	66
<i>Permeability</i> .....	71
<i>Gas Composition</i> .....	76
<b>SIMULATION MODEL CONSTRUCTION</b> .....	78
<b>HISTORY MATCHING</b> .....	84
<b>MODEL FORECAST</b> .....	91
<i>Gas Production</i> .....	91
<i>Porosity and Permeability Variation</i> .....	92
<i>Methane Adsorption</i> .....	92
<b>LB09 WELL PRODUCTION FORECAST ON THE BASIS OF FIXED DRAINAGE RADIUS</b> .....	97
<b>MODEL FORECAST FOR 42 PRODUCTION WELLS</b> .....	99
<b>SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS FOR CO<sub>2</sub>-ECBMR</b> .....	105
<i>Injection Pressure Sensitivity Analysis</i> .....	107
<i>Injection-Production Well Spacing Sensitivity Analysis</i> .....	116
<i>Injection Startup Sensitivity Analysis</i> .....	125
<i>Intermittent CO<sub>2</sub> Injection</i> .....	.....
<b>MULTIPLE WELL CO<sub>2</sub> INJECTION FOR CO<sub>2</sub>-ECBMR/CO<sub>2</sub>-SEQUESTRATION</b> .....	142
<i>16 Producers and 39 Injectors Case</i> .....	142
<i>42 Producers and 42 Injectors Case</i> .....	151
<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b> .....	160
<b>CO<sub>2</sub> INJECTION SENSITIVITY STUDY</b> .....	160
<b>FIELD-SCALE SIMULATION OF THE CAMDEN STUDY AREA</b> .....	161
<b>RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH</b> .....	163
<b>REFERENCES</b> .....	164