

# Need for endoscopic removal of oesophageal coins in children was similar for strategies of immediate removal and watchful waiting

David Foley

*Evid. Based Nurs.* 2006;9;42doi:10.1136/ebn.9.2.42

Updated information and services can be found at: http://ebn.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/9/2/42

These include:

References	This article cites 2 articles, 1 of which can be accessed free at: http://ebn.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/9/2/42#BIBL
Rapid responses	You can respond to this article at: http://ebn.bmj.com/cgi/eletter-submit/9/2/42
Email alerting service	Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article
Topic collections	Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections Other emergency medicine (1867 articles) Oesophagus (329 articles) Children (1889 articles)

Notes

To order reprints of this article go to: http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

# <sup>42</sup> Need for endoscopic removal of oesophageal coins in children was similar for strategies of immediate removal and watchful waiting

Waltzman ML, Baskin M, Wypij D, *et al*. A randomized clinical trial of the management of esophageal coins in children. *Pediatrics* 2005;**116**:614–9.

Q In children presenting to the emergency department with a coin lodged in the oesophagus, what are the effects of relatively immediate endoscopic removal of the coin and watchful waiting?

### **METHODS**



For correspondence: Dr M L Waltzman, Division of Emergency Medicine, Children's Hospital Boston, Boston, MA, USA. mark.waltzman@childrens.harvard.edu

#### Source of funding: no external funding.

#### MAIN RESULTS

27% of patients passed coins spontaneously, with similar frequencies of spontaneous passage in the immediate removal and watchful waiting groups (30%  $\nu$  23%, p = 0.77). The groups did not differ for proportion of patients requiring endoscopy (table). The immediate removal group had a shorter length of stay (10.7  $\nu$  19.4 h, p<0.001). No complications occurred in either group.

### CONCLUSIONS

In children presenting to the emergency department with a coin lodged in the oesophagus, relatively immediate removal and watchful waiting for approximately 16 hours had similar proportions of children requiring endoscopic removal of coins. Neither group had any complications.

## Commentary

The ingestion of foreign bodies by children is a common problem: approximately 100 000 cases occur each year in the US, and coins are the most common foreign bodies to be swallowed.<sup>1</sup> About 25% of coins will pass spontaneously within 18 hours. However, serious complications, including perforation, fistula, stricture, and respiratory distress, may result from prolonged lodgement of a coin in the oesophagus; thus it is important to identify the most effective treatment. Risks are also associated with endoscopic coin removal, and so this treatment should be used only when indicated.

The randomised controlled trial by Waltzman *et al* compared the effectiveness of watchful waiting with immediate removal of lodged coins. During the study period, 168 children presented with a coin lodged in the oesophagus; of these, 81 were eligible, and 60 were actually enrolled. The authors acknowledge that the sample size was inadequate to assess complication rates, and thus, the relative safety of watchful waiting and immediate removal. Nevertheless, the study showed that watchful waiting for 8–16 hours after ingestion was warranted. Similar proportions of children in the immediate removal and watchful waiting groups required endoscopic removal of coins; the only difference between groups was the shorter length of hospital stay in the immediate removal group. Further study of larger samples incorporating a wider range of clinical presentations is required to determine the risk of complications.

David Foley, RN, MN University of Adelaide Adelaide, Australia

1 Kay M, Wyllie R. Pediatric foreign bodies and their management. *Curr Gastroenterol Rep* 2005;7:212–8.

Relatively immediate removal of oesophageal coins v watchful waiting in children*						
Outcome	Immediate removal	Watchful waiting	RRR (95% CI)	NNT		
Required endoscopy	70%	77%	8.7% (-26 to 35)	Not significant		
*Abbreviations defined in glossary; RRR, NNT, and CI calculated from data in article.						