



Cornell University
ILR School

Cornell University ILR School
DigitalCommons@ILR

Buffalo Commons

Centers, Institutes, Programs

12-3-2014

Why New York State Still Needs Single Payer Health Care

Jacqueline MacKeller

Sam Magavern

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.ilr.cornell.edu/buffalocommons>

Thank you for downloading an article from DigitalCommons@ILR.

Support this valuable resource today!

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Centers, Institutes, Programs at DigitalCommons@ILR. It has been accepted for inclusion in Buffalo Commons by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@ILR. For more information, please contact catherwood-dig@cornell.edu.

If you have a disability and are having trouble accessing information on this website or need materials in an alternate format, contact web-accessibility@cornell.edu for assistance.

Why New York State Still Needs Single Payer Health Care

Abstract

Conventional wisdom in the United States holds that our health care system, while costly, achieves some of the best outcomes in the world. A report by the Institute of Medicine (IOM), however, conclusively refutes these assumptions. In a survey of current and historical health data for 17 other high-income democracies, the IOM found that the United States ranked dead last in life expectancy among males and second-to-last among females, despite spending substantially more per person on health care than any other nation. In measure after measure, Americans were found to have poorer health and higher rates of disease compared to their peer-country counterparts.

Keywords

Buffalo, Health, Health Insurance, Policy Brief, PPG, PDF



Why New York State Still Needs Single Payer Health Care

Jacqueline MacKellar and Sam Magavern

Conventional wisdom in the United States holds that our health care system, while costly, achieves some of the best outcomes in the world. A report by the Institute of Medicine (IOM), however, conclusively refutes these assumptions. In a survey of current and historical health data for 17 other high-income democracies,¹ the IOM found that the United States ranked dead last in life expectancy among males and second-to-last among females, despite spending substantially more per person on health care than any other nation.² In measure after measure, Americans were found to have poorer health and higher rates of disease compared to their peer-country counterparts.

One of the major reasons suggested by the IOM for this disparity is our large uninsured population. For many, lack of insurance coverage translates into inability to access or afford health care. Uninsured and underinsured individuals are less likely to obtain treatment for existing ailments. They are also less likely to receive the preventative and early intervention services that are crucial to halting or slowing the development of more serious diseases.

What the Affordable Care Act Does

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA), passed in 2010, addressed this disparity by decreasing the number of uninsured Americans. It has succeeded in adding more people to the system and providing some financial assistance to those buying coverage in the private market. In New York State, for example, over 900,000 formerly uninsured people have gained coverage, mostly through Medicaid and Child Health Plus, plus some through the new health exchange.³

Key Provisions in the ACA¹

- an “individual mandate” requiring individuals to purchase minimum coverage or pay a tax penalty;
- a requirement for employers with more than 50 full-time employees to offer coverage or face a penalty;
- the establishment of state-based health insurance exchanges through which individuals and small businesses can purchase coverage;
- sliding-scale premium tax credits to individuals;
- tax credits to small businesses; and
- an expansion of Medicaid to 133% of the federal poverty line.

What the Affordable Care Act Does Not Do

For all of its benefits, the ACA has some sharp limitations. It attempts to fix a patchwork of public and private payers by adding more patches. It increases the complexity of an already overly-complex system. Finally, it is overoptimistic in its reliance on for-profit health insurance companies to lower their premiums to affordable levels.

Most importantly, the ACA leaves millions of people uninsured and under-insured, and it does not do enough to lower health insurance costs for individuals, businesses, and taxpayers. Nationally, roughly 30 million people will still be uninsured in 2023.⁴ In New York State, as of fall 2014, over 1.5 million residents remained without health insurance.⁵ Furthermore, insurance costs are expected to increase by an average of 6% in New York in 2015 – having already risen 76% between 2003 and 2011. Nationally, health costs are expected to rise by over \$1 trillion over the next ten years.⁶

Lack of Insurance and High Cost Remain Huge Problems in NY

- Over 1.5 million remain uninsured.
- Insurance rates are rising an average of 6% in 2015.

Those likely to remain uninsured even after the law's full implementation include⁷:

- People who have access to coverage through an employer but still cannot afford to purchase that coverage;
- People who are eligible for an exemption because the cost of coverage is more than 8% of their household income;
- People who are eligible for Medicaid but are not aware of it and/or do not sign up;
- Undocumented immigrants, who are explicitly excluded from the act;
- People who choose to pay a penalty rather than purchase coverage.

The ACA may also increase the number of under-insured people. Individuals who must purchase coverage through exchanges may choose bare-bones policies that offer few benefits, either because they do not want or cannot afford to pay for more expensive policies.⁸

The Answer: Single Payer Health Care

Single payer health care means that a single public or public-private agency would act as the health insurance administrator, responsible for taking in all health care fees and making health care payments to all service providers. Providers would then be able to bill just one agency for their services, as opposed to dozens or hundreds of different agencies for each patient. Doctors, hospitals, and other service providers would still remain private entities, and patients would be free to choose their own doctors and make their own health decisions.⁹

In May 2011, the State of Vermont passed Act 48, a health care reform law that puts the state on the path to single-payer health care, the first state to enact such a law. It is expected to be fully implemented after obtaining an ACA waiver from the federal government (The ACA allows

state exemptions from certain provisions, provided that the state's plan meets the minimum coverage requirements for its residents).¹⁰

A bill similar to Vermont's is now before the New York State Legislature.¹¹ This proposed statute (A.7860-A/S5425-A),¹² includes the following key features:

- Covers preventative and primary care, emergency/hospital care, dental, vision, prescription drugs, mental health, addiction treatment, and rehabilitation;
- Covers all residents of New York State; and
- Is funded by a combination of current health care expenditures (such Medicare and Medicaid), and a payroll premium (8% employer-paid and 2% employee-paid) on earned income and on unearned income over 50% of an individual's total income.

A single payer system offers many advantages for New York. First, it would swiftly accomplish universal coverage for all New York citizens, regardless of income. Second, it would help contain costs by reducing the administrative waste generated by our fragmented system of multiple, for-profit payers. A two-year study conducted for New York State comparing four different health reform options found that a single payer system that provided universal coverage would save New York \$20 billion per year and would cost \$28 billion less per year than an individual mandate.¹³ With private health insurance companies removed from the equation, such savings could be reinvested in health care, as opposed to being spent on marketing, executive salaries, and shareholder profits. Finally, a single payer system would decouple health insurance from employment, taking the burden off employers and providing health security, regardless of circumstance, to all New York State residents.



¹Countries of comparison were: Austria, Denmark, Finland, Germany, France, Spain, the United Kingdom, the Netherlands, Norway, Italy, Switzerland, Sweden, Portugal, Canada, Australia, and Japan.

² Institute of Medicine of the National Academies. *U.S. Health in International Perspective: Shorter Lives, Poorer Health*, January 2013. Available at http://books.nap.edu/openbook.php?record_id=13497.

³ New York State Department of Health, <http://nystateofhealth.ny.gov>.

⁴ Physicians for National Health Insurance, *Single Payer FAQ*, Available at <http://www.pnhp.org/facts/single-payer-faq>

⁵ New York State Department of Health, <http://nystateofhealth.ny.gov>.

⁶ Physicians for National Health Insurance, *Single Payer FAQ*, Available at <http://www.pnhp.org/facts/single-payer-faq>

⁷ Mark Trumbull, "Obama signs health care bill: Who won't be covered?" *The Christian Science Monitor* (March 23, 2010). Available at <http://www.csmonitor.com/USA/2010/0323/Obama-signs-health-care-bill-Who-won-t-be-covered>.

⁸ Physicians for National Health Insurance, *Single Payer FAQ*, Available at <http://www.pnhp.org/facts/single-payer-faq>

⁹ See Note 4

¹⁰ William C. Hsiao, Ph.D., Anna Gosline Knight, Sc.M., Steven Kappel, M.P.A., and Nicolae Done. "What Other States Can Learn from Vermont's Bold Experiment: Embracing a Single-Payer Health Care Financing System." *Health Affairs*, July 2011 30(7):1232–41.

¹¹ S5425-2011: Provides for establishment of the New York health plan and makes an appropriation to the temporary commission on implementation of the plan. Available at <http://open.nysenate.gov/legislation/bill/S5425-2011>

¹² S5425-2011: Provides for establishment of the New York health plan and makes an appropriation to the temporary commission on implementation of the plan. Available at <http://open.nysenate.gov/legislation/bill/S5425-2011>

¹³ State of New York Department of Health/Insurance Partnership for Coverage, July 17, 2009. *Report of the Commissioner of Health and Insurance Superintendent to Governor David A. Paterson on the Partnership for Coverage Initiative on the Release of the Urban Institute Report*. Available at http://www.partnership4coverage.ny.gov/reports/docs/2009-07-17_release_of_urban_institute_report.pdf

Partnership for the Public Good
www.ppgbuffalo.org
237 Main St., Suite 1200, Buffalo NY 14203
