

#### Purdue University Purdue e-Pubs

Publications of the Ray W. Herrick Laboratories

School of Mechanical Engineering

6-2000

#### Visualization Techniques to Identify and Quantify Sources and Paths of Exterior Noise Radiated from Stationary and Nonstationary Vehicles

Hiroshi Stuart Takata *Purdue University*, bolton@purdue.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/herrick

Takata, Hiroshi Stuart, "Visualization Techniques to Identify and Quantify Sources and Paths of Exterior Noise Radiated from Stationary and Nonstationary Vehicles" (2000). *Publications of the Ray W. Herrick Laboratories*. Paper 146. http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/herrick/146

This document has been made available through Purdue e-Pubs, a service of the Purdue University Libraries. Please contact epubs@purdue.edu for additional information.

Visualization techniques to identify and quantify sources and paths of exterior noise radiated from stationary and nonstationary vehicles

> Hiroshi Takata, Takuo Nishi Isuzu Motors Ltd. Hyungseok Kook **Kookmin University Gregory Moebs Caterpillar Inc.** Patricia Davies and J. Stuart Bolton **Purdue University**

Visualization Technique of Stationary Vehicles

Location of "hidden" sources.
 Source ranking of "hidden" sources.
 Transmission path of "hidden" sources.

Contribution of "hidden" sources.

Currently no method exist which can provide these information easily.

# Location of "Hidden" Sources

#### **MISO** system



Selection procedures which have been developed to-date are of two kinds:

- **Exhaustive search by using SVD**
- Sequential search by using Partial coherence analysis

However these procedures can not be used to localize sources.

Two new kind of algorithm is developed.

Partial SVD method (PSVD).

# Partial SVD method (PSVD)

Remove one signal from the other signals by using a partial coherence procedure.
 The singular values of the conditioned cross spectral matrix are less than or equal to those of original matrix.

'1 1; '2 2; ...; 'n-1 n-1.
 The more significant the signal that is removed, the more the singular values of the conditioned cross spectral matrix will be reduced.



#### 6 input microphones 1 output microphone 3 incoherent sources (loudspeakers)

2

**S** 2

**X** 5





**X** 6

# **Experimental Results**

# The singular values of cross spectral matrices of: (a) All 6 transducers (b) Transducer group (x1, x2, x3)









# **Integrated Nearfield Acoustical** Holography (INAH)

Source ranking of "hidden" sources **Transmission path of "hidden"** sources Contribution of "hidden" sources By using

NAH and partial field decomposition

## **Decomposition of the Sound Field**

The partial field determination problem has been approached in two ways:

- Partial coherence analysis
  - Singular value decomposition











# Exterior Noise Field of a Vehicle - Experimental Setup

- Twelve candidate microphones.
- Sound pressures were measured on a plane 5cm away from the left side panel on a 48 x 19 grid, with a inter-microphone spacing of 5cm, by using a 4 x 19 planar microphone array.
- Idle (stationary).



# **Results:** Localize sources

Singular values of input signal cross spectral matrix based on:

(a) All 12 microphones

(b) Microphone group (12, 11)



#### **Results:** Transmission path

0.9

0.8

Partial sound field associated with reference 1

 $\begin{array}{c}
0.7 \\
0.6 \\
0.7 \\
0.6 \\
0.4 \\
0.3 \\
0.2 \\
0.1 \\
0.5 \\
1 \\
1.5 \\
2
\end{array}$ 



Partial sound field associated with reference 2

### **Results:** Ranking, Contribution

Two mutually incoherent sources at 1317 Hz:

- Source location and ranking by integrating Al over the hologram plane
  - 1. Engine head (77 % of total sound power)
  - 2. Oil pan (23 % of total sound power)

Source contribution at the center point 1m from the side panel by reconstruction of AI at the observation point

1. Engine head (95 %)

2. Oil pan (5 %)

# Visualization Techniques of Nonstationary Vehicles

- Standard Vehicle Passby Tests
  - De-Dopplerization (propagation distance calculation)
    - backward propagation procedure
    - forward propagation procedure
  - Amplitude Correction (*compensating for spherical spreading*)
    - intuitive method
    - maximum likelihood estimation
- Array Design
- Experimental Results

#### **Kinematics of Moving Noise Sources**



#### Beamforming on a Reconstruction Plane Attached to and Moving with the Vehicle



#### **Backward Propagation**



- (a) Calculate the propagation distance,  $R(t_r)$ , for the samples received at  $t_r$  (receiver time)
- (b) Generate the emission time vector corresponding to the receiver times  $t_e = t_r R(t_r)/c$
- (c) The resulting non-equi-spaced time history is resampled to obtain an equally-spaced time history in the source (i.e., emission) time frame

### **Forward Propagation**



- (a) Calculate the instantaneous distance,  $D(t_e)$ , between the assumed source position and the microphone for an assumed signal emitted at  $t_e$
- (b) Generate the corresponding receiver time vector by using the formula,  $t_r = t_e + D(t_e)/c$
- (c) The measured microphone outputs sampled at equally-spaced sample times in the receiver time frame are resampled using the unevenly-spaced receiver time vector obtained in (b)

## **Forward vs. Backward Calculations**

simulated results for 35 km/h cruise test.  $\oplus$  denotes simulated loudspeaker location; loudspeaker at 2950 Hz, front hub at x = -1.24 m, 50 Hz analysis bandwidth.

Vehicle Paceby Visualization	
Window Save Data Colomap	
Step Play Stop 60.0	
Frame # 16 y=6.50 t=6.21 x=-1.24	
42.0	
•••••••••••••••••••••••••	+ + + + +
	+ + + + +
	+++++
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	+ + + + +
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	

results from backward propagation procedure



results from forward propagation procedure

• The calculation time was reduced by a factor of three <u>by using the</u> <u>forward propagation procedure</u> when compared to the backward propagation procedure.

### **Amplitude Estimation**

Spherical spreading in near field

$$y_m(t) = \frac{A}{R_m^0} \exp\left[j\omega\left(t - \frac{R_m^0}{c}\right)\right]$$

Weighting factor  $R_m/M$  (intuitive weighting factor)

$$z(t) \equiv \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} w_m y_m (t - \Delta_m)$$
  
=  $\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} w_m \frac{A}{R_m^0} \exp\left[j\omega\left(t - \frac{R_m^0}{c} + \frac{R_m}{c}\right)\right]$   
=  $A \exp(j\omega t)$ 

( with 
$$R_{_m}=R_{_m}^{_0}~$$
 and  $w_{_m}=R_{_m}/M~$  )

## **Maximum Likelihood Estimation**

assumptions : source waveform unknown, source position known

source signals : a(t) noise :  $n_m(t)$ time shifted measured signals :  $y_m(t + R_m/c) = \frac{1}{R_m^0} a \left( t - \frac{R_m^0}{c} + \frac{R_m}{c} \right) + n_m \left( t + \frac{R_m}{c} \right)$ in vector form :  $\mathbf{y} = a(t)\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{n}$ 

where

$$\mathbf{y} = \{y_0(t + R_0/c), y_1(t + R_1/c), \dots, y_{M-1}(t + R_{M-1}/c)\}^T$$

$$\mathbf{s} = \left\{ \frac{1}{R_0^0}, \frac{1}{R_1^0}, \dots, \frac{1}{R_{M-1}^0} \right\}^T$$
$$\mathbf{n} = \left\{ n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{M-1} \right\}^T$$

#### **Maximum Likelihood Estimation (cont.)**

 $P_{y|a(t)}$  denotes the joint *probability density function* of the vector y being observed when the signal source amplitude was a(t) at time t

$$\ln p_{\mathbf{y}|a(t)} = -\frac{1}{2}\ln \det \left[2\pi \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{n}}\right] - \frac{1}{2} \left[\mathbf{y} - a\mathbf{s}\right] \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{n}}^{-1} \left[\mathbf{y} - a\mathbf{s}\right]$$

where  $\,K_{\rm n}\,$  noise covariance matrix

Then 
$$\hat{a}_{ML} = \frac{\mathbf{s'K_n^{-1}y}}{\mathbf{s'K_n^{-1}s}}$$
 maximizes the  $pdf P_{y|a(t)}$   
 $\hat{a}_{ML} = \frac{\mathbf{s'y}}{\mathbf{s's}}$  (for spatially white background noise)  
 $\Rightarrow \hat{a}_{ML}(t) = \frac{\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} y_m(t+R_m/c)/R_m}{\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} 1/R_m^{-2}} \Rightarrow w_m = \frac{1/R_m}{\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} 1/R_m^{-2}}$  new weighting factor

#### **Results (1D-simulation, intersensor space 50 cm)**





- Random array was randomly generated and snapped to an underlying grid.
- Random array reduces the number of redundancies in the co-array.

# **Experimental Setup**



# **Experimental Results**

Source localization results for 50 km/h cruise test, loudspeaker at 1005 Hz, x = 0.29 m, 50 Hz band



# **Experimental Results (cont.)**

Visualization results for acceleration test, loudspeaker at 1850 Hz, x = 2.34 m



# Conclusions

- The partial SVD method associates the reference signals more directly with the physical sources than does the partial SVD method.
  - The partial coherence technique is applicable to the decomposition of the sound field from hidden sources.
- Noise source visualization successful for nonconstant velocity (capable of resolving loudspeaker and tire noise).
- Improved computation time by using forward propagation procedure.
- Reduced sidelobe levels by using maximum likelihood estimation for amplitude of the source strength.