THE UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND

University of Rhode Island DigitalCommons@URI

Graduate School of Oceanography Faculty Publications

Graduate School of Oceanography

2017

Organophosphate Ester Flame Retardants and Plasticizers in ocean sediments from the North Pacific to the Arctic Ocean

Yuxin Ma

Zhiyong Xie

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/gsofacpubs

The University of Rhode Island Faculty have made this article openly available. Please let us know how Open Access to this research benefits you.

This is a pre-publication author manuscript of the final, published article.

Terms of Use

This article is made available under the terms and conditions applicable towards Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth in our Terms of Use.

Citation/Publisher Attribution

Yuxin Ma, Zhiyong Xie, Rainer Lohmann, Wenying Mi, Guoping Gao. Organophosphate Ester Flame Retardants and Plasticizers in ocean sediments from the North Pacific to the Arctic Ocean. *Environ Sci Technol* **201**7, 51, 3809–3815, **DOI:** 10.1021/acs.est.7b00755.

Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b00755

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate School of Oceanography at DigitalCommons@URI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Graduate School of Oceanography Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@etal.uri.edu.

Authors

Yuxin Ma, Zhiyong Xie, Rainer Lohmann, Wenying Mi, and Guoping Gao

1	Organophosphate Ester Flame Retardants and
2	Plasticizers in ocean sediments from the North
3	Pacific to the Arctic Ocean
4	
5	Yuxin Ma ^{a,c*} , Zhiyong Xie ^{b**} , Rainer Lohmann ^c , Wenying Mi ^b , Guoping Gao ^a
6	
7	^a College of Marine Sciences, Shanghai Ocean University, Shanghai 201306, China
8	^b Helmholtz-Zentrum Geesthacht, Centre for Materials and Coastal Research GmbH,
9	Institute of Coastal Research, Max-Planck Street 1, D-21502 Geesthacht, Germany
10	^c Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett, Rhode
11	Island 02882, United States
12	
10	* Corresponding author: phone: 401,677,0324
12	Corresponding author: priorie: 401-077-9324
14	E-mail address: <u>xinxin0709@126.com</u>
15	**Corresponding author: phone: +49-4152-872330; Fax: +49-4152-872332
16	E-mail address: <u>zhiyong.xie@hzg.de</u>
17	

18 Abstract

The occurrence of organophosphate ester (OPE) flame retardants and plasticizers has 19 been observed for the first time in surface sediment from the North Pacific to Arctic 20 Ocean during the 4th National Arctic Research Expedition of China in the summer of 21 22 2010. The samples were analyzed for three halogenated OPEs (tris(2-chloroethyl) phosphate (TCEP), tris(1-chloro-2-propyl) phosphate 23 (TCPP) and tris(dichlorisopropyl) phosphate (TDCP)), three alkylated OPEs (tri-iso-butyl 24 phosphate (TiBP), tri-*n*-butyl phosphate (TnBP) and tripentyl phosphate (TPeP)), as 25 26 well as triphenyl phosphate (TPhP). Σ_7 OPEs (total concentration of the observed OPEs) was in the range of 159 to 4658 pg g⁻¹ dry weight (dw). Halogenated OPEs 27 were generally more abundant than the non-halogenated OPEs; TCEP and TiBP 28 29 dominated overall concentrations. Except for Bering Sea, Σ_7 OPEs concentrations increased with the increasing latitudes from Bering Strait to the Central Arctic Ocean. 30 While the contributions of halogenated OPEs (typically TCEP and TCPP) to total 31 32 OPE profile also increased from Bering Strait to the Central Arctic Ocean, indicating 33 their higher potential of being transported to the remote Arctic. The median budget of 52 (range of 17-292) tons for Σ_7 OPEs in sediment from the Central Arctic Ocean 34 35 represents only a very small amount of their total production volume. Yet the amount of OPEs in Arctic Ocean sediment was significantly higher than the sum of 36 polybrominated diphenylethers (PBDEs) in the sediment, indicating they are equally 37 prone to long-range transport away from source regions. Given the increasing 38 production and usage of OPEs as substitutes of PBDEs, OPEs will continue to 39

41

42 Introduction

Organophosphate esters (OPEs) are kinds of man-made chemicals applied to many 43 household and industrial products as flame retardants, plasticizers, as well as 44 antifoaming agents and hydraulic fluids.¹ Specifically, halogenated OPEs are 45 dominantly applied as flame retardants, while non-halogenated OPEs are mainly 46 applied as plasticizers and in other applications.² During recent years the production 47 and use of OPEs have increased since polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs), 48 which is known as the most commonly used brominated flame retardants (BFRs), 49 have been restricted in the early 2000s, especially the Penta- and Octa-BDE mixtures 50 51 have been finally included in the Stockholm Convention list on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) (http://www.pops.int). OPE production has increased by about 10% 52 between 2001 and 2006 in Western Europe.³ It is estimated that the global production 53 of OPEs accounted for 30% of all flame retardants in 2013.⁴ 54

OPEs can leach into the environment as they are additive flame retardants and do not covalently bind to the material to which they are added. The environmental occurrence of OPEs have recently been reported in a variety of environmental compartments such as indoor air,² fresh and marine water phase,^{5, 6} as well as fresh water and marine coastal sediments;^{7, 8} OPEs also enter and accumulate in marine and freshwater foodwebs.^{9, 10} Even though the predicted half-lives of OPEs in atmosphere (<2 days) are not enough to meet the long-range atmospheric transport (LRAT)

standard listed by Stockholm Convention¹¹, they were recently observed in atmospheric particles in remote European and Canadian Arctic sites,^{12, 13} as well as open oceans, such as Mediterranean, Black and North Seas,^{14, 15} northern Pacific and Indian Ocean toward the polar regions,¹ tropical and subtropical Atlantic, Pacific and Indian Oceans.¹⁶ These existing studies suggest that these compounds are capable of LRAT.

However, little research focused on the fate of OPEs in remote oceans. Similar to 68 traditional POPs, the net flux of OPEs in the remote marine environment might be 69 70 typically following the route of air-surface waters-deeper waters and then burial in the benthic sediments, where the deep ocean is seemed as the long-term oceanic 71 repository for these chemicals.^{17, 18} OPEs have been detected in precipitation, 72 indicating their atmospheric deposition processes.^{19, 20} For remote pelagic 73 environments, such as the North Pacific and Arctic Oceans, they could be further 74 transferred from well-mixed surface waters to deep waters as well as sediment 75 76 through particle settling. Part of the particle settling could be driven by the 'biological pump', which is the settling fluxes of particle organic carbon associated with primary 77 production by phytoplankton.^{17, 21} Previous research has observed positive 78 relationship between concentrations of triphenyl phosphate (TPhP) and d¹⁵N in 79 demersal species, indicating the transfer process of TPhP through particle settling to 80 the bottom sediment and their further accumulation through the benthic food web.⁹ 81 82 To examine the presence of OPEs in remote ocean sediment, this study presents the

83 observation results of OPEs in surficial ocean sediment through transect from the

North Pacific to the central Arctic Ocean (via the Bering Strait). Our aims were to investigate (i) the occurrence, latitudinal distribution and composition profile of OPEs in surficial sediment from remote ocean regions; (ii) contrast the presence of OPEs relative to PBDEs, established POPs, in these Arctic Ocean sediments, and (iii) derive a budget for OPEs in these remote sediment. Ultimately, our goal was to provide new insights into the fate and behavior of OPEs in the remote marine environment.

90

91 **Experimental Section**

92 Sampling

During the 4th Chinese National Arctic Research Expedition (CHINARE 4) held between July and September 2010, 0-2cm surficial marine sediment samples were collected onboard the ice-breaker R/V Xuelong ('Snow Dragon'). The sampling cruise generally covered a northward transect from the Bering Sea, through the Bering Strait to the Chukchi Sea, and across the Canada Basin and central Arctic Ocean (53-88°N). Detailed information about the sampling work and the sediment samples are described in Supporting Information and listed in Table S1.

100

101 Extraction, Analysis, QC/QA

102 The extraction, purification and analysis were conducted in the clean laboratory of the 103 Helmholtz-Zentrum Geesthacht (HZG) in Germany based on our previously 104 published method, and is described in more detail in the Supporting Information.²² 105 Briefly, approximately 10 g sediment sample was extracted with Soxhlet extractor, 106 and then the extracts were rotary evaporated to 1–2 mL, and further purified on a

silica column. OPEs were analyzed using a gas chromatograph coupled to a triple 107 quadrupole mass spectrometer operated in electron impact mode. Native OPE 108 standards d_{27} -TnBP and d_{15} -TPhP were spiked to 3 solvent-extracted sediment 109 samples as deuterated surrogate standards to check matrix effects. And ¹³C₆–PCB 208 110 (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories) was added into the sample as injection standard. 111 The recoveries of d_{27} -TnBP and d_{15} -TPhP in all sediment samples were 57 \pm 11% and 112 $93 \pm 18\%$, respectively. The recoveries of OPEs ranged from $95 \pm 2\%$ for TiBP to 143 113 \pm 7% for TCEP. Totally five procedural blanks were extracted, while the dominant 114 OPE contaminations detected in the procedural blanks were 34 ± 6 pg/g for TCPPs, 115 23 ± 6 pg/g for TCEP and 18 ± 3 pg/g for TPhP, respectively (Table S2). The method 116 detection limits (MDLs), with a range from 1 to 53 pg/g, were calculated by the 117 118 average of procedural blanks plus three times the standard deviation (3σ) of procedural blanks. While the instrumental detection limits, ranging from 0.1 to 4 pg, 119 were quantified as signal to noise ratio of 3. 120

121

122 **Results and Discussion**

123 OPE Concentrations and Composition

The total concentrations of 7 OPEs in the surface sediment (Σ_7 OPEs, sum of the detected 4 non-halogenated OPEs and 3 halogenated OPEs) ranged from 159 to 4658 pg g⁻¹ dry weight (dw), with a mean of 878 ± 892 pg g⁻¹ dw. A summary of the chemical concentrations is presented in Table 1. Generally, the halogenated OPEs were more abundant than the non-halogenated OPEs and the composition profile of

OPEs along all the sampling stations is shown in Figure 1-a. For halogenated OPEs, 129 TCEP was the dominant compound which was detected at all sampling stations with a 130 median contribution of 54 \pm 18% to Σ_7 OPEs. TCEP concentrations were in a range of 131 81 to 3903 pg g⁻¹ dw. The second-most abundant chlorinated OPE, TCPPs (sum of 132 three of isomers) ranged from 0.94 to 460 pg g⁻¹ dw, followed by TDCP, which was 133 detected in 63% samples with concentrations from not detected (n.d.) to 165 pg g^{-1} dw. 134 Among the non-halogenated OPEs, TiBP was the dominant compound detected at all 135 sampling stations, with a median contribution of 24 \pm 15% to Σ_7 OPEs during the 136 entire cruise. Individual TiBP concentrations ranged from 47 to 552 pg g⁻¹ dw, 137 followed by TnBP, which was also detected in all samples, for which concentrations 138 ranged from 19 to 209 pg g⁻¹ dw. The detection frequency for TPhP and TPeP was 77% 139 and 83%, with concentrations from n.d. to 105 pg g⁻¹ dw and n.d. to 81 pg g⁻¹ dw, 140 respectively. 141

OPEs were also investigated in airborne particles from East Asia to the remote Arctic 142 regions during the same polar expedition CHINARE 4.1 Relatively higher levels of 143 halogenated OPEs in comparison to non-halogenated OPEs, with the dominance of 144 TCEP, in the surficial sediment was consistent with what was detected in the airborne 145 particles of the same region.¹ Similar results of the predominance of halogenated 146 OPEs and TCEP were shown in the atmospheric observing stations located in the 147 Canadian Arctic.¹³ Generally, halogenated OPEs display higher environmental 148 persistence and historical production than non-halogenated OPEs.²³ Moreover, it is 149 reported that TCEP has gradually been replaced by the structurally similar TCPP in 150

Europe due to the considerable carcinogenic and mutagenic effects.²⁴ Relatively 151 higher detection frequency and levels of TCEP in our study might reflect its 152 continuing usage in Asia and North America. With a relatively high water solubility of 153 7.0×10^3 mg L⁻¹,²⁵ much higher levels of TCEP could be assumed to be present 154 dissolved in water bodies. However, for atmospheric particle phase samples collected 155 at Longvearbyen on Svalbard in the European Arctic, the sum of all non-halogenated 156 OPE concentrations comprised ~75% of the Σ OPE concentrations.¹² And high levels 157 of non-halogenated OPEs, especially for TnBP and TiBP, were also observed in air 158 samples of Japan urbanized area.²⁶ These indicated the existence of different 159 concentrations and sources of OPEs in varied regions. 160

There is little literature data on OPEs in the remote ocean sediment. We summarized information on OPEs in estuary sediment and freshwater sediment in Table 2, together with the data of this research. OPE concentrations in urbanized locations such as Austria,²⁷ Norway,²⁸ Spain,²⁹ and China^{7, 8} in the 1~4-digit µg kg⁻¹ dw range were significantly higher than our results.

The TOC composition in these surficial sediments (Table S1), displayed higher levels in the shelf regions such as the Bering Strait (average value of 0.64%) and Chukchi Sea (average value of 0.60%), and decreased towards the Bering Sea (average value of 0.43%), Canadian Basin margin region (average value of 0.27%), and the central Arctic Ocean (average value of 0.10%). Obviously, the distribution pattern of TOC is quite different from that of OPEs. And no significant relationships were discovered between any OPE compound and TOC (p>0.05, Table S3). For PBDEs, we also 173 discovered a lack of correlation between the contaminants and TOC.³⁰ Thus TOC

174 might not be a strong predictor for OPE concentrations in remote oceans.

175

176 Geographic Distribution of OPEs

The sampling stations were separated into five geographical regions as Bering Sea, 177 Bering Strait, Chukchi Sea, Canadian Basin margin and Central Arctic Ocean to 178 assess the spatial distribution of OPEs. Significant differences in the mean 179 concentrations of OPEs were demonstrated among the five geographical regions 180 according to analysis of variance (ANOVA). Figure 2 showed the spatial distribution 181 of Σ_7 OPEs along transect of the cruise. The highest average Σ_7 OPEs concentrations 182 were observed in the Central Arctic Ocean (1463 \pm 1574 pg g⁻¹ dw), followed by 183 sampling sites in the Bering Sea (1207 \pm 593 pg g⁻¹ dw) and Canadian Basin margin 184 region (1035 \pm 491 pg g⁻¹ dw), however, the Chukchi Sea (468 \pm 219 pg g⁻¹ dw) and 185 Bering Strait ($350 \pm 59 \text{ pg g}^{-1} \text{ dw}$) had relatively lower average concentrations. Except 186 187 for the Bering Sea, Σ_7 OPEs concentrations generally increased with the increasing latitudes from Bering Strait to the Central Arctic Ocean. Beyond these regional 188 differences we also note that concentrations of Σ_7 OPEs showed spatial variability in 189 the Central Arctic Ocean. Elevated Σ_7 OPEs levels were observed at sites BN06 (4658) 190 pg g⁻¹ dw) and BN04 (2360 pg g⁻¹ dw), as well as the northern most site BN13 (1144 191 pg g⁻¹ dw). Notably high Σ_7 OPEs concentrations were also shown at site B04 (2093 pg 192 g⁻¹ dw) in the Bering Sea, as well as site MS02 (1855 pg g⁻¹ dw) in the Canadian 193 Basin margin area, respectively. Moreover, for OPEs composition profile in the five 194

different geographic areas, we observed that the contributions of halogenated OPEs
(typically TCEP and TCPP) to total OPE profile increased from Bering Strait to the
Central Arctic Ocean. In contrast, the contributions of non-halogenated OPEs
(typically TiBP and TnBP) decreased from Bering Strait to the Central Arctic Ocean
(Figure 1-b).

For the distribution of OPEs in airborne particles from East Asia to the remote Arctic, 200 the highest concentrations were observed in the Sea of Japan, while the concentrations 201 decreased dramatically to the open North Pacific Ocean.¹ The high OPE levels shown 202 203 in East Asia were attributed to their release in Asian countries, such as production and usage of OPEs in factories as well as the treatment of e-waste. Although we do not 204 have data about OPE concentrations in sediment of East Asia area, the relatively high 205 206 level of OPEs in the sediment of Bering Sea might partly share these Asian sources followed by atmospheric deposition and further settling through water column. 207

Moreover, Möller et al. (2012) reported some high atmospheric OPE concentrations with-in the Arctic were due to air masses from continent of Russia and Alaska. For our surficial sediments observations, OPE concentrations generally increased with the increasing latitudes from Bering Strait to the Central Arctic Ocean, though highest overall OPE concentrations were not found at the northern most sampling site. This suggests that factors other than LRAT and 'cold condensation effect' affect OPEs, possibly indicating the existence of some with-in Arctic sources.³¹

In general, high concentrations of OPEs in high latitude regions were due tohalogenated OPEs rather than non-halogenated OPEs. Some high concentrations of

halogenated OPEs, typically TCEP and TCPP were displayed at Station BN06, BN04 217 and MS02 (Figure 2). Higher concentrations of halogenated OPEs were also observed 218 around the river mouth of the Canadian Arctic close to Canadian Archipelago and 219 Sühring et al. attributed it to river discharge.¹³ Generally, halogenated OPEs possess 220 higher water solubility compared to non-halogenated OPEs. And the characteristic 221 travel distance of most halogenated OPEs was longer in water than in air.³² In our 222 study, the stations displayed relatively higher concentrations of halogenated OPEs at 223 Canadian Basin margin and Central Arctic Ocean were quite close to the Beaufort 224 Gyre. Seawater circulated in the Beaufort Sea was mainly through Canadian 225 Archipelago and further transported to the remote Arctic. Therefore these stations 226 with relatively high levels of halogenated OPEs might also share the source of river 227 228 discharge of Canadian Arctic to a certain extent.

229

230 **Observations of Latitudinal Fractionation**

231 To assess the influence of volatility on the LRAT potential of the individual OPE compound, the ratio of individual OPE concentrations at northern most 88°N (high 232 Arctic station BN13) and their concentration at 61°N (Bering Strait station B14) as a 233 function of log subcooled liquid vapor pressure (pL) was obtained (Figure S1; TPeP 234 235 was excluded for which pL was not known from the same literature source; TDCP was excluded as well because it was not detected at these two stations).³ Distributions of 236 various polychlorinated biphenyl congeners (PCBs) between northern and southern 237 regions have been shown to strongly correlation with pL.³³ It was thus a potentially 238

valuable tool to gauge the compounds' propensity for LRAT to the Arctic.³⁴ No strong 239 relationship was detected between increasing North/South ratio and pL for these five 240 OPE compounds (Figure S1-a), but a strong correlation was shown if the more 241 reactive TnBP and TiBP were excluded (Figure S1-b). The North/South ratios for both 242 TnBP and TiBP were < 1 in our surficial sediment samples, implying they degrade 243 during transport to the Arctic. Actually, TnBP and TiBP displayed high atmospheric 244 concentrations in urbanized regions,²⁶ however their concentrations were quite low in 245 remote Arctic atmospheric samples.¹ This indicated that non-halogenated OPEs, in 246 247 particular TnBP and TiBP were heavily emitted to the atmosphere within urbanized regions, but they might be further degraded and/or trapped in soil/sediment during 248 atmospheric transport. Moreover, decreasing contributions of both TnBP and TiBP to 249 250 total investigated OPEs were observed in our surficial sediments from Bring Strait through Chukchi Sea to the Canadian Basin and central Arctic Ocean (Figure 1-b). 251 This further demonstrated that they have relatively low potential of being transported 252 to the remote Arctic regions. In contrast, the North/South ratios for TCEP and TCPP 253 were > 1 (~8 and ~3) in our sediment samples. The strong relationship between 254 North/South ratio and volatility together with their increasing contributions from low 255 to high latitudes (Figure 1-b) demonstrated high potential of being transported to 256 remote oceans for TCEP and TCPP. 257

Moreover, Kow is also used to investigate the relation with increasing North/South ratio. The results showed that there was a strong negative relationship between North/South ratio and logKow value (Figure S2). These again demonstrated that the

compound with high value of Kow, such as TiBP and TnBP, have relatively low 261 potential of being transported to the Arctic Ocean (the North/South concentration 262 ratio < 1). And they might be trapped in the ocean sediment of low latitude regions 263 during their transport from low latitude to high latitude regions. In contrast, the 264 contaminants with relative low value of Kow, such as TCEP and TCPP, have 265 relatively higher potential of being transported to remote oceans (the North/South 266 concentration ratio > 1). And sediments in the remote Arctic could be seemed as their 267 final sink. 268

269

270 Budget of OPEs in the Central Arctic Ocean Basin

Since this work regularly observed OPE concentrations in remote Arctic Ocean 271 272 surficial sediment, we were interested in deriving a mass budget of this reservoir. Considering the relatively low sedimentation rates, at about 1~2cm/kyr in the central 273 Arctic Ocean,^{35, 36} the sediment samples (top 2 cm) would have captured all of the 274 275 industrial production since OPEs were produced. Therefore using the surficial sediment OPE concentrations obtained over Canada Basin and central Arctic Ocean, 276 we estimated the inventories of OPEs in the sediment of the Central Arctic Ocean 277 Basin. Any dilution through bioturbation will mean that our budget is an 278 279 underestimation of total OPE masses stored in these remote sediments.

The method we used is as previously reported; a detailed calculation method is provided in supporting information.³⁷ The estimation results are shown in Table 3. Generally, for halogenated OPEs, the budget of the dominant TCEP to the Central Arctic Ocean Basin was estimated in the range of 12-219 tons, with a median value of 39 tons. While for non-halogenated OPEs, the dominant TiBP budget was estimated in the ranges of 3.6-16 tons, with a median value of 6.2 tons. Compared with thousands tons of production/usage volumes of TCEP per year in Europe and United States,²³ the input to the Arctic Ocean sediment represented still a very small amount.

288

289 Comparison of OPEs in Surficial Sediment with PBDEs

Since OPEs are used in many cases as substitutes of PBDEs, OPE concentrations are 290 291 compared with PBDE concentrations observed in surficial sediment in the same research region during CHINARE 3, CHINARE 4 and 5 campaign. Concentrations of 292 the most dominant PBDEs (BDE47, -99, -153, and -209) are given in Table S4 and 293 294 comparison between dominant OPEs and PBDEs along northern latitude is shown in Figure 3. The data available showed that BDE-47, -99 and -153 were generally in the 295 range of tens pg g⁻¹ dw, while BDE-209 were in hundreds pg g⁻¹ dw range. Moreover, 296 297 the PBDEs (excluding BDE-209) concentrations generally decreased from 2008 to 298 2012, especially in the Bering Sea, Bering Strait, and Chukchi Sea area, although this temporal trend might not be so significant considering different analytical methods 299 and sampling sites among these cruises.^{30, 38} 300

In comparison, concentrations of the dominant OPEs (TiBP and TCEP) in the surficial sediment are in the hundreds to thousands pg g⁻¹ dw range (as data displayed in Table 1), already greater than key PBDEs. Concentrations of the other detected OPEs were generally comparable to or greater than BDE47, -99, -153, but lower than BDE-209.

Therefore the transport and fluxes of TCEP and TiBP to benthic sediment of the marine environment already exceed those of PBDEs. Similarly, higher concentrations of OPEs were observed in atmospheric particles at Polar Regions compared with concentrations of PBDEs.^{1, 12, 13}

From our results, the remote marine sediments could be seemed as a great repository for OPEs, especially in the Arctic regions. Given the increasing production and usage of OPEs as substitutes of PBDEs, OPEs will continue to be emitted to the atmosphere, and transferred into the surface waters, and further transported to the deep water and sediment of the remote marine environment. And these sediments likely constitute OPEs' long term global sink. Given current sediment concentrations, OPEs are more efficiently transported to remote Arctic sediments than PBDEs.

316

Supporting Information. Details on the sampling and lab analysis information,
PBDE concentrations, along with calculation method of OPE inventories. This
material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

320

Acknowledgements. We would like to appreciate staff of the 4th Chinese National Arctic Research Expedition. The research is supported by Youth Fund of National Natural Science Foundation of China (41506215), Shanghai Sailing Program (15YF1405100) and Science and Technology Development Fund of Shanghai Ocean University (A2-0209-15-200001). The samples were obtained from Polar Sediment Sample Chamber of China. The sample analysis was supported by the General

- 327 Program of National Natural Science Foundation of China (41276197) and the Global
- 328 Change Research Program of China (2015CB953900).
- 329

330 **References**

Moller, A.; Sturm, R.; Xie, Z. Y.; Cai, M. H.; He, J. F.; Ebinghaus, R., Organophosphorus Flame
 Retardants and Plasticizers in Airborne Particles over the Northern Pacific and Indian Ocean toward
 the Polar Regions: Evidence for Global Occurrence. *Environ Sci Technol* 2012, 46, (6), 3127-3134.

Marklund, A.; Andersson, B.; Haglund, P., Screening of organophosphorus compounds and their
 distribution in various indoor environments. *Chemosphere* 2003, *53*, (9), 1137-1146.

Reemtsma, T.; Quintana, J. B.; Rodil, R.; Garcia-Lopez, M.; Rodriguez, I., Organophosphorus flame
 retardants and plasticizers in water and air I. Occurrence and fate. *Trac-Trends in Analytical Chemistry* 2008, *27*, (9), 727-737.

339 4. *Global and China Flame Retardant Industry Report, 2014-2016*; Research In China at China
340 Market Research Report: 2016.

Bollmann, U. E.; Moler, A.; Xie, Z. Y.; Ebinghaus, R.; Einax, J. W., Occurrence and fate of
 organophosphorus flame retardants and plasticizers in coastal and marine surface waters. *Water Research* 2012, 46, (2), 531-538.

 Wang, R. M.; Tang, J. H.; Xie, Z. Y.; Mi, W. Y.; Chen, Y. J.; Wolschke, H.; Tian, C. G.; Pan, X. H.; Luo, Y.
 M.; Ebinghaus, R., Occurrence and spatial distribution of organophosphate ester flame retardants and plasticizers in 40 rivers draining into the Bohai Sea, north China. *Environ Pollut* 2015, *198*, 172-178.

Chung, H. W.; Ding, W. H., Determination of organophosphate flame retardants in sediments by
 microwave-assisted extraction and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry with electron impact and
 chemical ionization. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 2009, *395*, (7), 2325-2334.

Cao, S. X.; Zeng, X. Y.; Song, H.; Li, H. R.; Yu, Z. Q.; Sheng, G. Y.; Fu, J. M., Levels and distributions
 of organophosphate flame retardants and plasticizers in sediment from Taihu Lake, China. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 2012, *31*, (7), 1478-1484.

Kim, J. W.; Isobe, T.; Chang, K. H.; Amano, A.; Maneja, R. H.; Zamora, P. B.; Siringan, F. P.; Tanabe,
 S., Levels and distribution of organophosphorus flame retardants and plasticizers in fishes from Manila
 Bay, the Philippines. *Environ Pollut* 2011, *159*, (12), 3653-3659.

10. Sundkvist, A. M.; Olofsson, U.; Haglund, P., Organophosphorus flame retardants and plasticizers

in marine and fresh water biota and in human milk. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring* 2010, *12*, (4),
943-951.

359 11. Zhang, X.; Suehring, R.; Serodio, D.; Bonnell, M.; Sundin, N.; Diamond, M. L., Novel flame

retardants: Estimating the physical-chemical properties and environmental fate of 94 halogenated and
 organophosphate PBDE replacements. *Chemosphere* 2016, 144, 2401-2407.

- 362 12. Salamova, A.; Hermanson, M. H.; Hites, R. A., Organophosphate and Halogenated Flame
 363 Retardants in Atmospheric Particles from a European Arctic Site. *Environ Sci Technol* 2014, 48, (11),
 364 6133-6140.
- 365 13. Suhring, R.; Diamond, M. L.; Scheringer, M.; Wong, F.; Pucko, M.; Stern, G.; Burt, A.; Hung, H.;
- 366 Fellin, P.; Li, H.; Jantunen, L. M., Organophosphate Esters in Canadian Arctic Air: Occurrence, Levels

- 367 and Trends. Environ Sci Technol 2016, 50, (14), 7409-7415.
- 14. Castro-Jimenez, J.; Berrojalbiz, N.; Pizarro, M.; Dachs, J., Organophosphate Ester (OPE) Flame
 Retardants and Plasticizers in the Open Mediterranean and Black Seas Atmosphere. *Environ Sci Technol* 2014, 48, (6), 3203-3209.
- 15. Moller, A.; Xie, Z. Y.; Caba, A.; Sturm, R.; Ebinghaus, R., Organophosphorus flame retardants and
- plasticizers in the atmosphere of the North Sea. *Environ Pollut* **2011**, *159*, (12), 3660-3665.
- 373 16. Castro Jimenez, J.; Gonzalez-Gaya, B.; Pizarro, M.; Casal, P.; Pizarro-Alvarez, C.; Dachs, J.,
- 374 ORGANOPHOSPHATE ESTER FLAME RETARDANTS AND PLASTICIZERS IN THE GLOBAL OCEANIC
 375 ATMOSPHERE. *Environ Sci Technol* 2016.
- 376 17. Dachs, J.; Lohmann, R.; Ockenden, W. A.; Mejanelle, L.; Eisenreich, S. J.; Jones, K. C., Oceanic
 377 biogeochemical controls on global dynamics of persistent organic pollutants. *Environ Sci Technol* 2002,
 378 36, (20), 4229-4237.
- 379 18. Jaward, F. M.; Barber, J. L.; Booij, K.; Dachs, J.; Lohmann, R.; Jones, K. C., Evidence for dynamic
 380 air-water coupling and cycling of persistent organic pollutants over the open Atlantic Ocean. *Environ*
- 381 *Sci Technol* **2004**, *38*, (9), 2617-2625.
- Regnery, J.; Puttmann, W., Organophosphorus Flame Retardants and Plasticizers in Rain and
 Snow from Middle Germany. *Clean-Soil Air Water* **2009**, *37*, (4-5), 334-342.
- Regnery, J.; Puttmann, W., Seasonal fluctuations of organophosphate concentrations in
 precipitation and storm water runoff. *Chemosphere* 2010, *78*, (8), 958-964.
- Dachs, J.; Eisenreich, S. J.; Baker, J. E.; Ko, F. C.; Jeremiason, J. D., Coupling of phytoplankton
 uptake and air-water exchange of persistent organic pollutants. *Environ Sci Technol* 1999, *33*, (20),
 3653-3660.
- 389 22. Chen, W.-L.; Xie, Z.; Wolschke, H.; Gandrass, J.; Koetke, D.; Winkelmann, M.; Ebinghaus, R.,
- Quantitative determination of ultra-trace carbazoles in sediments in the coastal environment.
 Chemosphere **2016**, *150*, 586-595.
- 392 23. van der Veen, I.; de Boer, J., Phosphorus flame retardants: Properties, production, environmental
 393 occurrence, toxicity and analysis. *Chemosphere* 2012, *88*, (10), 1119-1153.
- 24. Stachel, B.; Jantzen, E.; Knoth, W.; Kruger, F.; Lepom, P.; Oetken, M.; Reincke, H.; Sawal, G.;
- Schwartz, R.; Uhlig, S., The Elbe flood in August 2002 Organic contaminants in sediment samples
 taken after the flood event. *J Environ Sci Heal A* 2005, *40*, (2), 265-287.
- 397 25. Fisk, P. R.; Girling, A. E.; Wildey, R. J., Prioritisation of Flame Retardants for Environmental Risk
 398 Assessment. In Agency, E., Ed. United Kingdom, 2003.
- 399 26. Ohura, T.; Amagai, T.; Senga, Y.; Fusaya, M., Organic air pollutants inside and outside residences in
 400 Shimizu, Japan: Levels, sources and risks. *Sci Total Environ* **2006**, *366*, (2-3), 485-499.
- 401 27. Martinez-Carballo, E.; Gonzalez-Barreiro, C.; Sitka, A.; Scharf, S.; Gans, O., Determination of
- 402 selected organophosphate esters in the aquatic environment of Austria. *Sci Total Environ* 2007, *388*,
 403 (1-3), 290-299.
- 404 28. Leonards, P.; Steindal, E. H.; van der Veen, I.; Berg, V.; Bustnes, J. O.; van Leeuwen, S. *Screening of*405 *Organophosphor Flame Retardants 2010*; 2011.
- 406 29. Garcia-Lopez, M.; Rodriguez, I.; Cela, R., Pressurized liquid extraction of organophosphate
- 407 triesters from sediment samples using aqueous solutions. *Journal of Chromatography A* 2009, 1216,
 408 (42), 6986-6993.
- 409 30. Ma, Y. X.; Halsall, C. J.; Crosse, J. D.; Graf, C.; Cai, M. H.; He, J. F.; Gao, G. P.; Jones, K., Persistent
- 410 organic pollutants in ocean sediments from the North Pacific to the Arctic Ocean. Journal of

- 411 *Geophysical Research-Oceans* **2015,** *120,* (4), 2723-2735.
- 412 31. Wania, F.; Mackay, D., Global Fractionation and Cold Condensation of Low Volatility
- 413 Organochlorine Compounds in Polar-Regions. *Ambio* **1993**, *22*, (1), 10-18.
- 414 32. Cristale, J.; Katsoyiannis, A.; Chen, C. E.; Jones, K. C.; Lacorte, S., Assessment of flame retardants
- in river water using a ceramic dosimeter passive sampler. *Environ Pollut* **2013**, *172*, 163-169.
- 416 33. Sun, C. X.; Soltwedel, T.; Bauerfeind, E.; Adelman, D. A.; Lohmann, R., Depth Profiles of Persistent
- 417 Organic Pollutants in the North and Tropical Atlantic Ocean. Environ Sci Technol 2016, 50, (12),
- 418 6172-6179.
- 419 34. Sobek, A.; Gustafsson, O., Latitudinal fractionation of polychlorinated biphenyls in surface
- seawater along a 62 degrees N-89 degrees N transect from the southern Norwegian Sea to the North
 Pole area. *Environ Sci Technol* 2004, *38*, (10), 2746-2751.
- 422 35. Backman, J.; Jakobsson, M.; Lovlie, R.; Polyak, L.; Febo, L. A., Is the central Arctic Ocean a 423 sediment starved basin? *Quaternary Sci Rev* **2004**, *23*, (11-13), 1435-1454.
- 424 36. Darby, D. A.; Ortiz, J.; Polyak, L.; Lund, S.; Jakobsson, M.; Woodgate, R. A., The role of currents
- 425 and sea ice in both slowly deposited central Arctic and rapidly deposited Chukchi-Alaskan margin
- 426 sediments. *Global Planet Change* **2009**, *68*, (1-2), 56-70.
- 427 37. Jonsson, A.; Gustafsson, O.; Axelman, J.; Sundberg, H., Global accounting of PCBs in the
- 428 continental shelf sediments. *Environ Sci Technol* **2003**, *37*, (2), 245-255.
- 429 38. Cai, M. G.; Hong, Q. Q.; Wang, Y.; Luo, X. J.; Chen, S. J.; Cai, M. H.; Qiu, C. R.; Huang, S. Y.; Mai, B.
- 430 X., Distribution of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and decabromodiphenylethane in surface
- 431 sediments from the Bering Sea, Chukchi Sea, and Canada Basin. Deep-Sea Res Pt li 2012, 81-84,
- 432 95-101.
- 433
- 434
- 435

436 Figure Captions

437	Figure 1. Composition profile of observed OPEs in surficial marine sediments along
438	the sampling transect (a); Average contributions of investigated OPEs in the
439	five geographical regions (b)
440	Figure 2. Spatial distributions of OPEs in the surface marine sediment along the
441	sampling cruise
442	Figure 3. Comparison between dominant OPEs and PBDEs in surface marine
443	sediment along northern latitude
444	









449 Figure 1



Figure 2



453 Figure 3

Table 1. Individual concentrations of OPEs in the surface sediment (pg g^{-1} d.w.) at sampling sites from Bering Sea to the Central Arctic Ocean (n.d. = not detected)

Location	Site	TiBP	TnBP	TPeP	TPhP	TCPP-1	TCPP-2	TCPP-3	Sum of TCPPs	TCEP	TDCP	Sum
Bering Sea	B02	244	95	2.0	51	40	13	0.67	54	493	14	954
Bering Sea	B04	204	108	0.65	44	146	37	3.5	186	1527	24	2093
Bering Sea	B06	260	80	2.1	23	42	8.6	1.3	52	415	3.7	836
Bering Sea	B07	552	173	2.0	n.d.	24	6.0	1.1	31	186	2.4	947
Average		315	114	1.7	30	63	16	1.6	81	655	11	1207
Bering Strait	B14	125	43	0.58	2.1	17	3.8	n.d.	20	116	n.d.	308
Bering Strait	BB06	181	73	1.1	1.2	9.4	1.4	n.d.	11	141	n.d.	408
Bering Strait	NB04	219	70	0.27	n.d.	3.5	0.64	n.d.	4.2	99	n.d.	391
Bering Strait	NB08	154	53	0.42	1.9	1.8	0.12	n.d.	1.9	81	n.d.	292
Average		170	60	0.60	1.3	7.8	1.5	n.d.	9.3	109	n.d.	350
Chukchi Sea Shelf	SR01	47	19	2.7	n.d.	0.94	n.d.	n.d.	0.94	89	n.d.	159
Chukchi Sea Shelf	SR04	94	45	1.1	n.d.	2.8	2.0	n.d.	4.9	83	1.6	228
Chukchi Sea Shelf	SR05	171	65	1.6	n.d.	46	11	1.5	59	376	19	690
Chukchi Sea Shelf	R08	91	38	0.075	71	61	12	0.80	74	136	n.d.	410
Chukchi Sea Shelf	R09	160	61	n.d.	23	17	3.8	n.d.	21	138	2.0	404
Chukchi Sea Shelf	SR10	136	47	1.6	0.86	22	6.1	0.52	29	183	14	411
Chukchi Sea Shelf	SR11	144	63	n.d.	23	35	7.4	0.22	42	523	4.6	800
Chukchi Sea Shelf	C07	215	73	0.59	21	18	4.2	0.94	23	449	0.53	782
Chukchi Sea Shelf	C09	178	79	0.14	12	10	3.3	n.d.	13	131	n.d.	413
Chukchi Sea Shelf	M07	91	32	n.d.	102	17	1.9	n.d.	19	127	8.8	381
Chukchi Sea Basin	M02	76	33	n.d.	3.1	29	7.7	0.29	37	461	n.d.	610
Chukchi Sea marine bench edge	BN03	116	71	19	23	56	15	1.1	72	676	21	998
Average		126	52	2.3	23	26	6.2	0.45	33	281	5.9	524
Northwind ridges	MOR02	95	41	2.9	11	73	23	2.1	98	747	12	1007
Canadian Basin	MS02	265	189	58	74	143	40	3.5	186	990	92	1855
Canadian Basin	S26	172	56	n.d.	n.d.	48	10	1.1	59	413	6.0	706
Average		177	95	20	28	88	24	2.3	114	717	37	1190
Central Arctic Ocean	BN04	286	209	81	105	218	67	6.4	291	1223	165	2360
Central Arctic Ocean	BN06	117	66	17	76	335	112	13	460	3903	18	4658
Central Arctic Ocean	BN07	74	25	1.0	4.9	7.3	2.8	0.13	10	268	n.d.	383
Central Arctic Ocean	BN09	65	20	0.31	n.d.	23	4.2	0.014	27	212	n.d.	323
Central Arctic Ocean	BN10	97	25	0.75	4.2	14	6.3	n.d.	21	335	1.2	483
Central Arctic Ocean	BN12	115	45	2.6	0.28	70	18	1.2	89	630	10	891
Central Arctic Ocean	BN13	107	36	0.61	4.5	40	10	0.32	51	945	n.d.	1144
Average		123	61	15	28	101	32	3.0	136	1073	28	1463
Average of the cruise		162	68	67	23	52	15	13	68	536	14	878

457

458

Table 2. Comparison of OPE concentration ranges (pg g⁻¹ d.w.) in surface sediment

460 during CHINARE 4 with present literature data

Location	TCPP	TCEP	TDCP	TPhP	Reference
Bering Sea	31-186 (53)	186-1527 (454)	2.4-24 (9.1)	n.d51 (33)	this study
Bering Strait	1.9-20 (7.5)	81-141 (107)	n.d.	n.d2.1 (1.5)	this study
Chukchi Sea	0.94-74 (26)	83-676 (160)	n.d21 (1.8)	n.d102 (17)	this study
Canadian Basin margin	59-186 (98)	413-990 (747)	6.0-92 (12)	n.d74 (11)	this study
Central Arctic Ocean	10-460 (51)	212-3903 (630)	n.d165 (1.2)	n.d105 (4.5)	this study
Taihu lake (China)	n.d2270 (1360)	620-3170 (1750)	n.d5540 (1300)	n.d1190 (490)	ref ⁶
River Danube (Austria)	<610-20000	n.d<7700	n.d.	n.d<790	ref ²²
River Schwechat (Austria)	1300000	160000	<640	160000	ref ²²
River Liesig (Austria)	95000	n.d.	<640	4300	ref ²²
Kåfjorden, Trondheim, Oslo, Mjøsa (Norway)	<150-54000	<160-8500	<90-1000	<100-6800	ref ²³
Rivers and marine estuaries of Galicia (Spain)	38000	45900	n.d.	n.a.	ref ²⁴
River at Chung-Li and coastal region at Tai-shi and Chi-ku (Taiwan)	n.d9500	n.d1500	n.d1100	n.d3100	ref ⁵

462 Average concentrations are given in brackets

463 n.d. = not detected

464 n.a. = not analyzed

465

461

	min	max	median	average
TiBP	3.6	16	6.2	7.8
TnBP	1.1	12	2.4	4.0
TPeP	0	4.6	0.10	0.93
TPhP	0	5.9	0.27	1.6
TCPP	0.57	26	4.2	7.3
TCEP	12	219	39	54
TDCP	0	9.2	0.45	1.7
Total	17	292	52	78

467 Table 3. Estimation results of the inventories of OPEs in the sediment for the Central468 Arctic Ocean Basin (tons)