## University of Rhode Island DigitalCommons@URI

Museum Services Act (1984)

Education: National Endowment for the Arts and Humanities, Subject Files II (1962-1996)

1988

## Museum Services Act (1984): Correspondence 09

Willard S. Boyd

Helmuth J. Naumer

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell neh II 46

## Recommended Citation

Boyd, Willard S.; Naumer, Helmuth J.; Denman, Diana D.; Duff, James H.; Schwartz, Richard J.; Howell, Fay S.; Mennello, Marilyn L.; White, Beverly F.; Rosebush, James S.; Hercsog, Richard J.; Beale, Arthur; McMillan, Rosemary; and Naftzger, Pauline C., "Museum Services Act (1984): Correspondence 09" (1988). *Museum Services Act* (1984). Paper 22. http://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell\_neh\_II\_46/22

This Correspondence is brought to you for free and open access by the Education: National Endowment for the Arts and Humanities, Subject Files II (1962-1996) at DigitalCommons@URI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Museum Services Act (1984) by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@etal.uri.edu.

Authors Willard S. Boyd, Helmuth J. Naumer, Diana D. Denman, James H. Duff, Richard J. Schwartz, Fay S. Howell, Marilyn L. Mennello, Beverly F. White, James S. Rosebush, Richard J. Hercsog, Arthur Beale, Rosemary McMillan, and Pauline C. Naftzger



Exon amendment to Interior Appropriations

INSTITUTE OF MUSEUM SERVICES • 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Room 510 • Washington, D.C. 20506

1989

July 22, 1988

The Honorable Robert C. Byrd United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Byrd:

We, the members of the National Museum Services Board, are deeply disturbed by the recent actions of the Senate. The Interior and Related Agencies Appropriations Bill was amended to require the Institute of Museum Services to allocate a minimum of one-half of one percent of its grants funds to each state.

This provision undercuts the entire philosophy of the Institute of Museum Services. The competitive process is carefully designed to ensure that grants are based on impartial judgements of quality. Setting state minimums dilutes the impact of these grants. It also decreases the incentives for museums to improve their operations to qualify for grants. Further, private donors would no longer be certain that an IMS grant denotes a high quality institution. IMS also pursues several activities to help museums improve their operations and hence their competitiveness.

At our July 21st meeting, the members of the National Museum Services Board passed a resolution strongly opposing the provision requiring state minimums. We sincerely hope you will seriously consider our views as the bill goes to conference.

Sincerely,

Thilland of Boyd

Willard S. Boyd, Chairman Chicago, Illinois

Helmth J. 1 James

Helmuth J. Naumer Santa Fe, New Mexico Benerly 7. White

Beverly F. White Palm Beach, Florida

Diana D. Denman

Diana D. Denman San Antonio, Texas

James H. Duff | Chadds Ford, Pennsylvania

James S. Rosebush Washington, D.C.

Russ of Henry

Richard J. Herczog Los Angeles, California

Richard J. Schwartz

Richard J. Schwartz Scarborough, New York

Fay S. Howell

Fay S. Howell Atlanta, Georgia

Mirilyn L. mennello

Marilyn L. Mennello Winter Park, Florida

Chtha C. Bule

Arthur Beale Boston, Massachusetts

Rosemary McMillan

Rosemary McMillan Reno. Nevada

Pauline C. Naftzger
Beverly Hills, California