

# *Acta Medica Okayama*

---

*Volume 45, Issue 1*

1991

*Article 4*

FEBRUARY 1991

---

## Maximal expiratory flow-volume types in young subjects with past history of nasal allergy and bronchial asthma.

Tadamichi Meguro\*

Masana Ogata†

\*Okayama University,

†Okayama University,

# Maximal expiratory flow-volume types in young subjects with past history of nasal allergy and bronchial asthma.\*

Tadamichi Meguro and Masana Ogata

## Abstract

Pulmonary function tests were performed on 252 healthy young subjects free from respiratory and allergic symptoms, and 80 young subjects with past history of nasal allergy (PNA) and 10 subjects with past history of bronchial asthma (PBA). All the subjects were non-smokers. Maximal expiratory flow-volume (MEFV) curves were visually classified into five types (A-E). The percent distribution of type A in healthy subjects was significantly higher than in the PNA group, while the total sum of percentage of types B, C, and D in the PNA group was significantly higher than in the healthy subjects. The percent distribution of type E in the PNA group was similar to that in the healthy subjects. The percent distribution of MEFV types were significantly different between healthy males and healthy females. The percent distribution of types A, B and E were the highest in healthy subjects, PNA and PBA groups, respectively. Conclusively, the difference in the percent distributions of MEFV types was recognized among healthy subjects, PNA and PBA groups.

**KEYWORDS:** maximal expiratory flow-volume type, non-smoking, bronchial asthma, nasal allergy

## Maximal Expiratory Flow-Volume Types in Young Subjects with Past History of Nasal Allergy and Bronchial Asthma

Tadamichi Meguro\* and Masana Ogata

*Department of Public Health, Okayama University Medical School, Okayama, 700, Japan*

Pulmonary function tests were performed on 252 healthy young subjects free from respiratory and allergic symptoms, and 80 young subjects with past history of nasal allergy (PNA) and 10 subjects with past history of bronchial asthma (PBA). All the subjects were non-smokers. Maximal expiratory flow-volume (MEFV) curves were visually classified into five types (A-E). The percent distribution of type A in healthy subjects was significantly higher than in the PNA group, while the total sum of percentage of types B, C, and D in the PNA group was significantly higher than in the healthy subjects. The percent distribution of type E in the PNA group was similar to that in the healthy subjects. The percent distribution of MEFV types were significantly different between healthy males and healthy females. The percent distribution of types A, B and E were the highest in healthy subjects, PNA and PBA groups, respectively. Conclusively, the difference in the percent distributions of MEFV types was recognized among healthy subjects, PNA and PBA groups.

**Key words :** maximal expiratory flow-volume type, non-smoking, bronchial asthma, nasal allergy

Maximal expiratory flow-volume (MEFV) curves are widely used in clinical and epidemiologic studies (1-7). Landau *et al.* (8) classified MEFV curves into five types (A, B, C, D and E) based on the flow rates at lower lung volumes. In our previous papers (9,10), we studied the distribution of MEFV types classified according to our criteria (11) in patients with nasal allergy (9) and healthy subjects (10). The percent distribution of type A was significantly lower in patients with nasal allergy (NA) than in the healthy control, while the percent distribution of type E was significantly higher in NA group than

in the healthy control and that of type B was particularly higher in the NA group than in the healthy control (9). Subsequently, the reproducibility of MEFV types in healthy young subjects and comparison of percent distribution of MEFV types between healthy young males and healthy young females were studied (10). In this study, the intra- and inter-individual reproducibility of MEFV types in healthy young males was demonstrated, and significant differences in the percent distributions of MEFV types were observed between healthy young males and females. The percent distribution of type A was higher in females than in males, and those of types B and E were higher in males than

---

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

in females.

Although the percent distribution of MEFV types in the NA group and healthy subjects were clarified in our previous studies, there have been no reports on the distribution of MEFV types in subjects with past history of nasal allergy (PNA) and subjects with past history of bronchial asthma (PBA). Some of these subjects have high risks of showing some airway symptoms and even recurring asthmatic symptoms (12,13). Thus, the investigation of the distribution of MEFV types in subjects with PNA and PBA may be useful to assess the extent of the airway obstruction in these subjects.

The present study was attempted (1) to compare the distribution of MEFV types between non-smoking healthy young subjects, and non-smoking young subjects with PNA and PBA, and (2) to clarify the sex difference in the distribution of MEFV types in healthy young subjects, and subject groups with PNA and PBA.

## Materials and Methods

**Materials.** Group 1 consisted of 252 non-smoking young subjects (193 males of average age of 23.8 years and 59 females of average age of 23.3 years) free from respiratory symptoms and airway allergy symptoms. Group 2 consisted of 80 non-smoking young subjects with PNA (69 males of average age of 23.7 years and 11 females of average age of 22.9 years). Group 3 consisted of 10 non-smoking young subjects with PBA (8 males of average age of 23.1 years and 2 females of average age of 23.0 years). All subjects were requested to complete the questionnaire made by the British Medical Research Council and an airway allergy questionnaire.

**Pulmonary function testing.** An AS-4500 flow-volume curve recorder (Minato Medical Co., Ltd.) was used for the maximal expiratory function tests, which consisted of the procedure to determine maximal expiratory volume-time (MEVT) and MEFV. These procedures were repeated at least twice in a standing position for all subjects. All pulmonary function tests were performed under the supervision of a medical doctor.

**Selection of MEVT and MEFV data.** For the analysis of curve types, MEFV charts were used which

had peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR) values high enough to evaluate types of MEFV curves visually, and showed inter-determination variation of volume less than 150 ml in forced vital capacity (FVC) (usually less than 3%) and less than 100 ml in forced expiratory volume in one second ( $FEV_1$ ) (usually less than 2%). The MEFV chart with the largest PEFR and FVC values obtained from each individual was used for the analysis.

**Classification of MEFV types.** MEFV curves were visually classified into five types from A to E according to the criteria described previously (11).

**Calculation of pulmonary function indices.** Pulmonary function indices were simultaneously calculated from the curves using a microcomputer.

**Statistical analysis.** Mean values and unbiased standard deviation (USD) were calculated for each index. Chi-square test was performed for percent distribution of MEFV types among three groups, (healthy, PNA and PBA), using an  $m \times n$  contingency table and a  $2 \times 2$  contingency table. Student's *t*-test was done for MEVT and MEFV indices.

## Results

**Age, height and conventional spirometry data.** Age, height and conventional spirometry data for the subjects of the present study are shown in Table 1. There was no significant difference in all indices among the three groups of subjects tested.

**$FEV_{1\%}$  values for individuals showing different MEFV types.** The mean  $FEV_{1\%}$  values for individuals showing type E was significantly lower than those for individuals showing types A and B in the male healthy subjects, but there was no significant difference in the mean  $FEV_{1\%}$  values among individuals showing any one of the five MEFV types in female subjects (Table 2). There were significant differences in mean  $FEV_{1\%}$  values for individuals showing types B and E in all the three groups, but there was no significant difference in the mean  $FEV_{1\%}$  values for individuals showing any one of the five MEFV types between the healthy subjects and the PNA subjects.

**Percent distribution of MEFV types in**

**Table 1** Age, height and conventional spirometry data for non-smoking male and female young healthy subjects, and young subjects with PNA and PBA tested in the present study.

|                    |      | Male  |       |       | Female |       |       |
|--------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|
|                    |      | H     | PNA   | PBA   | H      | PNA   | PBA   |
| Number of subjects |      | 193   | 69    | 8     | 59     | 11    | 2     |
| Age (year)         | Mean | 23.8  | 23.7  | 23.1  | 23.2   | 22.9  | 23.0  |
|                    | USD  | 2.0   | 2.1   | 2.0   | 1.1    | 0.4   | 0.0   |
| Height (cm)        | Mean | 168.7 | 171.7 | 170.2 | 158.2  | 159.1 | 156.6 |
|                    | USD  | 5.1   | 5.3   | 3.2   | 2.8    | 3.7   | 6.7   |
| %FVC (%)           | Mean | 109.4 | 112.1 | 108.3 | 103.8  | 111.0 | 105.0 |
|                    | USD  | 10.8  | 12.2  | 7.5   | 10.1   | 14.5  | 8.9   |
| FEV <sub>1%</sub>  | Mean | 86.8  | 86.6  | 84.4  | 91.7   | 93.0  | 82.0  |
|                    | USD  | 5.3   | 5.1   | 4.2   | 4.8    | 4.6   | 4.9   |

H: Healthy subjects, PNA: Subjects with past history of nasal allergy. PBA: Subjects with past history of bronchial asthma.  
 %FVC: Forced vital capacity expressed as the percentage of predicted vital capacity calculated from Baldwin's equation (17).  
 FEV<sub>1%</sub>: Forced expiratory volume in 1.0 sec as the percentage of forced vital capacity.  
 USD: Unbiased sample standard deviation.

healthy subjects, subjects with PNA and subjects with PBA. Percent distribution of MEFV types in healthy subjects, the PBA subjects and the PNA subjects are shown in Table 3.

The distribution pattern of the five MEFV types was shown to be significantly different by Chi-

**Table 2** Conventional spirometry value(FEV<sub>1%</sub>) for individuals showing each MEFV type in healthy, PNA and PBA groups

| Group | M | Male |            | Female |            |
|-------|---|------|------------|--------|------------|
|       |   | No.  | Mean ± USD | No.    | Mean ± USD |
| H     | A | 56   | 88.7 ± 4.7 | 41     | 92.0 ± 4.7 |
|       | B | 34   | 88.8 ± 5.6 | 6      | 89.9 ± 6.8 |
|       | C | 18   | 85.6 ± 4.8 | 0      | —          |
|       | D | 3    | 91.6 ± 8.5 | 0      | —          |
|       | E | 82   | 83.6 ± 5.8 | 12     | 90.1 ± 5.4 |
| PNA   | A | 12   | 88.7 ± 5.4 | 4      | 95.3 ± 5.2 |
|       | B | 16   | 90.4 ± 4.9 | 4      | 91.7 ± 4.7 |
|       | C | 11   | 86.3 ± 5.7 | 1      | 88.5       |
|       | D | 3    | 82.9 ± 8.9 | 0      | —          |
|       | E | 27   | 84.0 ± 5.5 | 2      | 91.9 ± 5.5 |
| PBA   | A | 0    | —          | 0      | —          |
|       | B | 2    | 96.7 ± 4.2 | 0      | —          |
|       | C | 0    | —          | 0      | —          |
|       | D | 0    | —          | 0      | —          |
|       | E | 6    | 81.0 ± 4.8 | 2      | 89.8 ± 2.5 |

Abbreviations: The same as in Table 1.  
 M: maximal flow volume type.

**Table 3** Percent distribution of MFEV types in healthy and PNA groups

| Group       | MEFV type      |               |               |             |                | Total        |
|-------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|
|             | A              | B             | C             | D           | E              |              |
| Total       |                |               |               |             |                |              |
| H           | 97<br>( 38.5)  | 40<br>( 15.9) | 18<br>( 7.1)  | 3<br>( 1.2) | 94<br>( 37.3)  | 252<br>(100) |
| PNA         | 16<br>( 20.0)  | 20<br>( 25.0) | 12<br>( 15.0) | 3<br>( 1.6) | 29<br>( 36.3)  | 80<br>(100)  |
| PBA         | 0<br>( 0.0)    | 2<br>( 20.0)  | 0<br>( 0.0)   | 0<br>( 0.0) | 8<br>( 80.0)   | 10<br>(100)  |
| Male        |                |               |               |             |                |              |
| H           | 56<br>( 29.0)  | 34<br>( 17.6) | 18<br>( 9.3)  | 3<br>( 1.6) | 82<br>( 42.5)  | 193<br>(100) |
| PNA         | 12<br>( 17.4)  | 16<br>( 23.2) | 11<br>( 15.9) | 3<br>( 4.3) | 27<br>( 39.1)  | 69<br>(100)  |
| PBA         | 0<br>( 0.0)    | 2<br>( 25.0)  | 0<br>( 0.0)   | 0<br>( 0.0) | 6<br>( 75.0)   | 8<br>(100)   |
| Female      |                |               |               |             |                |              |
| H           | 41<br>( 69.5)  | 6<br>( 10.2)  | 0<br>( 0.0)   | 0<br>( 0.0) | 12<br>( 20.3)  | 59<br>(100)  |
| PNA         | 4<br>( 36.4)   | 4<br>( 36.4)  | 1<br>( 9.1)   | 0<br>( 0.0) | 2<br>( 18.2)   | 11<br>(100)  |
| PBA         | 0<br>( 0.0)    | 0<br>( 0.0)   | 0<br>( 0.0)   | 0<br>( 0.0) | 2<br>( 100.0)  | 2<br>(100)   |
| Grand total | 122<br>( 35.7) | 67<br>( 19.6) | 31<br>( 9.1)  | 4<br>( 1.2) | 128<br>( 37.4) | 342<br>(100) |

Abbreviations: The same as in Table 1.  
 Numbers in the tarentheses indicate percentage of the number of subjects studied.

**Table 4** Results of chi-square test(A) Results of chi-square test with an  $m \times n$  contingency table

| Subject group | Comparison               | Significance |
|---------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Total         | Healthy <i>vs</i> Female | *            |
| Healthy       | Male <i>vs</i> Female    | *            |
| PNA           | Male <i>vs</i> Female    |              |
| Male          | Healthy <i>vs</i> PNA    |              |
| Female        | Healthy <i>vs</i> PNA    |              |

(B) Results of chi-square test with a  $2 \times 2$  contingency table

| Subject group             | Comparison              |                           | Significance              |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|                           | Subject group           | Type of MEFV pattern      |                           |
| Total                     | H <i>vs</i> PNA and PBA | A <i>vs</i> B, C, D and E | **                        |
|                           |                         | B, C, D <i>vs</i> A and E | **                        |
|                           |                         | E <i>vs</i> A, B, C and E | —                         |
|                           | H <i>vs</i> PNA         | A <i>vs</i> B, C, D and E | **                        |
|                           |                         | B, C, D <i>vs</i> A and E | **                        |
|                           |                         | E <i>vs</i> A, B, C and D | —                         |
|                           | H <i>vs</i> PBA         | A <i>vs</i> B, C, D and E | **                        |
|                           |                         | B, C, D <i>vs</i> A and E | —                         |
|                           | PNA <i>vs</i> PBA       | E <i>vs</i> A, B, C and E | **                        |
|                           |                         | A <i>vs</i> B, C, D and E | —                         |
|                           |                         | B, C, D <i>vs</i> A and E | —                         |
|                           | Male                    | H <i>vs</i> PNA and PBA   | A <i>vs</i> B, C, D and E |
| B, C, D <i>vs</i> A and E |                         |                           | *                         |
| E <i>vs</i> A, B, C and D |                         |                           | —                         |
| H <i>vs</i> PNA           |                         | A <i>vs</i> B, C, D and E | —                         |
|                           |                         | B, C, D <i>vs</i> A and E | *                         |
|                           |                         | E <i>vs</i> A, B, C and D | —                         |
| H <i>vs</i> PBA           |                         | A <i>vs</i> B, C, D and E | —                         |
|                           |                         | B, C, D <i>vs</i> A and E | —                         |
|                           |                         | E <i>vs</i> A, B, C and D | —                         |
| PNA <i>vs</i> PBA         |                         | A <i>vs</i> B, C, D and E | —                         |
|                           |                         | B, C, D <i>vs</i> A and E | —                         |
|                           |                         | E <i>vs</i> A, B, C and D | —                         |
| Female                    | H <i>vs</i> PNA and PBA | A <i>vs</i> B, C, D and E | **                        |
|                           |                         | B, C, D <i>vs</i> A and E | *                         |
|                           |                         | E <i>vs</i> A, B, C and D | —                         |
|                           | H <i>vs</i> PNA         | A <i>vs</i> B, C, D and E | *                         |
|                           |                         | B, C, D <i>vs</i> A and E | **                        |
|                           |                         | E <i>vs</i> A, B, C and D | —                         |
|                           | H <i>vs</i> PBA         | A <i>vs</i> B, C, D and E | —                         |
|                           |                         | B, C, D <i>vs</i> A and E | —                         |
|                           |                         | E <i>vs</i> A, B, C and D | *                         |
|                           | PNA <i>vs</i> PBA       | A <i>vs</i> B, C, D and E | —                         |
|                           |                         | B, C, D <i>vs</i> A and E | —                         |
|                           |                         | E <i>vs</i> A, B, C and D | —                         |

Abbreviations: The same as in Table 1.

Statistically significant differences: \*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ ; No statistically significant: —  $p > 0.10$ , — —  $0.01 > p > 0.05$

square test using an  $m \times n$  contingency table between non-smoking healthy subjects and non-smoking PNA subjects, and between healthy males and females (Table 4-A).

The difference in the percent distribution of MEFV types among the healthy group, the PNA and PBA were analyzed by the Chi-square test, using a  $2 \times 2$  contingency table. The results are shown in Table 4-B.

When the both sexes were combined, the percentage of type A was significantly higher in the healthy subjects than in the PNA group with or without PBA. It was also significantly higher in the healthy group than in the PBA group. The total sum of percentages of types B, C and D was significantly higher in the PNA group with or without PBA than in the healthy subjects. The percentage of type E was significantly higher in the PBA group than in the healthy or PNA group.

In the healthy subjects, the percentage distribution of MEFV types was significantly different between healthy males and females. In the subjects with PNA, the percentage distribution of MEFV types was not significantly different between males and females.

In male subjects, the percentage of type A was significantly greater in the healthy subjects than in the PNA and PBA groups combined. The total sum of percentages of types B, C and D was significantly lower in the healthy group than in the PNA with or without PBA. In female subjects, the percentage of type A in healthy subjects was higher in the PNA group with or without PBA, and the total sum of percentages of types B, C and D was significantly higher in the PNA group with or without PBA than in the healthy group. The percentage of type E was significantly higher in the PBA group than in the healthy group or the PNA group.

## Discussion

The percentage distribution of MEFV types

was different between healthy non-smokers and non-smoking subjects with PNA by  $\chi^2$  test using an  $m \times n$  contingency table. It was also different between non-smoking healthy males and non-smoking females. However, subjects with PBA were omitted in the calculation of  $\chi^2$  values, because the number in the PBA group was limited. Thus, the discussion was limited to the distribution pattern of MEFV types in healthy subjects, subjects with PNA and patients with nasal allergy (9).

Nishioka *et al.* (9) reported that the percentage of type A in patients with nasal allergy was significantly lower than that in the healthy control, but that the percentage of type E was significantly higher and that of type B was particularly higher in the patients with nasal allergy than in the healthy controls. Yajima *et al.* (14) described that all the pulmonary function indices (% FVC, FEV<sub>1</sub>%, PEFR,  $\dot{V}$ 75 and  $\dot{V}$ 50) in the normal control group were found to be within normal limits, while flow rate indices (PEFR,  $\dot{V}$ 75,  $\dot{V}$ 50 and  $\dot{V}$ 25) in patients with nasal allergy were lower than the normal limits, and  $\dot{V}$ 25 value was especially low. They considered that the low flow rate indices suggest the presence of obstruction of the peripheral lower airways. Nishioka *et al.* (9) thought that almost all of the MEFV patterns with low  $\dot{V}$ 25 values observed in the patients might be included in type E in their classification.

The percentage (18.8%) of type A in the PNA group observed in this study was higher than that (10.5%) in patients with nasal allergy reported in our previous paper (9). Although subjects with PNA, like patients with nasal allergy, may have not only upper airway obstruction but also lower airway obstruction, the percentage (32.5%) of type E in PNA group in this study was lower than that (45.9%) in patients with nasal allergy in our previous paper (9). In this study, the percentage of type A and the total sum of percentages of types B, C and D, were different between healthy subjects and PNA subjects, while the percentage of type E in the PNA group was similar to that in the healthy

subjects. This suggests that the extent of lower airway obstruction may differ between patients with nasal allergy and subjects with PNA. Because some of the subjects with PNA show type E curves, they may have the lower airway obstruction even in the state of remission. Furthermore, they may have higher risk of exacerbation of the airway symptoms including asthmatic symptoms, when they are exposed to environmental or occupational exacerbating factors (12,13). Thus, these data suggest that the MEFV typing may be useful to predict the possibility of exacerbation of the airway symptoms in the subjects with PNA.

In addition, healthy male subjects showing types B and E MEFV curves must be examined again in future to check the possibility of latent airway allergy.

In this study, healthy females showed significantly higher percentage of type A than healthy males, as described in the previous paper (10), but the percentage of type A in the PNA subjects was not significantly different between males and females. This may be due to the limitation of the number of female subjects with PNA.

Fujimura *et al.* (15) reported that the deep inspiration in young healthy females but not in males had a relaxation effect on the basic bronchomotor tone and that this sex difference disappeared after the blockade of cholinergic receptors. Fujimura *et al.* (16) also stated that the bronchodilating effect of deep inspiration in young healthy females might depend on the intensity of basal bronchomotor tone maintained by tonic vagus nerve activity.

Therefore, the reason for the sex difference in the percent distribution of MEFV types in subjects with PNA remains to be studied in future.

Lower airway obstruction may occur in the healthy aged people, and this may occur more easily in the aged patients with nasal allergy. Thus, changes in MEFV curves during the process of aging is necessary to be studied in future.

Acknowledgment. Authors thank Vice-President, E. Yokoyama, M.D. of the National Institute of Public Health for his help in this constructive criticism.

## References

1. Takishima T, Grimby G, Graham W, Knudson R, Macklem PT and Mead J: Flow-volume curves during quiet breathing, maximum voluntary ventilation, and forced vital capacities in patients with obstructive lung disease. *Scand J Dis* (1967) **48**, 384-393.
2. Peter JM and Ferris BG Jr: Smoking, pulmonary function and respiratory symptoms in a college group. *Am Rev Respir Dis* (1967) **95**, 774-482.
3. Takishima T, Sasaki T, Takahashi K, Sasaki H and Nakamura T: Direct writing recorder of the flow-volume curve and its clinical application. *Chest* (1972) **61**, 262-266.
4. Meguro T: Analysis of forced expiration curve (Report 2). Analysis of forced expiratory curve by mean of Benedict-Roth spirometer and comparison of data by Benedict-Roth spirometer and those by means of flow-volume curve recorder. *Jpn Public Health* (1973) **20**, 215-224 (in Japanese).
5. Shishido M, Sugita K, Higuchi F and Oghara A: Studies on pulmonary function by means of flow-volume curve method. Report 2. Relationship between maximal expiratory flow and age, smoking habit and physical characteristics. *Jpn J Public Health* (1975) **22**, 281-288 (in Japanese).
6. Meguro T, Tsubota N and Ogata M: Discriminant analysis of bronchial asthma by linear discriminant function with parameters of flow-volumes: Discriminant analysis of bronchial asthma in young male non-smokers. *Acta Med Okayama* (1978) **32**, 355-361.
7. Meguro T: Selection of effective maximal expiratory parameters to differentiate asthmatic patients from healthy adults by discriminant analysis using all possible selection procedure. *Acta Med Okayama* (1986) **40**, 215-223.
8. Landau LI and Phelan PD: The spectrum of cystic fibrosis: A study of pulmonary mechanics in 46 patients. *Am Rev Respir Dis* (1973) **108**, 593-602.
9. Nishioka K, Meguro T, Masuda Y, Date R and Ogura Y: Maximal expiratory flow-volume patterns in allergic rhinitis. *Ann Allergy* (1987) **59**, 379-382.
10. Meguro T and Ogata M: Classification of maximal expiratory flow-volume types observed in non-smoking healthy young subjects. *Acta Med Okayama* (1990), **44** 293-300.
11. Meguro T, Ogata M, Nishioka K, Yamanaka Y and Ogura Y: Maximal expiratory flow-volume patterns in airway allergy. *Acta Med Okayama* (1983) **37**, 163-166.
12. Townley RG, Ryo UK, Kolotkin BM and Kang B: Bronchial sensitivity to methacholine in current and former asthmatic and allergic rhinitis patients and control subjects. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* (1975) **56**, 429-442.
13. Kiya T: Relationship between bronchial hypersensitivity factors in respiratory tract allergic diseases. *Bronchial*



- asthma and nasal allergy. *Jpn J Allergol* (1990) **39**, 465-475 (in Japanese).
14. Yajima H, Unno T and Okuda M: The lower airway of patients with nasal allergy. *Jpn J Broncho-Esophagol Soc* (1978) **29**, 239-246 (in Japanese).
  15. Fujimura M, Kawai K, Shibayama M, Koshino T, Ishizaki T, Miyabo S and Hattori K: Effect of deep inspiration on maximum expiratory flow ( $V_{\max}$ ) in males and females. *Jpn J Thorac Dis* (1984) **22**, 184-189 (in Japanese).
  16. Fujimura M, Nomura M, Sakamoto S, Kamio Y, Shibata K, Ogawa H, Nishi K and Matsuda T: Effect of deep inspiration on maximum expiratory flow ( $V_{\max}$ ) depends on basal bronchomotor tone in young healthy females. *Jpn J Thorac Dis* (1990) **28**, 105-112 (in Japanese).
  17. Baldwin E, Courmand A and Richard DW: Pulmonary insufficiency. I. Physiological classification, clinical methods of analysis, standard values in normal subjects. *Medicine* (1948) **27**, 243-278.

Received August 18, 1990; accepted October 20, 1990.