

# *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University*

---

*Volume 20, Issue 2*

1978

*Article 9*

OCTOBER 1978

---

## Commutativity of certain rings

Kazuo Kishimoto\*

Isao Mogami†

\*Shinshu University

†Tsuyama College of Technology

Copyright ©1978 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by  
The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

## COMMUTATIVITY OF CERTAIN RINGS

KAZUO KISHIMOTO and ISAO MOGAMI

Throughout  $A$  will represent a ring with a regular element. The set of all regular elements in  $A$  and the set of all quasiregular elements in  $A$  will be denoted by  $R$  and  $R'$ , respectively. Now, let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of  $A$ . If for each non-zero element  $y$  of  $A$  there exists an integer  $n(y)$  such that  $xy = y \cdot x\sigma^{n(y)}$  for all  $x \in A$ , then  $A$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -commutative. In case  $A$  is  $\sigma$ -commutative, we may assume that each  $n(y)$  has been chosen so as to be minimal in its absolute value. Needless to say, a non-zero element  $y$  of a  $\sigma$ -commutative ring  $A$  is in its center  $C$  if and only if  $n(y) = 0$ . The purpose of this note is to prove the following:

**Theorem.** *If  $A$  is a  $\sigma$ -commutative ring with the prime radical  $N$ , then  $A/N$  is a commutative reduced ring and  $A$  satisfies a polynomial identity  $[[x_1, x_2], x_3] = 0$  or of the form  $[x_1^k, x_2^k] = 0$ .*

In advance of proving our theorem, we state a lemma.

**Lemma.** *Let  $A$  be a  $\sigma$ -commutative ring.*

(1) *The classical quotient ring  $Q$  of  $A$  is also  $\sigma$ -commutative.*

(2)  *$R$  and  $R'$  generate a commutative (multiplicative) semigroup.*

*In particular, if every zero-divisor of  $A$  is nilpotent then  $A$  is commutative.*

*Proof.* (1) Evidently,  $A$  has a classical quotient ring  $Q$ . As usual, by setting  $(xy^{-1})\sigma = x\sigma \cdot (y\sigma)^{-1}$ ,  $\sigma$  can be extended to an automorphism of  $Q$ . It is a routine to check  $(xy^{-1})(uw^{-1}) = (uw^{-1})(xy^{-1})\sigma^{n(u)-n(v)}$ .

(2) According to (1), we may assume that  $A$  coincides with its classical quotient ring. Then, it suffices to show that the unit group  $U(A)$  of  $A$  is commutative. If  $n(y) = 0$  for all  $y \in U(A)$  then  $U(A)$  is included in the center  $C$ . While, if  $n(y_0) \neq 0$  for some  $y_0 \in U(A)$  then there exists a unit  $a$  such that  $n(a) = \min \{|n(y)| \mid n(y) \neq 0, y \in U(A)\}$ . For each  $y \in U(A)$ , there exist integers  $q$  and  $r$  such that  $n(y) = n(a)q + r$  and  $0 \leq r < n(a)$ . Now, for any  $x \in A$  we have  $x(ya^{-q}) = (ya^{-q})x\sigma^r$ . By the minimality of  $n(a)$ , it follows then  $r = 0$ . Hence,  $ya^{-q} \in C$ , which means that  $U(A)$  is included in the commutative subring  $C[a, a^{-1}]$ .

*Proof of Theorem.* First, we shall prove that if  $P$  is a proper prime ideal of  $A$ , then  $A/P$  is a  $\tau$ -commutative domain with some  $\tau$ . If  $xy \in P$  ( $x, y \in A$ ) then  $xA \cdot yA = xyA \subseteq P$ , whence it follows  $x \in P$  or  $y \in P$ . This means that  $A/P$  is a domain. We claim here that  $P\sigma^{n(y)} = P$  for any  $y \in A \setminus P$ . In fact, this is evident by  $xy = y \cdot x\sigma^{n(y)}$  for all  $x \in A$ . If  $n(y) = 0$  for all  $y \in A \setminus P$  then  $A/P$  is commutative. While, if  $n(y_0) \neq 0$  for some  $y_0 \in A \setminus P$  then we can find a minimal positive integer  $h$  such that  $P\sigma^h = P$ . Let  $\tau$  be the automorphism of  $A/P$  induced by  $\sigma^h$ . Now, for each  $y \in A \setminus P$  there exist integers  $q$  and  $r$  such that  $n(y) = hq + r$  and  $0 \leq r < h$ . Since  $P = P\sigma^{n(y)} = P\sigma^r$ , the minimality of  $h$  implies  $r = 0$ . Hence,  $n(y)$  is a multiple of  $h$ . This proves that  $A/P$  is  $\tau$ -commutative. Since  $A/P$  is a commutative domain by Lemma (2), we readily see that  $A/N$  is a commutative reduced ring.

Next, we shall prove the latter assertion. By Lemma (1), we may assume that  $A$  coincides with its classical quotient ring. Since  $[A, A] \subseteq N \subseteq R'$ , if  $U(A)$  is included in the center  $C$  then  $[[A, A], A] = 0$ . Henceforth, we assume that  $U(A) \not\subseteq C$ , and choose a unit  $a$  such that  $n(a) = \min \{|n(y)| \mid n(y) \neq 0, y \in U(A)\}$ . We set  $K = C[a, a^{-1}]$  and  $k = n(a)$ . Now, let  $y$  be an arbitrary non-zero element of  $A$ . Then there exist integers  $q$  and  $r$  such that  $n(y) = kq + r$  and  $0 \leq r < k$ . As is easily seen,  $x(ya^{-q}) = (ya^{-q}) \cdot x\sigma^r$  for all  $x \in A$ . If  $r = 0$  then  $ya^{-q} \in C$ , and hence  $y^k \in K$ . While, if  $r > 0$  then  $x \cdot (ya^{-q})^k a^{-r} = (ya^{-q})^k a^{-r} \cdot x$  for all  $x \in A$ . Hence,  $(ya^{-q})^k a^{-r} \in C$ . Since  $U(A) \subseteq K$  (see the proof of Lemma (2)) and  $(ya^{-q})^k = y^k u$  with some unit  $u$ , it follows eventually  $y^k \in K$ .

If  $A$  is right  $s$ -unital then  $A$  contains 1, and hence if  $A$  is a right  $p. p.$  ring in the sense of [3] then  $A$  is so in the primary sense [1].

**Corollary 1.** *If  $A$  is a  $\sigma$ -commutative, right  $p. p.$  ring then  $A$  is commutative.*

*Proof.* According to Theorem, it suffices to prove that  $A$  is a reduced ring. If  $a$  is an element of  $A$  with  $a^2 = 0$  then, by [3, Lemma 5], the right annihilator  $r(a)$  of  $a$  in  $A$  is generated by a central idempotent  $e$ . Since  $a$  is in  $r(a)$ , we obtain  $a = ae = 0$ .

Finally, we can restate [2, Theorem 2.2] as follows :

**Corollary 2.** *If  $A$  is  $\sigma$ -commutative then the following are equivalent :*

- 1)  $A$  is semiprime, and for any  $x \in A$  there exists  $x' \in A$  such that  $r(r(x)) = r(x')$ .
- 2) For any  $x \in A$  there exists  $d \in R$  such that  $dx = x^2$ .
- 3) The classical quotient ring  $Q$  of  $A$  is a regular ring.

*Proof.* 1)  $\implies$  2) By Theorem,  $A$  is commutative. Obviously, there holds  $(x + x')x = x^2$ . If  $(x + x')y = 0$  then  $xy = -x'y \in r(r(x)) \cap r(r(x')) = r(x') \cap r(r(x')) = 0$  by the semiprimeness of  $A$ . Hence,  $y \in r(x) \cap r(x') = r(x) \cap r(r(x)) = 0$ , which means  $x + x' \in R$ .

2)  $\implies$  3) To be easily seen,  $A$  is a reduced ring, and therefore commutative by Theorem. Let  $xu^{-1}$  be an arbitrary element of  $Q$ , and choose  $d \in R$  with  $dx = x^2$ . We have then  $(xu^{-1})(ud^{-1})(xu^{-1}) = x^2d^{-1}u^{-1} = xu^{-1}$ .

3)  $\implies$  1) By Lemma (1) and Theorem,  $Q$  is a commutative regular ring. Given  $x \in A$ , we have an idempotent  $x'u^{-1}$  such that  $r_Q(x) = (x'u^{-1})Q$ . Hence  $r(r(x)) = r_Q(r_Q(x)) \cap A = r_Q(x'u^{-1}) \cap A = r(x')$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. ENDO: Note on  $p. p.$  rings, Nagoya Math. J. **17** (1960), 167—170.
- [2] M. W. EVANS: On commutative  $p. p.$  rings, Pacific J. Math. **41** (1972), 687—697.
- [3] Y. HIRANO and H. TOMINAGA: Regular rings,  $V$ -rings and their generalizations, Hiroshima Math. J. (to appear).

SHINSHU UNIVERSITY  
AND  
TSUYAMA COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY

(Received May 31, 1978)