

Mathematical Journal of Okayama University

Volume 43, Issue 1

2001

Article 6

JANUARY 2001

Skew Group Algebras and their Yoneda Algebras

Roberto Martinez-villa*

*Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

Copyright ©2001 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by
The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

Skew Group Algebras and their Yoneda Algebras

Roberto Martinez-villa

Abstract

Skew group algebras appear in connection with the study of singularities [1], [2]. It was proved in [4], [6], [10] the preprojective algebra of an Euclidean diagram is Morita equivalent to a skew group algebra of a polynomial algebra. In [7] we investigated the Yoneda algebra of a selfinjective Koszul algebra and proved they have properties analogous to the commutative regular algebras, we call such algebras generalized Auslander regular. The aim of the paper is to prove that given a positively graded locally finite K -algebra $\Lambda = \sum_{i \geq 0} \Lambda_i$ and a finite grading preserving group G of automorphisms of Λ , with characteristic K not dividing the order of G , then G acts naturally on the Yoneda algebra $\Gamma = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Ext}^k_{\Lambda}(\Lambda_0, \Lambda_0)$ and the skew group algebra $\Gamma * G$ is isomorphic to the Yoneda algebra $\Lambda * G = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Ext}^k_{\Lambda * G}(\Lambda_0 * G, \Lambda_0 * G)$. As an application we prove Λ is generalized Auslander regular if and only if $\Lambda * G$ is generalized Auslander regular and Λ is Koszul if and only if $\Lambda * G$ is so.

Math. J. Okayama Univ. **43**(2001), 1–16

SKEW GROUP ALGEBRAS AND THEIR YONEDA ALGEBRAS

Dedicated to Helmut Lenzing on the occasion of the 60-th birthday

ROBERTO MARTÍNEZ-VILLA

ABSTRACT. Skew group algebras appear in connection with the study of singularities [1], [2]. It was proved in [4], [6], [10] the preprojective algebra of an Euclidean diagram is Morita equivalent to a skew group algebra of a polynomial algebra. In [7] we investigated the Yoneda algebra of a selfinjective Koszul algebra and proved they have properties analogous to the commutative regular algebras, we call such algebras generalized Auslander regular. The aim of the paper is to prove that given a positively graded locally finite K -algebra $\Lambda = \sum_{i \geq 0} \Lambda_i$ and a finite grading preserving group G of automorphisms of Λ , with characteristic K not dividing the order of G , then G acts naturally on the Yoneda algebra $\Gamma = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^k(\Lambda_0, \Lambda_0)$ and the skew group algebra $\Gamma * G$ is isomorphic to the Yoneda algebra $\Lambda * G = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^k(\Lambda_0 * G, \Lambda_0 * G)$. As an application we prove Λ is generalized Auslander regular if and only if $\Lambda * G$ is generalized Auslander regular and Λ is Koszul if and only if $\Lambda * G$ is so.

It was proved by H. Lenzing the indecomposable modules over a quiver algebra KQ with K a field and Q an Euclidean diagram, are parametrized by Klein curve singularities $P_1(C)/G$ arising from the action of polyhedral groups on projective line.

The polyhedral groups are the finite subgroups of $SL(2, C)$, [8] they act naturally on $C[x, y]$ sending homogeneous elements to homogeneous elements, for example the cyclic group

$$Z_n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 0 & \xi^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid \xi \text{ is a complex primitive } n\text{-th root of unity} \right\}$$

acts on $C[x, y]$ by $x \mapsto \xi x$, $y \mapsto \xi^{-1}y$. The coordinate ring of $P_1(C)/G$ is $C[x, y]^G$.

In general, given a positively graded locally finite K -algebra $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \Lambda_j$, with Λ_0 semisimple, $\Lambda_i \Lambda_j = \Lambda_{i+j}$ and G a finite group of grading preserving K -automorphisms of Λ , we associate to them two K -algebras, the fixed ring $\Lambda^G = \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \lambda^g = \lambda \text{ for all } g \in G\}$ and the skew group algebra $\Lambda * G$ defined as follows:

As a vector space $\Lambda * G = \Lambda \otimes_K KG$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $g \in G$, we write λg instead of $\lambda \otimes g$, and multiplication is given by $g\lambda = \lambda^g g$, where the element $\lambda^g \in \Lambda$ denotes the image of λ under the automorphism g .

The algebra Λ^G is the endomorphism ring of a finitely generated projective $\Lambda * G$ -module, explicitly: given the idempotent $e = 1/|G| \sum_{g \in G} g$ of $\Lambda * G$ there

exists an isomorphisms $e(\Lambda * G)e \cong \Lambda^G$ [3].

Given a quiver Q and a field K the preprojective algebra is the K -algebra $K\hat{Q}/I$ with quiver \hat{Q} with vertices $\hat{Q}_0 = Q_0$ and arrows $\hat{Q}_1 = Q_1 \cup Q_1^{op}$, where Q^{op} denotes the opposite quiver of Q . For any arrow $\alpha \in Q_1$ write $\hat{\alpha}$ for the corresponding arrow in the opposite quiver. The ideal I is generated by relations $\sum \alpha_i \hat{\alpha}_i$ and $\sum \hat{\alpha}_i \alpha_i$.


We have the following:

Theorem 1 (Lenzing [6], Reiten and Van den Bergh [10], Crawley-Boevey [4]). *The preprojective algebras $\Lambda = C\hat{Q}/I$ corresponding to an Euclidean quiver Q are Morita equivalent to the skew group algebras $C[x, y] * G$, with G a polyhedral group.*

In the example of the cyclic group Z_n acting on $C[x, y]$ given above the skew group algebra $C[x, y] * Z_n$ is the McKay quiver [8] obtained as follows:

Let $\{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n\}$ be the irreducible representations of Z_n , put a vertex v_i for each simple S_i and m_{ij} arrows from v_i to v_j if $V \otimes_C S_i = \bigoplus_j m_{ij} S_j$,

where V is the two dimensional representation given by $(x, y)/(x, y)^2$. The McKay quiver is:



In this paper we consider generalized Auslander regular algebras, they constitute non commutative versions of the regular algebras and contain the preprojective algebras. We will prove that given a positively graded algebra Λ as above and a finite a group of automorphisms G , the skew group algebra $\Lambda * G$ is generalized Auslander regular if and only if Λ is so.

Let M be a $\Lambda * G$ -module and V a KG -module. The vector space $M \otimes_K V$ is a $\Lambda * G$ -module with action given by: $\lambda.(m \otimes v) = \lambda m \otimes v$ and $g(m \otimes v) = gm \otimes gv$, for $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $m \in M$ and $g \in G$.

Lemma 2. *Let $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \Lambda_j$ be a positively graded, locally finite K -algebra with Λ_0 semisimple, $\Lambda_i \Lambda_j = \Lambda_{i+j}$ and K an algebraically closed field. Let*

G be a finite grading preserving group of automorphisms of Λ such that the characteristic of K does not divide the order of G and $\Lambda * G$ the skew group algebra. Then the $\Lambda * G$ -simple modules are of the form: $S \otimes_K V$ with S a simple $\Lambda * G$ submodule of Λ_0 and V an irreducible KG -module.

Proof. The radical of $\Lambda * G$ is $J * G$ and $J * G(S \otimes_K V) = JS \otimes_K V = 0$, then $S \otimes_K V$ is a $\Lambda * G/J * G = \Lambda_0 * G$ -module. By [9], $\Lambda_0 * G$ is semisimple, therefore: $S \otimes_K V$ is semisimple. We need to prove $S \otimes_K V$ is indecomposable. We have natural isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\Lambda * G}(S \otimes_K V, S \otimes_K V) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(S \otimes_K V, S \otimes_K V)^G \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_K(V, \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(S, S \otimes_K V))^G \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{KG}(V, \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(S, S \otimes_K V)). \end{aligned}$$

We have also natural isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(S, S \otimes_K V) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\Lambda/J}(S, S \otimes_K V) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\Lambda/J}(S, \Lambda/J) \otimes_{\Lambda/J} S \otimes_K V \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\Lambda/J}(S, S) \otimes_K V \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(S, S) \otimes_K V. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{KG}(V, \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(S, S \otimes_K V)) &\cong \text{Hom}_{KG}(V, V) \otimes_K \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(S, S) \\ &\cong K \otimes K \cong K. \end{aligned}$$

If S is a $\Lambda * G$ simple, then S is a $\Lambda * G/J * G \cong \Lambda_0 * G$ -module. Therefore: S is isomorphic to a summand of $\Lambda_0 * G = \Lambda_0 \otimes KG$. Decomposing $\Lambda_0 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t S_i$, $KG = \bigoplus_{j=1}^m V_j$ we obtain S is isomorphic to some module $S_i \otimes_K V_j$. \square

Lemma 3. *Let K be a field, G a finite group with characteristic of K not dividing the order of G . Let M be a K -vector space with G -action. Denote by $M^G = \{m \in M \mid gm = m \text{ for all } g \in G\}$ the set of fixed points. Then the fixed point functor $(\)^G : \text{Mod}_{KG} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{KG}$ is exact.*

Proof. Let $t : M \rightarrow M$ be a K -linear map given by: $t(M) = 1/|G| \sum_{g \in G} gm$.

Then it is clear $t(M) = M^G$.

Let $0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{j} M \xrightarrow{\pi} N \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of G -modules and G -maps. Then we have an exact commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow t & & \downarrow t & & \downarrow t & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

which induces an exact diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M & \xrightarrow{\pi} & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ t \downarrow & & t \downarrow & & \\ M^G & \xrightarrow{\text{res } \pi} & N^G & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

Therefore, $\text{res } \pi$ is a map onto N^G . It is clear $M^G \cap L = L^G$. Hence; $0 \rightarrow L^G \rightarrow M^G \rightarrow N^G \rightarrow 0$ is exact. \square

Lemma 4. *Let Λ be a positively graded K -algebra, G a finite grading preserving group of automorphisms of Λ . Let L, M and N be $\Lambda * G$ -modules. Then the following statements hold:*

- i) *The abelian group $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, N)$ is a G -module with action $g * f(m) = gf(g^{-1}m)$.*
- ii) *There is an equality $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, N)^G = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda * G}(M, N)$.*
- iii) *For all $k \geq 0$ there is a natural action of G on $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^k(M, N)$. If $x \in \text{Ext}_\Lambda^k(M, N)$ and $y \in \text{Ext}_\Lambda^s(N, L)$, then $g(y.x) = (gy).(gx)$.*

Proof. i) Let g_1, g_2 be elements of G and $f \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, N)$. We have identities: $(g_1.g_2) * f(m) = g_1g_2f(g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1}m) = g_1(g_2f(g_2^{-1}))g_1^{-1}m = g_1 * (g_2 * f(m))$.

ii) If f is an element of $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, N)^G$, then $g * f = f$ for all $g \in G$, in particular: $g^{-1} * f = f$. It follows $gf(m) = f(gm)$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_{\Lambda * G}(M, N)$. If f is in $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda * G}(M, N)$, then $g^{-1}f(m) = f(g^{-1}m)$. Therefore $f(m) = gf(g^{-1}m)$, this is $g * f = f$ for all $g \in G$, hence; $f \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, N)^G$.

iii) Let g be an element of G and M a Λ -module. Define the Λ -module $M^{g^{-1}}$ as follows: $M^{g^{-1}} = M$ as K -vector space and for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $m \in M$ we have $\lambda * m = \lambda^{g^{-1}}m$.

If M is a G -module, then we have an isomorphism $\phi_{g^{-1}} : M \rightarrow M^{g^{-1}}$ given by $\phi_{g^{-1}}(m) = g^{-1}m$. Then $\phi_{g^{-1}}(\lambda m) = g^{-1}\lambda m = \lambda^{g^{-1}}g^{-1}m = \lambda * \phi_{g^{-1}}(m)$.

If M and N are G -modules and $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a Λ -map, then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M^{g^{-1}} & \xrightarrow{f^{g^{-1}}} & N^{g^{-1}} \\ \uparrow \phi_{g^{-1}} & & \uparrow \phi_{g^{-1}} \\ M & \xrightarrow{g*f} & N, \end{array}$$

where $f^{g^{-1}}(x) = f(x)$ and $f^{g^{-1}}(\lambda * x) = f(\lambda^{g^{-1}}x) = \lambda^{g^{-1}}f(x) = \lambda * f^{g^{-1}}(x)$. Then $\phi_g f^{g^{-1}} \phi_{g^{-1}}(m) = gf(g^{-1}m) = g * f(m)$.

Let $x \in \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^k(M, N)$ be the extension:

$$0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{j} E_k \xrightarrow{f_k} E_{k-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} M \rightarrow 0.$$

Define $g.x$ as the extension:

$$0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{j^{g^{-1}}\phi_{g^{-1}}} E_k^{g^{-1}} \xrightarrow{f_k^{g^{-1}}} E_{k-1}^{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_1^{g^{-1}} \xrightarrow{\phi_g f_1^{g^{-1}}} M \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $(\)^g$ is an exact functor we have: $x \sim y$ if and only if $gx \sim gy$. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N & \xrightarrow{\phi_{h^{-1}}} & N^{h^{-1}} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \phi_{g^{-1}} \\ & & N^{h^{-1}g^{-1}}. \end{array}$$

It follows $(hg)(x) = h(gx)$. Hence; G acts on $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^k(M, N)$. It is clear that if $x \in \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^k(M, L)$ and $y \in \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^s(L, N)$, then $g(yx) = (gy)(gx)$. \square

Corollary 5. *Let Λ be a positively graded K -algebra, G a finite grading preserving group of automorphisms of Λ such that the characteristic of K does not divide the order of the group G . Let $0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{\pi} N \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of G -modules and G -maps. Then for any G -module X the*

long exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, L) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, N) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(X, L) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(X, M) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^k(X, M) \rightarrow \cdots \\ 0 &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(N, X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(L, X) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(N, X) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(M, X) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^k(M, X) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

are exact sequences of G -modules and G -maps.

Proof. Let $0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{j} E_k \xrightarrow{t_k} E_{k-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_1 \xrightarrow{t_1} X \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence and $f : L \rightarrow M$ be a G -map. We have an induced exact sequence y obtained from the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} x : & 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \xrightarrow{j} & E_k & \xrightarrow{t_k} & E_{k-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & E_1 & \xrightarrow{t_1} & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & f \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & & & & & 1 \downarrow & & \\ y : & 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{i} & F_k & \xrightarrow{s_k} & F_{k-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & F_1 & \xrightarrow{s_1} & X & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Applying $(\)^{g^{-1}}$ and composing with the natural isomorphisms we have a commutative exact diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} gx : & 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \xrightarrow{j\phi_{g^{-1}}} & E_k^{g^{-1}} & \xrightarrow{t_k} & E_{k-1}^{g^{-1}} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & E_1^{g^{-1}} & \xrightarrow{\phi_g t_1} & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & g*f \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & & & & & 1 \downarrow & & \\ gy : & 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & F_k^{g^{-1}} & \longrightarrow & F_{k-1}^{g^{-1}} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & F_1^{g^{-1}} & \xrightarrow{\phi_g s_1} & X & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Then $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^k(f, M)(x) = y$. We have $g \text{Ext}_\Lambda^k(f, M)(x) = gy = \text{Ext}_\Lambda^k(g * f, M)(gx)$. Since $g * f = f$, then $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^k(f, M)$ is a G -map.

Let $\delta : \text{Ext}_\Lambda^k(X, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^{k+1}(X, L)$ be the connecting map and $x \in \text{Ext}_\Lambda^k(X, N)$, where $x : 0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E_k \rightarrow E_{k-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ and z is the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{\pi} N \rightarrow 0.$$

We have the following commutative exact diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \xrightarrow{f} & M & \xrightarrow{\pi} & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \phi_{g^{-1}} \downarrow & & \phi_{g^{-1}} \downarrow & & \phi_{g^{-1}} \downarrow & & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L^{g^{-1}} & \xrightarrow{f} & M^{g^{-1}} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & N^{g^{-1}} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

with $\phi_{g^{-1}}$ isomorphisms. This implies $gz = z$. Then $\delta(gx) = zgx = gzx = g(zx) = g\delta(x)$. Hence; δ is a G -map.

The proof for the second long exact sequence is by dual arguments. \square

Lemma 6. *Let Λ be a positively graded K -algebra, G a finite grading preserving group of automorphisms of Λ such that the characteristic of K does not divide the order of the group G . Let X be a finitely generated graded $\Lambda * G$ -module. Then X is projective if and only if X is projective as Λ -module.*

Proof. Assume the module X is projective as $\Lambda * G$ -module, this implies there exists a graded $\Lambda * G$ -module Q such that $X \oplus Q \cong (\Lambda * G)^n \cong (\bigoplus_{|G|} \Lambda)^n$.

Therefore: X is a projective Λ -module.

Assume X is projective as Λ -module. Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of $\Lambda * G$ -modules. Then the sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, C) \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of G -modules. Applying the fixed point functor we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, A)^G \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, B)^G \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, C)^G \rightarrow 0,$$

which is isomorphic to the sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda * G}(X, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda * G}(X, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda * G}(X, C) \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows X is projective. □

Corollary 7. *If Λ and G are as in the lemma, then Λ is a projective $\Lambda * G$ -module.*

Lemma 8. *Let G be a finite grading preserving group of automorphisms of the K -algebra Λ and assume the characteristic of Λ does not divide the order of the group G . Let P be a graded finitely generated projective $\Lambda * G$ -module and N an arbitrary graded $\Lambda * G$ -module. Then we have a natural isomorphism: $\theta : \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P, N) \otimes_K W \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda * G}(P \otimes_K KG, N \otimes_K W)$ given by $\theta(f \otimes w)(p \otimes g) = g * f(p) \otimes gw$.*

Proof. We have a natural isomorphism of K -vector spaces:

$$\psi : \text{Hom}_K(KG, \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P, N \otimes_K W)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P \otimes_K KG, N \otimes_K W)$$

given by $\psi(\gamma)(p \otimes g) = \gamma(g)(p)$. The map ψ is a G -map. We have equalities: $\psi(h * \gamma)(p \otimes g) = h * \gamma(g)(p)$. But $h * \gamma(g) = (h \cdot \gamma)(h^{-1}g)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} [(h \cdot \gamma)(h^{-1}g)](p) &= h(h^{-1}g)(h^{-1}p) = h(\psi(\gamma)(h^{-1}p \otimes h^{-1}g)) \\ &= h(\psi(\gamma))(h^{-1}(p \otimes g)) = (h * \psi(\gamma))(p \otimes g) \\ &= \psi(h \otimes \gamma)(p \otimes g). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\psi(h * \gamma) = h * \psi(\gamma)$. It follows ψ induces an isomorphisms:

$$\psi : \text{Hom}_K(KG, \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P, N \otimes_K W))^G \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P \otimes_K KG, N \otimes_K W)^G$$

Hence an isomorphism:

$$\psi : \text{Hom}_{KG}(KG, \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P, N \otimes_K W)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda * G}(P \otimes_K KG, N \otimes_K W).$$

Consider the natural isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 : \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P, N) \otimes_K W &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P, \Lambda) \otimes_\Lambda N \otimes_K W, \\ \sigma_2 : \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P, \Lambda) \otimes_\Lambda N \otimes_K W &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P, N \otimes_K W), \end{aligned}$$

where $f \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P, N)$ we have the equality $f(p) = \sum f_i(p)n_i$ with $f_i \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P, \Lambda)$ and $n_i \in N$. Then, $\sigma_1(f \otimes w) = \sum f_i \otimes n_i \otimes w$ and $\sigma_2(\sum f_i \otimes n_i \otimes w)(p) = \sum f_i(p)n_i \otimes w = (\sum f_i(p)n_i) \otimes w = f(p) \otimes w$. Hence; $\sigma(f \otimes w)(p) = \sigma_2\sigma_1(f \otimes w)(p) = f(p) \otimes w$. The map $\alpha : \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P, N \otimes_K W) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{KG}(KG, \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P, N \otimes_K W))$ is the isomorphism $\alpha(f)(h) = h * f$. The natural isomorphism θ is the composition $\psi\alpha\sigma$. Then we have a chain of equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(h \otimes w)(p \otimes g) &= \psi\alpha\sigma(h \otimes w)(p \otimes g) = \psi(\alpha\sigma(h \otimes w))(p \otimes g) \\ &= \alpha\sigma(h \otimes w)(g)(p) = g * \sigma(h \otimes w)(g)(p) \\ &= g\sigma(h \otimes w)(g^{-1}p) = g[h(g^{-1}p) \otimes w] \\ &= ghg^{-1}p \otimes hw = g * h(p) \otimes gw. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proposition 9. *Let G be a finite group of grading preserving automorphisms of the K -algebra Λ such that the characteristic of K does not divide the order of G . Let M be a graded $\Lambda * G$ -module with a graded projective resolution consisting of finitely generated modules, N a graded $\Lambda * G$ -module and W a KG -module. Then for all $k \geq 0$ we have a natural isomorphism:*

$$\hat{\theta} : \text{Ext}_\Lambda^k(M, N) \otimes_K W \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^k(M \otimes_K KG, N \otimes_K W).$$

Proof. Let $\dots \rightarrow P_k \xrightarrow{f_k} P_{k-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} M \rightarrow 0$ be a $\Lambda * G$ -projective resolution of M . By lemma 6, each P_j is a finitely generated projective Λ -module. Tensoring with KG we have an exact sequence of $\Lambda * G$ -modules:

$$\dots \rightarrow P_k \otimes_K KG \xrightarrow{f_k \otimes 1} P_{k-1} \otimes_K KG \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_0 \otimes_K KG \xrightarrow{f_0 \otimes 1} M \otimes_K KG \rightarrow 0.$$

Each $P_k \otimes_K KG$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{|G|} P_k$ as Λ -module, hence projective as Λ -module. By lemma 6, $P_k \otimes_K KG$ is a finitely generated graded projective $\Lambda * G$ -module. We have a complex:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_0, N) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_k, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_{k+1}, N) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

Tensoring with W we obtain a complex:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_0, N) \otimes_K W \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_k, N) \otimes_K W \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_{k+1}, N) \otimes_K W \rightarrow \cdots ,$$

whose k -th homology is $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^k(M, N) \otimes_K W$. By the lemma, we have an isomorphism of complexes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_0, N) \otimes_K W & \longrightarrow & & & \\ & & \theta \downarrow & & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_0 \otimes_K KG, N \otimes_K W) & \longrightarrow & & & \\ & & \theta \downarrow & & & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_k, N) \otimes_K W & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_{k+1}, N) \otimes_K W & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \theta \downarrow & & \theta \downarrow & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_k \otimes_K KG, N \otimes_K W) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_{k+1} \otimes_K KG, N \otimes_K KW) & \longrightarrow & \cdots , \end{array}$$

which induces an isomorphism $\hat{\theta}$ of the homologies, therefore an isomorphism:

$$\hat{\theta} : \text{Ext}_\Lambda^k(M, N) \otimes_K W \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^k(M \otimes_K KG, N \otimes_K W). \quad \square$$

Theorem 10. *Let Λ be a positively graded K -algebra, G a finite grading preserving group of automorphisms of Λ with characteristic of K do not dividing the order of G . Let M be a graded $\Lambda * G$ -module with a graded projective resolution consisting of finitely generated modules $\Gamma = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Ext}_\Lambda^k(M, M)$.*

*Then the skew group algebra $\Gamma * G$ is isomorphic as graded algebra to $\hat{\Gamma} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^k(M \otimes_K KG, M \otimes_K KG)$.*

Proof. We need to prove isomorphism $\hat{\theta}$ preserves multiplication.

Let $x \in \text{Ext}_\Lambda^k(M, N)$ and $y \in \text{Ext}_\Lambda^s(M, N)$:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E_k \rightarrow E_{k-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0, \\ y &= 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F_s \rightarrow F_{s-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the multiplication $x * y$ is the Yoneda product:

$$x * y = y.x = 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F_s \rightarrow F_{s-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_1 \\ \rightarrow E_k \rightarrow E_{k-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\dots \rightarrow P_t \xrightarrow{\alpha_t} P_{t-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} M \rightarrow 0$ be a $\Lambda * G$ -projective resolution of M and $\Omega^t(M) = \text{Ker } \alpha_t$.

To the extension x corresponds a map $f : \Omega^k(M) \rightarrow M$, to the extension y a map $h : \Omega^s(M) \rightarrow M$ and to the Yoneda product $y.x$ corresponds the composition $h.\Omega^k(f)$. We have the following commutative exact diagrams:

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^k(M) \longrightarrow P_{k-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0 \\ f \downarrow \quad f_k \downarrow \quad f_0 \downarrow \quad 1 \downarrow \\ 0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow E_k \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow E_1 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^{k-s}(M) \longrightarrow P_{k+s-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow P_k \longrightarrow \Omega^k(M) \longrightarrow 0 \\ \Omega^s(f) \downarrow \quad f_k \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad f \downarrow \\ 0 \longrightarrow \Omega^s(M) \longrightarrow P_{s-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0 \\ h \downarrow \quad h_s \downarrow \quad h_1 \downarrow \quad 1 \downarrow \\ 0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F_s \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0.$$

We need to prove the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^k(M, M) \otimes_K KG & \times & \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^s(M, M) \otimes_K KG \\ \hat{\theta} \downarrow & & \hat{\theta} \downarrow \\ \text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^k(M \otimes_K KG, M \otimes_K KG) & \times & \text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^s(M \otimes_K KG, M \otimes_K KG) \\ & & \xrightarrow{\nu} \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{k+s}(M, M) \otimes_K KG \\ & & \hat{\theta} \downarrow \\ & & \xrightarrow{\mu} \text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^{k+s}(M \otimes_K KG, M \otimes_K KG) \end{array}$$

with $\nu(x \otimes g, y \otimes t) = (x.g)(y.t)$ and μ the Yoneda product, commutes.

We have the following equalities:

$$(x.g)(y.t) = x.(gy.t) = x * .gy \otimes gt = gy.x \otimes gt$$

and the following correspondences under the natural isomorphisms:

$$x \otimes g \rightarrow f \otimes g, \quad y \otimes t \rightarrow h \otimes t.$$

Then the following correspondences: $\hat{\theta}(x \otimes g) \rightarrow \theta(f \otimes g)$, $\hat{\theta}(y \otimes t) \rightarrow \theta(h \otimes t)$. The maps $\theta(f \otimes g)$, $\theta(h \otimes t)$ induce exact commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow \Omega^s(M) \otimes KG & \longrightarrow & P_{s-1} \otimes KG & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & P_0 \otimes KG & \longrightarrow & M \otimes KG \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \theta(h \otimes t) \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow 1 \\ 0 \rightarrow M \otimes KG & \longrightarrow & \hat{F}_s & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & \hat{F}_1 & \longrightarrow & M \rightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 \rightarrow \Omega^{k+s}(M) \otimes KG & \longrightarrow & P_{k+s-1} \otimes KG \rightarrow \\ & & \Omega^s \theta(f \otimes g) \downarrow \\ 0 \rightarrow \Omega^s M \otimes KG & \longrightarrow & P_s \otimes KG \rightarrow \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \cdots \rightarrow P_k \otimes KG & \longrightarrow & \Omega^k(M) \otimes KG \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \theta(f \otimes g) \downarrow \\ \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \otimes KG & \longrightarrow & M \otimes KG \rightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where the bottom rows are $\hat{\theta}(y \otimes t)$ and $\hat{\theta}(x \otimes g)$. We have the following correspondence:

$$\hat{\theta}(y \otimes t) \hat{\theta}(x \otimes g) = \hat{\theta}(x \otimes g) * \hat{\theta}(y \otimes t) \rightarrow \theta(h \otimes t) \Omega^s \theta(f \otimes g).$$

We claim $\Omega^s \theta(f \otimes g) = \theta(\Omega^s f \otimes g)$. We have commutative squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_{k+s-i} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{k+s-i}} & P_{k+s-i-1} \\ f_{k+s-i} \downarrow & & f_{k+s-i-1} \downarrow \\ P_{s-i} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{s-i}} & P_{s-i-1}. \end{array}$$

It is enough to prove the following square commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_{k+s-i} \otimes KG & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{k+s-i} \otimes 1} & P_{k+s-i-1} \otimes KG \\ \theta(f_{k+s-i} \otimes g) \downarrow & & \theta(f_{k+s-i-1} \otimes g) \downarrow \\ P_{s-i} \otimes KG & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{s-i} \otimes 1} & P_{s-i-1} \otimes KG. \end{array}$$

But we have the following chain of equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta(f_{k+s-i} \otimes g) \alpha_{k+s-i} \otimes 1(p \otimes t) = \theta(f_{k+s-i} \otimes g)(\alpha_{k+s-i}(p) \otimes t) \\ & = t * f_{k+s-i-1}(\alpha_{k+s-i}(p) \otimes gt) = t f_{k+s-i-1}(t^{-1} \alpha_{k+s-i}(p) \otimes gt) \\ & = t f_{k+s-i-1}(\alpha_{k+s-i}(t^{-1} p) \otimes gt) = t \alpha_{s-i} f_{k+s-i}(t^{-1} p) \otimes gt \\ & = \alpha_{s-i}(t f_{k+s-i}(t^{-1} p) \otimes gt) = \alpha_{s-i} t * f_{k+s-i}(p) \otimes gt \\ & = (\alpha_{s-i} \otimes 1)(t * f_{k+s-i}(p) \otimes gt) = (\alpha_{s-i} \otimes 1)(\theta(f_{k+s-i} \otimes g)(p \otimes t)). \end{aligned}$$

We also have equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(h \otimes t)\Omega^s\theta(f \otimes g) &= \theta(h \otimes t)\theta(\Omega^s f \otimes g)(m \otimes l) \\ &= \theta(h \otimes t)(l * \Omega^s f(m) \otimes lg) = lg \otimes h(l * \Omega^s f(m) \otimes lgt) \\ &= lg \otimes h(l\Omega^s f(l^{-1}m) \otimes lgt) = lgh(g^{-1}l^{-1}l\Omega^s f(l^{-1}m) \otimes lgt) \\ &= l(gh(g^{-1}\Omega^s f(l^{-1}m) \otimes lgt) = l((g * h)\Omega^s f(m) \otimes lgt) \\ &= \theta(g * h\Omega^s f \otimes gt)(m \otimes l). \end{aligned}$$

We have the following commutative diagrams with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega^s M & \longrightarrow & P_{s-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_k & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \varphi_h^{-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \varphi_g^{-1} \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega^s M^{g^{-1}} & \longrightarrow & P_{s-1}^{g^{-1}} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_k^{g^{-1}} & \longrightarrow & M^{g^{-1}} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & h \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & 1 \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M^{g^{-1}} & \longrightarrow & F_s^{g^{-1}} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & F_1^{g^{-1}} & \longrightarrow & M^{g^{-1}} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \varphi_g \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \varphi_g \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & F_s^{g^{-1}} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & F_1^{g^{-1}} & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where the last row is y^g . Since $\varphi_g h \varphi_{g^{-1}} = g * h$, then we have a correspondence $g * h \mapsto y^g$, and $\hat{\theta}(x \otimes g) * \hat{\theta}(y \otimes t) = \hat{\theta}(x * y^g, gt)$. \square

Recall the following definitions from [7] and the references given there:

Definition 11. A positively graded K -algebra $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \Lambda_j$ such that each Λ_j is finite dimensional over the field K and for each pair of integers $i \neq j$ we have equalities $\Lambda_i \Lambda_j = \Lambda_{i+j}$, will be called a graded quiver algebra. By \bar{J} we denote the graded Jacobson radical $\bar{J} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} \Lambda_j$.

Let M be a finitely generated graded Λ -module, generated in highest degree zero, we say M is a Koszul module if $F(M) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^i(M, \Lambda/\bar{J})$ is generated in highest degree zero. We say Λ is Koszul if all graded simples generated in degree zero are Koszul.

Definition 12. Let Λ be a graded quiver algebra, we say Λ is generalized Auslander regular if the following statements are true:

- i) The algebra Λ has finite, graded, small, global dimension n .
- ii) All graded simples have projective dimension n .
- iii) For any graded simple S , $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^k(S, \Lambda) = 0$ for $0 \leq k < n$.
- iv) The functor $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(-, \Lambda)$ induces a bijection between the Λ and the Λ^{op} -graded simples.

Lemma 13. *Let Λ be a positively graded K -algebra, G a finite group of grading preserving automorphisms of Λ with characteristic of K not dividing the order of G . Then $\Lambda * G$ is generalized Auslander regular if and only if Λ is generalized Auslander regular.*

Proof. Assume Λ is generalized Auslander regular, M a $\Lambda * G$ -module semisimple. Then $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^k(M \otimes_K KG, \Lambda \otimes_K KG) \cong \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^k(M, \Lambda) \otimes_K KG$ for all $k \neq n$. Then by hypothesis, $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(M, \Lambda)$ is a semisimple $\Lambda * G$ -module.

Assume $KG \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i$, V_i an irreducible KG -module. Then

$$\text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^n(M \otimes_K KG, \Lambda * G) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^n(M \otimes_K V_i, \Lambda * G)$$

and each $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^n(M \otimes_K V_i, \Lambda * G)$ is a semisimple $\Lambda * G$ -module.

Let T be a simple $\Lambda * G$ -module with minimal projective resolution:

$$0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0.$$

Dualizing by $(\)^* = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda * G}(-, \Lambda * G)$ we have a complex:

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow P_0^* \rightarrow P_1^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_n^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^n(T, \Lambda * G) \rightarrow 0$$

and $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^k(T \otimes_K KG, \Lambda * G) = \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^k(T, \Lambda) \otimes_K KG = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^k(T \otimes_K V_i, \Lambda * G) = 0$, imply $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^k(T \otimes_K K, \Lambda * G) = 0$ for all $k \neq n$ and the sequence $(*)$ is exact. Let $S = \text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^n(T, \Lambda * G)$. Dualizing, we have an exact diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & P_n & \longrightarrow & P_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & P_n^{**} & \longrightarrow & P_{n-1}^{**} & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & P_0^{**} & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Therefore: $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^k(S, \Lambda * G) = 0$ for all $k \neq n$. If $S_1 \oplus S_2 = S$, with S_1, S_2 non zero semisimple $\Lambda * G$ -modules, then $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^k(S_i, \Lambda * G) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$ and all $k \neq n$. If $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^n(S_i, \Lambda * G) = 0$, then $S_i = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore: $T \cong \text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^n(S_1, \Lambda * G) \oplus \text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^n(S_2, \Lambda * G)$, contradicting T is simple.

Now if T_1, T_2 are simple $\Lambda * G$ -modules with $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^n(T_1, \Lambda * G) \cong \text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^n(T_2, \Lambda * G)$ and projective resolutions:

$$\begin{array}{l} 0 \rightarrow P'_n \rightarrow P'_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P'_0 \rightarrow T_1 \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow P''_n \rightarrow P''_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P''_0 \rightarrow T_2 \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Dualizing, we have exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow (P'_0)^* \rightarrow (P'_1)^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (P'_n)^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^n(T_1, \Lambda * G) \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow (P''_0)^* \rightarrow (P''_1)^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (P''_n)^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^n(T_2, \Lambda * G) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the fact $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^n(T_1, \Lambda * G) \cong \text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^n(T_2, \Lambda * G)$ we have isomorphisms: $(P'_j)^* \cong (P''_j)^*$, in particular $(P'_0)^* \cong (P''_0)^*$. Then $P'_0 \cong P''_0$ and $T_1 \cong T_2$.

Now assume $\Lambda * G$ is generalized Auslander regular, $S \subseteq \Lambda_0$ a simple Λ -module. The module T defined as $T = \sum_{g \in G} gS$ is a simple $\Lambda * G$ -module.

Then $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^k(T \otimes_K KG, \Lambda * G) \cong \text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^k(T, \Lambda) \otimes_K KG$. Decomposing $KG = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i$ where each V_i is irreducible and using the fact $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^k(T, \Lambda) \otimes_K KG = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^k(T \otimes_K V_i, \Lambda * G)$, we obtain $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^k(T \otimes_K V_i, \Lambda * G) = 0$ if $k \neq n$. Therefore: $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^k(T, \Lambda) = 0$ for all $k \neq n$.

Since $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(T, \Lambda) \otimes_K KG = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^n(T \otimes_K V_i, \Lambda * G)$, where $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^n(T \otimes_K V_i, \Lambda * G)$ is a semisimple $\Lambda * G$ -module, then $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(T, \Lambda) \otimes_K KG$ is a semisimple Λ -module. The module S is a submodule of the semisimple Λ -module T , hence; a summand. It follows $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(S, \Lambda) \subseteq \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(T, \Lambda)$, hence $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(S, \Lambda)$ is a semisimple Λ -module.

Let $0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$ be a minimal projective resolution of the Λ -module S , dualizing with respect to Λ we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow (P_0)^* \rightarrow (P_1)^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (P_n)^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(S, \Lambda) \rightarrow 0.$$

Dualizing again we have isomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & P_n & \longrightarrow & P_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & S & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & P_n^{**} & \longrightarrow & P_{n-1}^{**} & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & P_0^{**} & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(S, \Lambda), \Lambda) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

It follows $S \cong \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(S, \Lambda), \Lambda)$. If S is simple, then $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(S, \Lambda) \cong S_1 \oplus S_2$, $S_1 \neq 0 \neq S_2$. This implies $S \cong \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(S_1, \Lambda) \oplus \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(S_2, \Lambda)$. If $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(S_i, \Lambda) = 0$, then $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^k(S_i, \Lambda) = 0$ for all i , a contradiction. It follows $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(S, \Lambda)$ is simple. \square

Theorem 14. *Let G be a finite group of automorphisms of a graded K -algebra Λ . Assume characteristic of the field K does not divide the order of the group, let M be a finitely generated graded $\Lambda * G$ -module. Then $M \otimes_K KG$*

is a Koszul $\Lambda * G$ -module if and only if M is a Koszul Λ -module. In particular Λ is Koszul if and only if $\Lambda * G$ is Koszul.

Proof. We have a natural isomorphisms:

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^k(M \otimes_K KG, \Lambda * G) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^k(M, \Lambda) \otimes_K KG$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^k(M \otimes_K KG, \Lambda_0 * G) \mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^j(\Lambda_0 * G, \Lambda_0 * G) \\ & \cong (\mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda}^k(M, \Lambda_0) \otimes_K KG) (\mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda}^j(\Lambda_0, \Lambda_0) \otimes_K KG) \\ & \cong \mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda}^k(M, \Lambda_0) \mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda}^j(\Lambda_0, \Lambda_0) \otimes_K KG. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^k(M \otimes_K KG, \Lambda_0 * G) \mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^j(\Lambda_0 * G, \Lambda_0 * G) = \mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda * G}^{k+j}(M \otimes_K KG, \Lambda_0 * G)$ if and only if $\mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda}^k(M, \Lambda_0) \mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda}^j(\Lambda_0, \Lambda_0) = \mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{k+j}(M, \Lambda_0)$. It follows M is Koszul if and only if $M \otimes_K KG$ is Koszul, in particular Λ is Koszul if and only if $\Lambda * G$ is Koszul. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. AUSLANDER, *Rational singularities and almost split sequences*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (2) **293**(1986), 511–531.
- [2] M. AUSLANDER AND I. REITEN, *Almost split sequences for rational double points*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (1) **302**(1987), 87–97.
- [3] M. COHEN AND S. MONTGOMERY, *Group-graded rings, smash products, and group actions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **282**(1984), 237–258.
- [4] W. CRAWLEY-BOEVEY AND M. P. HOLLAND, *Noncommutative deformations of Kleinian singularities*, Duke Math. J. **92**(1998), 605–635.
- [5] F. KLEIN, *Vorlesungen über das Ikosaeder und die Auflösung der Gleichungen vom fünften Grade*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1884.
- [6] H. LENZING, *Curve singularities arising from the representation theory of tame hereditary algebras*, Rep. Theory I. Finite dim. Algebras 199–231, Lecture Notes in Math. **1177**, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [7] R. MARTÍNEZ-VILLA, *Serre duality for generalized Auslander regular algebras*, Trends in Rep. Theory of finite dimensional Algebras, Contemporary Math. **229**(1998), 237–263.
- [8] J. MCKAY, *Graphs, singularities and finite groups*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics **37**(1980), 183–186.
- [9] I. REITEN AND C. RIEDTMANN, *Skew Group Algebras in the Representation Theory of Artin Algebras*, J. of Algebra (1) **92**(1985), 224–282.
- [10] I. REITEN AND M. VAN DEN BERGH, *Two-dimensional tame and maximal orders of finite representation type*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **80**, 1989.

R. MARTÍNEZ-VILLA

ROBERTO MARTÍNEZ-VILLA
INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNAM
UNIDAD MORELIA
APARTADO POSTAL 61-3
MORELIA 58089, MICH. MÉXICO
e-mail address: mvilla@matmor.unam.mx

(Received July 13, 2001)