### provided by Okayama University Scientific Achievement Repository

## Mathematical Journal of Okayama University

Volume 16, Issue 1

1973

Article 5

SEPTEMBER 1973

### On primary decomposition theory for modules

Isao Mogami\* Hisao Tominaga<sup>†</sup>

Copyright ©1973 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou

<sup>\*</sup>Okayama University

<sup>†</sup>Okayama University

# ON PRIMARY DECOMPOSITION THEORY FOR MODULES

### ISAO MOGAMI and HISAO TOMINAGA

Recently, in his paper [2], J. W. Fisher introduced a new technique for constructing decomposition theories for left R-modules, and in [3] he used it to give necessary and sufficient conditions for the classical Lasker-Noether primary decomposition theory to exist on a left R-module M over an arbitrary commutative ring or on M which has the property that nil ideals are nilpotent in each factor ring of R/l(M). It should be brought to our attention that all the results in [2] and [3] are still valid for left R-modules with operator domain.

In what follows, combining Fisher's technique with Tominaga's [6], we shall study the left s-primary decomposition theory on R-R'-modules. In § 1, several definitions and preliminary results are given, and § 2 contains uniqueness theorems and a canonical decomposition theorem. Finally, in § 3, we shall present several equivalent conditions for the left s-primary decomposition theory to exist on a R-R'-module.

Throughout the present paper, R and R' will represent arbitrary rings (not necessarily with 1), and M an arbitrary non-zero R-R'-bimodule. The term "submodule" will mean an R-R'-submodule.

1. If  $\alpha$  is an ideal of R, then the intersection of all prime ideals of R containing  $\alpha$  is called the prime radical of  $\alpha$ , and denoted by rad  $\alpha$ . The left primary radical p(M) of M is defined as the prime radical of  $l(M) = \{x \in R \mid xM = 0\}$ . A proper submodule N of M is called a left primary submodule if  $l(M'') \subseteq p(M/N)$  for every non-zero submodule M'' of M/N. A left primary submodule N of M is called left s-primary if p(M/N) is nilpotent modulo l(M/N). Occasionally, we regard M itself as a left s-primary submodule. To be easily seen, for the bimodule  ${}_RR_R$  the notion "left s-primary submodule" coincides with that of "s-left primary ideal" in the sense of [5].

If p(M'') is nilpotent modulo l(M'') for every non-zero factor sub-module M'' of M then M is called a *left s-module*. Evidently, every left primary submodule of a left s-module is left s-primary, and if R is left Noetherian then M is a left s-module.

1

If p(N) = p(M) for every non-zero submodule N of M then M is said to be *left p-stable*. A left p-stable module M is said to be *left s-p-stable* if p(M) is nilpotent modulo l(M).

**Proposition 1.** Let N be a proper submodule of M. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) M/N is left s-p-stable.
- (2) N is a left s-primary submodule of M.
- (3) For every submodule M' of M with  $M' \nsubseteq N$ ,  $M' \cap N$  is a left s-primary submodule of M'.
- *Proof.* (2) implies (1): Let M'' be an arbitrary non-zero submodule of M/N. Then  $l(M/N) \subseteq l(M'') \subseteq p(M/N)$ . It follows therefore that p(M'') = p(M/N).
- (1) implies (3): Let M'' be an arbitrary non-zero submodule of  $M'/(M'\cap N)$ . Since M/N is left s-p-stable, our assertion is evident by  $M'/(M'\cap N) \simeq (M'+N)/N$  and  $l(M'') \supseteq l(M/N)$ .

Finally, (3) implies (2) trivially.

Remark 1. In the same way as [3; Prop. 1.1] was shown, we can prove that the left primary radical of a left s-p-stable module is a prime ideal. A submodule N of M is called left-q-primary if p(M/N) is a prime ideal. Accordingly, every left s-primary submodule of M is left q-primary.

An ideal  $\mathfrak p$  of R is called a *left associated ideal* of M if there exists a left p-stable submodule N such that  $\mathfrak p=p(N)$ . The set of all left associated ideals of M will be denoted by P(M).  $(P(0)=\{R\})$  by definition.) If there exists an ideal  $\mathfrak p$  in R such that  $\{\mathfrak p\}=P(M'')$  for every non-zero submodule M'' of M/N then N is called a *left P-submodule* of M. Finally, M is said to be *left p-worthy* if P(M'') is finite and non-empty for every non-zero factor submodule M'' of M.

Now, let N be a submodule of M, and  $\alpha$  an ideal of R. We set  $\alpha^{-1}N = \{u \in M \mid \alpha u \subseteq N\}$ , which is evidently a submodule of M. Further, we set  $\alpha^{-k}N = (\alpha^k)^{-1}N$  and  $\alpha^{-\infty}N = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha^{-k}N$ , which is called the *limit module* of N by  $\alpha$  in M. If  $\alpha^{-\infty}N = \alpha^{-k}N$  with some k, then we say that  $\alpha^{-\infty}N$  is accessible. Occasionally, we consider also  $N_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} N$ , where  $\alpha$  is accessible. Occasionally, we contained in  $\alpha$ . (If  $\alpha = R$  then  $N_{\alpha} = N$  by definition.)

If M satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions (1) and (2),

it is called a left Artin-Rees module:

- (1) For each submodule N of M and ideal  $\alpha$  of R, there exists a positive integer h such that  $\alpha^h M \cap M \subseteq \alpha N$ .
- (2) For each submodule N of M and ideal  $\alpha$  of R, there exists a positive integer h such that  $N=(N+\alpha^h M)\cap \alpha^{-1}N$ .

One may remark here that if M is a left Artin-Rees module, then so is every factor submodule of M.

The proof of the next is quite similar to that of [3; Lemma 2.1].

- Lemma 1. If a left Artin-Rees module M is a left s-module then every left P-submodule of M is left s-primary.
- 2. A finite set  $\{N_i | i \in I\}$  of left s-primary (resp. left q-primary) submodules of M is called a left s-primary (resp. left q-primary) decomposition of N in M if  $N = \bigcap_{i \in I} N_i$  is an irredundant representation and  $p(M/N_i) \neq p(M/N_j)$  for every  $i \neq j$ . If every submodule of M has a left s-primary decomposition in M, then M is said to have the left s-primary decomposition theory. Similarly, a finite set  $\{N_i | i \in I\}$  of left P-submodules of M is called a left P-decomposition of N in M if  $N = \bigcap_{i \in I} N_i$  is an irredundant representation and  $P(M/N_i) \neq P(M/N_j)$  for every  $i \neq j$ . In case every submodule of M has a left P-decomposition in M, M is said to have the left P-decomposition theory.

The first uniqueness theorem is given in the following:

Theorem 1. If  $\{N_i | i \in I\}$  is a left s-primary decomposition of N in M then it is a left P-decomposition and  $P(M/N) = \{p(M/N_i) | i \in I\}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $M/N_i$  is left p-stable by Prop. 1,  $N_i$  is a left P-submodule with  $P(M/N_i) = \{p(M/N_i)\}$ . Now, our assertion is evident by [2; Prop. 4.5].

**Proposition 2.** Let  $N_1, \dots, N_s$  be left q-primary submodules of M, and  $N = \bigcap_{s=1}^s N_s$ .

- (a) If each  $p(M/N_i)$  is nilpotent modulo  $l(M/N_i)$  then p(M/N) equals  $\bigcap_{i=1}^s p(M/N_i)$  and is nilpotent modulo l(M/N), and every minimal prime divisor of l(M/N) coincides with some  $p(M/N_i)$ .
- (b) If every  $N_i$  is left s-primary and  $p(M/N_1) = \cdots = p(M/N_s)$  then N is left s-primary.
- (c) If every  $N_i$  is left s-primary then N has a left s-primary decomposition.

- *Proof.* (c) is only a combination of (a) and (b).
- (a) Let  $p(M/N_i)^{n_i} \subseteq l(M/N_i)$ , and  $n = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i$ . Then, we have  $(\bigcap_{i=1}^s p(M/N_i))^n \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^s l(M/N_i) = l(M/N)$ .
- (b) It is enough to consider the case s=2. If M'/N is a non-zero submodule of M/N then one of  $(M'+N_i)/N_i$ , say,  $(M'+N_1)/N_1$ , is a non-zero homomorphic image of M'/N. Hence,  $l(M'/N) \subseteq l((M'+N_1)/N_1) \subseteq p(M/N_1) = p(M/N)$ , and so N is left s-primary by (a).

**Proposition 3.** Let  $\{N_i|i=1,\dots,s\}$  be a left s-primary decomposition of a proper submodule N in M, and  $\mathfrak{p}_i=\mathfrak{p}(M/N_i)$   $(i=1,\dots,s)$ .

- (a) An ideal  $\alpha$  of R is non-prime to N (i. e.  $\alpha^{-1}N \supset N$ ) if and only if  $\alpha$  is contained in some  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ .
- (b) A prime divisor  $\mathfrak{p}$  of l(M/N) occurs in P(M/N) if and only if  $\mathfrak{p}$  is non-prime to  $N_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .
- **Proof.** (a) Suppose  $\alpha^{-1}N \supset N$ . Then,  $\alpha^{-1}N \not\subseteq N_j$  and  $\alpha(\alpha^{-1}N) \subseteq N$   $\subseteq N_j$  for some j. It follows therefore  $\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_j$ . Conversely, suppose  $\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i$ , and choose a positive integer h such that  $\mathfrak{p}_i^h \subseteq l(M/N_i)$ . Since  $N_1' = N_2 \cap \cdots \cap N_s \not\subseteq N_1$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_i^h N_1' \subseteq N$ , there exists the least positive integer h' such that  $\mathfrak{p}_i^{h'} N_1' \subseteq N$ . Then,  $\alpha^{-1}N \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_i^{h'-1} N_1' + N \supset N$ .
- (b) Suppose  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{r-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_r$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_i \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  for all i > r. Then,  $N_{\mathfrak{p}} = N_1 \cap \dots \cap N_r$  and  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_r$  is non-prime to  $N_{\mathfrak{p}}$  by (a). Conversely, suppose that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is non-prime to  $N_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . By Prop. 2,  $\mathfrak{p}$  contains one of  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ 's. Accordingly, without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  (r > 0) and  $\mathfrak{p}_i \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$   $(r+1 \leqslant i \leqslant s)$ . Then,  $N_{\mathfrak{p}} = N_1 \cap \dots \cap N_r$  is evidently a proper submodule of M. Hence, again by (a),  $(\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_j, \text{ and so}) \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_j$  for some  $j \leqslant r$ .

Now, let  $\{N_i|i=1, \dots, s\}$  be a left s-primary decomposition of a submodule N in M. A subset P of  $P(M/N) = \{\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{p}(M/N_i) | i=1, \dots s\}$  is called an *isolated subset* of P(M/N) if every  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  contained in one of the members of P is a member of P. If P is an isolated subset of P(M/N) then we set  $N_P = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}_i \in P} N_i$ , which is called an *isolated component* of N. Since  $N_P \subseteq N_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \subseteq N_i$  for every  $\mathfrak{p}_i \in P$ , it follows then  $N_P = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}_i \in P} N_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ . Combining this with Th. 1, we readily obtain the following, which is the second uniqueness theorem:

Theorem 2. Suppose N has a left s-primary decomposition. Then the set of isolated components of N does not depend on the choice of left

s-primary decompositions of N.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\{N_i|i\in I\}$  be a left s-primary decomposition of N. If M' is a non-zero submodule of M then  $\{M'\cap N_i|i\in I\}$  contains a left s-primary decomposition of  $M'\cap N$  in M'.

*Proof.* We set  $N_i = M' \cap N_i$ . Then, Prop. 1 shows that every  $N_i'$  different from M' is a left s-primary submodule of M' with  $p(M'/N_i') = p(M/N_i)$ . Now, our assertion is obvious by Prop. 2.

Corollary 1. If M has the left s-primary decomposition theory, then so does every non-zero factor submodule of M.

**Proposition 4.** If M has the left s-primary decomposition theory then there holds the following:

- (a) For every submodule N of M and every ideal  $\alpha$  of R,  $\alpha^{-\infty}N$  is accessible, and if  $N = N_0 \subset N_1 \subset \cdots \subset N_n$  is an arbitrary chain of submodules of M such that each  $N_i$  is a limit module of the preceding one in M then  $n \leq s(N)$  with a positive integer s(N) depending solely on N.
- (b) Let M'/N be an arbitrary non-zero factor submodule of M. If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is an arbitrary minimal prime divisor of l(M'/N) then  $\mathfrak{p}^{-1}N \cap M' \supset N$ .
- (c) Let N be a submodule of M, and  $\mathfrak{p}$  a prime divisor of l(M/N). Then the following conditions are equivalent:
  - (1) p is a minimal prime divisor of l(M/N).
  - (2)  $N_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is left s-primary and  $\mathfrak{p}(M/N_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \mathfrak{p}$ .
  - (3)  $N_{\mathfrak{p}} = (N + \mathfrak{p}^h M)_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for some h.

If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a minimal prime divisor of l(M/N) then  $N_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a minimal left s-primary submodule containg N.

*Proof.* (a) Let  $\{N_i | i=1, \dots, s\}$  be a left s-primary decomposition of N. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\alpha \subseteq p(M/N_i)$  for i < k and  $\alpha \subseteq p(M/N_i)$  for i > k. There exists a positive integer k such that  $\alpha^k \subseteq l(M/N_i)$  for all i > k. Recalling that each  $p(M/N_i)$  is a prime ideal (Remark 1), we readily obtain

$$a^{-\infty}N=a^{-h}N=N_1\cap\cdots\cap N_k$$
.

Now, our assertion is obvious by Th. 1.

(b) By the validity of Cor. 1, it suffices to prove that if p is a minimal prime divisor of l(M) then  $p^{-1}0 \neq 0$ . If  $\{N_i | i \in I\}$  is a left

s-primary decomposition of 0, then  $\mathfrak{p}$  coincides with some  $p(M/N_i)$  (Prop. 2), and our assertion is clear by the proof of (a).

- (c) By Prop. 2, M is a left s-module.
- (1) implies (2): In case  $\mathfrak{p}=R$ , there is nothing to prove. We may assume henceforth  $\mathfrak{p}\neq R$ . As an easy consequence of (a), we see that  $N_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the largest submodule among those of the form  $\mathfrak{b}^{-1}N$  with some ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$  not contained in  $\mathfrak{p}: N_{\mathfrak{p}}=\mathfrak{c}^{-1}N$ ,  $\mathfrak{c} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . In order to see that  $N_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is left s-primary, it suffices to prove that  $\mathfrak{p}(M/N_{\mathfrak{p}})=\mathfrak{p}$ . Suppose here  $\mathfrak{p}(M/N_{\mathfrak{p}})\neq \mathfrak{p}$ , and choose a minimal prime divisor  $\mathfrak{p}'$  of  $l(M/N_{\mathfrak{p}})$  such that  $\mathfrak{p}'\not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{p}'^{-1}N_{\mathfrak{p}} \supset N_{\mathfrak{p}}$  by (b). But, this is a contradiction. Hence,  $N_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a left s-primary submodule with  $\mathfrak{p}(M/N_{\mathfrak{p}})=\mathfrak{p}$ . Now, let N'' be a left s-primary submodule of M such that  $N\subseteq N''\subseteq N_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{c}N_{\mathfrak{p}}\subseteq N\subseteq N''$  and  $\mathfrak{c}\not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}(M/N'')$ , it follows  $N_{\mathfrak{p}}\subseteq N''$ , i. e.  $N_{\mathfrak{p}}=N''$ .
- (2) implies (3): There exists a positive integer h such that  $\mathfrak{p}^h M \subseteq N_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Then,  $N_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq (N+\mathfrak{p}^h M)_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq (N_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p}} = N_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , which implies  $N_{\mathfrak{p}} = (N+\mathfrak{p}^h M)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .
- (3) implies (1): Since  $p(M/N_{\mathfrak{p}}) = p(M/(N + \mathfrak{p}^{h}M)_{\mathfrak{p}}) \supseteq \mathfrak{p}^{h}$ , we obtain  $p(M/N_{\mathfrak{p}}) \supseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . As is well-known,  $\mathfrak{p}$  contains a minimal prime divisor  $\mathfrak{p}''$  of l(M/N). By (1) $\Longrightarrow$ (2),  $N_{\mathfrak{p}''}$  is a left s-primary submodule and  $p(M/N_{\mathfrak{p}'}) = \mathfrak{p}''$ . Combining this with  $N_{\mathfrak{p}''} \supseteq N_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq p(M/N_{\mathfrak{p}})$ , we readily obtain  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}''$ .

Next, we shall prove the following canonical decomposition theorem, which contains [1; Th. 3.4].

Theorem 4. Suppose M has the left s-primary decomposition theory. Let N be a submodule of M, and  $P(M/N) = \{ \mathfrak{p}_i | i=1, \dots, r \}$  where  $\mathfrak{p}_i, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$  (r < s) are the minimal prime divisors of l(M/N) (cf. Prop. 2 (a)). Then, there exists a positiae integer h such that  $\{N+\mathfrak{p}_i^h M)_{\mathfrak{p}_i} | i=1, \dots, s\}$  is a left s-primary decomposition of N and  $\{N+\mathfrak{p}_i^h M | i=1, \dots, r\}$  is a left q-primary decomposition of N.

Proof. Let  $\{N_i | i=1, \dots, s\}$  be a left s-primary decomposition of N and  $\mathfrak{p}_i = p(M/N_i)$  (Th. 1). Choose a positive integer h such that  $\mathfrak{p}_i^h \subseteq l(M/N_i)$  for all i. Then,  $N \subseteq N + \mathfrak{p}_i^h M \subseteq N_i$ , and so  $N \subseteq (N + \mathfrak{p}_i^h M)_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \subseteq N_{i\mathfrak{p}_i} = N_i$ . Evidently,  $\mathfrak{p}(M/(N + \mathfrak{p}_i^h M)) = \mathfrak{p}(M/(N + \mathfrak{p}_i^h M)_{\mathfrak{p}_i}) = \mathfrak{p}_i$ . If  $\mathfrak{b}M' \subseteq (N + p_i^h M)_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  for a submodule M' of M and an ideal  $\mathfrak{b} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i$  then  $M' \subseteq ((N + p_i^h M)_{\mathfrak{p}_i})_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = (N + \mathfrak{p}_i^h M)_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ . Hence, Th. 1 proves that  $\{(N + \mathfrak{p}_i^h M)_{\mathfrak{p}_i} | i=1, \dots, s\}$  is a left s-primary decomposition of N. The rest of the proof will be almost evident by Prop. 2 (a).

- 3. In this section, we shall consider the following conditions:
- (A) For every submodule N of M and every ideal a of R,  $a^{-\infty}N$  is accessible, and if  $N=N_0 \subset N_1 \subset \cdots \subset N_n$  is an arbitrary chain of submodules of M such that each  $N_i$  is a limit module of the preceding one in M then  $n \leq s(N)$  with a positive integer s(N) depending solely on N.
- (B) For each non-zero factor submodule M'/N of M, there exists a minimal prime divisor  $\mathfrak{p}$  of l(M'/N) such that  $\mathfrak{p}^{-1}N \cap M' \supset N$ .
  - (C) M is left p-worthy.
  - (D) Every left P-submodule of M is left primary.
  - (D') Every left P-submodule of M is left s-primary.
  - (E) M is a left Artin-Rees module.
  - (F) M is a left s-module.
  - (G) M has the left s-primary decomposition theory.

Lemma 2. If M has the left s-primay decomposition theory then M is a left Artin-Rees module.

*Proof.* Prop. 1 and Th. 1 enables us to apply the argument used in the proof of [3; Th. 2.7] to see this.

Lemma 3. Suppose the condition (A) is satisfied. If M' is an arbitrary non-zero submodule of M then (A) holds good for M'.

*Proof.* We claim first that if N is a submodule of M' and  $\mathfrak{a}$ ,  $\mathfrak{b}$  are ideals of R then  $\mathfrak{b}^{-1}\mathfrak{a}^{-1}N\cap M'=\mathfrak{b}^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}^{-1}N\cap M')\cap M'$ . This enables us to see that every limit module in M' is accessible. Now, let  $N=N_0'\subset N_1'\subset\cdots\subset N_n'$  be a chain of submodules of M' such that each  $N_i'$  is the limit module of  $N_{i-1}'$  by  $\mathfrak{a}_i$  in M'. If we set  $N_i=\mathfrak{a}_i^{-\infty}N_{i-1}=\mathfrak{a}_i^{-k_i}N_{i-1}$  then, again by the above remark, we can easily see that  $N_i'=N_i\cap M'$ , which implies  $n\leqslant s(N)$ .

**Proposition 5.** (A) together with (B) implies (C) and (F).

*Proof.* (F): By the validity of Lemma 3, it suffices to prove that if N is a proper submodule of M then p(M/N) is nilpotent modulo l(M/N). By (B), there exists a minimal prime divisor  $\mathfrak p$  of l(M/N) such that  $N \subset \mathfrak p^{-1}N \subseteq p(M/N)^{-1}N$ . If  $p(M/N)^{-1}N \neq M$ , then by the same reason we have  $p(M/N)^{-1}N \subset p(M/p(M/N)^{-1}N)^{-1}(p(M/N)^{-1}N) \subseteq p(M/N)^{-2}N$ . Continuing the same argument, we obtain  $p(M/N)^{-k}N \subset p(M/N)^{-(k+1)}N$ , provided  $p(M/N)^{-k}N \neq M$ . But,  $p(M/N)^{-\infty}N$  is accessible by (A). Hence, there exists a positive integer h such that  $p(M/N)^{-h}N = M$ , which means

#### I. MOGAMI and H. TOMINAGA

that p(M/N) is nilpotent modulo l(M/N).

44

(C): We have seen just above that M is a left s-module. Again, taking the validity of Lemma 3 into mind, it is enough to prove that P(M) is non-empty and finite.

First, we shall show that P(M) is non-empty. Suppose, on the contrary, that P(M) is empty. Then, we can find a descending chain of non-zero submodules of  $M: M_t \supset M_{t-1} \supset \cdots \supset M_1$  (t > s(0)) such that  $r_t \subset r_{t-1} \subset \cdots \subset r_1$  where  $r_i = p(M_i)$ . We set  $M_0' = 0$ ,  $M_i' = r_i^{-\infty} M_{i-1}'$   $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, t)$ , and choose a positive integer f such that  $r_i' M_i = 0$  and  $M_i' = r_i^{-1} M_{i-1}'$  for all i. Evidenty,  $M_i \subseteq (r_1' \cdots r_i')^{-1} M_0' = M_i'$ . On the other hand,  $M_{i+1} \not\subseteq M_i'$ . In fact, if not,  $r_1' \cdots r_i' M_{i+1} = 0$  implies  $r_i'^i \subseteq r_1' \cdots r_i' \subseteq l(M_{i+1})$ , which forces a contradiction  $r_i \subseteq r_{i+1}$ . We obtain therefore  $M_0' \subset M_1' \subset \cdots \subset M_i'$ . But, this is impossible.

Next, we shall prove the finiteness of P(M). Let  $P = \{ \mathfrak{p}_{\lambda} | \lambda \in A \}$  be an arbitrary non-empty subset of P(M):  $\mathfrak{p}_{\lambda} = p(N_{\lambda})$  [with a left p-stable submodule  $N_{\lambda}$  of M. We consider a finite subset  $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$  of P such that  $\mathfrak{p}_i \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_j$  for every i < j. To be easily seen, we have then  $N_i \not\supseteq N_j$  for every i < j. We set here  $N_0' = 0$ ,  $N_i' = \mathfrak{p}_i^{-\infty} N_{i-1}'$   $(i = 1, 2, \dots, k)$ , and choose a positive integer h such that  $\mathfrak{p}_i^h N_i = 0$  and  $N_i' = \mathfrak{p}_i^{-h} N_{i-1}'$  for all i. Then,  $N_i \subseteq (\mathfrak{p}_1^h \cdots \mathfrak{p}_i^h)^{-1} N_0' = N_i'$ . On the other hand, we have  $N_{i+1} \not\subseteq N_i'$ . In fact, if not,  $\mathfrak{p}_{i}^{h}\cdots\mathfrak{p}_{i}^{h}N_{i+1}=0$  implies  $\mathfrak{p}_{i}^{h}\cdots\mathfrak{p}_{i}^{h}\subseteq\mathfrak{p}_{i+1}$ . Recalling that  $\mathfrak{p}_{i+1}$  is a prime ideal (Remark 1), we obtain  $\mathfrak{p}_j \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{i+1}$  for some j < i+1, which is a contradiction. It follows therefore  $N'_0 \subset N'_1 \subset \cdots \subset N'_k$ , and so  $k \leq s(0)$  by (A). From what we have proved just now, we see that the set of all maximal members of P is non-empty and finite. Now, let  $P'_1$  be the set of all maximal members of  $P_1 = P(M)$ , and  $P_2 = P_1 \setminus P'_1$ . If  $P_2$  is non-empty, we consider  $P_2$  the set of all maximal members of  $P_2$  and set  $P_3 = P_2 \setminus P_2$ . Repeating this procedure, we obtain the descending chain  $P_1 \supset P_2 \supset P_3 \supset \cdots$ . Suppose  $P_{s(0)+1}$  is non-empty. Then, we can choose  $\mathfrak{p}_i \subseteq P_i$  such that  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \supset \mathfrak{p}_2 \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{s}(0)+1}$ , which contradicts the remark stated above. We have proved thus P(M) is finite.

Now, we can state the following theorem (cf. [3; Ths. 1.7 and 2.7], [5; Th. 11] and [6; Th. 8]):

Theorem 5. The following conditions are equivalent: (i) (A)+(B)+(D), (ii) (A)+(B)+(E), (iii) (C)+(D)+(F), (iv) (C)+(D'), (v) (C)+(E)+(F), and (vi) (G).

Proof. (vi) implies (i) - (v): (A), (B), (C), (E) and (F) are evident

http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou/vol16/iss1/5

8

by Lemma 2, Th. 1 and Props. 4 and 5. Especially, if  $\{N_i | i=1, \dots, s\}$  is a left s-primary decomposition of a left P-submodule N then s must equal 1 (Th. 1), and so  $N=N_1$  is left primary, proving (D).

- (iii) implies (iv): This is trivial.
- (iv) implies (vi): This is a direct consequence of [2; Th. 4. 10].
- (v) implies (iii): This is contained in Lemma 1.
- (i) Implies (iii) and (ii) implies (v): These are obvious by Prop. 5.

As is easily seen, [3; Lemma 2.4 and Prop. 2.5] are still valid for a left s-module. Combining this remark with Th. 5, we readily obtain the following, which contains [3; Th. 2.6]:

Theorem 6. If a left Artin-Rees module M is a left s-module whose each factor module is finite-dimensional (in the sense of Goldie [4]), then M has the left s-primary decomposition theory.

Finally, the proof of the next proceeds in the same way as that of [3; Th. 2.9] did.

Theorem 7. Suppose M has the left s-primary decomposition theory. If a is an ideal of R and  $N = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n M$ , then aN = N.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K.L. Chew: On a conjecture of D. C. Murdoch concerning primary decompositions of an ideal, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), 925—932.
- [2] J. W. FISHER: Decomposition theories for modules, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 145 (1969), 241—269.
- [3] J.W. FISHER: The primary decomposition theory for modules, Pacific J. Math. 35 (1970), 359-367.
- [4] A.W. GOLDIE: Rings with maximum condition, Yale Univ., 1964.
- [5] H. MARUBAYASHI: Primary ideal decompositions in non-commutative rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 13(1967), 1—7.
- [6] H. TOMINAGA: On primary ideal decompositions in non-commutative rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 3 (1953), 39—46.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY

(Received July 31, 1972)