Mathematical Journal of Okayama University

Volume 25, Issue 2

1983

Article 1

DECEMBER 1983

Rings whose multiples are direct summands Dinh Van Huynh*

Copyright ©1983 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou

^{*}Martin-Luther-Universität

Math. J. Okayama Univ. 25 (1982), 99-101

RINGS WHOSE MULTIPLES ARE DIRECT SUMMANDS

DINH VAN HUYNH

Throughout, A will represent an (associative) ring, A^+ the additive group of A, and D the maximal divisible ideal of A. We shall use the symbol \boxplus (resp. \bigoplus) for a (ringtheoretic) direct sum (resp. grouptheoretic direct sum). Let Π be the set of prime numbers.

The purpose of this note is to prove the following theorem, which gives a weak answer of a problem raised by Szász [1, Problem 79].

Theorem 1. The following are equivalent:

- 1) For each integer n, nA is a direct summand of A.
- 2) A contains an ideal I consisting of torsion elements with square-free order such that $D \cap I = 0$ and either $A = D \boxplus I$ or $A^+/(D \boxplus I)^+$ is divisible.

In advance of proving our theorem, we state the next

- **Lemma 1.** (1) Let $p \in \Pi$, and U a subgroup of A^+ with pU = U. If u is a non-zero element of U with pu = 0, then there exists a quasicyclic subgroup of U containing u; $u \in D$.
- (2) Let P be a subset of Π . Suppose that $A = pA \boxplus A_P$ or A = pA according as $p \in P$ or $p \in \Pi \setminus P$. If $p_1, \dots, p_k \in P$ are different, then $A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \dots \boxplus A_{P_k} \boxplus p_1 p_2 \dots p_k A$, where $q(p_1 \dots p_k A) = p_1 \dots p_k A$ for every $q \in \{p_1, \dots, p_k\} \cup \Pi \setminus P$. In particular, if P is finite then $A = D \boxplus (\bigoplus_{P \in P} A_P)$.

Proof. (1) Straightforward.

(2) Obviously, $pA = p^2A$ and $pA_p = 0$ for every $p \in P$. The rest of the proof is a routine.

Proof of Theorem 1. 1) \Rightarrow 2). Let P be the set of all $p \in \Pi$ with $pA \neq A$; $A = pA \boxplus A_p$. If P is empty then A = D. Now, let P be non-empty, and $p \in P$. Then $pA_p = 0$ and $pA = p^2A$. By Lemma 1 (2), we readily see that $B = D + \sum_{p \in P} A_p = D \boxplus (\bigoplus_{p \in P} A_p)$. Furthermore, since A = pA + B for every $p \in \Pi$, A^+/B^+ is divisible provided $A \neq B$.

2) \Rightarrow 1). We set $B = D \boxplus I = D \boxplus (\bigoplus_{p \in P} A_p)$, where P is a subset of

100

DINH VAN HUYNH

 Π and A_P is an ideal of A with $pA_P=0$. Further, we may assume that $n=p_1^{m_1}\cdots p_r^{m_r}q_1^{n_1}\cdots q_s^{n_s}$ with different $p_i\in P$ and $q_i\in \Pi\backslash P$.

Let $p \in \Pi$. Since A = B or A^+/B^+ is divisible, we have

$$A = pA + B = \begin{cases} pA + pB = pA & \text{if } p \in P \\ pA + pB + A_P = pA + A_P & \text{if } p \in P. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $p \in P$ and $pA \cap A_P$ contains a non-zero element a. Then, because $pA = p^2A$, Lemma 1 (1) forces a contradiction $a \in D \cap A_P = 0$. Thus, we have shown that A = pA or $A = pA \boxplus A_P$ according as $p \notin P$ or $p \in P$. Then, by Lemma 1 (2), $A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \boxplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \boxplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \boxplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus \cdots \oplus A_{P_r} \boxplus p_1 \cdots p_r A = A_{P_1} \boxplus$

Corollary 1. Suppose that A^+ contains no quasicyclic subgroups. If nA is a grouptheoretic direct summand of A^+ for each integer n, then nA is a direct summand of A.

Proof. Let P be the set of all $p \in \Pi$ with $pA \neq A$. Suppose now that P is non-empty, and let $p \in P$. Then $A^+ = pA \oplus A_P$, and so $pA_P = 0$ and $pA = p^2A$. Now, let $a, b \in A_P$, and ab = c + c' with $c \in pA$, $c' \in A_P$. Then pc = 0, and Lemma 1 (1) shows that c = 0. This proves that A_P is a subring of A, and therefore $A = pA \boxplus A_P$. Hence, R has the property 1) (see the last part of the proof of Theorem 1).

Remark 1. Let P be an infinite subset of Π , and A_P rings with $pA_P=0$ ($p \in P$). Then $A_0=\bigoplus_{P\in P}A_P$ has the property 1). Also, the complete direct sum A of A_P ($p \in P$) has the same and A^+/A_0^+ is divisible. Now, decompose P into the disjoint infinite subsets $P^{(i)}$ ($i=1, 2, \cdots$), and denote by $A^{(i)}$ the complete direct sum of A_P ($p \in P^{(i)}$). Then $B=\bigoplus_{i=1}^m A^{(i)}$ has the property 1) and $A \supseteq B \supseteq A_0$.

Remark 2. Let A be a ring with property 1). If the maximal torsion ideal T of A is a grouptheoretic direct summand of A^+ and contains no quasicyclic subgroups, then T is a direct summand of A.

REFERENCE

 F. Szász: Radicals of Rings, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester-New York-Brisbane-Toronto, 1981

RINGS WHOSE MULTIPLES ARE DIRECT SUMMANDS

MARTIN-LUTHER-UNIVERSITÄT HALLE WITTENBERG SEKTION MATHEMATIK DDR-4020 HALLE (S.) UNIVERSITÄTSPLATZ 6

(Received February 16, 1983)

101