

# *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University*

---

*Volume 14, Issue 1*

1969

*Article 15*

NOVEMBER 1969

---

## Continuity of additive functionals

Osamu Katsumata\*

Shozo Koshi†

\*Okayama University

†Okayama University

Copyright ©1969 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by  
The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

## CONTINUITY OF ADDITIVE FUNCTIONALS

OSAMU KATSUMATA AND SHOZO KOSHI

1. Let  $E$  be a measure space with positive finite measure  $\mu$ , and  $S$  be the space of all essentially finite measurable functions on  $E$ . A set  $X$  of  $S$  will be called *normal* if  $x \in X, |x| \geq |y|$  imply  $y \in X$ .

In the following, we assume  $X$  is a normal sublattice of  $S$ . A functional  $T$  defined on  $X$  is called additive if  $x, y \in X; |x| \cap |y| = 0$  imply  $T(x+y) = T(x) + T(y)$ .

For the integral representation of  $T$ , the continuity condition is important.

Recently, L. Drewnowski and W. Orlicz have proved that if  $T$  is  $(uc_\infty)$  and  $(uac)$ , then  $T$  can be represented by  $f(r, t)$  such that

$$T(x) = \int_E f(x(t), t) d\mu$$

where  $f(0, t) = 0$  a. e.,  $f(r, t)$  satisfies the generalized Carathéodory conditions and  $f(x(t), t)$  is integrable on  $E$  for each  $x \in X$ . [1]

In this note, we shall prove that the continuity conditions of Drewnowski and Orlicz (cf. also Friedman and Katz [2]) is replaced by apparently weaker condition: order continuity. Here we do not assume any "uniform" property.

The proof of Theorem 7 in [4] is not sufficient, so the assumption of Theorem must be replaced by order-continuity instead of (CIII) (semi-continuity).

A sequence  $x_n \in X (n=1, 2, \dots)$  is *order-convergent* to  $x \in X$ , if there exists  $y \in X, |x_n| \leq y$  and  $x_n(t) \rightarrow x(t)$  a. e. in  $E$ .

2. For an additive functional  $T$  on  $X$ , we shall consider the following conditions:

$(uc_\infty)$   $T$  is *uniformly continuous* by  $L_\infty(\mu)$ -norm (essential supremum norm) on every set  $\{y; |y| \leq |x|\}$  with  $x \in X, \|x\|_\infty < \infty$ .

$(uac)$   $T$  is *uniformly absolutely continuous* on every set  $\{y; |y| \leq |x|\}$ ,  $x \in X$ ; that is for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a positive number  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|T(y\chi_e)| \leq \epsilon$  for  $|y| \leq |x|$  and a measurable set  $e$  with  $\mu(e) < \delta$  where  $\chi_e$  is a characteristic function of  $e$ .

(o) If  $x_n \in X (n=1, 2, \dots)$  is order-convergent to  $x \in X$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(x_n) = T(x)$ .

**Theorem** (o) is equivalent to  $(uc_\infty)$  and  $(uac)$ .

**Proof.** Since  $(uc_\infty)$  and  $(uac)$  imply (o) obviously, we shall prove the converse.

(o)  $\Rightarrow$   $(uac)$  This can be proved by the same method used in the proof of theorem 1.3 of Drewnowski and Orlicz [1].

(o)  $\Rightarrow$   $(uc_\infty)$  It is sufficient to prove the case  $X = \{x; |x(t)| \leq 1 \text{ a. e. } t \in E\}$ . Let denote by  $A$  the set of all dyadic numbers in  $[-1, 1]$  i. e. if  $\lambda \in A$ , then  $\lambda = m/2^n$  for some integers  $n (> 0)$ ,  $m$  with  $-2^n \leq m \leq 2^n$  and let  $h_\lambda(t)$  ( $\lambda \in A$ ) be Radon-Nikodym's derivative of  $T(\lambda \mathcal{X}_e)$  i. e.  $T(\lambda \mathcal{X}_e) = \int_e h_\lambda(t) d\mu$  where  $\mathcal{X}_e$  is a characteristic function of a measurable set  $e \subset E$ . Now we take an arbitrary  $\delta > 0$  and fix it for a while. For integers  $n$  and  $m = -2^n + 1, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 2^n$ , we consider measurable sets :

$$e_m^n = \{t; |h_\lambda - h_\mu|(t) \geq \delta \text{ for some } \lambda, \mu \in A \text{ with } (m-1)/2^n \leq \lambda, \mu \leq m/2^n\} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots; m = -2^n + 1, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 2^n)$$

and

$$b_n = \bigcup_{m=-2^n+1}^{2^n} e_m^n. \quad \text{Note that } e_m^n \text{ is written by } e_m^n = \bigcup_{\lambda, \mu} \{t; h_\lambda(t) - h_\mu(t) \geq \delta\}$$

where  $\lambda, \mu (\in A)$  are countable. By definition of  $b_n$ , we have  $b_1 \supset b_2 \supset \dots \supset b_n \supset \dots$ , and let us denote their limit  $b = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ .

(i) Let  $\mu(b) = 0$  for every  $\delta > 0$ . For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by  $(uac)$ , there exists  $\varepsilon' > 0$  such that  $|T(y \mathcal{X}_e)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $y \in X$  and for  $\mu(e) < \varepsilon'$ . At the same time we see that there is  $n$  with  $\mu(b_n) < \varepsilon'$  such that

$$|h_\lambda(t) - h_\mu(t)| < \varepsilon \text{ for } |\lambda - \mu| \leq 1/2^n \text{ with } \lambda, \mu \in A, t \in E \sim b_n.$$

This will be seen if we put  $\delta = \varepsilon/2$ . Let  $\|x(t) - x'(t)\|_\infty < 1/2^{n+1}$ . By (o) we can find  $\lambda_i, \mu_i \in A$  ( $i$ : finite set) and mutually disjoint measurable sets  $e_i$  such that

$$\|x - \sum_i \lambda_i \mathcal{X}_{e_i}\|_\infty \leq 1/2^{n+2}, \quad \|x' - \sum_i \mu_i \mathcal{X}_{e_i}\|_\infty \leq 1/2^{n+2}$$

and

$$|T(x) - T(\sum_i \lambda_i \mathcal{X}_{e_i})| < \varepsilon, \quad |T(x') - T(\sum_i \mu_i \mathcal{X}_{e_i})| < \varepsilon.$$

We have  $|\lambda_i - \mu_i| < 1/2^n$  for each  $i$  and

$$|T(\sum_i \lambda_i \mathcal{X}_{e_i}) - T(\sum_i \mu_i \mathcal{X}_{e_i})| \leq \sum_i \int_{e_i \sim b_n} |h_{\lambda_i} - h_{\mu_i}| d\mu$$

$$+ |T(\sum_i \lambda_i \mathcal{X}_{e_i} \mathcal{X}_{b_n})| + |T(\sum_i \mu_i \mathcal{X}_{e_i} \mathcal{X}_{b_n})| \leq \varepsilon \mu(E) + 2\varepsilon.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |T(x) - T(x')| &\leq |T(x) - T(\sum_i \lambda_i \mathcal{X}_{e_i})| + |T(\sum_i \lambda_i \mathcal{X}_{e_i}) - T(\sum_i \mu_i \mathcal{X}_{e_i})| \\ &\quad + |T(x') - T(\sum_i \mu_i \mathcal{X}_{e_i})| \leq \varepsilon \mu(E) + 4\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

( $uc_\infty$ ) follows from this.

(ii) Let  $\mu(b) > 0$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . It will be shown that this case can not occur. For every  $n$ , we shall define a partition of  $b$  by induction, that is

$$b = b_{-2^{n+1}} \cup \dots \cup b_{2^n},$$

$b_m^n (m = -2^n + 1, \dots, 2^n)$  are mutually disjoint measurable sets and for every  $p \geq n$ ,  $b_m^n \subset e_m^{n,p}$  where  $e_m^{n,p} = \{t; t \in e_{m'}^p \text{ for some } m' \text{ with } (m-1)/2^n < m'/2^n \leq m/2^n\}$ . We take a note that the sequence  $e_m^{n,p} (p = n, n+1, \dots)$

is monotone decreasing with respect to  $p$  and that  $\bigcup_{m=-2^n+1}^{2^n} e_m^{n,p} = b_p$ .

Let us define  $b_m^1 (m = -1, 0, 1, 2)$ , at first we put

$$a_m^1 = b \cap e_m^{1,1} \cap e_m^{1,2} \cap \dots, \quad (m = -1, 0, 1, 2).$$

Then, we have  $b = \bigcup_{m=-1}^2 a_m^1$ , since  $b \sim \bigcup_{m=-1}^2 a_m^1 = \bigcap_{m=-1}^2 \bigcup_{p=1}^\infty (b \sim e_m^{1,p}) \subset$

$$\bigcup_{p=1}^\infty \bigcap_{m=-1}^2 (b \sim e_m^{1,p}) \subset \bigcup_{p=1}^\infty (b \sim \bigcup_{m=-1}^2 e_m^{1,p}) = \phi.$$

Hence we define,  $b_{-1}^1 = a_{-1}^1$ ,  $b_0^1 = a_0^1 \sim a_{-1}^1$ ,  $b_1^1 = a_1^1 \sim a_0^1 \sim a_{-1}^1$ , etc., then by definition  $b_m^1 \subset a_m^1 \subset e_m^{1,p}$  for all  $p \geq 1$ . Assuming we have defined  $b_m^n$ , we shall define  $b_s^{n+1}; s = -2^{n+1} + 1, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 2^{n+1}$ . It suffices to define  $b_{s-1}^{n+1}, b_s^{n+1}$  of the form  $s = 2m$  with some  $m \in \{-2^n \div 1, \dots, 0, \dots, 2^n\}$ . We set

$$b_s^{n+1} = b_m^n \cap e_s^{n+1, n+1} \cap e_s^{n+1, n+2} \cap \dots,$$

$$b_{s-1}^{n+1} = b_m^n \sim b_s^{n+1}.$$

We must check  $b_{s-1}^{n+1} \subset e_{s-1}^{n+1, p}$  for  $p \geq n+1$ . This will be done, since  $t \in b_{s-1}^{n+1} \Rightarrow t \notin b_s^{n+1} \Rightarrow t \notin e_s^{n+1, p}$  for some  $p \geq n+1 \Rightarrow t \notin e_s^{n+1, k}$  for all  $k \geq p \Rightarrow t \in e_{s-1}^{n+1, k}$  for all  $k \geq p \Rightarrow t \in e_{s-1}^{n+1, p}$  for all  $p \geq n+1$ , since  $e_s^{n+1, k} \cup e_{s-1}^{n+1, k} = e_m^{n, k}$  by definition. For  $n$ , we define measurable functions  $f_n, g_n \in X$  such that

$$f_n(t) = \sum_{m=-2^n+1}^{2^n} \frac{m-1}{2^m} \mathcal{X}_{b_m^n}(t),$$

$$g_n(t) = \sum_{m=-2^n+1}^{2^n} \frac{m}{2^m} \mathcal{X}_{b_m^n}(t).$$

We have by definition  $f_n(t) \leq f_{n+1}(t) \leq g_{n+1}(t) \leq g_n(t)$ , and  $|f_n(t) - g_n(t)| \leq 1/2^n$ . Hence, there exists  $x \in X$  with  $f_n \rightarrow x$ ,  $g_n \rightarrow x$  (order convergence). For  $m = -2^n + 1, \dots, 2^n$ , we can decompose  $b_m^n$  into

$$b_m^n = c_{m,1}^n \cup c_{m,2}^n \cup \dots \quad (\text{mutually disjoint})$$

where  $c_{m,i}^n \subset \{t; h_{\lambda_{m,i}^n}^n(t) - h_{\mu_{m,i}^n}^n(t) \geq \delta\}$  for every pair  $\lambda_{m,i}^n, \mu_{m,i}^n \in A$  with  $(m-1)/2^n \leq \lambda_{m,i}^n, \mu_{m,i}^n \leq m/2^n$ , since  $b_m^n \subset e_m^n = \bigcup_{\lambda, \mu} \{t; h_\lambda(t) - h_\mu(t) \geq \delta\}$  where  $(m-1)/2^n \leq \lambda, \mu \leq m/2^n$  with  $\lambda, \mu \in A$ . If we define  $f'_n, g'_n$  as follows:

$$f'_n(t) = \sum_{m=-2^n+1}^{2^n} \sum_i \lambda_{m,i}^n \mathcal{X}_{c_{m,i}^n}(t)$$

$$g'_n(t) = \sum_{m=-2^n+1}^{2^n} \sum_i \mu_{m,i}^n \mathcal{X}_{c_{m,i}^n}(t),$$

then  $f_n(t) \leq f'_n(t)$ ,  $g'_n(t) \leq g_n(t)$  and

$$T(f'_n) - T(g'_n) \geq \delta \mu(b)$$

with  $f'_n \rightarrow x$  and  $g'_n \rightarrow x$  (order convergence). This contradicts to the condition (o).

Remark. In above Theorem, the condition (o) is replaced by the condition that  $T$  is continuous by measure convergence.

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] L. DREWNOWSKI and W. ORLICZ: On representation of orthogonally additive functionals, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 17 (1969).
- [ 2 ] N. FRIEDMAN and M. KATZ: Additive functionals on  $L_p$ -spaces, Canad. J. Math. 18 (1966).
- [ 3 ] \_\_\_\_\_: On additive functionals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 (1969).
- [ 4 ] S. KOSHI: On additive functionals of measurable function spaces, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 13 (1968).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY

(Received October 30, 1969)