

# *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University*

---

*Volume 34, Issue 1*

1992

*Article 1*

JANUARY 1992

---

## On the (2,3)-closures of Ideals

Susumu Oda\*

Ken-Ichi Yoshida<sup>†</sup>

\*Uji-Yamada High School

<sup>†</sup>Okayama University

Copyright ©1992 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

## ON THE (2, 3)-CLOSURES OF IDEALS

SUSUMU ODA and KEN-ICHI YOSHIDA

Let  $R$  be a Noetherian integral domain and let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Assume that the integral closure  $R'$  of  $R$  in its quotient field is a finite  $R$ -module. Let  $R[t^{-1}, It]$  denote the generalized Rees ring, where  $t$  is an indeterminate. In [9], it is shown that if  $R[t^{-1}, It]$  is seminormal then  $I^*$  is equal to the relevant component  $(I^k)^* := \cup\{I^{i+k} : I^i; i \geq 1\}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  (where  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of integers  $\geq 1$ ). But the converse statement are not necessarily valid. So it seems natural to ask when  $R[t^{-1}, It]$  is seminormal. In this paper, we define the (2, 3)-closure  $I'$  of  $I$  in  $R$ , which has the following properties :

- (a)  $I'$  contains  $I$ ,
- (b)  $I$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$  (i.e.,  $a^2 \in I^2, a^3 \in I^3 (a \in R)$  imply  $a \in I$ ) if and only if  $I = I'$ ,
- (c)  $I'$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$ ,
- (d)  $I$  is a reduction of  $I'$ , that is,  $I(I')^n = (I')^{n+1}$  for all large  $n$ ,
- (e)  $I \subset I' \subset I_a$ , where  $I_a$  denotes the integral closure of  $I$ .

Our objectives of this paper are to investigate the relations among  $I, I^*$  and  $I'$ , to study the relations between the seminormality of  $R$  and (2, 3)-closedness of divisorial ideals and to determine the seminormalization of the (generalized) Rees ring.

Unless otherwise specified, let  $R$  be a *Noetherian domain*, let  $R'$  denote the integral closure of  $R$  in its quotient field  $K$ , let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  and let  $B$  be an intermediate ring between  $R$  and  $R'$  which is a *finite*  $R$ -module. Our unexplained technical terms are standard and are seen in [4].

**1. Definitions and Basic Properties of (2, 3)-Closures of Ideals.** Let  $t$  denote an indeterminate, and we call  $R[It]$  (resp.  $R[t^{-1}, It]$ ) the *Rees ring* (resp. the *generalized Rees ring*) of  $R$  with respect to  $I$ . The ring  $R[t^{-1}, It]$  is a subring of the torus extension  $R_R = R[t^{-1}, t]$  of  $R$ . After Mirbagheri and Ratliff [7], we call the ideal  $I^* := \cup\{I^{i+1} : I^i; i \geq 1\}$  the *relevant component* of  $I$ .

Let  ${}^B\Delta_*(I) := \{\alpha \in B; \alpha^2 \in I^2, \alpha^3 \in I^3\}$  and let  ${}_B I_*$  denote an  $R$ -submodule of  $B$  generated by  ${}^B\Delta_*(I)$ . When  $I = R$ , we denote  ${}_B R_*$ , an  $R$ -module generated by  ${}^B\Delta_*(R) = \{\alpha \in B; \alpha^2 \in R, \alpha^3 \in R\}$ . We claim that  ${}_B R_*$  is an  $R$ -subalgebra of  $B$  and  ${}_B I_*$  is an ideal of  ${}_B R_*$ . Indeed, since  ${}_B R_*$  is an  $R$ -module generated by  ${}^B\Delta_*(R)$ , for  $x, y \in {}^B\Delta_*(R)$ ,  $x + y \in {}_B R_*$  is trivial. Next  $(xy)^2 =$

$x^2y^2 \in R$  and  $(xy)^3 = x^3y^3 \in R$  imply  $xy \in {}^B\Delta_*(R)$ . Since for any elements  $\alpha = \sum r_i x_i$  and  $\beta = \sum t_i y_i$  in  ${}_B R_*$  with  $x_i, y_i \in {}^B\Delta_*(R)$ ,  $r_i, t_i \in R$ ,  $\alpha\beta$  is expressed as a linear combination of  $x_i y_j$  over  $R$ , the above argument shows that  ${}_B R_*$  is an  $R$ -algebra. Since  ${}_B I_*$  is an  $R$ -module generated by  ${}^B\Delta_*(R)$ , for  $x, y \in {}^B\Delta_*(I)$ ,  $x + y \in {}_B I_*$  is trivial. For  $z \in {}^B\Delta_*(R)$ ,  $(zx)^2 = z^2x^2 \in {}_B I_*$  and  $(zx)^3 = z^3x^3 \in {}_B I_*$ . So  $zx \in {}^B\Delta_*(I)$ . By the same reason as above, we conclude that  ${}_B I_*$  is an ideal of  ${}_B R_*$ . Let  ${}^B\Delta_0(I) := {}^B\Delta_*(I)$ ,  ${}_B I_* = {}_B I_0$  and  ${}_B R_0 = {}_B R_*$ . Once  ${}_B R_i$  and  ${}_B I_i$  are defined, we put  ${}^B\Delta_{i+1}(I) := {}^B\Delta_*({}_B I_i) = \{\alpha \in B; \alpha^2 \in ({}_B I_i)^2, \alpha^3 \in ({}_B I_i)^3\}$  and let  ${}_B I_{i+1}$  denote an  $R$ -submodule  ${}_B({}_B I_i)_*$  of  $B$  generated by  ${}^B\Delta_{i+1}(I)$ . It is clear that  ${}^B\Delta_i(I) \subset {}^B\Delta_{i+1}(I)$ . Then by induction, we can easily see that  ${}_B R_{i+1}$  is an  $R$ -subalgebra of  $B$  and  ${}_B I_{i+1}$  is an ideal of  ${}_B R_{i+1}$ . Since  $B$  is a finite  $R$ -module, the ascending chain of  $R$ -submodules of  $B$ :

$$I \subset {}_B I_0 \subset \cdots \subset {}_B I_i \subset \cdots$$

terminates, that is, there exists an integer  $N$  such that for all  $n \geq N$   ${}_B I_n = {}_B I_{n+1} = \cdots$ . Put  ${}_B I := {}_B I_n$  for such  $n$ . When  $I = R$ , we employ the notation  ${}_B R$  for  ${}_B I$ . When  $B = R'$  (here  $R'$  is assumed to be a finite  $R$ -module), we use the notation  $I^*_i$  for  ${}_B I_i$ ,  $I^*$  for  ${}_B I$  and  $R^*$  for  ${}_B R$ . Moreover when  $B = R$ , we denote  ${}_B I_i$  by  $I_i$ ,  ${}_B I$  by  $I'$ . We denote also  $I_{i+1} = (I_i)_*$ . Note here that  $I_i$  and  $I'$  are ideals of  $R$  by definition. Thus we obtain the following lemma.

- Lemma 1.1.** (i)  ${}_B R_i$  is an  $R$ -subalgebra of  $B$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ;  
 (ii)  ${}_B I_i$  is an ideal of  ${}_B R_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ;  
 (iii)  ${}_B I$  is an ideal of an  $R$ -algebra  ${}_B R$ .

We call  ${}_B I$  the (2, 3)-closure of  $I$  in  $B$  and  $I'$  the (2, 3)-closure of  $I$  in  $R$ . When  $I = {}_B I$  (resp.  $I = I'$ ), we say that  $I$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $B$  (resp.  $I$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$ ). Since  $R$  is an integral domain, the ideal (0) is (2, 3)-closed. More generally it is obvious that any radical ideal is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$  by Proposition 1.4 below.

Hereafter in this section, we treat only the case  $B = R$ .

**Proposition 1.2.** *The following statements hold :*

- (i)  $(I)' = I'$ , i.e.,  $I'$  is (2, 3)-closed ;  
 (ii) for any ideal  $J$  of  $R$  satisfying  $I \subset J \subset I'$ , we have  $J' = I'$  ;  
 (iii)  $(I_0)^n \subset (I^n)_0$  and  $(I')^n \subset (I^n)'$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* (i) By construction,  $I' = I_m$  for all large  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $(I')_* = (I_m)_* = I_{m+1} = I'$ , which implies that  $(I)' = I'$ .

(ii) follows from (i).

(iii) Let  $\alpha = a_1 \cdots a_n$  be an element in  $R$  with  $a_i \in \Delta_0(I)$ . Then  $\alpha^2 = a_1^2 \cdots a_n^2 \in I^{2n}$  and  $\alpha^3 = a_1^3 \cdots a_n^3 \in I^{3n}$  and hence  $\alpha \in (I^n)_0$ . Since  $I_0$  is generated by  $\Delta_0(I)$ , any element in  $(I_0)^n$  is a linear combination of products of  $n$  elements in  $\Delta_0(I)$  over  $R$ . Thus by the preceding argument shows that  $(I_0)^n \subset (I^n)_0$ . Replace  $I$  by  $I_i$  in this inclusion and we get  $((I_i)_0)^n \subset ((I_i)^n)_0$ . So  $(I_{i+1})^n = ((I_i)_0)^n \subset ((I_i)^n)_0 \subset ((I_i)^n)'$ , that is,  $(I_{i+1})^n \subset ((I_i)^n)'$ . Thus  $(I_{i+1})^n \subset ((I_i)^n)'$   $\subset (((I_{i-1})^n)')' \subset ((I_{i-1})^n)'$  by (i), and consequently we have  $(I_{i+1})^n \subset ((I_0)^n)'$   $\subset ((I^n)_0)' = (I^n)'$ . Since  $I_m = I'$  for large  $m$ , we have  $(I')^n \subset (I^n)'$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

**Proposition 1.3.** *Let  $J$  be an ideal generated by the set  $\{a \in R ; a^k \in I^k$  for all large  $k \in \mathbf{N}\}$ . Then  $I' \subset J$ ,  $I^* \subset J$  and  $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{I'} = \sqrt{I^*} = \sqrt{J}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $J$  be the ideal generated by  $\{a \in R ; a^k \in I^k$  for all large  $k \in \mathbf{N}\}$ . Then it is obvious that  $I' \subset J$  because for all large  $k$ ,  $k = 2m + 3n$  for some  $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Take  $a \in I^*$ . Then  $a^k \in (I^*)^k = I^k$  for all large  $k$  by [8, (2.1)]. Hence  $a \in J$ . The second assertion follows from  $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{J}$ .

**Proposition 1.4.** *The following statements are equivalent :*

- (a)  $I = I'$  i.e.,  $I$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$  ;
- (b)  $\Delta_0(I) \subset I$  ;
- (c)  $I_0 = I$  ;
- (d)  $a^2 \in I^2, a^3 \in I^3$  ( $a \in R$ ) imply  $a \in I$ .

*Proof.* (b)  $\iff$  (c) is trivial because  $I_0$  is generated by  $\Delta_0(I)$  over  $R$ .

(a)  $\implies$  (c) is trivial because  $I \subset I_0 \subset I'$ .

(c)  $\implies$  (d)  $I_0$  is an ideal generated by  $\Delta_0(I)$ . Hence  $a^2 \in I^2, a^3 \in I^3$  ( $a \in R$ ) imply  $a \in \Delta_0(I) \subset I_0 \subset I$ .

(d)  $\implies$  (c): We have only to show that  $\Delta_0(I) \subset I$  since  $I_0$  is an ideal generated by  $\Delta_0(I)$ . But this is given by the condition in (d).

(c)  $\implies$  (a):  $I_0 = I$  yields that  $I_i = I$  for all  $i$  by the definition. So  $I' = I_i = I$ .

Recall that the *integral closure*  $I_a$  of  $I$  in  $R$  is the set of elements  $x$  in  $R$  that satisfy an equation of the form  $x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0$ , where  $a_i \in I_i$  for  $i = 1, \cdots, n$ . Also recall that  $I$  is said to be normal in case  $(I^i)_a = I^i$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . It is shown in [7, p.34] that  $J \subset I \implies J_a \subset I_a$  and  $(I_a)_a = I_a$ .

In the following theorem, we summarize some basic properties of (2, 3)-closures of ideals.

**Theorem 1.5.** *The following statements hold :*

- (i)  $I' \subset I_a$ ;
- (ii)  $(I_P)' = (I')_P$  for any  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$ ;
- (iii)  $I$  is a reduction of  $I'$ , that is,  $I(I')^n = (I')^{n+1}$  for all large  $n$ ;
- (iv)  $I$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$  if and only if so is  $I_m$  in  $R_m$  for each maximal ideal  $m$  of  $R$ .

*Proof.* (i) It is clear that  $I_i \subset (I_{i-1})_a$  by the definition. Hence  $I_n \subset (I_{n-1})_a \subset (I_{n-2}) \subset \cdots \subset I_a$  by the preceding paragraph. Since  $I' = I_n$  for all large  $n$ , we have  $I' \subset I_a$ .

(ii) We prove  $(I_i)_P = (I_P)_i$  by induction on  $i$ . Take  $a/s \in \Delta_i(I_P) \subset R_P$  ( $a \in R, s \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ ). Then  $(a/s)^2 \in ((I_P)_{i-1})^2 = ((I_{i-1})_P)^2$  and  $(a/s)^3 \in ((I_P)_{i-1})^3 = ((I_{i-1})_P)^3$ ; so that  $a/s \in \Delta_i(I)R_P$ . Thus  $(I_P)_i = (I_i)_P$ . The converse is similar. Since  $I_n = I'$  for all large  $n$ , we have our conclusion.

(iii) We need to prove  $I_{i-1}$  is a reduction of  $I_i$ . For this we have only to show that  $I$  is a reduction of  $I_0$ . Put  $I_0 = (a_1, \cdots, a_r)R$  with  $a_i \in \Delta_0(I)$  and let  $J = (a_1^2, \cdots, a_r^2)R$ . Then  $J$  is a reduction of  $(I_0)^2$  by [8, (2.8.2)], that is,  $J(I_0^2)^n = (I_0^2)^{n+1}$  for all large  $n$ . Since  $J \subset I^2$ , we have  $I(I_0)^{2n+1} = I_0^{2n+2}$  for all large  $n$ . Thus  $I(I_0)^m = I_0^{m+1}$  for all large  $m$ , which shows that  $I$  is a reduction of  $I_0$ . Similarly we can prove  $I_{i-1}$  is a reduction of  $I_i$  for all  $i$ , and since  $I_n = I'$  for a large  $n$ ,  $I$  is a reduction of  $I'$ .

(iv) is clear by (ii).

**Proposition 1.6.** *Any intersection of ideals which are (2, 3)-closed in  $R$  is also (2, 3)-closed in  $R$ .*

*Proof.* We have only to show that  $\bigcap J_i$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$  for (2, 3)-closed ideals  $J_i$ . Since  $(\bigcap J_i)_0$  is generated by  $\Delta_0(\bigcap J_i)$ , we need only to prove  $\Delta_0(\bigcap J_i) \subset \bigcap J_i$ . Take  $\alpha \in \Delta_0(\bigcap J_i)$ . Then  $\alpha^2 \in (\bigcap J_i)^2$  and  $\alpha^3 \in (\bigcap J_i)^3$ . Since  $\bigcap J_i \subset J_i$  implies  $(\bigcap J_i)^2 \subset \bigcap J_i^2$  and  $(\bigcap J_i)^3 \subset \bigcap J_i^3$ , we have  $\alpha^2 \in \bigcap J_i^2$  and  $\alpha^3 \in \bigcap J_i^3$ . Since  $J_i$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$ ,  $\alpha \in J_i$ , that is,  $\alpha \in \bigcap J_i$ . Thus  $(\bigcap J_i)_0 = \bigcap J_i$ . By Proposition 1.4,  $\bigcap J_i$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$ .

**Proposition 1.7.** *Let  $b$  be an element in  $R$ . Then*

- (a) *if  $I$  is (2, 3)-closed, then  $I : {}_R b := \{a \in R ; ab \in I\}$  is (2, 3)-closed ;*
- (b) *if the ideal  $bI$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$ , then  $I$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$ .*

*Proof.* (a) First we prove that  $(I : {}_R b)^2 \subset I^2 : {}_R b^2$  and  $(I : {}_R b)^3 \subset I^3 : {}_R b^3$ . Let  $I : {}_R b = (x_1, \cdots, x_r)$ . Then  $(I : {}_R b)^2$  (resp.  $(I : {}_R b)^3$ ) is generated by  $\{x_i x_j\}$

(resp.  $\{x_i x_j x_k\}$ ). So we have only to show that  $x_i x_j \in I^2 :_R b^2$  and  $x_i x_j x_k \in I^3 :_R b^3$ . Since  $x_i x_j b^2 = (x_i b)(x_j b) \in I \cdot I = I^2$  and  $x_i x_j x_k b^3 = (x_i b)(x_j b)(x_k b) \in I^3$ . Thus  $x_i x_j \in I^2 :_R b^2$  and  $x_i x_j x_k \in I^3 :_R b^3$ . Next take  $\alpha \in (I :_R b)_0$ . Then we may assume that  $\alpha$  belongs to  $\Delta_0(I :_R b)$  since  $(I :_R b)_0$  is generated by  $\Delta_0(I :_R b)$ . So  $\alpha^2 \in (I :_R b)^2$  and  $\alpha^3 \in (I :_R b)^3$ . By the previous argument, we have  $\alpha^2 \in I^2 :_R b^2$  and  $\alpha^3 \in I^3 :_R b^3$ , and hence  $\alpha^2 b^2 \in I^2$  and  $\alpha^3 b^3 \in I^3$ . Thus  $\alpha b \in I$  because  $I$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$ , which implies that  $\alpha \in I :_R b$ . Therefore  $(I :_R b)_0 = I :_R b$ . By Proposition 1.4, we conclude that  $I :_R b$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$ .

(b) This is clear because  $(bI :_R b) = I$  and (a).

**Corollary 1.7.1.** *If  $I$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$ , then any isolated primary component  $q$  of  $I$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $I = q_1 \cap \cdots \cap q_r$  be an irredundant primary decomposition of  $I$ . We may assume that  $q = q_1$ . Put  $p = \sqrt{q}$ . Then there exists an element  $x \in q_2 \cap \cdots \cap q_r \setminus p$ . Thus  $I :_R x = (q_1 :_R x) = \cap \cdots \cap (q_r :_R x) = q_1 :_R x$ . Since  $x \notin p$ , we have  $q_1 :_R x = q_1$ . Hence  $q = q_1 = I :_R x$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$  by Proposition 1.7.

**Corollary 1.7.2.** *Assume that  $I$  has no embedded prime divisors. Let  $I = q_1 \cap \cdots \cap q_r$  be an irredundant primary decomposition. Then  $I$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$  if and only if  $q_i$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Proposition 1.6 and Corollary 1.7.1.

**2. Seminormal Domains and (2, 3)-Closed Ideals.** When  $R$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $B$ , that is,  $a^2, a^3 \in R$  for  $a \in B$  implies that  $a \in R$ , we say that  $R$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $B$ . When  $R$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R'$ , we say that  $R$  is *seminormal*.

The following proposition asserts that the converse statement of Proposition 1.6(b) holds if  $R$  is seminormal.

**Proposition 2.1.** *If  $R$  is seminormal and  $I$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$ , then  $bI$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$  for any  $b \in R$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $\alpha \in \Delta_0(bI)$ . Then  $\alpha^2 \in (bI)^2$  and  $\alpha^3 \in (bI)^3$ . So  $(\alpha/b)^2 \in I^2 \subset R$  and  $(\alpha/b)^3 \in I^3 \subset R$ . Since  $R$  is seminormal,  $\alpha/b$  belongs to  $R$ . Hence  $\alpha \in bI$ , which implies that  $bI$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$ .

**Corollary 2.1.1.** *Assume that  $R$  is seminormal. If  $I$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$ ,*

any ideal  $J$  of  $R$  which is  $R$ -isomorphic to  $I$  is  $(2, 3)$ -closed in  $R$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\text{Hom}_R(I, J) \subset \text{Hom}_R(I, J)_R \otimes K = \text{Hom}_K(K, K) = K$  (where  $K$  denotes the field of fractions of  $R$ ), any  $R$ -isomorphism of  $I$  into  $J$  is the multiplication of an element  $\alpha$  in  $K$ , that is,  $\alpha I = J$ . Put  $\alpha = c/d$  with  $c, d \in R$ . Then  $cI = dJ$ . Since  $I$  is  $(2, 3)$ -closed in  $R$ ,  $cI = dJ$  is  $(2, 3)$ -closed in  $R$  by Proposition 2.1. Hence  $J$  is  $(2, 3)$ -closed in  $R$  by Proposition 1.7(b).

**Remark.** It is known and is not hard to see that  $R = R'$  if and only if any principal ideal of  $R$  is integrally closed, i.e.,  $(bR)_a = bR$  for all  $b \in R$ .

The next proposition shows that the similar argument in the above Remark is valid for  $(2, 3)$ -closedness.

**Proposition 2.2.** *The following statements are equivalent :*

- (a)  $R$  is seminormal ;
- (b)  $(aR)' = aR$  for any non-unit  $a$  in  $R$  ;
- (c)  $(aR)' = aR$  for any element  $a$  in  $R$  ;
- (d)  $(aR)'$  is a principal ideal for any element  $a$  of  $R$ .

*Proof.* Note first that  $aR = (aR)' \iff aR = (aR)_0$  by Proposition 1.4.

(a)  $\implies$  (b): Since  $R$  itself is  $(2, 3)$ -closed in  $R$ ,  $aR$  is  $(2, 3)$ -closed in  $R$  by Proposition 2.1.

(b)  $\implies$  (a): Take  $\alpha \in R'$  with  $\alpha^2 \in R$  and  $\alpha^3 \in R$ . Put  $\alpha = b/a$  with  $a, b \in R$ . If  $a$  is a unit in  $R$ , then  $\alpha \in R$ . Suppose  $a$  is not a unit in  $R$ . Then  $b^2 \in a^2R$  and  $b^3 \in a^3R$ , so that  $b \in aR$  by the assumption. Hence  $\alpha = b/a \in R$ . We conclude that  $R$  is seminormal.

(c)  $\iff$  (b) and (b)  $\implies$  (d) are trivial.

(d)  $\implies$  (b): By Theorem 1.5, we may assume that  $R$  is a local domain with the maximal ideal  $m$ . Let  $(aR)' = bR$  and let  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  be elements in  $\Delta_0(aR)$  which generates  $(aR)'$  over  $R$ . Then there exist  $x_i$  such that  $(aR)' = x_iR$ . Indeed, take  $x_i \in (aR)' \setminus (aR)'m$ . Then the image  $x_i$  in  $(aR)' / (aR)'m \simeq bR/bm \simeq R/m$  is a basis over the field  $R/m$ . From this,  $x_iR + bm = bR$  and hence  $x_iR = bR = (aR)'$  by Nakayama's lemma. Put  $x = x_i$ . Since  $a \in bR = xR$ , we have  $a = xc$  for some  $c \in R$ . Since  $x \in \Delta_0(aR)$ , we have  $x^2 = a^2r \in a^2R$  for some  $r \in R$ . Thus  $x^2 = x^2c^2r$  implies that  $c$  is a unit in  $R$ . Thus  $(aR)' = xR = aR$ .

Relating to Proposition 1.7 and Propositions 2.1 and 2.2, we refer to the example raised in [9].

**Example.** Let  $R = k[X^2, X^3]$  be a subring of a polynomial ring  $k[X]$  over a field  $k$  and let  $I$  be an ideal  $X^2R$ . It is easy to see that  $R$  is not seminormal and that  $(X^3)^2 \in I^2$  and  $(X^3)^3 \in I^3$ ; hence  $X^3 \in I'$  but  $X^3$  does not belong to  $I$ . Since  $I^* = I$ , we have  $I^* \neq I'$ . Moreover it is clear that  $(I^n)' \neq I^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  because  $X^{2n+1} \notin I^n$  but  $X^{2n+1} \in (I^n)'$ .

**Corollary 2.2.1.** *Assume that  $I$  is invertible and  $R$  is seminormal. Then  $(I^n)' = I^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $R$  is seminormal if and only if  $R_P$  is seminormal for each  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$  and that  $I$  being invertible implies that  $I$  is locally principal. So our conclusion follows from Theorem 1.5(ii) and Proposition 2.2.

**Corollary 2.2.2.** *Assume that  $R$  is a Dedekind domain. Then any ideal of  $R$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$ .*

We denote by  $K$  the field of fractions of  $R$ , and  $R : {}_R\alpha$  denotes the denominator ideal  $\{a \in R ; a\alpha \in R\}$  of  $\alpha \in K$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Assume that  $R$  is seminormal. Then for any element  $\alpha$  in  $K$ , the ideal  $R : {}_R\alpha$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $\alpha = c/d$  with  $c, d \in R$  ( $d \neq 0$ ). Then  $R : {}_R\alpha = dR : {}_RcR$ . Since  $R$  is seminormal,  $dR$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$  by Proposition 2.2. Hence by Proposition 1.6,  $R : {}_R\alpha = dR : {}_RcR$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$ .

An  $R$ -submodule  $J$  of  $K$  is called *fractional* if  $rJ \subset R$  for some  $r \in R \setminus \{0\}$ . Any ideal of  $R$  is a fractional ideal of  $R$ . We say that a fractional ideal  $J$  of  $R$  is *divisorial* if  $R : {}_R(R : {}_R J) = J$ . It is known that  $J$  is divisorial if and only if  $J$  is the intersection of principal fractional ideals of  $R$ . For  $\alpha \in K$ , the denominator ideal  $R : {}_R\alpha$  is divisorial ideal of  $R$ . Indeed, it is obvious that  $R : {}_R\alpha = \alpha^{-1}R \cap R$  if  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $R : {}_R\alpha = R$  if  $\alpha = 0$ .

We now extend Proposition 2.2 as follows.

**Theorem 2.4.** *The following statements are equivalent :*

- (i)  $R$  is seminormal ;
- (ii) any principal ideal  $aR$  ( $a \in R$ ) is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$  ;
- (iii) any denominator ideal  $R : {}_R\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in K$ ) is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$  ;
- (iv) any divisorial ideal of  $R$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$ .



*Proof.* (ii)  $\implies$  (i) is shown in Proposition 2.2.

(iv)  $\implies$  (iii)  $\implies$  (ii) is clear because any principal ideal  $aR$  ( $a \neq 0$ ) is  $R : {}_R a^{-1}R$  and  $R : {}_R a$  is divisorial for any  $a \in K$  by the preceding argument.

(i)  $\implies$  (iv): Let  $I$  be a divisorial ideal of  $R$  ( $I \subset R$ ). Then  $I = \bigcap (\alpha^{-1}R \cap R)$  for some  $\alpha$ 's  $\in K$ . We may assume that  $I \neq (0)$  because  $(0)$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$ . Since  $\alpha^{-1}R \cap R = R : {}_R \alpha$ ,  $\alpha^{-1}R \cap R$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$  by Lemma 2.3. Hence  $I = \bigcap (\alpha^{-1}R \cap R)$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$  by Proposition 1.5.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $A$  be an integral domain containing  $R$  and let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Assume that  $A$  is faithfully flat over  $R$ . If  $IA$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $A$ , then  $I$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R$ .*

*Proof.* We have only to show that  $I_0 = I$  by Proposition 1.4. For this we must show that  $\Delta_0(I) \subset I$ . Take  $\alpha \in \Delta_0(I)$ . Then  $\alpha^2 \in I^2 \subset (IA)^2$  and  $\alpha^3 \in I^3 \subset (IA)^3$  and hence  $\alpha \in IA$  because  $IA$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $A$ . Since  $A$  is faithfully flat over  $R$ ,  $\alpha \in IA \cap R = I$ .

We close this section by showing what happen when  $(I^k)' = I^k$  for some  $k \in N$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** *Assume that  $I^k = (I^k)'$  for some  $k \in N$ . Then*

- (i)  $(I')^n = (I^*)^n = I^n$  for all large  $n$ .
- (ii)  $I' \subset I^*$ .

*Proof.* (i) By Theorem 1.5(iii),  $I$  is a reduction of  $I'$ . So for any  $i \in N$  with  $1 \leq i \leq k$  we have  $I^i(I')^r = (I')^{r+i}$  for all large  $r$ . For a large  $m$ , consider the case  $r = mk$ . Then  $(I')^{km+i} \subset I^i(I')^{km} \subset I^i((I^k)')^m = I^i(I^k)^m = I^{km+i}$ , where we use Proposition 1.2 in the second inclusion. Since  $I \subset I'$  implies  $I^{km+i} \subset (I')^{km+i}$ , we have  $(I')^{km+i} = I^{km+i}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ) for all large  $m$ . Thus  $(I')^n = I^n$  for all large  $n$ .

(ii) Since  $(I')^n = (I^*)^n$  for all large  $n \in N$  by (i), we have  $I' \subset I^*$  by [8, (2.1)].

**Corollary 2.6.1.** *If  $I^k$  is (2, 3)-closed, i.e.,  $I^k = (I^k)'$  for some  $k \in N$ , then  $I' \subset I^*$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 1.2, we have  $(I')^k \subset (I^k)'$ . So  $I^k \subset (I')^k \subset (I^k)' = I^*$ , and consequently  $I' = I^*$  by Proposition 2.6(ii).

### 3. Generalized Rees Rings and (2, 3)-Closed Ideals. Throughout this sec-

tion, we assume that the integral closure  $R'$  of  $R$  is a finite  $R$ -module.

Let  $C = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_n$  be a graded domain with integral closure  $C'$  in the domain  $S^{-1}C$ , where  $S$  denotes the set of all non-zero homogeneous elements in  $C$ . Then the integral closure  $C'$  is a graded domain  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C'_n$ . After D. F. Anderson [1], we say that  $C$  is *almost seminormal* if whenever  $x^2, x^3 \in C$  for homogeneous  $x \in C'$  with  $\deg x > 0$ , then  $x \in C$ . It is known that the canonical homomorphism  $\text{Pic}(C_0) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(C)$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $C$  is almost seminormal (cf. [1]). We also say that a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded domain  $L = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n$  is almost seminormal if whenever  $x^2, x^3 \in L$  for homogeneous  $x \in L'$  with  $\deg x \neq 0$ , then  $x \in L$ , where  $L' = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L'_n$  is its integral closure in the domain  $T^{-1}L$  with  $T$  the set of all non-zero homogeneous elements in  $L$ . It is shown that  $C$  (resp.  $L$ ) is seminormal if and only if any homogeneous element  $\alpha \in C'$  (resp.  $L'$ ) with  $\alpha^2, \alpha^3 \in C$  (resp.  $L$ ) belongs to  $C$  (resp.  $L$ ) (cf. [2]).

**Proposition 3.1.** *The generalized Rees ring  $R[t^{-1}, It]$  is (2, 3)-closed in the torus extension  $R_R = R[t, t^{-1}]$  if and only if  $(I^n)' = I^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* In order to prove that  $R[t^{-1}, It]$  is (2, 3)-closed in the torus extension  $T_R = R[t, t^{-1}]$ , we have only to show that any homogeneous element  $x$  in  $R[t, t^{-1}]$  with  $x^2, x^3 \in R[t^{-1}, It]$  belongs to  $R[t^{-1}, It]$ . Take a homogeneous element  $x$  in  $R[t, t^{-1}]$  with  $x^2, x^3 \in R[t^{-1}, It]$  whose degree is  $s$ . Then  $x^2 \in I^{2s}t^{2s}$  and  $x^3 \in I^{3s}t^{3s}$ . Put  $x = yt$  with  $y \in R$ . Since  $y^2 \in I^{2s}$  and  $y^3 \in I^{3s}$ ,  $y \in (I^s)_0 \subset (I^s)' = I^s$ . Hence  $x = yt \in It \subset R[t^{-1}, It]$ . Conversely take  $y \in \Delta_i(I^n)$  for a fixed large  $i$  such that  $(I^n)_i = (I^n)'$ . Then  $(yt^n)^2 \in ((I^n)_{i-1})^2 t^{2n}$ ,  $(yt^n)^3 \in ((I^n)_{i-1})^3 t^{3n}$ . By induction we may assume that  $(I^n)_{i-1} t^n \subset R[t^{-1}, It]$ . Hence  $yt^n \in R[t^{-1}, It]$ , which implies that  $y \in I^n$ . Thus  $(I^n)' \subset I^n$ .

**Remark.** It is not hard to see that the statement in Proposition 3.1 is valid for the Rees ring  $R[It]$  in the polynomial ring  $R[t]$ .

By use of [2,Th.3], we know the following: the Rees ring  $R[It]$  is seminormal if and only if  $R$  is seminormal and  $R[It]$  is almost seminormal.

**Proposition 3.2.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) *The generalized Rees ring  $R[t^{-1}, It]$  is almost seminormal;*
- (b)  *$R[t^{-1}, It]$  is seminormal;*
- (c)  *$R$  is seminormal and  $(I^n)' = I^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* (a)  $\iff$  (c) follows from the fact that we have only to consider all

homogeneous elements in the integral closure of  $R[t^{-1}, It]$  as remarked above.

(a)  $\iff$  (b): We need to prove that  $R$  is seminormal. Since any homogeneous component of negative degree is the form  $Rt^{-s}$  ( $s > 0$ ), almost seminormality of  $R[t^{-1}, It]$  implies that  $R$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R'$ . The converse implication is shown by the similar argument to that in the proof of Proposition 3.1.

The following Corollary is established for the Rees ring  $R[It]$  in [2].

**Corollary 3.2.1.** *If  $R$  is seminormal and  $I$  is an invertible ideal of  $R$ , then the generalized Rees ring  $R[t^{-1}, It]$  is seminormal.*

*Proof.* This follows from Corollary 2.2.1 and Theorem 3.2.

The seminormalization of  $R$  in  $B$  was defined by Traverso to be

$${}_B^+R = \{x \in B; x/1 \in R_P + J(B_P) \text{ for all } P \in \text{Spec}(R)\},$$

where  $J$  denote the Jacobson radical. Equivalently,  ${}_B^+R$  is the largest subring  $C$  of  $B$  containing  $R$  such that (i)  $\text{Spec}(C) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  is injective and (ii) for all  $Q \in \text{Spec}(C)$  the canonical map of residue class fields  $k(Q \cap R) \rightarrow k(Q)$  is an isomorphism.  $R$  is called seminormal in  $B$  if  $R = {}_B^+R$ , and  $R$  is called seminormal if it is seminormal in its integral closure  $R'$ . It is known that  $R$  is seminormal in this sense if and only if  $R$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R'$  (cf.[3]). So our definition of seminormality by use of (2, 3)-closedness is equivalent to the one defined here.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  ${}_B^+R$  denote the seminormalization of  $R$  in  $B$ . Then  ${}_B R \subset {}_B^+R$ .*

*Proof.* By induction on  $i$ , we shall show the following ;

(1) The canonical map  $\text{Spec}({}_B R_{i-1}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}({}_B R_{i-2})$  is injective (where  ${}_B R_{-1} := R$ );

(2) For  $P \in \text{Spec}({}_B R_{i-1})$ , the canonical homomorphism of residue class fields  $k(P \cap {}_B R_{i-2}) \rightarrow k(P)$  is an isomorphism.

For this, we have only to prove the following special case :

(1') The canonical map  $\text{Spec}({}_B R_0) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  is injective ;

(2') For  $P \in \text{Spec}({}_B R_0)$ , the canonical homomorphism of residue class fields  $k(P \cap R) \rightarrow k(P)$  is an isomorphism.

(1'): We may assume that  $R \neq {}_B R_0$ . Suppose  $P \cap R = Q \cap R$  for  $P \not\subset Q \in \text{Spec}({}_B R_0)$ . There exists  $\alpha \in P, \alpha \notin Q$  and  $\alpha^n \in R$  (Indeed, we can take such  $\alpha$  in  ${}^B \mathcal{A}_0(R)$ ). Hence  $\alpha^n \in P \cap R = Q \cap R$ ; so that  $\alpha \in Q$ , contradiction. Thus  $P \subset Q$ . Similarly we get  $Q \subset P$ .

(2'): Since  $R/P \cap R \rightarrow {}_B R_0/P$  is injective, the map  $k(P \cap R) \rightarrow k(P)$  is injective. Take a non-zero element  $\alpha' \in ({}_B R_0/P)_P$ . We may assume that a preimage  $\alpha$  of  $\alpha'$  in  ${}_B R_0$  is an element in  ${}^B \Delta_0(R)$ . Then  $\alpha^n \in R$  for all large  $n$ . Since  $\alpha \notin P$  implies  $\alpha^n \notin P \cap R$  for all  $n$ . Thus  $\alpha' = \alpha'^{n+1}/\alpha'^n \in (R/P \cap R)_{P \cap R}$ . Thus  $({}_B R_0/P)_P \subset (R/P \cap R)_{P \cap R}$ , which yields that  $k(P) = k(P \cap R)$ . Thus after repeating the above argument, we conclude that  ${}_B R$  satisfies the condition (i) and (ii) mentioned above. Since  ${}_B^+ R$  is the largest subring of  $R'$  satisfying the same conditions (i) and (ii), we obtain that  $R \subset {}_B R \subset {}_B^+ R$ .

Traverso [10] shows that  ${}_B^+ R$  has no proper subrings containing  $R$  and seminormal in  $B$ .

**Proposition 3.4.**  ${}_B R$  is the seminormalization of  $R$  in  $B$ , that is,  ${}_B R = {}_B^+ R$ .

*Proof.* By the above remark by Traverso, we have only to show that  ${}_B R$  is seminormal in  $B$  because  ${}_B R \subset {}_B^+ R$  by Lemma 3.3. By the definition, there exists  $n \in N$  such that  ${}_B R_n = {}_B R_{n+1} = \cdots = {}_B R$ . If  $\alpha^2, \alpha^3 \in {}_B R = {}_B R_n$  for  $\alpha \in B$ , then  $\alpha \in {}^B \Delta_{n+1}(R)$ . So  $\alpha \in {}_B R_{n+1} = {}_B R$ , as was to be shown.

We close this paper by determining the seminormalization of  $R[t^{-1}, It]$  and  $R[It]$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $R$  be a Noetherian domain and let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Assume that  $R'$  is a finite  $R$ -module. Then the seminormalization of  $R[It]$  (resp.  $R[t^{-1}, It]$ ) in the integral closure  $R'[t, t^{-1}]$  (resp. in  $R'[t]$ ) is  $R^*[t^{-1}, \{(I^i)^* t^i; i > 0\}]$  (resp.  $R^*[\{(I^i)^* t^i; i > 0\}]$ ).

*Proof.* It is clear that the integral closure of  $R[t^{-1}, It]$  (resp.  $R[It]$ ) is  $R'[t, t^{-1}]$  (resp.  $R'[t]$ ). By Lemma 3.3,  $R^*[t^{-1}, \{(I^i)^* t^i; i > 0\}]$  (resp.  $R^*[\{(I^i)^* t^i; i > 0\}]$ ) is contained in the seminormalization of  $R[t^{-1}, It]$  (resp.  $R[It]$ ) in  $R'[t, t^{-1}]$  (resp.  $R'[t]$ ). By the same way as in the proof of Proposition 1.2, we can see that  $(I^i)^*$  is (2, 3)-closed in  $R'$  for all  $i \in N$ . So  $R^*[t^{-1}, \{(I^i)^* t^i; i > 0\}]$  (resp.  $R^*[\{(I^i)^* t^i; i > 0\}]$ ) is (2, 3)-closed in  $R'[t, t^{-1}]$  (resp.  $R'[t]$ ). Thus by the Traverso's remark mentioned above, we get our conclusion.

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] D. F. ANDERSON : Seminormal graded rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 21 (1981), 1–7.
- [ 2 ] D. F. ANDERSON : Seminormal graded rings II, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 23 (1982), 221–226.
- [ 3 ] D. L. COSTA : Seminormality and projective modules, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 924, 400–412,

- Springer-Verlag, New York 1982.
- [ 4 ] H. MATSUMURA : Commutative Algebra, W. A. Benjamin, New York, 1970.
  - [ 5 ] S. McADAM : Asymptotic prime divisors, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1023, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
  - [ 6 ] A. MIRBAGHERI and L. J. RATLIFF, JR. : On the relevant transform and the relevant component of an ideal, *J. Algebra* **111** (1987), 507—519.
  - [ 7 ] M. NAGATA : Local Rings, Interscience Tracts 13, Interscience Publisher, New York, 1962.
  - [ 8 ] L. J. RATLIFF, JR and D. E. RUSH : Two notes on reduction of ideals, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **27** (1978), 929—934.
  - [ 9 ] T. SUGATANI and K. YOSHIDA : On the relevant transforms and prime divisors of the powers of an ideal, *Bulletin of Okayama Univ. of Science*, No. **25** (1990), 9 —12.
  - [10] C. TRAVERSO : Seminormality and Picard group, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* **24** (1970), 585—595.

S. ODA  
UJI-YAMADA HIGH SCHOOL  
URAGUCHI ISE, MIE 516, JAPAN

K. YOSHIDA  
DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS  
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE  
RIDAI-CHO 1-1, OKAYAMA 700, JAPAN

*(Received August 29, 1991)*