

Mathematical Journal of Okayama University

Volume 49, Issue 1

2007

Article 4

JANUARY 2007

Some Results on (σ, τ) -Lie Ideals

Evrım Güven*

Kazım Kaya†

Muharrem Soytürk‡

*Kocaeli University

†Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University

‡Kocaeli University

Copyright ©2007 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

Some Results on (σ, τ) -Lie Ideals

Evrin Güven, Kazim Kaya, and Muharrem Soytürk

Abstract

In this note we give some basic results on one sided (σ, τ) -Lie ideals of prime rings with characteristic not 2.

KEYWORDS: Prime ring, (σ, τ) -Lie ideal, (σ, τ) -derivation

Math. J. Okayama Univ. **49** (2007), 59–64**SOME RESULTS ON (σ, τ) -LIE IDEALS**

EVRIM GÜVEN, KAZIM KAYA AND MUHARREM SOYTÜRK

ABSTRACT. In this note we give some basic results on one sided (σ, τ) -Lie ideals of prime rings with characteristic not 2.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R be a ring and σ, τ be two mappings from R into itself. We write $[x, y] = xy - yx$, and $[x, y]_{\sigma, \tau} = x\sigma(y) - \tau(y)x$ for $x, y \in R$. For subsets $A, B \subset R$, let $[A, B]$ be the additive subgroup generated by all $[a, b]$, and $[A, B]_{\sigma, \tau}$ be the additive subgroup generated by all $[a, b]_{\sigma, \tau}$ for $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. We recall that a Lie ideal L is an additive subgroup of R such that $[R, L] \subset L$. We first introduce the generalized Lie ideal in [3] as follows. Let U be an additive subgroup of R . (i) U is called a (σ, τ) -right Lie ideal of R if $[U, R]_{\sigma, \tau} \subset U$, (ii) U is called a (σ, τ) -left Lie ideal if $[R, U]_{\sigma, \tau} \subset U$. (iii) U is called a (σ, τ) -Lie ideal if U is both a (σ, τ) -right and a (σ, τ) -left Lie ideal. An additive mapping $d : R \rightarrow R$ is called a (σ, τ) -derivation if $d(xy) = d(x)\sigma(y) + \tau(x)d(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$. We write $C_{\sigma, \tau} = \{c \in R \mid c\sigma(r) = \tau(r)c \text{ for } r \in R\}$, and will make extensive use of the following basic commutator identities:

$$\begin{aligned} [xy, z]_{\sigma, \tau} &= x[y, z]_{\sigma, \tau} + [x, \tau(z)]y = x[y, \sigma(z)] + [x, z]_{\sigma, \tau}y \\ [x, yz]_{\sigma, \tau} &= \tau(y)[x, z]_{\sigma, \tau} + [x, y]_{\sigma, \tau}\sigma(z) \end{aligned}$$

Throughout the present paper, R will represent a prime ring (of $\text{char } R \neq 2$, exclude Lemmas 1 and 2) and $\sigma, \tau, \alpha, \beta, \lambda$ and μ will be automorphisms of R . In this note, we give the following properties on prime rings and some results on one sided (σ, τ) -Lie ideals. Let I be a nonzero ideal of R . (1) If $[[I, a]_{\sigma, \tau}, b]_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$ for $a, b \in R$, then $[\tau(a), \beta(b)] = 0$. (2) If $[[a, I]_{\sigma, \tau}, b]_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$ for $a, b \in R$, then $b \in Z$ or $[a, \tau^{-1}\beta(b)]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$. (3) If $[b, [a, R]_{\sigma, \tau}]_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$ for $a, b \in R$, then $b \in C_{\alpha, \beta}$, $a \in C_{\sigma, \tau}$ or $a + \tau\sigma^{-1}(a) \in C_{\sigma, \tau}$. On the other hand, in [4] Park and Jung proved that if $d : R \rightarrow R$ is a nonzero (σ, τ) -derivation and $a \in R$ such that $d[R, a]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$, then $\sigma(a) + \tau(a) \in Z$. We prove that if $d : R \rightarrow R$ is a nonzero (σ, τ) -derivation and $a \in R$ such that $d[a, R]_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$, then $a \in C_{\alpha, \beta}$ or $a + \beta\alpha^{-1}(a) \in C_{\alpha, \beta}$.

2. RESULTS

The following Lemmas 1 and 2 are generalizations of [1, Lemma 1.5].

Mathematics Subject Classification. 16N10, 16W25, 16U80.

Key words and phrases. Prime ring, (σ, τ) -Lie ideal, (σ, τ) -derivation.

Lemma 1. *Let I be a nonzero ideal of R and $a, b \in R$. If $[[I, a]_{\sigma, \tau}, b]_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$, then $[\tau(a), \beta(b)] = 0$.*

Proof. Let $[[I, a]_{\sigma, \tau}, b]_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$. Then we have, $0 = [[\tau(a)y, a]_{\sigma, \tau}, b]_{\alpha, \beta} = [\tau(a)[y, a]_{\sigma, \tau} + [\tau(a), \tau(a)]y, b]_{\alpha, \beta} = \tau(a)[[y, a]_{\sigma, \tau}, b]_{\alpha, \beta} + [\tau(a), \beta(b)][y, a]_{\sigma, \tau}$ for all $y \in I$. This gives that

$$(2.1) \quad [\tau(a), \beta(b)][y, a]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0 \text{ for all } y \in I.$$

Replacing $yr, r \in R$ by y in (2.1), we get $0 = [\tau(a), \beta(b)]y[r, \sigma(a)] + [\tau(a), \beta(b)][y, a]_{\sigma, \tau}r$ and so

$$(2.2) \quad [\tau(a), \beta(b)]y[r, \sigma(a)] = 0 \text{ for all } y \in I, r \in R.$$

Since R is prime, we get

$$(2.3) \quad [\tau(a), \beta(b)] = 0 \text{ or } a \in Z.$$

Thus, $[\tau(a), \beta(b)] = 0$ is obtained for two cases in (2.3) □

Corollary 1. (1) *If I is a nonzero ideal of R and $a \in R$ such that $[I, a]_{\alpha, \beta} \subset C_{\lambda, \mu}$, then $a \in Z$.*

(2) *Let U be a nonzero (σ, τ) -right(left) Lie ideal of R and I a nonzero ideal of R . If $[[I, I]_{\sigma, \tau}, U]_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$ then $U \subset Z$.*

(3) *If $a \in R$ such that $[[I, I]_{\sigma, \tau}, a]_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$ then $a \in Z$.*

Proof. (1) $[I, a]_{\alpha, \beta} \subset C_{\lambda, \mu}$ implies that $[[I, a]_{\alpha, \beta}, R]_{\lambda, \mu} = 0$. By Lemma 1 we obtain that $[\beta(a), \mu(R)] = 0$. Since μ is onto, we have $\beta(a) \in Z$ and so $a \in Z$.

(2) By Lemma 1 we have $[\tau(I), \beta(U)] = 0$ and so $U \subset Z$.

(3) $[[I, I]_{\sigma, \tau}, a]_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$ implies that $[\tau(I), \beta(a)] = 0$ by Lemma 1 and so $a \in Z$. □

Lemma 2. *Let I be a nonzero ideal of R . If $a, b \in R$ and $[[a, I]_{\sigma, \tau}, b]_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$, then $b \in Z$ or $[a, \tau^{-1}\beta(b)]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$.*

Proof. For any $x, y \in I$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [[a, xy]_{\sigma, \tau}, b]_{\alpha, \beta} \\ &= [\tau(x)[a, y]_{\sigma, \tau} + [a, x]_{\sigma, \tau}\sigma(y), b]_{\alpha, \beta} \\ &= \tau(x)[[a, y]_{\sigma, \tau}, b]_{\alpha, \beta} + [\tau(x), \beta(b)][a, y]_{\sigma, \tau} + [a, x]_{\sigma, \tau}[\sigma(y), \alpha(b)] \\ &\quad + [[a, x]_{\sigma, \tau}, b]_{\alpha, \beta}\sigma(y) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(2.4) \quad [\tau(x), \beta(b)][a, y]_{\sigma, \tau} + [a, x]_{\sigma, \tau}[\sigma(y), \alpha(b)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

Replacing x by $rx, r \in R$ in (2.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [\tau(rx), \beta(b)][a, y]_{\sigma, \tau} + [a, rx]_{\sigma, \tau}[\sigma(y), \alpha(b)] \\ &= \tau(r)[\tau(x), \beta(b)][a, y]_{\sigma, \tau} + [\tau(r), \beta(b)]\tau(x)[a, y]_{\sigma, \tau} + \tau(r)[a, x]_{\sigma, \tau}[\sigma(y), \alpha(b)] \\ &\quad + [a, r]_{\sigma, \tau}\sigma(x)[\sigma(y), \alpha(b)]. \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$(2.5) \quad [\tau(r), \beta(b)]\tau(x)[a, y]_{\sigma, \tau} + [a, r]_{\sigma, \tau}\sigma(x)[\sigma(y), \alpha(b)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I, r \in R.$$

If we take $\tau^{-1}\beta(b)$ instead of r in (2.5) then we have

$$(2.6) \quad [a, \tau^{-1}\beta(b)]_{\sigma, \tau}\sigma(I)[\sigma(I), \alpha(b)] = 0.$$

Since $\sigma(I) \neq 0$ an ideal of R and R is prime we get

$$(2.7) \quad [a, \tau^{-1}\beta(b)]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0 \text{ or } [\sigma(I), \alpha(b)] = 0.$$

Since R is prime, $[\sigma(I), \alpha(b)] = 0$ implies that $b \in Z$. Thus $[a, \tau^{-1}\beta(b)]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$ or $b \in Z$ is obtained. \square

Lemma 3. *Let U be a nonzero (σ, τ) -right Lie ideal of R and $a \in R$. If $[U, a]_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$, then $a \in Z$ or $U \subset C_{\sigma, \tau}$.*

Proof. Since $[[U, R]_{\sigma, \tau}, a]_{\alpha, \beta} \subset [U, a]_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$ then we have

$$a \in Z \text{ or } [U, \tau^{-1}\beta(a)]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$$

by Lemma 2. If $[U, \tau^{-1}\beta(a)]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$ then $a \in Z$ or $U \subset C_{\sigma, \tau}$ by [6, Lemma 2]. \square

Theorem 1. *Let U be a nonzero (σ, τ) -right Lie ideal of R and $I \neq 0$ an ideal of R .*

- (1) *If $a \in R$ and $[[U, I]_{\alpha, \beta}, a]_{\lambda, \mu} = 0$, then $a \in Z$ or $U \subset C_{\sigma, \tau}$.*
- (2) *If $[U, I]_{\alpha, \beta} \subset C_{\lambda, \mu}$, then $U \subset C_{\sigma, \tau}$ or R is commutative.*

Proof. (1) $[[U, I]_{\alpha, \beta}, a]_{\lambda, \mu} = 0$ implies that $a \in Z$ or $[U, \beta^{-1}\mu(a)]_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$, by Lemma 2. If $[U, \beta^{-1}\mu(a)]_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$ then $a \in Z$ or $U \subset C_{\sigma, \tau}$ by Lemma 3.

(2) Let $[U, I]_{\alpha, \beta} \subset C_{\lambda, \mu}$ then we have $[[U, I]_{\alpha, \beta}, R]_{\lambda, \mu} = 0$. If we use (1) we get $R \subset Z$ or $U \subset C_{\sigma, \tau}$ and so $U \subset C_{\sigma, \tau}$ or R is commutative. \square

Theorem 2. *Let d be a nonzero (σ, τ) -derivation on R and $a \in R$. If $d[a, R]_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$, then $a \in C_{\alpha, \beta}$ or $a + \beta\alpha^{-1}(a) \in C_{\alpha, \beta}$.*

Proof. For any $x, y \in R$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d[a, xy]_{\alpha, \beta} = d(\beta(x)[a, y]_{\alpha, \beta} + [a, x]_{\alpha, \beta}\alpha(y)) \\ &= d\beta(x)\sigma[a, y]_{\alpha, \beta} + \tau[a, x]_{\alpha, \beta}d\alpha(y) \end{aligned}$$

Replacing x by $\beta^{-1}[a, z]_{\alpha, \beta}$ in the last relation we get

$$[a, \beta^{-1}[a, z]_{\alpha, \beta}]_{\alpha, \beta} d\alpha(y) = 0 \text{ for all } y, z \in R$$

and so

$$(2.8) \quad [a, \beta^{-1}[a, z]_{\alpha, \beta}]_{\alpha, \beta} = 0 \text{ for all } z \in R$$

by [5, Lemma 3]. Taking zy for z in (2.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [a, \beta^{-1}[a, zy]_{\alpha, \beta}]_{\alpha, \beta} = [a, \beta^{-1}(\beta(z)[a, y]_{\alpha, \beta} + [a, z]_{\alpha, \beta}\alpha(y))]_{\alpha, \beta} \\ &= [a, z\beta^{-1}[a, y]_{\alpha, \beta} + \beta^{-1}[a, z]_{\alpha, \beta}\beta^{-1}\alpha(y)]_{\alpha, \beta} \\ &= [a, z]_{\alpha, \beta}\alpha\beta^{-1}[a, y]_{\alpha, \beta} + [a, z]_{\alpha, \beta}[a, \beta^{-1}\alpha(y)]_{\alpha, \beta} \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$(2.9) \quad [a, z]_{\alpha, \beta}(\alpha\beta^{-1}[a, y]_{\alpha, \beta} + [a, \beta^{-1}\alpha(y)]_{\alpha, \beta}) = 0 \text{ for all } z, y \in R.$$

Replacing z by zt in (2.9), we get

$$(2.10) \quad [a, z]_{\alpha, \beta} = 0, \forall z \in R \text{ or } \alpha\beta^{-1}[a, y]_{\alpha, \beta} + [a, \beta^{-1}\alpha(y)]_{\alpha, \beta} = 0 \text{ for all } y \in R.$$

Hence $a \in C_{\alpha, \beta}$ or $0 = \alpha\beta^{-1}[a, y]_{\alpha, \beta} + a\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha(y) - \alpha(y)a$ for all $y \in R$. If we apply α^{-1} and β to the last relation we have $a\alpha(y) - \beta(y)a + \beta\alpha^{-1}(a)\alpha(y) - \beta(y)\beta\alpha^{-1}(a) = 0$ for all $y \in R$. This implies that $(a + \beta\alpha^{-1}(a))\alpha(y) - \beta(y)(a + \beta\alpha^{-1}(a)) = 0$ and so $a + \beta\alpha^{-1}(a) \in C_{\alpha, \beta}$ for all $y \in R$. Thus we obtain $a \in C_{\alpha, \beta}$ or $a + \beta\alpha^{-1}(a) \in C_{\alpha, \beta}$ by (2.10). \square

Corollary 2. *If $[b, [a, R]_{\sigma, \tau}]_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$, then $a \in C_{\sigma, \tau}$ or $b \in C_{\alpha, \beta}$ or $a + \tau\sigma^{-1}(a) \in C_{\sigma, \tau}$.*

Proof. $d(x) = [b, x]_{\alpha, \beta}$ is a (α, β) -derivation on R . Furthermore $d[a, R]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$. This implies that $a \in C_{\sigma, \tau}$, $b \in C_{\alpha, \beta}$ or $a + \tau\sigma^{-1}(a) \in C_{\sigma, \tau}$ by Theorem 2. \square

Theorem 3. *Let U be a nonzero (σ, τ) -right Lie ideal of R and $d : R \rightarrow R$ a nonzero (λ, μ) -derivation.*

- (1) *If $d(U) = 0$, then $v + \tau\sigma^{-1}(v) \in C_{\sigma, \tau}$ for all $v \in U$.*
- (2) *If $d[U, R] = 0$, then $U \subset Z$.*

Proof. (1) Suppose that $d(U) = 0$. Then $d[U, R]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$. This implies that $U \subset C_{\sigma, \tau}$ or $v + \tau\sigma^{-1}(v) \in C_{\sigma, \tau}$ for all $v \in U$ by Theorem 2.

- (2) Taking $\alpha = \beta = 1$ in Theorem 2, we have $U \subset Z$. \square

Theorem 4. *Let U be a nonzero (σ, τ) -left Lie ideal of R and $d : R \rightarrow R$ a nonzero (α, β) -derivation.*

- (1) *If $d(U) = 0$, then $\sigma(v) + \tau(v) \in Z$ for all $v \in U$.*
- (2) *If $a \in R$ and $[U, a] = 0$, then $a \in Z$ or $\sigma(v) + \tau(v) \in Z$ for all $v \in U$.*

(3) If $a \in R$ and $[U, a]_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$, then $a \in Z$ or $\sigma(v) + \tau(v) \in Z$ for all $v \in U$.

(4) If $[[R, U]_{\alpha, \beta}, a]_{\lambda, \mu} = 0$ then $a \in Z$ or $\sigma(v) + \tau(v) \in Z$ for all $v \in U$.

Proof. (1) Suppose that $d(U) = 0$. Then $d[R, v]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$ for all $v \in U$. This implies that $\sigma(v) + \tau(v) \in Z$ for all $v \in U$ by [4, Corollary 5] for all $v \in U$.

(2) Let $d(x) = [x, a]$ for all $x \in R$. Then d is a derivation and furthermore $d(U) = 0$. Thus we have $a \in Z$ or $\sigma(v) + \tau(v) \in Z$ for all $v \in U$ by (1).

(3) Since $[[R, U]_{\sigma, \tau}, a]_{\alpha, \beta} \subset [U, a]_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$ we have $[\tau(U), \beta(a)] = 0$ by Lemma 1. That is $[U, \tau^{-1}\beta(a)] = 0$. This implies that $a \in Z$ or $\sigma(v) + \tau(v) \in Z$ for all $v \in U$ by (2).

(4) By Lemma 1 and hypothesis, we have $[\beta(U), \mu(a)] = 0$. That is $[U, \beta^{-1}\mu(a)] = 0$. This implies that $a \in Z$ or $\sigma(v) + \tau(v) \in Z$ for all $v \in U$ by (2). \square

Remark 1. Let U be a nonzero (σ, τ) -left Lie ideal of R such that $[U, U]_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$. Then we have $\sigma(v) + \tau(v) \in Z$ for all $v \in U$.

Proof. By Theorem 4(3) we have $\sigma(v) + \tau(v) \in Z$ for all $v \in U$. \square

Theorem 5. Let U be a nonzero (σ, τ) -left Lie ideal of R and $a \in R$.

(1) If $[a, U]_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$, then $a \in C_{\alpha, \beta}$ or $\sigma(v) + \tau(v) \in Z$ for all $v \in U$.

(2) If $[a, [R, U]_{\alpha, \beta}]_{\lambda, \mu} = 0$, then $a \in C_{\lambda, \mu}$ or $\alpha(v) + \beta(v) \in Z$ for all $v \in U$.

(3) If $[R, U]_{\alpha, \beta} \subset C_{\lambda, \mu}$, then R is commutative or $\sigma(v) = \tau(v)$ for all $v \in U$.

(4) If $U \subset C_{\lambda, \mu}$, then $\sigma(v) = \tau(v)$ for all $v \in U$ or R is commutative.

Proof. (1) Let $d(x) = [a, x]_{\alpha, \beta}$ for all $x \in R$. Then d is (α, β) -derivation of R . Since $[a, [R, U]_{\sigma, \tau}]_{\alpha, \beta} \subset [a, U]_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$ then we have $d[R, U]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$. This implies that $a \in C_{\alpha, \beta}$ or $\sigma(v) + \tau(v) \in Z$ for all $v \in U$ by [4, Corollary 5].

(2) Considering as in the proof (1) we obtain the result.

(3) Suppose that $[R, U]_{\alpha, \beta} \subset C_{\lambda, \mu}$. Then we have $[[R, U]_{\alpha, \beta}, R]_{\lambda, \mu} = 0$. This gives $[\beta(U), \mu(R)] = 0$ by Lemma 1 and so $U \subset Z$. Thus $[R, U]_{\sigma, \tau} \subset U \subset Z$ is obtained. For any $r, s \in R, v \in U$ we have $0 = [[r, v]_{\sigma, \tau}, s] = [r\sigma(v) - \tau(v)r, s] = [r(\sigma(v) - \tau(v)), s] = r[\sigma(v) - \tau(v), s] + [r, s](\sigma(v) - \tau(v))$ which leads to

$$(2.11) \quad [r, s](\sigma(v) - \tau(v)) = 0 \text{ for all } r, s \in R, v \in U.$$

Since R is prime and $\sigma(v) - \tau(v) \in Z$ we get

$$(2.12) \quad [r, s] = 0 \text{ for all } r, s \in R \text{ or } \sigma(v) = \tau(v) \text{ for all } v \in U.$$

and so R is commutative or $\sigma(v) = \tau(v)$ for all $v \in U$.

(4) If $U \subset C_{\lambda, \mu}$, then $[R, U]_{\sigma, \tau} \subset C_{\lambda, \mu}$. This implies that R is commutative or $\sigma(v) = \tau(v)$ for all $v \in U$ by (3). \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to thank the referee for valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] I.N.Herstein, Topics in Ring Theory, The University of Chicago Press,(1969).
- [2] J.C.Chang, On Fixed Power Central (α, β) -derivations, Bull.of Inst.Math.Acad.Scica.Vol.15, No.2,(1975),163-178.
- [3] K.Kaya, (σ, τ) -Lie ideals in Prime Rings,An.Univ.Timisoara,Stiinte Mat.30,No.2-3,251-255(1992).
- [4] Kyoo-Hong Park and Yong-Soo Jung, Some Results Concerning (θ, φ) -Derivations on Prime Rings, J.Korea Soc.Math.Educ.Ser B, Pure Appl.Math.10(2003), no.4, 207-215.
- [5] N.Aydın and K.Kaya, Doğa-Tr.J.of Mathematics 16 (1992), 169-176.
- [6] N.Aydın and H.Kandamar, (σ, τ) -Lie Ideals in Prime Rings, Tr.J.Math. 18 (1994), 143-148.

KOCAELI UNIVERSITY
FAKULTY OF ART AND SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
KOCAELI - TURKEY
e-mail address: evrim@kou.edu.tr
URL: www.kou.edu.tr

ÇANAKKALE ONSEKİZ MART UNIVERSITY
FAKULTY OF ART AND SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
CANAKKALE - TURKEY
e-mail address: kkaya@comu.edu.tr
URL: www.comu.edu.tr

KOCAELI UNIVERSITY
FAKULTY OF ART AND SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
KOCAELI - TURKEY
e-mail address: msoyturk@kou.edu.tr
URL: www.kou.edu.tr

(Received November 28, 2005)

(Revised September 1, 2006)