

# *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University*

---

*Volume 32, Issue 1*

1990

*Article 24*

JANUARY 1990

---

## On Real Hypersurfaces of a Complex Space Form

U-Hang Ki\*

Young Jin Suh†

\*Kyungpook University

†Andong University

Copyright ©1990 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by  
The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

## ON REAL HYPERSURFACES OF A COMPLEX SPACE FORM

U-HANG KI\* and YOUNG JIN SUH

**Introduction.** A complex  $n$ -dimensional Kaehler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $c$  is called a complex space form, which is denoted by  $M_n(c)$ . Let  $F$  be its complex structure. The complete and simply connected complex space form consists of a complex projective space  $CP^n$ , a complex Euclidean space  $C^n$  or a complex hyperbolic space  $CH^n$ , according as  $c > 0$ ,  $c = 0$  or  $c < 0$ .

In the study of real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space  $CP^n$ , Takagi [11] classified all homogeneous real hypersurfaces of  $CP^n$ . He showed also that real hypersurfaces of  $CP^n$  with 2 or 3 distinct constant principal curvatures are homogeneous.

On the other hand, Cecil and Ryan [2] studied pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces of  $CP^n$  on which  $\xi = -FC$  is principal, where  $C$  is the unit normal vector field on  $M$ . They showed that if  $\xi$  is principal, then  $M$  lies on a tube over a Kaehler submanifold. By making use of this notion and the results of Takagi's classification, Kimura [3] proved the following.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $M$  be a connected real hypersurface of  $CP^n$ . Then  $M$  has constant principal curvatures and  $\xi$  is principal if and only if  $M$  is locally congruent to one of the following*

- (A<sub>1</sub>) a tube over a hyperplane  $CP^{n-1}$ .
- (A<sub>2</sub>) a tube over a totally geodesic  $CP^k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ ).
- (B) a tube over a complex quadric  $Q_{n-1}$ .
- (C) a tube over  $CP^1 \times CP^{(n-1)/2}$  and  $n(\geq 5)$  is odd.
- (D) a tube over a complex Grassmann  $G_{2,5}(C)$  and  $n = 9$ .
- (E) a tube over a Hermitian symmetric space  $SO(10)/U(5)$ , and  $n = 15$ .

According to Takagi's classification [11], the principal curvatures and their multiplicities of the above homogeneous real hypersurfaces are given.

On the other hand, real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space  $CH^n$  have also been investigated by Berndt [1], Montiel [8], Montiel and

---

\*This research was partially supported by KOSEF.

Romero [9]. In particular, by using the notion of the tube in Cecil and Ryan [2], Montiel [8] also classified the real hypersurface of complex hyperbolic space with at most two distinct principal curvatures. Recently, Berndt [1] classified all real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvature of  $CH^n$  under the condition such that  $\xi$  is principal. Namely he proved the following.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $M$  be a connected real hypersurface of  $CH^n (n \geq 2)$ . Then  $M$  has constant principal curvatures and  $\xi$  is principal if and only if  $M$  is locally congruent to one of the following*

- (A<sub>1</sub>) *a horosphere in  $CH^n$ .*
- (A<sub>2</sub>) *a tube over  $CH^k$  for a  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ .*
- (B) *a tube over  $RH^n$ .*

For the principal curvatures and their multiplicities of the above hypersurfaces are also given in [1].

The purpose of this paper is to characterize some real hypersurfaces of  $M_n(c)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ , by using above classification theorems. The authors would like to express their thanks to the referee for his valuable comments.

**1. Preliminaries.** Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of a complex  $n$  dimensional complex space form  $M_n(c)$ , and let  $C$  be a unit normal vector field on a neighborhood of a point  $x$  in  $M$ . Let us denote by  $F$  the almost complex structure of  $M_n(c)$ . For any local vector field  $X$  on a neighborhood of  $x$  in  $M$ , the transformations of  $X$  and  $C$  under  $F$  can be given by

$$FX = \phi X + \eta(X)C, \quad FC = -\xi,$$

where  $\phi$  defines a skew-symmetric transformation on the tangent bundle  $TM$  of  $M$ , while  $\eta$  and  $\xi$  denote a 1-form and a vector field on a neighborhood of  $X$  in  $M$  respectively. Then it is seen that  $g(\xi, X) = \eta(X)$ , where  $g$  denotes the induced Riemannian metric on  $M$ . The set of tensors  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is called an almost contact structure on  $M$ . They satisfy the following

$$(1.1) \quad \phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta(\phi X) = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1,$$

where  $I$  denotes the identity transformation. Furthermore, the covariant derivatives of the structure tensors are given by

$$(1.2) \quad (\nabla_x \phi)Y = \eta(Y)AX - g(AX, Y)\xi, \quad \nabla_x \xi = \phi AX,$$

where  $\nabla$  is the Riemannian connection of  $g$  and  $A$  denotes the shape operator with respect to the unit normal  $C$  on  $M$ .

Since the ambient space is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $c$ , the equations of Gauss and Codazzi are respectively given as follows

$$(1.3) \quad R(X, Y)Z = c\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X - g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi Z\}/4 + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY,$$

$$(1.4) \quad (\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = c\{\eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi\}/4,$$

where  $R$  denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor of  $M$  and  $\nabla_X A$  denotes the covariant derivative of the shape operator  $A$  with respect to  $X$ .

The Ricci tensor  $S'$  of  $M$  is the tensor of type  $(0, 2)$  given by  $S'(X, Y) = \text{tr}\{Z \rightarrow R(Z, X)Y\}$ . Also it may be regarded as the tensor of type  $(1, 1)$  and denoted by  $S : TM \rightarrow TM$ ; it satisfies  $S'(X, Y) = g(SX, Y)$ . From (1.3) we see that the Ricci tensor  $S$  of  $M$  is given by

$$(1.5) \quad S = c\{(2n+1)I - 3\eta \otimes \xi\}/4 + hA - A^2,$$

where we have put  $h = \text{tr}A$ . A real hypersurface  $M$  of  $M_n(c)$  is said to be pseudo-Einstein if the Ricci tensor  $S$  satisfies

$$(1.6) \quad SX = aX + b\eta(X)\xi$$

for any vector field  $X$  tangent to  $M$  and some functions  $a$  and  $b$  on  $M$ .

**2. Certain Lemmas.** Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of a complex space form  $M_n(c)$ . The shape operator  $A$  of  $M$  can be considered as a symmetric  $(2n-1, 2n-1)$ -matrix. Now we assume that the structure vector  $\xi$  is an eigenvector of  $A$ , that is,  $A\xi = \alpha\xi$ . Then the second formula of (1.2) gives

$$(\nabla_X A)\xi = (X\alpha)\xi + \alpha\phi AX - A\phi AX,$$

from which it follows that

$$(2.1) \quad g((\nabla_X A)Y, \xi) = (X\alpha)\eta(Y) + \alpha g(Y, \phi AX) - g(Y, A\phi AX).$$

By using equation of Codazzi to (2.1) we have

$$(2.2) \quad cg(X, \phi Y)/2 = (X\alpha)\eta(Y) - (Y\alpha)\eta(X) + \alpha g((\phi A + A\phi)X, Y) - 2g(A\phi AX, Y).$$

Putting  $X = \xi$  or  $Y = \xi$  in (2.2), then we see that  $X\alpha = (\xi\alpha)\eta(X)$ , or  $Y\alpha = (\xi\alpha)\eta(Y)$  and hence (2.2) reduces to

$$(2.3) \quad cg(X, \phi Y)/2 = \alpha g((\phi A + A\phi)X, Y) - 2g(A\phi AX, Y).$$

First of all we prove the following.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of a complex space form  $M_n(c)$ . If  $\phi A + A\phi = 0$ , then  $c = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By the assumption we have that  $\xi$  is an eigenvector of  $A$ . From this assumption, and the almost contact structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  and (2.3) it follows that

$$(2.4) \quad A^2 = -cI/4 + (\alpha^2 + c/4)\eta \otimes \xi.$$

We notice here that the holomorphic sectional curvature  $c$  is non-positive. Thus (2.1) and (2.3) imply

$$(2.5) \quad g((\nabla_X A)Y, \xi) = -cg(Y, \phi X)/4 + \alpha g(Y, \phi AX) + (X\alpha)\eta(Y).$$

Differentiating (2.4) covariantly along  $M$ , we find

$$(2.6) \quad (\nabla_X A)AY + A((\nabla_X A)Y) = 2\alpha(\xi\alpha)\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi + (\alpha^2 + c/4) \\ \times \{g(\nabla_X \xi, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\nabla_X \xi\},$$

from which, taking the skew-symmetric part and using the equation of Codazzi, we have

$$(\nabla_X A)AY - (\nabla_Y A)AX = c\alpha g(\phi X, Y)\xi/2 + \alpha^2\{\eta(Y)\phi AX - \eta(X)\phi AY\},$$

where we have used the fact  $\phi A + A\phi = 0$ . Equivalently it follows that

$$g(AY, (\nabla_Z A)X) - g(AZ, (\nabla_Y A)X) = c\alpha\eta(X)g(\phi Z, Y)/2 \\ + \alpha^2\{\eta(Y)g(\phi AZ, X) - \eta(Z)g(\phi AY, X)\},$$

from which, also using the equation of Codazzi, we have

$$(2.7) \quad g(AY, (\nabla_X A)Z) - g(AZ, (\nabla_X A)Y) = c\alpha/2\{\eta(X)g(Y, \phi Z) \\ + \eta(Y)g(X, \phi Z) + \eta(Z)g(Y, \phi X)\} \\ + (\alpha^2 - c/4)\{\eta(Y)g(\phi AZ, X) - \eta(Z)g(\phi AY, X)\}.$$

Summing up (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$(\nabla_X A)AY = \alpha(\xi\alpha)\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi + \alpha^2\eta(Y)\phi AX + cg(\phi AY, X)\xi/4 \\ + c\alpha\{-\eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X + g(Y, \phi X)\xi\}/4.$$

From which, substituting  $AY$  into  $Y$  and using (2.4) and (2.5), we have that

$$(2.8) \quad c(\nabla_X A)Y = c(\xi\alpha)\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi + c^2\{g(\phi Y, X)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X\}/4 \\ + c\alpha\{\eta(X)\nabla_Y \xi + g(\nabla_Y \xi, X)\xi + \eta(Y)\nabla_X \xi\}.$$

Now we take an orthonormal frame  $\{E_i\}$  of  $T_x(M)$  such that  $\nabla_{E_i} E_j = 0$  ( $i, j, \dots = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-1$ ). Differentiating (2.8) with respect to  $E_i$  and using

the fact that  $E_i \alpha = (\xi \alpha) \eta(E_i)$ , it follows from the almost contact structure that we have

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{i,j} c g(\phi E_i, E_j) (\nabla_{E_i} \nabla_{E_j} A) Y = \frac{c^2}{4} \sum_i \{ g(\phi Y, \phi E_i) \nabla_{E_i} \xi - g(\nabla_{E_i} \xi, Y) \phi^2 E_i \} \\ + c \alpha \sum_i \{ g(\nabla_{E_i} \xi, \phi E_i) \nabla_Y \xi + g(\nabla_{E_i} \nabla_Y \xi - \nabla_{\nabla_{E_i} Y} \xi, \phi E_i) \xi \\ + g(\nabla_{E_i} \xi, Y) \nabla_{\phi E_i} \xi + g(\nabla_Y \xi, \phi E_i) \nabla_{E_i} \xi + \eta(Y) \nabla_{E_i} \nabla_{\phi E_i} \xi \}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\sum_i g(\nabla_{E_i} \xi, \phi E_i) = \sum_i \{ g(AE_i, E_i) - g(AE_i, \eta(E_i) \xi) \} = 0,$$

where in the last step we have used the fact that the mean curvature of  $A$  coincides with  $\alpha$  because of the assumption  $A\phi + \phi A = 0$ . From the almost contact structure and the fact  $\xi$  is principal the following formula also vanishes.

$$\sum_i \{ g(\nabla_{E_i} \xi, Y) \nabla_{\phi E_i} \xi + g(\nabla_Y \xi, \phi E_i) \nabla_{E_i} \xi \} = -\nabla_{AY} \xi + \nabla_{AY} \xi = 0.$$

If we use the formula  $c \sum_i (\nabla_{E_i} A) E_i = c(\xi \alpha) \xi$  and  $c g((\nabla_{\xi} A) Y, \xi) = c(\xi \alpha) \cdot \eta(Y)$  which come from (2.8), then we get

$$c \sum_i \{ g(\nabla_{E_i} \nabla_Y \xi - \nabla_{\nabla_{E_i} Y} \xi, \phi E_i) \} = c \sum_i \{ g(Y, (\nabla_{E_i} A) E_i) - g((\nabla_{\xi} A) Y, \xi) \} = 0.$$

Also using the formula  $c \sum_i (\nabla_{E_i} A) E_i = c(\xi \alpha) \xi$ , we have

$$c \sum_i \nabla_{E_i} \nabla_{\phi E_i} \xi = c \sum_i \{ (\nabla_{E_i} A) E_i - g(\xi, (\nabla_{E_i} A) E_i) \xi \} = 0.$$

Thus from these equations we see that (2.9) reduces to the following

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{i,j} c g(\phi E_i, E_j) (\nabla_{E_i} \nabla_{E_j} A) Y = \frac{c^2}{4} \sum_i \{ g(\phi Y, \phi E_i) \nabla_{E_i} \xi - g(\nabla_{E_i} \xi, Y) \phi^2 E_i \} \\ = \frac{c^2}{4} \{ \nabla_Y \xi + \sum_i g(\nabla_{E_i} \xi, Y) E_i \} = \frac{c^2}{2} \phi AY,$$

where in the last equality we have used the assumption  $A\phi + \phi A = 0$ .

If we use the Ricci-formula to (2.10) for the shape operator  $A$ , then we get

$$(2.11) \quad c \sum_{i,j} g(\phi E_i, E_j) \{ R(E_i, E_j) AY - A(R(E_i, E_j) Y) \} = c^2 \phi AY.$$

On the other hand, from the equations of Gauss and the assumption  $A\phi + \phi A = 0$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} g(\phi E_i, E_j) R(E_i, E_j) Y &= \frac{c}{4} \sum_i \{ g(\phi E_i, Y) E_i - g(E_i, Y) \phi E_i \\ &+ g(\phi^2 E_i, Y) \phi E_i - g(\phi E_i, Y) \phi^2 E_i - 2g(\phi E_i, \phi E_i) \phi Y \} \\ &+ \sum_i \{ g(A\phi E_i, Y) A E_i - g(A E_i, Y) A \phi E_i \} = -cn\phi Y + 2A^2 \phi Y, \end{aligned}$$

from which together with (2.4), (2.11) reduces to

$$c^2 \phi AY = 0.$$

If  $c \neq 0$ , then  $\phi AY = 0$ . It follows from the almost contact structure that we have  $AY = \alpha\eta(Y)\xi$ . The rank of  $A$  at a point  $x$  in  $M$  is called the type number and is denoted by  $t(x)$ . Thus it means that the type number  $t(x)$  of any point  $x$  in  $M$  is at most 1. It is however seen that (cf. Yano and Kon [13])  $t(x) > 1$  at some point  $x$  of  $M$  for  $c \neq 0$ . So it is contradiction. Hence we have  $c = 0$ . This completes the above proof.

From Lemma 2.1. we have the following.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of a complex space form  $M_n(c)$ . If  $\phi A + A\phi = 0$ , then  $M$  is cylindrical.*

*Proof.* From the assumption it follows that  $A\xi = \alpha\xi$ . Since  $c = 0$  by Lemma 2.1, (2.3) implies  $A\phi A = 0$ , from which it follows that  $(\phi A)^2 = \phi A\phi A = -\phi A A\phi = \phi A^t(\phi A)$ . Thus  $\text{tr}(\phi A)^t(\phi A) = 0$ , that is,  $\phi A = 0$ . Then  $AX = \alpha\eta(X)\xi$ . Hence  $M$  is cylindrical.

Also by using Lemma 2.1 we get the following.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of a complex space form  $M_n(c)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ . If  $\xi$  is an eigenvector of  $A$ , then  $\alpha = \eta(A\xi)$  is locally constant.*

*Proof.* Since  $X\alpha = \beta\eta(X)$ , we have  $\nabla_X \text{grad } \alpha = (X\beta)\xi + \beta\nabla_X \xi$ , where we have put  $\beta = \xi\alpha$ . From which together with the fact  $g(\nabla_X \text{grad } \alpha, Y) = g(\nabla_Y \text{grad } \alpha, X)$  it follows that

$$(2.12) \quad (X\beta)\eta(Y) - (Y\beta)\eta(X) + \beta g((\phi A + A\phi)X, Y) = 0.$$

Putting  $X = \xi$  or  $Y = \xi$  in (2.12), we get  $X\beta = (\xi\beta)\eta(X)$  or  $Y\beta = (\xi\beta)\eta(Y)$ . Thus (2.12) reduces to

$$\beta g((\phi A + A\phi)X, Y) = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.1 there are no points on  $M$  at which  $\phi A + A\phi = 0$ , which yields that  $\beta = 0$  on  $M$ . This means  $\alpha$  is constant on  $M$ .

**Remark.** For a real hypersurface of a complex projective space  $CP^n$  Maeda proved that  $\alpha$  is constant ([7]).

**3. Real hypersurfaces of  $CH^n$  satisfying certain commutative condition.**

A characterization of the class of hypersurfaces with more than 3 distinct principal curvatures of  $CP^n$  is studied by Kimura [4], who proves the following.

**Theorem C.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of  $CP^n (n \geq 3)$ . Then  $M$  satisfies  $S\phi = \phi S$  if and only if  $M$  lies on a tube of radius  $r$  over one of the following Kaehler submanifolds;*

- (A) *a totally geodesic  $CP^k$ , ( $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ ), where  $0 < r < \pi/2$ ,*
- (B) *a complex quadric  $Q^{n-1}$ , where  $0 < r < \pi/4$  and  $\cot^2 2r = n-2$ ,*
- (C)  *$CP^1 \times CP^{(n-1)/2}$ , where  $0 < r < \pi/4$ ,  $\cot^2 2r = 1/(n-2)$  and  $n (\geq 5)$  is odd,*
- (D) *complex Grassmann  $G_{2,5}(C)$ , where  $0 < r < \pi/4$ ,  $\cot^2 2r = 3/5$  and  $n = 9$ ,*
- (E) *Hermitian symmetric space  $SO(10)/U(5)$ , where  $0 < r < \pi/4$ ,  $\cot^2 2r = 5/9$  and  $n = 15$ .*

This section is devoted to the investigation about certain real hypersurfaces of  $CH^n$  under the condition such that the Ricci tensor and the structure tensor are commutative. Now we introduce the following.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of  $CH^n (n \geq 3)$  and  $P = A^2 - fA$  such that  $f$  is a smooth function on  $M$ . If  $M$  satisfies the condition*

$$(3.1) \quad P\phi = \phi P,$$

*then  $\xi$  is a principal vector at each point of  $M$ .*

For the real hypersurface of  $CP^n (n \geq 3)$  Kimura [4] proved that  $\xi$  is principal under the condition (3.1) by using Cecil-Ryan's method in the paper [2]. If we use the same method as used in [4], we can obtain the above Lemma. Thus we omit the proof of the Lemma 3.1.

By the above Lemma and Lemma 2.3 we get the following



**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of  $CH^n(n \geq 3)$  satisfying  $S\phi = \phi S$ . Then the principal curvature  $\alpha$  corresponding to  $\xi$  is locally constant.*

By Lemma 3.1 we have (2.3). Thus for the complex hyperbolic space  $CH^n$  (2.3) implies that  $2\phi + 2A\phi A = \alpha(A\phi + \phi A)$ . From which, for a unit vector  $X$  orthogonal to  $\xi$  such that  $AX = \lambda X$  we get

$$(3.3) \quad (2\lambda - \alpha)A\phi X = (\alpha\lambda - 2)\phi X.$$

Let  $V$  be an open set consisting of points  $x$  of  $M$  at which  $(2\lambda - \alpha)_x \neq 0$ . Then  $A\phi X = \mu\phi X$  on  $V$ , where we have put  $\mu = (\alpha\lambda - 2)/(2\lambda - \alpha)$ . From (1.5) and (3.1) it follows that

$$(3.4) \quad (\mu - \lambda)|(\mu + \lambda) - h| = 0,$$

where  $h$  means the trace of  $A$ . Thus  $\mu = \lambda$  or  $h = \lambda + \mu$  holds on  $V$ .

For the case  $\mu = \lambda$ , it is a root of a quadratic equation  $x^2 - \alpha x + 1 = 0$  with constant coefficients, which means that  $\lambda$  is constant. Since  $\alpha^2 \geq 4$ , we put  $\alpha = \pm 2$  or  $\alpha = 2 \coth 2\theta$ . Then it is seen that  $\lambda = \pm 1$  for  $\alpha = \pm 2$  and  $\lambda = \coth \theta$  or  $\tanh \theta$  for  $\alpha = 2 \coth 2\theta$ . This means that we have at most of five kinds of principal curvatures  $\alpha$ ,  $\coth \theta$ ,  $\tanh \theta$ , and  $\lambda, \mu$  such that  $\lambda + \mu = h$ . Since (3.3) implies that multiplicities of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are equal, say  $m_1$ , we can put

$$h = (\lambda + \mu)m_1 + m_2 \coth \theta + m_3 \tanh \theta + \alpha,$$

from which together with  $h = \lambda + \mu$  it follows that

$$(3.5) \quad (1 - m_1)h = m_2 \coth \theta + m_3 \tanh \theta + \alpha.$$

Since the right hand side of (3.5) is positive or negative according as  $\theta > 0$  or  $\theta < 0$ , respectively, we have  $m_1 \neq 1$ , and  $h$  is constant.

On the other hand, it follows from  $h = \lambda + \mu$  that  $2\lambda^2 - 2h\lambda + \alpha h - 2 = 0$ . Thus all principal curvatures are constant on  $V$ . Since  $V$  is open and the constancy of principal curvatures gives that  $V$  is closed,  $V$  coincides with  $M$  itself or it is empty. If  $V$  is empty, then  $2\lambda = \alpha$  gives  $\alpha\lambda = 2$  because of (3.3). Thus  $\lambda = \pm 1$ . Together with this fact we conclude that all principal curvatures are constant on  $M$ . Thus we have the following.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of  $CH^n(n \geq 3)$ . Then the Ricci tensor of  $M$  commutes with the almost contact structure of  $M$  induced from  $CH^n$  if and only if  $M$  is of type  $A_1, A_2$ .*

*Proof.* By the classification Theorem of Berndt  $M$  is of type  $A_1, A_2$  or  $B$ .

On the other hand, Montiel and Romero show that the real hypersurface  $M$  of  $CH^n$  is of type  $A_1, A_2$  if and only if the almost contact structure tensor commutes with the second fundamental form. Hence the type  $A_1, A_2$  naturally satisfy  $S\phi = \phi S$ .

Now we suppose that  $M$  is of  $B$ -type. Then the table of Berndt [1] gives that  $\alpha = 2 \tanh 2\theta$ ,  $\lambda = \tanh \theta$  and  $\mu = \coth \theta$ . Since multiplicities of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are equal, (3.4) gives  $h = \alpha + (n-1)(\lambda + \mu) = \alpha + (n-1)h$ . Thus  $(n-2)h + \alpha = 0$ , from which together with the fact that  $\alpha = 4\lambda/(1 + \lambda^2)$  it follows that  $4\lambda^2 + (n-2)(1 + \lambda^2)^2 = 0$ . This contradicts.

**Remark.** For the real hypersurface of  $CP^n (n \geq 3)$  Kimura [4] proved that  $S\phi = \phi S$  if and only if  $M$  is of type  $A_1, A_2$ , or  $M$  is locally congruent to one of a certain hypersurface of type  $B, C, D$  or  $E$ .

**4. Real hypersurfaces of  $M_n(c), c \neq 0$ .** Let  $M$  be a pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface of a complex space form  $M_n(c), c \neq 0$ . Then the Ricci tensor  $S$  of  $M$  is given by  $SX = aX + b\eta(X)\xi$  where  $a$  and  $b$  are  $C^\infty$ -functions. From which it naturally satisfies the following.

$$(4.1) \quad A\xi = a\xi,$$

$$(4.2) \quad R(X, Y)(SZ) + R(Y, Z)(SX) + R(Z, X)(SY) = 0,$$

for any  $X, Y$ , and  $Z$  in  $\xi^\perp$ , where we have put  $\xi^\perp$  the orthogonal complement of  $\xi$  in  $T_x(M)$  for any  $x$  in  $M$ .

In this section, we are concerned with the converse problem. Namely we will give another characterization of pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces of  $M_n(c), c \neq 0$ , with (4.1) and (4.2). From (4.1) it follows that  $\eta(AX) = 0$  for any  $X$  in  $\xi^\perp$ . By taking account of (1.3) and (1.5), the above equation (4.2) is equivalent to

$$(4.3) \quad g(QZ, Y)\phi X + g(QX, Z)\phi Y + g(QY, X)\phi Z + 2g(\phi Y, Z)\phi PX + 2g(\phi Z, X)\phi PY + 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi PZ = 0$$

for any  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  in  $\xi^\perp$ , where we have put  $P = A^2 - hA, h = \text{tr}A$ , and  $Q = P\phi + \phi P$ . Since  $\phi$  is non-degenerate on  $\xi^\perp$ , (4.3) reduces to

$$(4.4) \quad g(QZ, Y)X + g(QX, Z)Y + g(QX, Y)Z + 2\{g(\phi Y, Z)PX + g(\phi Z, X)PY + g(\phi X, Y)PZ\} = 0.$$

For a symmetric transformation  $P = A^2 - hA$  let  $X, Y,$  and  $Z$  be orthogonal eigenvectors such that

$$(4.5) \quad PX = \alpha_r X, PY = \alpha_s Y, \text{ and } PZ = \alpha_t Z.$$

Thus, from which together with (4.4) it follows that

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} g(QZ, Y) - 2\alpha_r g(\phi Z, Y) &= 0, \\ g(QX, Z) - 2\alpha_s g(\phi X, Z) &= 0, \\ g(QY, X) - 2\alpha_t g(\phi Y, Z) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.5) again to (4.6), we have

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} (\alpha_s + \alpha_t - 2\alpha_r)g(\phi Y, Z) &= 0, \\ (\alpha_r + \alpha_t - 2\alpha_s)g(\phi X, Z) &= 0, \\ (\alpha_r + \alpha_s - 2\alpha_t)g(\phi Y, X) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let us now decompose  $T_x(M)$  as following:  $T_x(M) = P(\alpha_1) \oplus \dots \oplus P(\alpha_p)$ , where  $P(\alpha_r) = \{X \in T_x(M) \mid PX = \alpha_r X\} (r = 1, \dots, p)$ ,  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$  are all distinct, and  $\xi$  in  $P(\alpha_1)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *If  $p \geq 2$  and  $\dim P(\alpha_1) \geq 2$ , then  $\dim P(\alpha_1) = 2$ , and  $\dim P(\alpha_r) = 1 (r \geq 2)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\dim P(\alpha_1) \geq 3$  or  $\dim P(\alpha_r) \geq 2$  for some  $r \geq 2$ . Then for any  $s \leq p, s \neq r$ , and any linearly independent vectors  $X, Y$  in  $P(\alpha_r) (r = 1, \dots, p)$ , and  $Z$  in  $P(\alpha_s)$ , (4.7) give rise to

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} (\alpha_s - \alpha_r)g(\phi Y, Z) &= 0, \\ (\alpha_s - \alpha_r)g(\phi X, Z) &= 0, \\ (\alpha_r - \alpha_s)g(\phi Y, X) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha_r \neq \alpha_s, g(\phi Y, Z) = g(\phi X, Z) = g(\phi Y, X) = 0$ , from which it follows that  $\phi X$  is orthogonal to  $P(\alpha_s)$  for any  $s$  different from  $r$ . Thus  $\phi X$  is contained in  $P(\alpha_r)$ . In particular, if we put  $Y = X$ , then  $g(\phi X, Y) = g(\phi X, \phi X) \neq 0$ . This contradicts. Thus we have the above Lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.** *If  $p \geq 2$ , then  $\dim P(\alpha_1) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* If we suppose  $\dim P(\alpha_1) \neq 1$ , then by Lemma 1, we get  $\dim P(\alpha_1) = 2$ . Thus we can take a vector  $X$  in  $P(\alpha_1)$  orthogonal to  $\xi$ . Since  $\dim P(\alpha_1) = 2, \phi X$  is not contained in  $P(\alpha_1)$ . Thus  $\phi X$  is in  $P(\alpha_2) \oplus \dots \oplus P(\alpha_p)$ . Hence we can assume that there exists an element  $Y$  in  $P(\alpha_2)$  such

that  $g(\phi X, Y) \neq 0$ .

Now let  $p \geq 3$ . Then let us take  $X, Y$ , and  $Z$  be orthonormal vectors in  $P(\alpha_1), P(\alpha_2)$ , and  $P(\alpha_r) (r \geq 3)$ , respectively. From which and (4.7) it follows that  $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 2\alpha_r)g(\phi X, Y) = 0$ . Thus, we get  $2\alpha_r = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$  for  $r \geq 3$  because of  $g(\phi X, Y) \neq 0$ . Hence we have  $p = 3$ . This implies that  $\dim P(\alpha_1) + \dim P(\alpha_2) + \dim P(\alpha_3) = 4$  by virtue of Lemma 1. This contradicts the fact  $\dim T_x(M) \geq 5$  for  $n \geq 3$ . Thus we should have  $p = 2$ . But in this case we also have  $\dim P(\alpha_1) + \dim P(\alpha_2) = 3$  by Lemma 1. This also makes contradiction. Thus we get the above Lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.**  $p = 2$ .

*Proof.* Firstly we now consider for the case  $p \geq 3$ . Then by Lemma 4.2.  $\dim P(\alpha_1) = 1$ . And we will show  $\dim P(\alpha_r) = 1 (r \geq 2)$  for  $p \geq 3$ . Thus, if we suppose  $\dim P(\alpha_r) \geq 2$  for some  $r \geq 2$ , then for any linearly independent vectors  $X, Y$  in  $P(\alpha_r)$  and  $Z$  in  $P(\alpha_s), r \neq s, s \geq 2$ , we get  $g(\phi X, Y) = g(\phi Y, Z) = g(\phi Z, X) = 0$  by virtue of (4.8). Hence we evoke the same contradiction as Lemma 4.1. Thus we have  $\dim P(\alpha_r) = 1$  for any  $r \geq 2$ .

Now we consider for  $p \geq 4$ . Then from above facts  $\dim P(\alpha_r) = 1$  for any  $r \geq 2$ . Thus for  $X$  in  $P(\alpha_2), \phi X$  is contained in  $P(\alpha_3) \oplus \dots \oplus P(\alpha_p)$ . Hence we can take an element  $Y$  in  $P(\alpha_3)$  such that  $g(\phi X, Y) \neq 0$ . For  $Z$  in  $P(\alpha_r), r \geq 4$ , we have

$$(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - 2\alpha_r)g(\phi X, Y) = 0.$$

Since  $g(\phi X, Y) \neq 0$ , we get  $2\alpha_r = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$  for  $r \geq 4$ . Thus  $p = 4$ . This implies  $\sum_{r=1}^4 \dim P(\alpha_r) = 4$ . This contradicts. Hence  $p = 3$ . For this case we can also have  $\sum_{r=1}^3 \dim P(\alpha_r) = 3$ . This also makes contradiction. Thus we should have  $p = 2$ .

From Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 we get the following.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of a complex space form  $M_n(c), c \neq 0$ . If  $M$  satisfies (4.1) and (4.2), then  $M$  is pseudo-Einstein.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.3 we have  $\dim P(\alpha_1) = 1$ , and  $\dim P(\alpha_2) = 2n - 2$ . Thus

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & & & 0 \\ & \alpha_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

This gives  $P = \alpha_2 I + (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)\eta \otimes \xi$ . From which and (1.5) it follows that  $S = \{(2n+1)c/4 - \alpha_2\}I + (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 - 3c)\eta \otimes \xi$ . Hence  $M$  is pseudo-Einstein.

**Remark.** Recently Kimura and Maeda [5] introduced the notion of  $\eta$ -parallel second fundamental form  $A$ , that is,  $g((\nabla_X A)Y, Z) = 0$  for any  $X, Y$ , and  $Z$  in  $\xi^\perp$ . And they showed that any real hypersurface  $M$  of  $CP^n$  with  $\eta$ -parallel second fundamental form  $A$  and principal vector  $\xi$  is of type  $A_1, A_2$  and  $B$ .

The condition  $\xi$  is principal can not be omitted because a ruled real hypersurface  $M$  in  $CP^n$  has  $\eta$ -parallel second fundamental form  $A$  but  $\xi$  is not principal.

**5. Real hypersurfaces of  $CP^n$  satisfying certain conditions.** To give another characterization of some type of real hypersurfaces of the complex projective space  $CP^n$  we now introduce the following.

**Lemma 5.1.** (Takagi [12]) *If  $M$  is a connected complete totally  $\eta$ -umbilical real hypersurface in  $CP^n (n \geq 2)$ , then  $M$  is of type  $A_1$ .*

**Lemma 5.2.** (Yano and Kon [14]) *Let  $M$  be a connected complete real hypersurface in  $CP^n (n \geq 3)$ . If  $\phi A + A\phi = k\phi$  for some constant  $k \neq 0$ , then  $M$  is of type  $A_1$  or  $B$ .*

By above Lemmas we can see that the type  $A_1$  or  $B$  satisfies the condition

$$(*) \quad S\phi + \phi S = k_1 \phi \quad (k_1 : \text{constant}).$$

And also pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces of  $CP^n$  satisfy (\*). As the converse problem in this section we are devoted to the investigation of the real hypersurfaces of  $CP^n$  satisfying (\*) and with principal structure vector field  $\xi$ .

By (1.5), (\*) is equivalent to

$$(5.1) \quad A^2 \phi + \phi A^2 - h(A\phi + \phi A) = k\phi,$$

where we have put  $k = 2(2n+1) - k_1$ , and  $h$  means the trace of  $A$ .

Since  $CP^n$  has the Fubini-Study metric and the constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $c = 4$ , (2.3) implies that

$$(5.2) \quad \alpha(\phi A + A\phi) - 2A\phi A + 2\phi = 0.$$

From which it follows that if  $X$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$  and if  $X$  is orthogonal to  $\xi$ , then  $\phi X$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  with eigenvalue  $\mu = (\alpha\lambda + 2)/(2\lambda - \alpha)$ . With this fact (5.1) implies

$$(5.3) \quad \mu^2 + \lambda^2 - h(\mu + \lambda) = k.$$

Substituting  $\mu = (\alpha\lambda + 2)/(2\lambda - \alpha)$  into (5.3), we get

$$(5.4) \quad 4\lambda^4 - 4(\alpha + h)\lambda^3 + 2(\alpha^2 + h\alpha - 2k)\lambda^2 + 4(\alpha - h + k\alpha)\lambda + 4 + 2\alpha h - \alpha^2 k = 0.$$

Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$  and  $\lambda_4$  be the roots of the above equation. Then from the roots and coefficient of (5.4) it follows that

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 = \alpha + h, \\ \lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_3 + \lambda_1 \lambda_4 + \lambda_2 \lambda_3 + \lambda_2 \lambda_4 + \lambda_3 \lambda_4 = (\alpha^2 + h\alpha - 2k)/2, \\ \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 + \lambda_1 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 + \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_4 = -(\alpha - h + k\alpha), \\ \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 = (4 + 2\alpha h - \alpha^2 k)/4. \end{cases}$$

Substituting  $h = \alpha + m_1 \lambda_1 + m_2 \lambda_2 + m_1(\alpha\lambda_1 + 2)/(2\lambda_1 - \alpha) + m_2(\alpha\lambda_2 + 2)/(2\lambda_2 - \alpha)$  into the above equation, and noticing  $\alpha$  and  $k$  are constant, we can see that (5.5) consists of 4 linearly independent equation, where  $m_j$  denotes the (constant) multiplicities of principal curvatures ( $j = 1, 2$ ). Thus  $M$  has at most five distinct constant principal curvatures. Hence by Theorem A,  $M$  is homogeneous. Then by Takagi's classification of homogeneous real hypersurfaces we can suppose  $M$  is of type  $A_1, A_2, B, C, D$ , and  $E$ .

Firstly, we suppose that  $M$  is one of type  $B, C, D$  and  $E$ . Then from the table given in [11] its type has the following roots :  $\lambda = \cot(r - \pi/4)$ ,  $\mu = -\tan(r - \pi/4)$ , and  $\alpha = 2 \cot 2r$ . Hence  $\lambda + \mu = -4/\alpha$ ,  $\lambda\mu = -1$ . Thus, by (5.3) we get  $k = (4/\alpha)^2 + h(4/\alpha) + 2$ . From which, (5.4) can be rewritten as following

$$(5.6) \quad 2\alpha^2 \lambda^4 - 2\alpha^2(\alpha + h)\lambda^3 + |\alpha^4 + h\alpha^3 - 4\alpha^2 - 8h\alpha - 32| \lambda^2 + 2(3\alpha^3 + 3h\alpha^2 + 16\alpha)\lambda - \alpha^2(\alpha^2 + \alpha h + 6) = 0$$

Then (5.6) can be decomposed into

$$(5.7) \quad (\alpha\lambda^2 + 4\lambda - \alpha)(2\alpha\lambda^2 - 2(\alpha^2 + h\alpha + 4)\lambda + (\alpha^3 + h\alpha^2 + 6\alpha)) = 0.$$

Since  $\cot(r - \pi/4)$ ,  $-\tan(r - \pi/4)$  satisfy  $\alpha\lambda^2 + 4\lambda - \alpha = 0$ , another roots  $\cot r$ ,  $-\tan r$  of  $C$ ,  $D$ , and  $E$  should satisfy

$$(5.8) \quad 2\alpha\lambda^2 - 2(\alpha^2 + h\alpha + 4)\lambda + \alpha^3 + h\alpha^2 + 6\alpha = 0.$$

On the other hand,  $\cot r$ ,  $-\tan r$  are roots of  $\lambda^2 - \alpha\lambda - 1 = 0$ . From this fact and the root and coefficient of of (5.8), it follows that

$$h\alpha + 4 = 0, \text{ and } \alpha^2 + h\alpha + 8 = 0.$$

Thus  $\alpha^2 + 4 = 0$ . This contradicts. Thus the type of  $C$ ,  $D$ , and  $E$  can not occur.

Next we consider for the type  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ . Then we introduce the following.

**Lemma 5.3.** (Okumura [10]) *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of  $CP^n$ . Then  $M$  is of type  $A_1$  or  $A_2$  if and only if  $A\phi = \phi A$ .*

Since by Lemma 5.1. the type  $A_1$  naturally satisfies (\*) and its structure vector  $\xi$  is principal, we restrict our attention to the type  $A_2$ . Then using Lemma 5.3 to (5.1), we get

$$(5.9) \quad A^2\phi - hA\phi = k\phi/2.$$

From the table of type  $A_2$  given in [11] it follows that for an eigenvector  $X$  such that  $AX = -\tan r X$

$$(5.10) \quad 2 \cot^2 r - 2h \cot r = k.$$

Also for the case  $AX = \cot r X$ ,  $A\phi X = -\tan r \phi X$  we get

$$(5.11) \quad 2 \tan^2 r + 2h \tan r = k.$$

From (5.10) and (5.11) it follows that  $(\cot r + \tan r)(\cot r - \tan r - h) = 0$ . Since  $\cot r + \tan r \neq 0$ ,  $h = \cot r - \tan r = \alpha$ . Thus  $k = 2$ . Then (\*) implies  $S\phi + \phi S = 4n\phi$ . From which and Lemma 5.3, it follows  $S\phi = \phi S = 2n\phi$ . Hence  $S = 2nI - 2\eta \otimes \xi$ . Thus the type of  $A_2$  satisfying (\*) is pseudo-Einstein and  $M$  is  $M(2n-1, m, (m-1)/(n-m))$  (cf. Yano and Kon [13]). Hence we have the following.

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $M$  be a connected complete real hypersurface of  $CP^n$  and assume that  $\xi$  is principal vector field on  $M$ . If  $M$  satisfies (\*), then  $M$  is of type  $A_1$ ,  $B$  or  $M$  is locally congruent to one of a certain hypersurface of type  $A_2$ .*

## REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] J. BERNDT : Real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvature in complex hyperbolic space, Preprint.
- [ 2 ] T. E. CECIL and P. J. RYAN : Focal sets and real hypersurfaces in complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **269** (1982), 481–499.
- [ 3 ] M. KIMURA : Real hypersurfaces and complex submanifolds in complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **296** (1986), 137–149.
- [ 4 ] M. KIMURA : Some real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, Saitama Math. J. **5** (1987), 1–5.
- [ 5 ] M. KIMURA and S. MAEDA : On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, Preprint.
- [ 6 ] U-H. KI and H. NAKAGAWA and Y. J. SUH : Real hypersurfaces with harmonic Weyl tensor of a complex space form, Preprint.
- [ 7 ] Y. MAEDA : On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, J. Math. Soc. Japan **28** (1976), 529–540.
- [ 8 ] S. MONTIEL : Real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space, J. Math. Soc. Japan **37** (1985), 515–535.
- [ 9 ] S. MONTIEL and A. ROMERO : On some real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space, Geometriae Dedicata, **20** (1986), 245–261.
- [ 10 ] M. OKUMURA : On some real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **212** (1975), 355–364.
- [ 11 ] R. TAKAGI : On homogeneous real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, Osaka. J. Math. **10** (1973), 495–506.
- [ 12 ] R. TAKAGI : Real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space with constant principal curvatures I, II. J. Math. Soc. Japan **27** (1975), 43–53, 507–516.
- [ 13 ] K. YANO and M. KON : CR-submanifolds of Kaehlerian and Sasakian manifold, Birkhauser, 1983.

KYUNGPOOK UNIVERSITY  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
TAEGU, 702–701  
KOREA

and

UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA  
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
TSUKUBA, I BARAKI, 305  
JAPAN

ANDONG UNIVERSITY  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
ANDONG, KYUNGPOOK, 760–380  
KOREA

and

UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA  
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
TSUKUBA, I BARAKI, 305  
JAPAN

*(Received March 27, 1989)*