

# *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University*

---

*Volume 39, Issue 1*

1997

*Article 6*

JANUARY 1997

---

## On Rings Having a Faithful Noetherian Module

Hiroaki Komatsu\*

Kazuyuki Tanabe†

\*Okayama University

†Okayama University

Copyright ©1997 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by  
The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

ON RINGS HAVING A FAITHFUL NOETHERIAN MODULE

HIROAKI KOMATSU and KAZUYUKI TANABE

It is well known that every commutative ring with an identity element and having a faithful Noetherian module is Noetherian (cf. [1, p.261]). In this paper, we consider a noncommutative ring with or without an identity element which has a faithful Noetherian or Artinian module, and we shall show that every nil ideal of bounded index of such a ring is nilpotent.

For a nil ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$ ,  $n(I)$  is defined to be the supremum of the nilpotency indices of the elements in  $I$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If a ring  $R$  has a faithful Noetherian left  $R$ -module  $M$ , then every nil ideal  $I$  of  $R$  with  $n(I) < \infty$  is nilpotent.*

*Proof.* For a  $R$ -submodule  $N$  of  $M$  and an ideal  $A$  of  $R$ ,  $A * N$  is defined to be the set of all  $m \in M$  satisfying  $Am \subseteq N$ . It is easy to see the following properties.

- (a)  $A * N$  is a  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  containing  $N$ .
- (b)  $(AB) * N = B * (A * N)$  for any ideals  $A, B$ .

We shall prove the theorem by induction on  $n(I)$ . If  $n(I) = 1$  then  $I = 0$ . Let  $k$  be a positive integer and assume that every nil ideal  $I$  with  $n(I) \leq k$  is nilpotent. Let  $I$  be a nil ideal of  $R$  with  $n(I) = k + 1$ . Let  $J$  be the ideal of  $R$  generated by all  $x^k$  where  $x \in I$ . Since  $M$  is Noetherian, there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $J^n * 0 = J^{n+1} * 0$ . Now suppose that  $J^n * 0 \neq M$ . Then the set

$$\{(J^n K) * 0 \mid K \text{ is an ideal of } R, (J^n K) * 0 \neq M\} \quad (\ni J)$$

has a maximal member  $(J^n L) * 0$ , where  $L$  is an ideal of  $R$ . Let  $x$  be an arbitrary element in  $I$ . For any  $r \in R$ , we see that  $0 = (x^k r + x)^{k+1} = x^k r x^k + x^k r x^k y$  for some  $y \in I$ . Since  $y$  is nilpotent, we have  $x^k r x^k = 0$ . Therefore, the ideal  $(x^k)$  of  $R$  generated by  $x^k$  is nilpotent. It is obvious that  $(x^k) * ((J^n L) * 0) \supseteq (J^n L) * 0$ . If  $(x^k) * ((J^n L) * 0) = (J^n L) * 0$ , then we have  $(x^k)^2 * ((J^n L) * 0) = (x^k) * \left( (x^k) * ((J^n L) * 0) \right) = (x^k) * ((J^n L) * 0) = (J^n L) * 0$ . Continuing this method, we have  $(x^k)^\nu * ((J^n L) * 0) = (J^n L) * 0$  for any positive integer  $\nu$ , which implies a contradiction  $(J^n L) * 0 = M$ , because  $(x^k)$  is nilpotent. Hence, we have  $(x^k) * ((J^n L) * 0) \supsetneq (J^n L) * 0$ .

Since  $(x^k) * ((J^n L) * 0) = (J^n L(x^k)) * 0$ , the maximality of  $(J^n L) * 0$  implies that  $(J^n L(x^k)) * 0 = M$ , i.e.,  $J^n L(x^k) = 0$ . Hence, we have  $J^n L J = 0$ . Let  $A$  denote the annihilator of  $M / ((J^n L) * 0)$ . Then we have  $J \subseteq A$ , and so  $n((I + A) / A) \leq k$ . Hence, by the assumption of our induction, there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that  $I^m \subseteq A$ , i.e.,  $I^m * ((J^n L) * 0) = M$ . Therefore, we have  $I * ((J^n L) * 0) \supseteq (J^n L) * 0$ , and again by the maximality of  $(J^n L) * 0$ , we have  $(J^n L I) * 0 = M$ , i.e.,  $J^n L I = 0$ . Then, for any  $x \in I$  and  $a \in J^n L$ , we see that  $0 = (x+a)^{k+1} = x^k a$ . Hence, we have  $J^{n+1} L = 0$ . This implies that  $(J^n L) * 0 = L * (J^n * 0) = L * (J^{n+1} * 0) = (J^{n+1} L) * 0 = M$ , a contradiction. Thus we have shown that  $J^n * 0 = M$ , i.e.,  $J^n = 0$ .

Let  $A_i$  denote the annihilator of  $J^{i-1} M / J^i M$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Since  $J \subseteq A_i$ , by the assumption of our induction, there exist positive integers  $m_i$  such that  $I^{m_i} J^{i-1} M \subseteq J^i M$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Putting  $l = m_1 + \dots + m_n$ , we have  $I^l M = 0$ , that is,  $I^l = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.** *If a ring  $R$  has a faithful Artinian left  $R$ -module  $M$ , then every nil ideal  $I$  of  $R$  with  $n(I) < \infty$  is nilpotent.*

*Proof.* We shall prove the theorem by induction on  $n(I)$ . If  $n(I) = 1$  then  $I = 0$ . Let  $k$  be a positive integer and assume that every nil ideal  $I$  with  $n(I) \leq k$  is nilpotent. Let  $I$  be a nil ideal of  $R$  with  $n(I) = k + 1$ . Let  $J$  be the ideal of  $R$  generated by all  $x^k$  where  $x \in I$ . Since  $M$  is Artinian, there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $J^n M = J^{n+1} M$ .

Now suppose that  $J^n \neq 0$ . Then the set

$$\{K J^n M \mid K \text{ is an ideal of } R, K J^n M \neq 0\} \quad (\ni J)$$

has a minimal member  $L J^n M$ , where  $L$  is an ideal of  $R$ . Let  $x$  be an arbitrary element in  $I$ . As was shown in the proof of Theorem 1, the ideal  $(x^k)$  of  $R$  generated by  $x^k$  is nilpotent. Since  $L J^n M \neq 0$ , it follows that  $(x^k) L J^n M \subsetneq L J^n M$ , and so  $(x^k) L J^n = 0$  by the minimality of  $L J^n M$ . Hence, we have  $J L J^n = 0$ . Let  $A$  denote the annihilator of  $L J^n M$ . Then we have  $J \subseteq A$ , and so  $n((I + A) / A) \leq k$ . Hence, by the assumption of our induction, there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that  $I^m \subseteq A$ , i.e.,  $I^m L J^n = 0$ . Therefore, we have  $I L J^n M \subsetneq L J^n M$ , and the minimality of  $L J^n M$  implies that  $I L J^n = 0$ . Then, for any  $x \in I$  and  $a \in L J^n$ , we see that  $0 = (a + x)^{k+1} = a x^k$ . Hence, we have  $L J^{n+1} = 0$ . This implies that  $L J^n M = L J^{n+1} M = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus we have shown that  $J^n = 0$ . The rest of the proof is quite similar to the proof of Theorem 1.

In [2], the second author of this paper established amen rings. According to [2], a ring  $R$  is said to be *amen* if every Artinian left  $R$ -module is Noetherian and every Noetherian left  $R$ -module is Artinian. By making use of Theorems 1 and 2, we can give an easy proof to [2, Proposition 2].

**Corollary 3** ([2, Proposition 2]). *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $I$  a nil ideal of  $R$  with  $n(I) < \infty$ . If  $R/I$  is amen then  $R$  is amen.*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be an Artinian left  $R$ -module. By Theorem 2, we have  $I^n M = 0$  for some positive integer  $n$ . Since  $R/I$  is amen, all  $I^{i-1}M/I^i M$  are Noetherian ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Hence,  $M$  is Noetherian. Similarly, every Noetherian left  $R$ -module is Artinian.

## REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] H. MATSUMURA: Commutative Algebra, Second Edition, Benjamin, 1980.
- [ 2 ] K. TANABE: On rings whose artinian modules are precisely noetherian modules, Comm. Algebra **22** (1994), 4023–4032.

HIROAKI KOMATSU  
GRADUATE SCHOOL OF NATURAL SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY  
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY  
OKAYAMA, 700 JAPAN

KAZUYUKI TANABE  
GRADUATE SCHOOL OF NATURAL SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY  
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY  
OKAYAMA, 700 JAPAN

(Received February 2, 1998)