Mathematical Journal of Okayama University

Volume 18, Issue 1

1975 December 1975

Article 5

On decompositions into simple rings. II

Kazuo Kishimoto*

Hisao Tominaga[†]

*Shinshu University †Okayama University

Copyright ©1975 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou

ON DECOMPOSITIONS INTO SIMPLE RINGS. II

KAZUO KISHIMOTO and HISAO TOMINAGA

This is a natural sequel to [4]. The notation and terminology employed there will be used here. A ring R is said to be *fully left* (resp. *right*) *idempotent* if every left (resp. right) ideal of R is idempotent.

Theorem. The following conditions are equivalent:

(1) $R = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in A} R_{\lambda}$, where R_{λ} is the complete ring of linear transformations of finite rank of a vector space over a division ring.

(2) R is a left s-unital semi-prime ring whose left ideals are left annihilators.

(3) R is a regular ring whose left ideals are left annihilators.

(4) R is a left s-unital semi-prime ring whose maximal left ideals are left annihilators.

(5) R is a regular ring whose maximal left ideals are left annihilators.

(6) R is a right s-unital, left V-ring whose maximal left ideals are left annihilators.

(7) R is a fully left idempotent ring whose maximal left ideals are left annihilators.

Proof. The equivalence of (1)—(3) has been shown in [4, Theorem 1]. However, we shall prove our theorem without making use of the above equivalence.

The implications $(3) \Longrightarrow (2) \Longrightarrow (4)$, $(3) \Longrightarrow (5) \Longrightarrow (4)$ and $(7) \Longrightarrow (4)$ are obvious, and $(1) \Longrightarrow (3)$ is a direct consequence of [2, Theorem IV. 16.3].

 $(4) \Longrightarrow (1)$: We shall prove first that the left singular ideal Z of R is 0. To see this, we assume $Z \neq 0$ and take a left ideal T that is maximal with respect to $Z \cap T = 0$. Then T is contained in l(Z)= r(Z). Since $Z \cap l(Z) = 0$, T must coincide with l(Z). Moreover, we have $Z \oplus T = R$. In fact, if not, by [4, Lemma 1 (a)] and the hypothesis, $Z \oplus T$ is contained in l(a) for some non-zero $a \in R$. This implies a contradiction $a \in r(Z \oplus T) = l(Z) \cap l(T) = T \cap l(T) = 0$. Again by [4, Lemma 1 (a)] and the hypothesis, $T (\neq R)$ is contained in a maximal left ideal l(u) where $u \in r(T) = Z$. Since Ru is isomorphic to R/l(u), Ru is a minimal left ideal, which implies a contradiction Ru = Re for some idempotent $e \in Z$. Hence, we have Z = 0,

K. KISHIMOTO and H. TOMINAGA

40

and then every maximal left ideal of R is a direct summand of $_{R}R$. By [4, Lemma 1 (b)], $_{R}R$ is then completely reducible, and R is a left V-ring. Accordingly, every left ideal of R is a left annihilator. Now, let R_{λ} be an arbitrary homogeneous component of R. Then, as is well-known, R_{λ} is a (non-trivial) simple ring and every left ideal of R_{λ} is a left annihilator in R_{λ} . Hence, again by [2, Theorem IV. 16.3], R_{λ} is the complete ring of linear transformations of finite rank of a vector space over a division ring.

 $(4) \Longrightarrow (6)$: This is almost evident by the proof of $(4) \Longrightarrow (1)$.

 $(6) \Longrightarrow (7)$: Let *I* be an arbitrary left ideal of *R*. If $I^2 \neq I$, there exists a maximal left ideal *M* such that $M \supseteq I^2$ and $M \supseteq I$. Now, let *a* be an arbitrary element of *I* not contained in *M*. Then, $a \in aR = a(M+I) \subseteq M + I^2 = M$, a contradiction. Hence, every left ideal of *R* is idempotent.

Combining our theorem with [4, Corollary 1], we readily obtain

Corollary 1. The following conditions are equivalent :

(1) R is a direct sum of artinian simple rings.

(2) R is a left (or right) s-unital semi-prime ring whose maximal left ideals are left annihilators and maximal right ideals are right annihilators.

(3) R is a regular ring whose maximal left ideals are left annihilators and maximal right ideals are right annihilators.

(4) R is a right s-unital, left V-ring whose maximal left ideals are left annihilators and maximal right ideals are right annihilators.

(5) R is a fully left (or right) idempotent ring whose maximal left ideals are left annihilators and maximal right ideals are right annihilators.

The next is also a combination of our theorem and [4, Corollary 2].

Corollary 2. Let R be a ring with 1. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) R is artinian semi-simple.

(2) Every maximal left ideal of R is a direct summand of $_{R}R$.

(3) R is a left non-singular ring whose essential left ideals are left annihilators.

(4) R is a semi-prime ring whose essential left ideals are left annihilators.

(5) R is a regular ring whose essential left ideals are left annihilators.

(6) R is a semi-prime ring whose maximal left ideals are left annihilators.

(7) R has no proper essential left ideals.

(8) R is a left V-ring whose maximal left ideals are left annihilators.

(9) R is a fully left idempotent ring whose maximal left ideals are left annihilators.

(2') - (9') The left-right analogues of (2) - (9).

Remark. A left *R*-module $_{R}N$ is said to be *s*-unital if for any $u \in N$ there holds $u \in Ru$. Obviously, every irreducible left *R*-module is *s*-unital. If *R* is a left *s*-unital ring, slightly modifying the proofs of [1, Theorem 1.6] and [3, Theorem 2.1], we can see that the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) Every irreducible left *R*-module *N* has the property that for each pair of left *s*-unital modules ${}_{R}A$, ${}_{R}B$ with ${}_{R}A \subseteq {}_{R}B$ each $f \in \operatorname{Hom}({}_{R}A, {}_{R}N)$ can be extended to an element of $\operatorname{Hom}({}_{R}B, {}_{R}N)$.

(2) Every left s-unital module $_{R}N$ has zero radical, i.e., the intersection of all maximal submodules of $_{R}N$ is 0.

(3) R is a left V-ring.

REFERENCES

- C. FAITH: Lectures on Injective Modules and Quotient Rings, Lecture Notes in Math. 49, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
- [2] N. JACOBSON: Structure of Rings, Amer. Math. Soc. Colleq. Publ. 37, 1954.
- [3] G.O. MICHLER and O.E. VILLAMAYOR: On rings whose simple modules are injective, J. Algebra 25 (1973), 185-201.
- [4] H. TOMINAGA: On decompositions into simple rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 17 (1975), 159-163.

SHINSHU UNIVERSITY OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY

(Received April 28, 1975)