

Mathematical Journal of Okayama University

Volume 44, Issue 1

2002

Article 3

JANUARY 2002

Ladder Index of Groups

Kazuhiro Ishikawa*

Hiroshi Tanaka[†]

Katsumi Tanaka[‡]

*Okayama University

[†]Okayama University

[‡]Okayama University

Copyright ©2002 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by
The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

LADDER INDEX OF GROUPS

KAZUHIRO ISHIKAWA, HIROSHI TANAKA AND KATSUMI TANAKA

1. STABILITY

In 1969, Shelah distinguished stable and unstable theory in [S]. He introduced these notions in order to study the number of non-isomorphic models of cardinality κ for any uncountable κ .

Let T be a first order stable theory in a language L . A theory T is said to be unstable if there are some L -formula $\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, a model A of T and $\bar{a}_i \in A$ such that

$$\forall i, j < \omega, \quad A \models \varphi(\bar{a}_i, \bar{a}_j) \iff i < j.$$

T is stable if it is not unstable. Also, we call the structure stable or unstable if the theory $\text{Th}(A)$ is stable or unstable respectively.

By this definition, it is clear that every finite structure is stable. In the rest of this note, we suppose every model of a theory T is infinite.

Theorem 1. *Let A be a stable structure.*

- (a) *For any $\bar{a} \in A$, (A, \bar{a}) is also stable.*
- (b) *If a structure B is interpretable in A , then B is stable.*

Let κ be an infinite cardinal. A theory T is said to be κ -stable if for any model A of T , and any subset X of A with $|X| \leq \kappa$, $|S_1(X; A)| \leq \kappa$, where $S_1(X; A)$ is a set of all complete 1-types over X realized by A . A structure A is κ -stable if $\text{Th}(A)$ is. Then the following hold.

Theorem 2. *The following are equivalent.*

- (a) *T is stable.*
- (b) *For at least one infinite cardinal κ , T is κ -stable.*

Lemma 3. *Let A be an L -structure, κ be an infinite cardinal and $X \subset A$ be a set of power κ . If $|S_n(X; A)| > |X|$ for some integer n , then A is not κ -stable.*

2. LADDER INDEX

Let T be a complete theory in a language L . Let $\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ be an L -formula with free variables \bar{x} and \bar{y} . An n -ladder for φ is a sequence $(\bar{a}_0, \dots, \bar{a}_{n-1}; \bar{b}_0, \dots, \bar{b}_{n-1})$ of tuple in some model A of T , such that

$$\forall i, j < n, \quad A \models \varphi(\bar{a}_i, \bar{b}_j) \iff i \leq j.$$

φ is said to be a stable formula if φ has no n -ladder for some n . φ is unstable, otherwise.

Lemma 4. *A theory T is unstable if and only if there is an unstable L -formula for T .*

It is well known that every module is stable. In this section, we show that stable groups satisfy some descending chain condition. First of all, we call a structure *group-like* if the restriction of A to some language is a group. The restriction is said to be a group structure of A . A stable group is a stable group-like structure. It may be generalized in some sense later.

Lemma 5 (Baldwin-Saxl). *Let L be a language and A be a stable group as an L -structure. Let G be a group structure in A . Let $\varphi(x, \bar{y})$ be an L -formula. Let \mathcal{S} be a set of all definable subgroups by the formula of the form $\varphi(\bar{b}, A)$ for some $\bar{b} \in A$. Let $\bigcap \mathcal{S}$ be a collection of all intersections of arbitrary many elements of \mathcal{S} . Then,*

(a) *There is an integer n such that any element of $\bigcap \mathcal{S}$ is an intersection of at most n many elements of \mathcal{S} .*

(b) *There is an integer m such that there is no descending chain of more than m many elements of $\bigcap \mathcal{S}$ by inclusion.*

Definition 6. For a given formula φ , the ladder index of φ is the least number n such that φ has no n -ladder.

In this note, we consider the ladder index for the commutativity formula $xy = yx$. The ladder index of a group G for the commutativity formula is denoted by $\ell(G)$.

Note. For any ladder $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n; b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n)$ in a group G if we replace a_0 and b_n by any central elements of G , the new sequence is also a ladder.

For any subset X of a group G , the centralizer $C_G(X)$ is a group with elements which commute with all elements of X . Hence $C_G(X) = \bigcap_{g \in X} C_G(g)$.

By model theoretic notation, $C_G(g) = \varphi(A, g)$, where $\varphi(x, y)$ is $xy = yx$. By Baldwin-Saxl Lemma, stable groups satisfy the descending chain condition (dcc) on centralizers. A group with the minimal condition (equivalently dcc) on centralizers is said to be an M_C -group.

Lemma 7. *For any group G and A a subset of G , $C_G(C_G(C_G(A))) = C_G(A)$.*

Lemma 8. *The maximal condition and the minimal condition on centralizers are equivalent.*

3. FINITE GAP NUMBER

In this section we study the property of ladder index for a commutativity formula. In group theory, there is a notion (e.g. in [LR]) as follows.

Definition 9. A group G has a finite central gap number, or shortly finite gap number if for any subgroups $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n, \dots$ of G , among the sequence

$$C_G(H_1) \leq C_G(H_2) \leq \dots \leq C_G(H_n) \leq \dots,$$

there are at most g many strict inclusions, in this case, we call gap number g and we denote $g = g(G)$.

In order to study the relations between ladder index and finite gap number, we prepare the following.

Lemma 10. *Let G be a group of finite gap number n . Suppose the sequence*

$$C_G(H_0) > C_G(H_1) > \dots > C_G(H_n)$$

gives the gap number n . Then there are a_i ($0 \leq i \leq n$) in G such that $C_G(H_i) = C_G(\{a_0, \dots, a_i\})$ for each i .

We abbreviate as $C_G(\{a_0, \dots, a_i\}) = C_G(a_0, \dots, a_i)$ in the rest of this note.

Proof. As $C_G(H_0) = G$, we put $a_0 = 1$. Suppose we have chosen by i -th. There is a $b \in H_{i+1} - H_i$ such that $C_G(H_i) > C_G(H_i \cup \{b\})$. Since there is no centralizer between $C_G(H_i)$ and $C_G(H_{i+1})$ by definition, $C_G(H_{i+1}) = C_G(H_i \cup \{b\}) = C_G(a_1, \dots, a_i, b)$. Now we may choose $a_{i+1} = b$. \square

Theorem 11. *For any group G of finite ladder index, $\ell(G) = g(G) + 2$.*

Proof. Let G be a group of ladder index $(n + 2)$ with the witness $(a_0, \dots, a_n; b_0, \dots, b_n)$. We have a descending chain,

$$C_G(a_0) > C_G(a_0, a_1) > \dots > C_G(a_0, \dots, a_n).$$

On the other hand, suppose such a descending chain is given. Since this sequence is a strictly descending chain, we can choose b_i in $C_G(a_0, \dots, a_i) - C_G(a_0, \dots, a_{i+1})$ for each $i < n$ and we put $b_n = 1$. The sequence $(a_0, \dots, a_n; b_0, \dots, b_n)$ made as above may not be a ladder at this moment. We replace b_i 's if necessary. We fix b_{n-1} . If b_{n-2} is not commutative with a_n , we fix it. Otherwise, we replace b_{n-2} by $b_{n-2}b_{n-1}$.

Suppose we have fixed b_n, \dots, b_i . If b_{i-1} is not commutative with a_{i+1} , then we fix it. Otherwise, we replace b_{i-1} by $b_{i-1}b_i$. We go through to a_n , and the final b_{i-1} is fixed.

We have a ladder with b_i 's by the above procedure. \square

4. GROUPS OF SMALL LADDER INDEX

Every finite group has finite ladder index. It is known that abelian groups, linear groups [W], finitely generated abelian-by-nilpotent groups [LR] and polycyclic-by-finite groups [LR] have finite ladder index.

In this section we study the groups of ladder index 2, 3, 4 and 5.

Theorem 12. $\ell(G) = 2$ if and only if G is abelian.

The proof is trivial by definition.

Theorem 13. There are no groups of ladder index 3.

Proof. Suppose $\ell(G) > 2$. By the above theorem, G is non-abelian. So, G has elements a and b which do not commute. Then the sequence $(1, b, ab; a, b, 1)$ is a ladder, and $\ell(G) \geq 4$. \square

We study the groups of ladder index 4 next. There is a lot of examples of groups of ladder index 4 which are finite or infinite. The structure of such groups is so simple (which does not mean simple groups).

Example 14. A symmetric group S_3 and a dihedral group D_n have ladder index 4.

Example 15. A special linear group $SL(2, F)$ (F is a field) has ladder index 4.

Theorem 16. The following are equivalent.

- (1) $\ell(G) = 4$.
- (2) G is non-abelian, and for any a and b in $G - Z(G)$, if $C_G(a) \neq C_G(b)$ then $C_G(a) \cap C_G(b) = Z(G)$.

Proof. (\Leftarrow) By Theorem 12 and 13.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose G has ladder index 4. Let a and b are elements as in the assumption. We may suppose $C_G(a) - C_G(b) \neq \emptyset$. Then we have $G > C_G(a) > C_G(a, b) \geq Z(G)$. Since G has gap number 2, we have $C_G(a, b) = Z(G)$. \square

Theorem 17. There are no groups of ladder index 5.

Proof. Suppose $\ell(G) > 4$. By the above theorem, there exist a_1 and a_2 in $G - Z(G)$ such that $C_G(a_1) \neq C_G(a_2)$ and $C_G(a_1) \cap C_G(a_2) > Z(G)$ hold.

Case 1: $a_1 a_2 = a_2 a_1$.

Since $C_G(a_1) \neq C_G(a_2)$, we assume $C_G(a_1) - C_G(a_2) \neq \emptyset$. Let $b \in C_G(a_1) - C_G(a_2)$. Then $a_1 \in C_G(b) \wedge a_2 \notin C_G(b)$. Because a_1 is not in $Z(G)$, there is a $c \in G - C_G(a_1)$. Therefore, we have

$$G > C_G(a_1) > C_G(a_1, a_2) > C_G(a_1, a_2, b) > C_G(a_1, a_2, b, c).$$

Hence, $\ell(G) \geq 6$.

Case 2: $a_1a_2 \neq a_2a_1$.

There is a $b_3 \in C_G(a_1, a_2) - Z(G)$. Since $b_3 \notin Z(G)$, we can choose $b_1 \in G - C_G(b_3)$. Then we have

$$G > C_G(b_3) > C_G(b_3, a_1) > C_G(b_3, a_1, a_2) > C_G(b_3, a_1, a_2, b_1).$$

Hence, $\ell(G) \geq 6$. □

Example 18. A symmetric group S_4 has ladder index 6.

REFERENCES

- [BS] J. BALDWIN AND J. SAXL, *Logical stability in group theory*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **21**(1976), 267–276.
- [H] W. HODGES, *Model theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [LR] J. C. LENNOX AND J. E. ROSEBLADE, *Centrality in finitely generated soluble groups*, J. Algebra **16**(1970), 399–435.
- [S] S. SHELAH, *Stable theories*, Israel J. Math. **7**(1969), 187–202.
- [W] B. A. F. WEHRFRITZ, *Remarks on centrality and cyclicity in linear groups*, J. Algebra **18**(1971), 229–236.

KAZUHIRO ISHIKAWA
GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY
OKAYAMA, JAPAN

HIROSHI TANAKA
GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY
OKAYAMA, JAPAN

KATSUMI TANAKA
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY
OKAYAMA 700-8530, JAPAN
e-mail address: ktanaka@math.okayama-u.ac.jp

(Received November 20, 2001)