

Mathematical Journal of Okayama University

Volume 33, Issue 1

1991

Article 9

JANUARY 1991

A generalization of a theorem of Posner

Motoshi Hongan*

*Tsuyama College Of Technology

Copyright ©1991 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by
The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

A GENERALIZATION OF A THEOREM OF POSNER

MOTOSHI HONGAN

Throughout, R will represent a ring with center C , σ and τ ring endomorphisms of R , and A a non-zero ideal of R . A mapping $d: x \mapsto x'$ of R into itself is called a *generalized (σ, τ) -derivation* of R if $(x+y)' - x' - y' \in C$ and $(xy)' - x'\sigma(y) - \tau(x)y' \in C$ for all $x, y \in R$. A generalized $(1, 1)$ -derivation of R is called a *generalized derivation*. Needless to say, any (usual) derivation of R is a generalized derivation; in case R is commutative, any mapping of R into itself is a generalized derivation. Given a subset S of R , we put $V_R(S) = \{x \in R \mid xs = sx \text{ for all } s \in S\}$. For any $x, y \in R$, we write $[x, y] = xy - yx$.

Our present objective is to prove the following theorem which generalizes a theorem of Posner [1, Theorem 2].

Theorem 1. *Let R be a prime ring, A a non-zero ideal of R , and σ and τ surjective ring endomorphisms of R such that $\sigma(A) \neq 0$ and $\tau(A) \neq 0$.*

(1) *If $\text{char } R \neq 2$, then the following are equivalent:*

1) *R is commutative.*

2) *There exists a generalized (σ, τ) -derivation $d: x \mapsto x'$ of R into itself such that $A' \neq 0$, $[a', \sigma(a)] \in C$ and $[a', \tau(a)] \in C$ for all $a \in A$.*

(2) *If $\text{char } R = 2$, then the following are equivalent:*

1) *R is commutative.*

2) *There exists a generalized (σ, σ) -derivation $d: x \mapsto x'$ of R into itself such that $A' \neq 0$ and $[a', \sigma(a)] \in C$ for all $a \in A$.*

In preparation for proving our theorem, we state two lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Let R be a prime ring, A a non-zero ideal and K a non-zero right (or left) ideal of R . Then*

(1) $V_R(K) = C$.

(2) *If $xy = 0$ and $x \in C$, then $x = 0$ or $y = 0$.*

(3) *If $xy \in C$ and $x \in C$, then $x = 0$ or $y \in C$.*

(4) *If $xAy = 0$ for $x, y \in R$, then $x = 0$ or $y = 0$.*

(5) *If K is commutative, then R is commutative.*

Proof. (1) Let K be a right ideal of R . Then, for any $x \in V_R(K)$,

$k \in K$ and $y \in R$, it follows that $0 = [x, ky] = k[x, y]$, and so $K[x, R] = 0$. Since R is prime and $K \neq 0$, we get $[x, R] = 0$, that is, $x \in C$.

(2) This is almost evident.

(3) Let $xy \in C$ and $x \in C$, then it follows that $0 = [xy, r] = x[y, r]$ for all $r \in R$. Hence we have $xs[y, r] = x[y, sr] = 0$ for all $s \in R$, and so we get $xR[y, R] = 0$. Since R is prime, we have either $x = 0$ or $y \in C$.

(4) This is almost evident.

(5) Since $K \subseteq V_R(K) = C$ by (1), we obtain $R = V_R(K) = C$.

Lemma 2. *Let R be a prime ring, A a non-zero ideal of R , and σ and τ surjective ring endomorphisms of R such that $\sigma(A) \neq 0$ and $\tau(A) \neq 0$. Then the following are equivalent :*

1) R is commutative.

2) *There exists a generalized (σ, τ) -derivation $d: x \mapsto x'$ such that $A' \neq 0$ and $[a', \tau(a)] = 0$ for all $a \in A$.*

3) *There exists a generalized (σ, τ) -derivation $d: x \mapsto x'$ such that $A' \neq 0$ and $[a', \sigma(a)] = 0$ for all $a \in A$.*

Proof. The implications 1) \Rightarrow 2) and 1) \Rightarrow 3) are evident, and the proof of 3) \Rightarrow 1) is quite similar to that of 2) \Rightarrow 1). So we shall only prove 2) \Rightarrow 1).

For any $a, b \in A$, it follows that $0 = [(a+b)', \tau(a+b)] = [a', \tau(b)] + [b', \tau(a)]$, so that $[a', \tau(b)] = [\tau(a), b']$. Since $a'[\sigma(b), \tau(a)] = [(ab)', \tau(a)] - \tau(a)[b', \tau(a)] = [\tau(ab), a'] - \tau(a)[\tau(b), a'] = 0$, it follows that $a'\sigma(s)[\sigma(b), \tau(a)] = a'[\sigma(sb), \tau(a)] - a'[\sigma(s), \tau(a)]\sigma(b) = 0$ for all $s \in A$, and so $a'\sigma(A)[\sigma(A), \tau(a)] = 0$. By Lemma 1(2) and (1), we have either $a' = 0$ or $\tau(a) \in V_R(\sigma(A)) = C \subseteq V_R(A')$. If $a' = 0$, then we have $[\tau(a), b'] = [a', \tau(b)] = 0$ for all $b \in A$, that is, $\tau(a) \in V_R(A')$. Hence, in either case, we have $\tau(A) \subseteq V_R(A')$, whence $A' \subseteq V_R(\tau(A)) = C$. We can easily see that $0 = [\sigma(b), (ab)'] = [\sigma(b), \tau(a)]b' = b'[\sigma(b), \tau(a)]$ for all $a, b \in A$. Also we have $0 = [(b^2)', \tau(a)] = b'\tau[b, a]$. Since $0 = [(bs)', \tau(a)] = b'[\sigma(s), \tau(a)] + \tau[b, a]s'$ for all $s \in A$, we get $0 = (b')^2[\sigma(s), \tau(a)] + b'\tau[b, a]s' = (b')^2[\sigma(s), \tau(a)]$. But, since $b' \in C$, we get either $b' = 0$ for all $b \in A$ or $[\tau(a), \sigma(A)] = 0$ for all $a \in A$. Since $A' \neq 0$, we conclude $\tau(A) \subseteq C$ by Lemma 1(1). Hence R is commutative by Lemma 1(5).

Corollary 1. *Let R be a prime ring, A a non-zero ideal of R , and σ and*

τ surjective ring endomorphisms of R such that $\sigma(A) \neq 0$ and $\tau(A) \neq 0$. If R has a generalized (σ, τ) -derivation such that $A' \neq 0$ and $[a', \tau[a, b]] = 0$ for all $a, b \in A$, then R is commutative.

Proof. Let a, b, s be arbitrary elements of A . Then we have $0 = [a', \tau[a, ba]] = \tau[a, b][a', \tau(a)]$. So we have $0 = \tau[a, bs][a', \tau(a)] = \tau[a, b]\tau(s)[a', \tau(a)]$, and so $\tau[a, b]\tau(A)[a', \tau(a)] = 0$. Hence we have either $\tau[a, b] = 0$ for all $b \in A$ or $[a', \tau(a)] = 0$ by Lemma 1(3). In case $\tau[a, b] = 0$ for all $b \in A$, we get $[\tau(a), \tau(A)] = 0$, and so $\tau(a) \in C$ by Lemma 1(1). Hence, in either case, we have $[a', \tau(a)] = 0$. Therefore R is commutative by Lemma 2.

We are now ready to complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. (1) It suffices to prove that 2) implies 1). Let a, b be arbitrary elements of A . Since $[a', \tau(b)] + [b', \tau(a)] \in C$, we get

$$(*) \quad \begin{aligned} & |3\tau(a) + \sigma(a)|[a', \tau(a)] + a'[\sigma(a), \tau(a)] \\ & = [a', \tau(a^2)] + [(a^2)', \tau(a)] \in C. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $0 = [a', 3\tau(a) + \sigma(a)][a', \tau(a)] + a'[a', [\sigma(a), \tau(a)]] = |3[a', \tau(a)] + [a', \sigma(a)]|[a', \tau(a)]$. By Lemma 1(3), we have either $[a', \tau(a)] = 0$ or $3[a', \tau(a)] + [a', \sigma(a)] = 0$. Similarly we have

$$(**) \quad |3\sigma(a) + \tau(a)|[a', \sigma(a)] + a'[\tau(a), \sigma(a)] \in C,$$

since $[a', \sigma(a)] \in C$. So we have either $[a', \sigma(a)] = 0$ or $3[a', \sigma(a)] + [a', \tau(a)] = 0$. Now we claim that $[a', \tau(a)] = 0$. By the argument above, the following four cases occur.

- (i) $[a', \tau(a)] = 0$ and $[a', \sigma(a)] = 0$.
- (ii) $[a', \tau(a)] = 0$ and $3[a', \sigma(a)] + [a', \tau(a)] = 0$.
- (iii) $3[a', \tau(a)] + [a', \sigma(a)] = 0$ and $3[a', \sigma(a)] + [a', \tau(a)] = 0$.
- (iv) $3[a', \tau(a)] + [a', \sigma(a)] = 0$ and $[a', \sigma(a)] = 0$.

To prove our claim, we must only consider cases (iii) and (iv).

Case(iii): Since $\text{char } R \neq 2$, we can easily see that $[a', \sigma(a)] = [a', \tau(a)]$, and so we have $[a', \tau(a)] = 0$.

Case(iv): Obviously, $3[a', \tau(a)] = 0$. If $\text{char } R \neq 3$, obviously we have $[a', \tau(a)] = 0$. So assume $\text{char } R = 3$. Then $a'[\sigma(a), \tau(a)] + \sigma(a)[a', \tau(a)] \in C$ by (*), and $a'[\tau(a), \sigma(a)] \in C$ by (**). Hence $\sigma(a)[a', \tau(a)] \in C$, and so we get either $\sigma(a) \in C$ or $[a', \tau(a)] = 0$ by Lemma 1(3). If $\sigma(a) \in C$, then $C \ni [a', \sigma(b)] + [b', \sigma(a)] = [a', \sigma(b)]$ for all $b \in A$. Since $\text{char } R$

= 3, we have $C \ni [a', \sigma(b^2)] = 2\sigma(b)[a', \sigma(b)] = -\sigma(b)[a', \sigma(b)]$. Then we can easily see that $[a', \sigma(b)]^2 = 0$, and so $[a', \sigma(b)] = 0$ for all $b \in A$. Hence we have $a' \in V_R(\sigma(A)) = C$ by Lemma 1(1), so that $[a', \tau(a)] = 0$. Therefore, in any case, we have $[a', \tau(a)] = 0$ for all $a \in A$. Thus R is commutative by Lemma 2.

(2) Assume $\text{char } R = 2$. It suffices to prove that 2) implies 1). Let a, b, c, e, s be arbitrary elements of A . Since $[a', \sigma(b)] + [b', \sigma(a)] \in C$, $(b^2)' - [b', \sigma(b)] = (b^2)' - \{b'\sigma(b) + \tau(b)b'\} \in C$ and $[b', \sigma(b)] \in C$, we have $(b^2)' \in C$, and so $C \ni [a', \sigma(b^2)] + [(b^2)', \sigma(a)] = [a', \sigma(b^2)]$. Hence we have $[a', \sigma(b^4)] = 2[a', \sigma(b^2)]\sigma(b^2) = 0$. Since $[(a')^2, \sigma(b^2)] = 2a'[a', \sigma(b^2)] = 0$, it follows that $[a', \sigma(b^2)]^2 a' = \{a'\sigma(b^2)a'\sigma(b^2) + 2(a')^2\sigma(b^4) + \sigma(b^2)a'\sigma(b^2)a'\}a' = [\{\sigma(b^2)a'\}^2, a'] = [\sigma(b^2x)^2, a'] \in C$, where x is an element of R with $a' = \sigma(x)$. Thus, we get $[a', \sigma(b^2)]^3 = 2\sigma(b^2)[a', \sigma(b^2)]^2 a' = 0$. Since $0 = [a', \sigma(b+c)^2] = a'\sigma[b, c] + \sigma[b, c]a'$, we get $\sigma[b, c]a' = a'\sigma[b, c]$. Hence we have $\sigma[b, c][a', \sigma(c)] = a'\sigma[bc, c] - \sigma[bc, c]a' = 0$. So, linearizing this on c , we obtain $\sigma[b, c][a', \sigma(e)] + \sigma[b, e][a', \sigma(c)] = 0$ for all $a, b, c, e \in A$. Since $[a', \sigma(c^2)] = 0$, we have $\sigma[b, c^2][a', \sigma(e)] = 0$. Hence we can easily see that $\sigma[b, c^2]\sigma(s)[a', \sigma(e)] = 0$, that is, $\sigma[b, c^2]\sigma(A)[a', \sigma(e)] = 0$. By Lemma 1(3), we have either $\sigma(c^2) \in V_R(\sigma(A)) = C$ for all $c \in A$ or $a' \in V_R(\sigma(A)) = C$ for all $a \in A$. If $A' \subseteq C$, then R is commutative by Lemma 2. Now we suppose that $\sigma(c^2) \in C$ for all $c \in A$. Since $\text{char } R = 2$, we can easily see that $\sigma[a, b] = \sigma((a+b)^2) + \sigma(a^2) + \sigma(b^2) \in C$ and $\sigma[a, b]^3 = 2\sigma[a, ab]\sigma[ab, b] = 0$. Hence $\sigma[a, b] = 0$ and $\sigma(A)$ is commutative. Therefore R is commutative by Lemma 1(5).

Remark 1. Let R be a prime ring, σ, τ surjective ring endomorphisms of R and $d: x \mapsto x'$ a generalized (σ, τ) -derivation of R . As is easily seen, $0' \in C$, $0'\sigma(x) \in C$ and $\tau(x)0' \in C$ for all $x \in R$, so that $0'\sigma[x, y] = 0$ and $\tau[x, y]0' = 0$ for all $x, y \in R$. Thus, if $0' \neq 0$, then $R (= \sigma(R) = \tau(R))$ is commutative.

Similarly, we can easily see that if $R \ni 1$ and $1' \neq 0$, then R is commutative.

Remark 2. Let R be a prime ring, and A a nonzero ideal of R . As is well known, if d is a derivation of R such that $d(A) = 0$ then $d = 0$. However even if d is a generalized derivation of R such that $d(A) = 0$, d is not necessarily zero.

For example, consider $R = \mathbf{Z}$ and $A = 2\mathbf{Z}$. Then the mapping $d: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ defined by $d(n) = 1 - (-1)^n$ for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, is a non-zero generalized derivation of \mathbf{Z} and $d(A) = 0$.

Now, we give an example of a generalized $(\sigma, 1)$ -derivation which is not a $(\sigma, 1)$ -derivation.

Example 1. Let $R = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Z}[x] & \mathbf{Z}[x]/2\mathbf{Z}[x] \\ 0 & \mathbf{Z}[x] \end{pmatrix}$. Let σ and δ be the mappings of R into itself defined as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma: \begin{pmatrix} f(x) & g(x) \\ 0 & h(x) \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} f(-x) & g(-x) \\ 0 & h(-x) \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and} \\ \delta: \begin{pmatrix} f(x) & g(x) \\ 0 & h(x) \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} f(x) - f(-x) & 0 \\ 0 & h(x) - h(-x) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Then δ is a $(\sigma, 1)$ -derivation. Now, let ϕ and d be the mappings of R into itself defined as follows :

$$\phi: \begin{pmatrix} f(x) & g(x) \\ 0 & h(x) \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 2f(x) & 0 \\ 0 & 2h(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad d(\alpha) = \delta(\alpha) + \phi(\alpha) \text{ for all } \alpha \in R.$$

Then d is not a $(\sigma, 1)$ -derivation, but is a generalized $(\sigma, 1)$ -derivation with $[\alpha', \sigma(\alpha)] = 0$ for all $\alpha \in R$.

Finally, we state two questions.

Question 1. Does Theorem 1(1) remain valid without the assumption that $[\alpha', \tau(a)] \in C$ for all $a \in A$?

Question 2. Does Theorem 1(1) remain valid even if $\text{char } R = 2$?

REFERENCES

- [1] E. C. POSNER : Derivations in prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 1093 – 1100.

TSUYAMA COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY
TSUYAMA, 708 JAPAN

(Received October 18, 1991)