Mathematical Journal of Okayama University

Volume 21, Issue 2

1979

Article 6

DECEMBER 1979

Sums of reciprocals of some multiplicative functions

V. Sita Ramaiah*

D. Suryanarayana[†]

Copyright ©1979 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou

^{*}Andhra University

[†]Andhra University

Math. J. Okayama Univ. 21 (1979), 155-164

SUMS OF RECIPROCALS OF SOME MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS

V. SITA RAMAIAH and D. SURYANARAYANA

1. Introduction. Throughout this paper, m denotes a positive integral variable, p denotes a prime and x denotes a real variable ≥ 3 . In 1900 Landau [5] established that

$$(1.1) \sum_{m \le x} \frac{1}{\varphi(m)} = \frac{315\zeta(3)}{2\pi^4} \left(\log x + \gamma - \sum_{p} \frac{\log p}{p^2 - p + 1} \right) + O(x^{-1} \log x),$$

where $\varphi(m)$ is the Euler-totient function, $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function and γ is the Euler constant. In 1916 Ramanujan [6] established that

$$(1.2) \sum_{m \leq x} \frac{1}{\tau(m)} = x \left\{ \frac{A_1}{(\log x)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{A_2}{(\log x)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \dots + \frac{A_r}{(\log x)^{r-\frac{1}{2}}} + O((\log x)^{\frac{r-r-\frac{1}{2}}{2}}) \right\},$$

where $\tau(m)$ is the number of divisors of m, r is any positive integer, $A_1 = \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{p} \left\{ (p^2 - p)^{\frac{1}{2}} \log \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right) \right\}$, and A_2 , ..., A_r are more complicated constants.

In this paper, we establish the asymptotic formulae for the sums $\sum_{m \leq x} \frac{1}{\sigma(m)}$ and $\sum_{m \leq x} \frac{1}{\psi(m)}$, where $\sigma(m)$ is the sum of the divisors of m and $\psi(m)$ is Dedekind's ψ -function (cf. [2], p. 123) which has the following arithmetical form:

(1.3)
$$\psi(m) = \sum_{d\delta=m} \mu^2(d) \delta = m \prod_{v|m} \left(1 + \frac{1}{b}\right),$$

 μ being the Möbius function. In fact, we prove the following general result and then deduce (see §4) asymptotic expressions for $\sum_{m \le x} \frac{1}{\sigma(m)}$ and $\sum_{m \le x} \frac{1}{\psi(m)}$:

Theorem. Suppose g is a multiplicative function satisfying

(1.4)
$$g(p) = \frac{1}{p+1}$$
, for all primes p ,

and for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

1

$$(1.5) p^{j}(g(p^{j}) - g(p^{j-1})) = O(p^{j^{e}}),$$

for all primes p and positive integers j. Then we have

(1.6)
$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{g(n)}{m} = A \log x + B + O(x^{-1} \log^{\frac{2}{3}} x (\log \log x^{\frac{4}{3}}),$$

where

(1.7)
$$A \equiv A(g) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g^*(m)}{m},$$

and

(1.8)
$$B = A_{\gamma} - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g^{*}(m) \log m}{m}.$$

In the above, g* is the multiplicative function defined by

$$(1.9) g^*(m) = \sum_{d \mid m} \mu(d) g\left(\frac{m}{d}\right).$$

2. Prerequisites. In this section, we state some known results and prove some lemmas which are needed in the present discussion. Let [x] denote, as usual, the largest integer $\leq x$. We need the following best known result of its kind which is due to Arnold Walfisz [8]:

Lemma 2.1 (cf. [8], (36), p. 144).

$$\sum_{m \leq x} \frac{\mu(m)}{m} \rho\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) = O(\lambda(x)),$$

where

(2.1)
$$\rho(x) = x - [x] - \frac{1}{2},$$

and

(2.2)
$$\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} \log^{\frac{2}{3}} x (\log \log x)^{\frac{4}{3}}, & \text{if } x \ge 3, \\ 1, & \text{if } 0 < x < 3. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.1. It is clear that $\lambda(x)$ is increasing for $x \ge 3$. Using this, it can be shown that if x > 0, then

$$\lambda(x) \le H\lambda(y)$$
, for all $y \le x$,

where H is an absolute positive constant.

Lemma 2.2. Let f be any multiplicative function satisfying

(2.3)
$$f(m) = O(m^{\epsilon}), \text{ for every } \epsilon > 0,$$

SUMS OF RECIPROCALS OF SOME MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS

157

and

(2.4)
$$f(p) + 1 = O(1/\sqrt{p}) \text{ for all primes } p.$$

Further, let h be the arithmetic function defined by

$$(2.5) h(m) = \sum_{d \mid m} f(d).$$

Then the series $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} h(m) m^{-s}$ converges absolutely for any $s > \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. Since f is multiplicative, it follows (cf. [4], Theorem 265, p. 235) that h is also multiplicative. It is known (cf. [3], Theorem 41) that if h is multiplicative and the product $\prod\limits_{p}\left\{1+\sum\limits_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{|h\left(p^{m}\right)|}{p^{ms}}\right\}$ converges then the series $\sum\limits_{m=1}^{\infty}h\left(m\right)m^{-s}$ converges absolutely. Hence, in the present context, it suffices to prove that $\prod\limits_{p}\left\{1+\sum\limits_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{|h\left(p^{m}\right)|}{p^{ms}}\right\}$ converges for $s>\frac{1}{2}$. Let $s>\frac{1}{2}$ and $0<\varepsilon< s-\frac{1}{2}$. From (2.3) and (2.5) it follows that h(m)=O(m'). Since h(p)=1+f(p), by (2.4) we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|h(p^m)|}{p^{ms}} = \frac{|1+f(p)|}{p^s} + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{|h(p^m)|}{p^m}$$

$$= O(p^{-s-\frac{1}{2}}) + O(\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} p^{-m(s-s)})$$

$$= O(p^{-s-\frac{1}{2}}) + O(p^{-2(s-s)}(1-p^{-(s-s)})^{-1})$$

$$= O(p^{-s-\frac{1}{2}}) + O(p^{-2(s-s)}), \text{ for large } p.$$

Now,

$$\sum_{p} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|h(p^{m})|}{p^{ms}} = O(\sum_{p} p^{-s-\frac{1}{2}}) + O(\sum_{p} p^{-2(s-s)})$$

$$= O(1) + O(1) = O(1),$$

since $s > \frac{1}{2}$ and $2(s - \varepsilon) > 1$. Hence Lemma 2. 2 follows.

Lemma 2.3. Let f be as in Lemma 2.2. Then we have

$$\sum_{m \le x} \frac{f(m)}{m} = O(1).$$

Proof. By (2.5) and the Möbius inversion formula ([4], Theorem

266, p. 236) we have

(2.6)
$$f(m) = \sum_{d \mid m} \mu(d) h\left(\frac{m}{d}\right).$$

Since $\sum_{m \le n} \mu(m) m^{-1} = O(1)$, we have by (2.6),

$$\sum_{m \le x} \frac{f(m)}{m} = \sum_{d\delta \le x} \frac{\mu(d) h(\delta)}{d\delta} = \sum_{\delta \le x} \frac{h(\delta)}{\delta} \sum_{d \le x/\delta} \frac{\mu(d)}{d}$$
$$= O\left(\sum_{\delta \le x} \frac{|h(\delta)|}{\delta}\right) = O(1), \text{ by Lemma 2. 2.}$$

Hence Lemma 2. 3 follows.

Lemma 2.4. Under the hypothesis of Lemma 2.2, we have

(2.7)
$$F(x) \equiv \sum_{m \leq x} \frac{f(m)}{m} \rho\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) = O(\lambda(x)),$$

where $\rho(x)$ and $\lambda(x)$ are given by (2.1) and (2.2) respectively.

Proof. By (2.6), Lemma 2.1, Remark 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$F(x) = \sum_{d\delta \leq x} \frac{\mu(d) h(\delta)}{d\delta} \rho\left(\frac{x}{d\delta}\right) = \sum_{\delta \leq x} \frac{h(\delta)}{\delta} \sum_{d \leq x \mid \delta} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \rho\left(\frac{x}{d\delta}\right)$$
$$= O\left(\sum_{\delta \leq x} \frac{\left|h(\delta) \mid \lambda\left(\frac{x}{\delta}\right)\right|}{\delta}\right) = O(\lambda) \left(x\right) \sum_{\delta \leq x} \frac{\left|h(\delta) \mid}{\delta}\right) = O(\lambda(x)).$$

Hence Lemma 2, 4 follows.

Lemma 2.5. Let g* be given by (1.9). Then we have

(2.8)
$$G^*(x) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} g^*(m) = O(1)$$
.

Proof. From (1. 9) it follows that for $j \ge 1$,

(2.9)
$$g^*(p^j) = g(p^j) - g(p^{j-1}).$$

Since g^* is multiplicative, it follows from (1.5) and (2.9) that

(2. 10)
$$mg^*(m) = O(m^{\epsilon}), \text{ for every } \epsilon > 0.$$

Further, by (2.9) and (1.4), we have

$$pg^*(p) = p(g(p) - 1) = p\left(\frac{p}{p+1} - p\right) = -\frac{p}{p+1},$$

SUMS OF RECIPROCALS OF SOME MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS

159

so that

$$(2.11) 1 + pg^*(p) = 1 - \frac{p}{p+1} = \frac{1}{p+1} = O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{p^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right).$$

Hence if we take $f(m) = mg^*(m)$, from (2.10) and (2.11), it is clear that the conditions (2.3) and (2.4) are satisfied. Now, Lemma 2.5 follows from Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.6. We have

$$\sum_{m\leq x}g^*(m)\,\rho\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)=O(\lambda(x))\,,$$

where g^* is given by (1.9).

Proof. Taking $f(m) = mg^*(m)$ in Lemma 2.4, we obtain Lemma 2.6, in virtue of (2.10) and (2.11).

Lemma 2.7. We have

$$\sum_{m \le x} \frac{g^*(m)}{m} = A + O(x^{-1}),$$

where A is given by (1.7).

Proof. The series $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g^*(m)}{m}$ converges absolutely by (2. 10). If $G^*(x)$ is given by (2. 8), then by Lemma 2. 5 and partial summation we have

$$\sum_{m>x} \frac{g^*(m)}{m} = -\frac{G^*(x)}{([x]+1)} + \sum_{m>x} G^*(m) \left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{m+1}\right)$$

$$= O(x^{-1}) + O\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2}\right) = O(x^{-1}) + O(x^{-1}) = O(x^{-1}).$$

Since by (1.7), $\sum_{m \le x} \frac{g^*(m)}{m} = A - \sum_{m \le x} \frac{g^*(m)}{m}$, we obtain Lemma 2.7.

Lemma 2.8. We have

$$\sum_{m \leq x} \frac{g^*(m) \log m}{m} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g^*(m) \log m}{m} + O(x^{-1+\varepsilon}), \text{ for every } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Proof. By (2. 10) we have

$$\sum_{m \leq x} \frac{g^*(m) \log m}{m} = O\left(\sum_{m > x} \frac{1}{m^{2-\epsilon}}\right) = O(x^{-1+\epsilon}).$$

Hence Lemma 2. 8 follows.

Now, we are in a position to prove the following important

Lemma 2.9. Let g be as given in the statement of Theorem. Then we have

$$(2. 12) \qquad \qquad \sum_{m \leq x} g(m) = Ax + O(\lambda(x)),$$

where A is given by (1.7) and $\lambda(x)$ as defined in (2.2).

Proof. From (1. 9) and the converse of the Möbius inversion formula (cf. [4], Theorem 267, p. 236)

(2. 13)
$$g(m) = \sum_{d \mid m} g^*(d)$$
.

Now, by (2.13), (2.1), Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7, and (2.8), we have

$$\sum_{m \le x} g(m) = \sum_{d \ge x} g^*(d) = \sum_{d \le x} g^*(d) \left[\frac{x}{d} \right]$$

$$= x \sum_{x \le d} \frac{g^*(d)}{d} - \sum_{d \le x} g^*(d) \rho \left(\frac{x}{d} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d \le x} g^*(d)$$

$$= Ax + O(1) + O(\lambda(x)) + O(1) = Ax + O(\lambda(x)).$$

Hence Lemma 2, 9 follows.

Lemma 2.10 (cf. [4], Theorem 422, p. 347). For
$$x \ge 2$$
,
$$\sum_{m \le x} \frac{1}{m} = \log x + \gamma + O(x^{-1}).$$

3. Proof of Theorem. Let

$$G(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g(n)$$
 and $\Delta(x) = G(x) - Ax$.

Then by (2.12) we have $\Delta(x) = O(\lambda(x))$, Now, by partial summation, we have

$$\sum_{m \le x} \frac{g(m)}{m} = \frac{G(x)}{x} + \int_{1}^{x} \frac{G(t)}{t^{2}} dt$$

$$= A + \frac{\Delta(x)}{x} + \int_{1}^{x} \frac{1}{t^{2}} \{At + \Delta(t)\} dt$$

$$= A + \frac{\Delta(x)}{x} + A \int_{1}^{x} \frac{dt}{t} + \int_{1}^{x} \frac{\Delta(t)}{t^{2}} dt$$

$$= A + \frac{\Delta(x)}{x} + A \log x + \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(t)}{t^{2}} dt - \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(t)}{t^{2}} dt$$

$$= A (\log x + C) - \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(t)}{t^{2}} dt + O(x^{-1}\lambda(x)),$$

http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou/vol21/iss2/6

SUMS OF RECIPROCALS OF SOME MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS

161

where $C = 1 + \frac{1}{A} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(t)}{t^2} dt$, is a constant. (Here, of course, we assume that $A \neq 0$). Also, we have

$$\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(t)}{t^{2}} dt = O\left(\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(t)}{t^{2}} dt\right) = O\left(x^{-\epsilon} \lambda(x) \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{2-\epsilon}}\right)$$
$$= O(x^{-\epsilon} \lambda(x) x^{-1+\epsilon}) = O(x^{-1} \lambda(x)),$$

where we used that $x^{-\epsilon}\lambda(x)$ is decreasing for every $\epsilon > 0$. Hence we obtain

(3. 1)
$$\sum_{m \le x} \frac{g(m)}{m} = A(\log x + C) + O(x^{-1}\lambda(x)).$$

On the other hand, we have by (2.13), Lemmas 2.10, 2.7, 2.8 and (1.8),

$$\sum_{m \le x} \frac{g(m)}{m} = \sum_{d \ge x} \frac{g^*(d)}{d\delta} = \sum_{d \le x} \frac{g^*(d)}{d} \sum_{\delta \le x \mid d} \frac{1}{\delta}$$

$$= \sum_{d \le x} \frac{g^*(d)}{d} \left\{ \log x - \log d + \gamma + O\left(\frac{d}{x}\right) \right\}$$

$$= (\log x + \gamma) \sum_{d \le x} \frac{g^*(d)}{d} - \sum_{d \le x} \frac{g^*(d) \log d}{d} + O(x^{-1} \sum |g^*(d)|)$$

$$= (\log x + \gamma) (A + O(x^{-1}) - \sum_{d \le x}^{\infty} \frac{g^*(d) \log d}{d}$$

$$+ O(x^{-1+\epsilon}) + O(x^{-1} \sum_{d \le x} |g^*(d)|)$$

$$= A \log x + B + O(x^{-1+\epsilon}) + O(x^{-1} \sum_{d \le x} |g^*(d)|).$$

Now, by (2. 10), we have

$$\sum_{d\leq x} |g^*(d)| = O\left(x^{\varepsilon} \sum_{d\leq x} \frac{1}{d}\right) = O(x^{\varepsilon} \log x) = O(x^{2\varepsilon}),$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence we have

(3.2)
$$\sum_{m \leq x} \frac{g(m)}{m} = A \log x + B + O(x^{-1+2\varepsilon}),$$

for every $\epsilon > 0$. Now, comparing (3.1) and (3.2), we find that AC = B, from which the theorem follows.

4. Applications. First we have

Corollary 4.1. For $x \ge 3$

$$(4. 1) \sum_{m \le x} \frac{1}{\sigma(m)} = a \left(\log x + \gamma + \sum \frac{(p-1)^2 \beta(p) \log p}{p \alpha(p)} \right) + O\left(x^{-1} \log^{\frac{2}{3}} x \left(\log \log x \right)^{\frac{4}{3}} \right),$$

where

$$(4.2) a = \prod_{p} \alpha(p),$$

(4.3)
$$\alpha(p) = 1 - \frac{(p-1)^2}{p} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(p^j-1)(p^{j+1}-1)},$$

and

(4.4)
$$\beta(p) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j}{(p^j-1)(p^{j+1}-1)}.$$

Proof. Taking $g(m) = \frac{m}{\sigma(m)}$ in Theorem, we see that g satisfies

(1.4). Since
$$\sigma(p^j) = \frac{p^{j+1}-1}{p-1}$$
, we have for $j \ge 1$,

$$g^*(p^j) = g(p^j) - g(p^{j-1})$$

$$= \frac{p^j(p-1)}{p^{j+1}-1} - \frac{p^{j-1}(p-1)}{p^j-1} = -\frac{p^{j-1}(p-1)^2}{(p^{j+1}-1)(p^j-1)},$$

so that

$$|p^{j}|g(p^{j}) - g(p^{j-1})| = \frac{p^{j}}{p^{j}-1} \cdot \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^{2} \cdot \frac{p^{j+1}}{p^{j+1}-1}$$

$$\leq \frac{p^{j}}{p^{j}-1} \cdot \frac{p^{j+1}}{p^{j+1}-1} \leq 2 \cdot 2 = 4.$$

Thus g satisfies (1.5) also. Further by (4.5) and the Euler infinite product theorem (cf. [4], Theorem 286) for s > 0 we have

(4.6)
$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g^{*}(m)}{m^{s}} = \prod_{p} \left(1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{g^{*}(p^{j})}{p^{js}} \right) \\ = \prod_{p} \left(1 - (p-1)^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p^{j-1}}{p^{j+1} - 1)(p^{j} - 1)p^{js}} \right).$$

From (4.6) (s = 1), (1.7), (4.2) and (4.3), we have

$$(4,7) A=a.$$

Now, differentiating the series in (4.6) with respect to s termwise, and then putting s = 1, we obtain

(4.8)
$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g^*(m) \log m}{m} = -a \sum_{p} \frac{(p-1)^2 \beta(p) \log p}{p \alpha(p)},$$

where a, $\alpha(p)$ and $\beta(p)$ are respectively given by (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4).

SUMS OF RECIPROCLAS OF SOME MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS

163

Now, (4.1) follows from (4.7) and (4.8), by taking $g(m) = \frac{m}{\sigma(m)}$ in (1.6).

Remark 4.1. Asymptototic formula for the sum $\sum_{2 \le m \le x} \frac{1}{\log \sigma(m)}$ has been established by J.-M. De Koninck and J. Galambos (cf. [1], § 3, Theorem).

Corollary 4.2. For $x \ge 3$, we have

(4.9)
$$\sum_{m \le x} \frac{1}{\psi(m)} = \alpha \left(\log x + \gamma + \sum_{p} \frac{\log p}{p^2 + p - 1} \right) + O\left(x^{-1} \log^{\frac{2}{3}} x (\log \log x)^{\frac{3}{4}} \right),$$

where

(4. 10)
$$\alpha = \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right).$$

Proof. Taking $g(m) = \frac{m}{\psi(m)}$ in Theorem, we see that g satisfies (1.4). By (1.3) we have for $j \ge 1$,

(4.11)
$$g^*(p^j) = g(p^j) - g(p^{j-1}) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{p+1}, & \text{if } j = 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } j \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Thus g satisfies (1.5) also. Now, by the Euler infinite product theorem and (4.11), we have for s > 0,

(4. 12)
$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g^*(m)}{m^s} = \Pi \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s(p+1)}\right).$$

From (4.12) (s = 1), (1.7) and (4.10), we see that

$$(4. 13) A = \alpha.$$

Now, differentiating the series in (4.12) with respect to s termwise, and then putting s = 1, we obtain

(4.14)
$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g^*(m) \log m}{m} = -\alpha \sum_{p} \frac{\log p}{p^2 + p - 1},$$

where α is given by (4.10). Now, (4.9) follows from (4.13) and (4.14), by taking $g(m) = \frac{m}{\psi(m)}$ in (1.6).

Remark 4.2. Formula (4.9) has been established by Suryanarayana (cf. [7], Lemma 2.10, p. 12) with a weaker O-estimate for the error term,

namely $O(x^{-1} \log x)$.

164

Remark 4.3. It may be interesting to improve the O-estimate of the error term in (1.1), which we could not do.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-M. DE KONINCK and J. GALAMBOS: Sums of reciprocals of additive functions, Acta Arith. 25 (1974), 159—164.
- [2] L.E. DICKSON: History of the Theory of Numbers, Vol. I, Chelsea Reprint, New York, 1952.
- [3] T. ESTERMANN: Introduction to Modern Prime Number Theory, Cambridge Tracts in Math. and Math. Physics, 41, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1952.
- [4] G.H. HARDY and E.M. WRIGHT: An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, 4th ed., Oxford, 1965.
- [5] E. LANDAU: Über die Zahlentheoretische Funktion φ(n) und ihre Beziehung zum Goldbachschen Satz, Nachr. Königlichen Gesellschaft Wiss. Göttingen, math.,-phys. Klasse, Jahrgang 1900, 177—186.
- [6] S. RAMANUJAN: Some formulae in the analytic theory of numbers, Messenger Math. 45 (1916), 81—84.
- [7] D. SURYANARAYANA: The number of unitary, square-free divisors of an integer, I-II, Det Konglige Norske Videnskabers Selskabs Forhandlinger 42 (1969), 5—21.
- [8] A. Walfisz: Weylsche Exponentialsummen in der neueren Zahlentheorie, Math. Forschungsber. 15, VEB Deutscher Verlag Wiss., Berlin, 1963.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS ANDHRA UNIVERSITY WALTAIR - 530 003, INDIA

(Received October 18, 1978) (Revised March 5, 1979)