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This paper particularly deals with elliptic curves in the form of $E(x, y)=y^{2}-$ $x^{3}-b=0, b \in F_{q}^{*}$, where 3 divides $q-1$. In this paper, we refer to the well-known twist technique as $x$-twist and propose $y$-twist. By combining $x$-twist and $y$-twist, we can consider six elliptic curves and this paper proposes a method to obtain the orders of these six curves by counting only one order among the six curves.
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## 1 Introduction

In the modern information-oriented society, various devices are connected via the Internet. Information security technology has played a key role in protecting the devices or important information from evil Internet users. Especially, the public-key cryptosystem has many uses such as to sign digitally. The Rivest Shamir Adleman (RSA) cryptosystem has been the most widely used, but its key for ensuring security is approximately 2000 bits in length. On the other hand, since the elliptic curve cryptosystem(ECC) attains the same security level with an approximately 7-fold smaller key length as compared to the RSA, the ECC has received much attention and has been implemented on various processors.

For ensuring sufficient security and constructing the ECC, we have to compute the order of the elliptic curve and then check the order. Some fast order counting algorithms have been proposed[1],[2]; however, in general these algorithms take a lot of computation time and the

[^0]computation is quite complicated, in general. In order to systematically generate a lot of secure curves, we often use twist technique[1]. Using twist technique, if we compute the order $\# E\left(F_{q}\right)$ of the curve;
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-a x-b=0, a, b \in F_{q}, \tag{1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

then we also know the order $\# \tilde{E}\left(F_{q}\right)$ of its twisted curve;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{E}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-a A^{2} x-b A^{3}=0, A \in F_{q}^{*} \tag{1b}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\# \tilde{E}\left(F_{q}\right)=2 q+2-\# E\left(F_{q}\right)$, where $q$ is a power of a prime number larger than three, $F_{q}$ is a finite field, and $A$ is a quadratic power non residue in $F_{q}$. For the order $\# \tilde{E}\left(F_{q}\right)$, we do not need another order counting computation. Our motivation comes from this technique, this paper proposes a method to obtain six orders of six elliptic curves by order counting only once.

This paper particularly deals with elliptic curves in the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-b=0, b \in F_{q}^{*} . \tag{2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well-known that that the order of Eq.(2a) is $q+1$ when 3 does not divide $q-1$, therefore the curve is a
kind of super-singular curves[1]. Supersingular curves are not secure from Frey Rück attack[3], therefore ECC does not use them. On the other hand, when 3 divides $q-1$, such a property has not been shown yet. This paper deals with the case that 3 divides $q-1$. In this paper, we refer to the above introduced twist technique Eqs.(1) as $x$-twist and propose $y$-twist as follows ;

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{\prime}(x, y) & =y^{2}-x^{3}-b B^{2}=0  \tag{2b}\\
E^{\prime \prime}(x, y) & =y^{2}-x^{3}-b B^{4}=0 \tag{2c}
\end{align*}
$$

where $B$ is an element in $F_{q}^{*}$. By combining $x$-twist and $y$-twist, we can consider six elliptic curves from $E(x, y)$ given by Eq.(2a) and this paper proposes a method to obtain the six orders of these six elliptic curves by counting the order of only one of these six curves. From the viewpoints of $x$-twist and $y$-twist, in this paper we show the following properties; 1) elliptic curves in the form of Eq.(2a) are not super-singular when $q$ is a prime number larger than 3, 2) the above mentioned six orders are distinct when the extension degree of the definition field is an odd number, 3) there exist prime order curves among the six curves, 4) the orders of elliptic curves in the form of Eq.(2a) are systematically determined without counting the orders when the definition field is $F_{q^{3}}$, where $i$ is a non negative integer, and so on.

Throughout this paper, $q$ is a power of an odd prime number larger than 3. $F_{q}$ and $F_{q^{m}}$ mean a finite field and its $m$-th extension field, respectively, where $m$ is a positive integer. $F_{q}^{*}$ and $F_{q^{m}}^{*}$ mean their multiplicative group, respectively.

## 2 Fundamentals of elliptic curve

In this section, we go over the fundamentals of elliptic curve.

### 2.1 Coefficient field and definition field

When the characteristic of $F_{q}$ is not equal to 2 or 3 , an elliptic curve over $F_{q}$ is generally defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-a x-b=0, \quad a, b \in F_{q} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solutions $(x, y)$ to Eq.(3) are called $F_{q}$-rational points when the coordinates of $x$ and $y$ lie in $F_{q}$. This paper deals with elliptic curves whose coordinates lie in some extension field but coefficients $a, b$ lie in its proper subfield. In order to distinguish these fields, we call the field of $a, b$ coefficient field and that of coordinates $x, y$ definition field. In what follows, we use $F_{q}$ and $F_{q^{m}}$
as the coefficient and definition field, when $m=1$, it means that these fields are same.

### 2.2 Weil's theorem

$F_{q}$-rational points on an elliptic curve form an additive Abelian group. In this paper, we denote this group and its order by $E\left(F_{q}\right)$ and $\# E\left(F_{q}\right)$, respectively. When the coefficient and definition fields are $F_{q}$ and its extension field $F_{q^{m}}$, respectively, the order $\# E\left(F_{q^{m}}\right)$ is given by using $\# E\left(F_{q}\right)$ as follows ;

Theorem 1 Let the coefficient and definition fields be $F_{q}$ and its extension field $F_{q^{m}}$, respectively. Let $t=$ $q+1-\# E\left(F_{q}\right)$ be the trace of $E\left(F_{q}\right)$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# E\left(F_{q^{m}}\right)=q^{m}+1-t^{[m]}, \quad t^{[m]}=\alpha^{m}+\beta^{m} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are complex numbers such that $\alpha \beta=q$ and $\alpha+\beta=t$, and $t^{[m]}$ is the trace of $E\left(F_{q^{m}}\right)$.

In this paper, we call the above order $\# E\left(F_{q}\right)$ the base order and correspondingly we call its trace $t$ the base trace. Theorem 1 indicates that, when the coefficient field is a proper subfield of the definition field, we can obtain the order $\# E\left(F_{q^{m}}\right)$ by using the base trace $t$ or the base order $\# E\left(F_{q}\right)$.

When the coefficient and definition fields are a finite field $F_{q}$ and its extension field $F_{q^{m}}$, respectively, the order is given by Eq.(4). By using the base trace $t$, that is $t=q+1-\# E\left(F_{q}\right), t^{[m]}$ shown in Eq.(4) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{[m]}=\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor m / 2\rfloor} \frac{m}{m-i}\binom{m-i}{i}(-q)^{i} t^{m-2 i} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lfloor m / 2\rfloor$ means the greatest integer less than or equal to $m / 2$. It is well-known that $\# E\left(F_{q^{m}}\right)$ is divisible by the base order $\# E\left(F_{q}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# E\left(F_{q}\right) \mid \# E\left(F_{q^{m}}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.3 Twist

For an original defining equation;

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-a x-b=0 \quad a, b \in F_{q} \tag{7a}
\end{equation*}
$$

the following $\tilde{E}(x, y)$ is called the twist of $E(x, y)$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{E}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-a A^{2} x-b A^{3}=0, \tag{7b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a non-zero element in the definition field $F_{q^{m}}$. Corresponding to whether $A$ is a quadratic
residue ( QR ) or a quadratic non-residue ( QNR ), the order $\# \tilde{E}\left(F_{q^{m}}\right)$ of the twisted elliptic curve $\tilde{E}(x, y)$ becomes as follows ;
$\# \tilde{E}\left(F_{q^{m}}\right)= \begin{cases}q^{m}+1-t^{[m]} & \text { when } A \text { is a QR } \\ q^{m}+1+t^{[m]} & \text { when } A \text { is a QNR }\end{cases}$
In what follows, we refer to this twist operation as $x$ twist.

### 2.4 Super-singular curves

In this paper, we particularly deal with elliptic curves in the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-b, \quad b \in F_{q}^{*} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows, let the defining equation $E(x, y)$ be in the form of Eq.(9). When 3 does not divide $q-1$, it is known that the order $\# E\left(F_{q}\right)$ and its trace $t$ of the elliptic curve $E(x, y)$ becomes $q+1$ and 0 , respectively, that is a kind of super-singular curve[1]. Since supersingular curves are not secure from Frey Rück attack[3], super-singular curves are not suitable for ECC. On the other hand, when 3 divides $q-1$, if $q$ is a prime number $p, E(x, y)$ in the form of Eq.(9) is not super-singular as shown in Appendix.A. In this paper, we particularly consider the case that 3 divides $q-1$.

## $3 x$-twist and $y$-twist

For elliptic curves in the form of Eq.(9), we consider $x$ twist and then propose $y$-twist. By combining $x$-twist and $y$-twist, we can prepare six elliptic curves. For these six curves, we show some properties and then show that these six curves have distinct orders when $q$ is an odd power of a prime number $p$.

## $3.1 x$-twist

For an original defining equation;

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-b=0, \quad b \in F_{q}^{*} \tag{10a}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can consider the $x$-twisted curve $\tilde{E}(x, y)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{E}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-b A^{3}=0 \tag{10b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a non-zero element in the definition field $F_{q^{m}}$. Corresponding to whether or not $A$ is a QR , the order is given by Eqs.(8). For the defining equation $E(x, y)$, in this paper, let $\phi_{0}(E)$ and $\phi_{1}(E)$ denote the elliptic curves that are $x$-twisted by using a QR and QNR in $F_{q^{m}}$, respectively. Accordingly, the orders of $\phi_{0}(E)$ and $\phi_{1}(E)$ are given by Eq.(8a) and Eq.(8b), respectively.

## $3.2 y$-twist

For an original defining equation;

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-b=0, \quad b \in F_{q}^{*} \tag{11a}
\end{equation*}
$$

we consider the following elliptic curves $E^{\prime}(x, y)$ and $E^{\prime \prime}(x, y)$;

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{\prime}(x, y) & =y^{2}-x^{3}-b B^{2}=0  \tag{11b}\\
E^{\prime \prime}(x, y) & =y^{2}-x^{3}-b B^{4}=0 \tag{11c}
\end{align*}
$$

where $B$ is a non-zero element in the definition field $F_{q^{m}}$. Corresponding to whether $E(0, y)$ is irreducible or reducible over $F_{q^{m}}$, the orders $\# E\left(F_{q^{m}}\right), \# E^{\prime}\left(F_{q^{m}}\right)$, and $\# E^{\prime \prime}\left(F_{q^{m}}\right)$ of $E(x, y), E^{\prime}(x, y)$, and $E^{\prime \prime}(x, y)$ over $F_{q^{m}}$ becomes as follows;
when $E(0, y)$ is irreducible over $F_{q^{m}}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\# E\left(F_{q^{m}}\right) & =3 N+1  \tag{12a}\\
\# E^{\prime}\left(F_{q^{m}}\right) & =3 N^{\prime}+1  \tag{12b}\\
\# E^{\prime \prime}\left(F_{q^{m}}\right) & =3 N^{\prime \prime}+1 \tag{12c}
\end{align*}
$$

when $E(0, y)$ is reducible over $F_{q^{m}}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\# E\left(F_{q^{m}}\right) & =3 N+2+1  \tag{13a}\\
\# E^{\prime}\left(F_{q^{m}}\right) & =3 N^{\prime}+2+1  \tag{13b}\\
\# E^{\prime \prime}\left(F_{q^{m}}\right) & =3 N^{\prime \prime}+2+1 \tag{13c}
\end{align*}
$$

$N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime \prime}$ are the numbers of non-zero TRs in the following sets, respectively;

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{E(0, i), \forall i \in F_{q^{m}}\right\},  \tag{14a}\\
& \left\{E^{\prime}(0, i), \forall i \in F_{q^{m}}\right\},  \tag{14b}\\
\text { and } \quad & \left\{E^{\prime \prime}(0, i), \forall i \in F_{q^{m}}\right\} . \tag{14c}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, corresponding to whether $B$ is a third power residue (TR) or a third power non-residue (TNR) in $F_{q^{m}}$, the following relation holds for $N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime \prime}$;
when $B$ is a TR in $F_{q^{m}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=N^{\prime}=N^{\prime \prime} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $B$ is a TNR in $F_{q^{m}}$ and $E(0, y)$ is irreducible,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N+N^{\prime}+N^{\prime \prime}=q^{m} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $B$ is a TNR in $F_{q^{m}}$ and $E(0, y)$ is reducible,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N+N^{\prime}+N^{\prime \prime}+2=q^{m} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof for these relations is shown in Appendix.B. In what follows, we refer to the operation shown in

Eqs.(11) as $y$-twist. For the defining equation $E(x, y)$, in this paper, $\psi_{0}(E)$ shows the elliptic curve that is $y$-twisted by using a TR in $F_{q^{m}} . \psi_{1}(E)$ and $\psi_{2}(E)$ show the elliptic curves that are $y$-twisted by using a TNR in $F_{q^{m}}$ as shown in Eq.(11b) and Eq.(11c), respectively. Correspondingly, the orders of $\psi_{0}(E)$, $\psi_{1}(E)$, and $\psi_{2}(E)$ are given as Eqs.(12), Eqs.(13), Eq. (15) ~ Eq.(17).

From the above viewpoint, we can also consider $x$ twist (see Appendix.C).

### 3.3 Six orders of elliptic curves

$$
E(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-b, \quad b \in F_{q}^{*}
$$

Let us prepare a non-zero element $b \in F_{q}$ such that $b$ is QNR and TNR in $F_{q}$. By using such an element $b$, we can consider the following six elliptic curves ;

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{1}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-b=0  \tag{18a}\\
& E_{2}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-b^{2}=0  \tag{18b}\\
& E_{3}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-b^{3}=0  \tag{18c}\\
& E_{4}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-b^{4}=0  \tag{18d}\\
& E_{5}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-b^{5}=0  \tag{18e}\\
& E_{6}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-b^{6}=0 \tag{18f}
\end{align*}
$$

Noting that $E_{1}(0, y)$ is irreducible over $F_{q}[4]$, the following relations hold from the viewpoints of $x$-twist $\phi_{0}, \phi_{1}$ and $y$-twist $\psi_{0}, \psi_{1}, \psi_{2}$;

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{1}=E_{1}  \tag{19a}\\
& E_{3}=\psi_{1}\left(E_{1}\right)  \tag{19b}\\
& E_{5}=\psi_{2}\left(E_{1}\right)  \tag{19c}\\
& E_{4}=\phi_{1}\left(E_{1}\right)  \tag{19d}\\
& E_{6}=\psi_{1}\left(E_{4}\right)=\phi_{1}\left(E_{3}\right)=\psi_{1}\left(\phi_{1}\left(E_{1}\right)\right),  \tag{19e}\\
& E_{2}=\psi_{2}\left(E_{4}\right)=\phi_{1}\left(E_{5}\right)=\psi_{2}\left(\phi_{1}\left(E_{1}\right)\right) \tag{19f}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, elliptic curves $E_{2} \sim E_{6}$ are given from $E_{1}$ by combining $x$-twist and $y$-twist operations. Fig. 1 shows an image of these relations.

Therefore, there are six base orders as follows ;

$$
\begin{align*}
& \# E_{1}\left(F_{q}\right)=q+1-t_{1},  \tag{20a}\\
& \# E_{3}\left(F_{q}\right)=q+1-t_{3},  \tag{20b}\\
& \# E_{5}\left(F_{q}\right)=q+1-t_{5}  \tag{20c}\\
& \# E_{4}\left(F_{q}\right)=q+1-t_{4}=q+1+t_{1}  \tag{20d}\\
& \# E_{6}\left(F_{q}\right)=q+1-t_{6}=q+1+t_{3}  \tag{20e}\\
& \# E_{2}\left(F_{q}\right)=q+1-t_{2}=q+1+t_{5} \tag{20f}
\end{align*}
$$

where $t_{1} \sim t_{6}$ are the base traces of $E_{1} \sim E_{6}$, respectively. In addition, from the viewpoints of $x$-twist and $y$-twist, we can easily find that every elliptic curve in the form of Eq.(9) has one of these six base orders. In other words, every elliptic curve in the form of Eq.(9) is isomorphic to a certain one of these six curves. Especially, when $q$ is an odd power of a prime number $p$, these six curves have distinct orders as shown in Appendix.D.

In what follows, we use the fact that $\# E_{3}\left(F_{q}\right)$ and $\# E_{6}\left(F_{q}\right)$ are even numbers because $E_{3}(x, 0)$ and $E_{6}(x, 0)$ are reducible over $F_{q}[1]$. Since this paper deals with $q$ as a power of an odd prime number $p>3, t_{3}$ and $t_{6}$ are even integers. On the other hand, $\# E_{1}\left(F_{q}\right)$, $\# E_{2}\left(F_{q}\right), \# E_{4}\left(F_{q}\right)$, and $\# E_{5}\left(F_{q}\right)$ are odd numbers.

## 4 Determining the orders of

$$
E(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-b, \quad b \in F_{q}^{*}
$$

From Eqs.(20), we find that the six orders $\# E_{1}\left(F_{q}\right) \sim$ $\# E_{6}\left(F_{q}\right)$ can be determined from $t_{1}, t_{3}$, and $t_{5}$. In this section, we show a method to obtain $t_{3}$ and $t_{5}$ from only $t_{1}$. From Weil's theorem, as shown in Eq.(6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# E_{i}\left(F_{q}\right) \mid \# E_{i}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right), \quad i=1,2,3,4,5,6 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since a TNR in $F_{q}$ becomes a TR in $F_{q^{3}}($ see Appendix.E), the TNR $b=-E(0,0)$ becomes a TR in $F_{q^{3}}$, this is the reason why we consider the third extension field $F_{q^{3}}$. Therefore, as introduced in Sec.3.2 and as shown in Eq.(15), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \# E_{1}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right)=\# E_{3}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right)=\# E_{5}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right),  \tag{22}\\
& \# E_{4}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right)=\# E_{6}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right)=\# E_{2}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right), \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

accordingly we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\# E_{i}\left(F_{q}\right) \mid \# E_{1}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right), & i=1,3,5 \\
\# E_{i}\left(F_{q}\right) \mid \# E_{4}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right), & i=4,6,2 \tag{24b}
\end{array}
$$

In addition, from Weil's theorem and Eq.(5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\# E_{1}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right) & =q^{3}+1-\left(t_{1}^{3}-3 q t_{1}\right)  \tag{25a}\\
& =q^{3}+1-\left(t_{3}^{3}-3 q t_{3}\right)  \tag{25b}\\
& =q^{3}+1-\left(t_{5}^{3}-3 q t_{5}\right) \tag{25c}
\end{align*}
$$

and also we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \# E_{4}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right) \\
= & q^{3}+1-\left(t_{4}^{3}-3 q t_{4}\right)=q^{3}+1+\left(t_{1}^{3}-3 q t_{1}\right)  \tag{26a}\\
= & q^{3}+1-\left(t_{6}^{3}-3 q t_{6}\right)=q^{3}+1+\left(t_{3}^{3}-3 q t_{3}\right)  \tag{26b}\\
= & q^{3}+1-\left(t_{2}^{3}-3 q t_{2}\right)=q^{3}+1+\left(t_{5}^{3}-3 q t_{5}\right) . \tag{26c}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\stackrel{E_{4}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-b^{4}}{ } \begin{aligned}
& \text {-twist } \mathbb{\Downarrow} \quad E_{3}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-b^{3} \\
& E_{5}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-b^{5}
\end{aligned} \begin{array}{ll}
E_{6}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-b^{6} \Uparrow y \text {-twist } \\
E_{2}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-b^{2}
\end{array}
$$

Figure 1: $x$-twist and $y$-twist relations among the six curves

From Eqs.(25) and Eqs.(26), we find that the following $f_{1}(t)=0$ and $f_{4}(t)=0$ have solutions $t=t_{1}, t_{3}, t_{5}$ and $t=t_{4}, t_{6}, t_{2}$, respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1}(t) & =t^{3}-3 q t-q^{3}-1+\# E_{1}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right) \\
& =t^{3}-3 q t-t_{1}^{[3]}  \tag{27a}\\
f_{4}(t) & =t^{3}-3 q t-q^{3}-1+\# E_{4}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right) \\
& =t^{3}-3 q t-t_{4}^{[3]} \tag{27b}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, let us consider how to obtain $t_{3}$ and $t_{5}$ by using $f_{1}(t)$ and its zero $t_{1}$. By computing the order $\# E_{1}\left(F_{q}\right)$, we can obtain $t_{1}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}=q+1-\# E_{1}\left(F_{q}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)=0$, by using Eq.(25a), we can factorize $f_{1}(t)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(t)=\left(t-t_{1}\right)\left(t^{2}+t_{1} t+s\right), s=t_{1}^{[3]} / t_{1}=t_{1}^{2}-3 q, \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore we obtain $t_{3}$ and $t_{5}$ by solving the quadratic equation $f_{1}(t) /\left(t-t_{1}\right)=0$ that is $t^{2}+t_{1} t+s=0, s=$ $t_{1}^{[3]} / t_{1}=t_{1}^{2}-3 q$. From this quadratic equation, we can easily obtain two solutions $t_{a}$ and $t_{b}$ as $t_{3}$ and $t_{5}$.

As previously mentioned, since $t_{5}$ is an odd number and $t_{3}$ is an even number, we can easily distinguish whether the obtained $t_{a}$ is $t_{3}$ or $t_{5}$, and so on. After that, we can determine $t_{4}, t_{6}$, and $t_{2}$ as follows ;

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{4}=-t_{1}, t_{6}=-t_{3}, t_{2}=-t_{5} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, by computing only $\# E_{1}\left(F_{q}\right)$, we can obtain $\# E_{2}\left(F_{q}\right) \sim \# E_{6}\left(F_{q}\right)$ without any complicated computation. It only requires solving the quadratic equation $f_{1}(t) /\left(t-t_{1}\right)=0$, where $t_{1}$ is given as Eq.(28).

As shown in Appendix.F, we can also show the following relations ;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \# E_{1}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right)=\# E_{1}\left(F_{q}\right) \# E_{3}\left(F_{q}\right) \# E_{5}\left(F_{q}\right),(31 \mathrm{a}) \\
& \# E_{4}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right)=\# E_{4}\left(F_{q}\right) \# E_{6}\left(F_{q}\right) \# E_{2}\left(F_{q}\right) \cdot(31 \mathrm{~b})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{1}^{[3]}=t_{1} t_{3} t_{5}  \tag{32a}\\
& t_{4}^{[3]}=t_{4} t_{6} t_{2} \tag{32~b}
\end{align*}
$$

### 4.1 Extension

In the same way, we can consider the following six curves that are given as $x$-twisted and $y$-twisted curves of $E_{1}(x, y)$ and $E_{4}(x, y)$ over $F_{q^{3}}$;

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{1}(x, y) & =y^{2}-x^{3}-b=0  \tag{33a}\\
E_{7}(x, y) & =y^{2}-x^{3}-C^{2} b=0  \tag{33b}\\
E_{8}(x, y) & =y^{2}-x^{3}-C^{4} b=0  \tag{33c}\\
E_{4}(x, y) & =y^{2}-x^{3}-b^{4}=0  \tag{33d}\\
E_{9}(x, y) & =y^{2}-x^{3}-C^{2} b^{4}=0  \tag{33e}\\
E_{10}(x, y) & =y^{2}-x^{3}-C^{4} b^{4}=0 \tag{33f}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ is a TNR in $F_{q^{3}}$. For these six curves, Fig. 2 shows the $x$-twist and $y$-twist relations and Fig. 3 shows the order relations. We should note that a QNR in $F_{q}$ also becomes a QNR in $F_{q^{3}}$ [4], where $i$ is a positive integer, therefore $b$ becomes a TR in $F_{q^{3}}$; however, $b$ is still a QNR in $F_{q^{3}}$.

For the six curves Eqs.(33), the $x$-twist and $y$-twist relations are written as

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{1} & =E_{1}  \tag{34a}\\
E_{7} & =\psi_{1}\left(E_{1}\right)  \tag{34b}\\
E_{8} & =\psi_{2}\left(E_{1}\right)  \tag{34c}\\
E_{4} & =E_{4}  \tag{34d}\\
E_{9} & =\psi_{1}\left(E_{4}\right)=\phi_{1}\left(E_{7}\right)=\psi_{1}\left(\phi_{1}\left(E_{1}\right)\right)  \tag{34e}\\
E_{10} & =\psi_{2}\left(E_{4}\right)=\phi_{1}\left(E_{8}\right)=\psi_{2}\left(\phi_{1}\left(E_{1}\right)\right) \tag{34f}
\end{align*}
$$

From Weil's theorem and Eq.(5), the orders are given as follows ;
$\# E_{1}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right)=q^{3}+1-t_{1}^{[3]}=q^{3}+1-\left(t_{1}^{3}-3 q\left(t_{3} 5 a\right)\right.$

| $x$-twist |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y \text {-twist }$ | $\begin{aligned} & E_{1}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-b \\ & E_{7}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-C^{2} b \\ & E_{8}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-C^{4} b \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E_{4}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-b^{4} \\ & E_{9}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-C^{2} b^{4} \Uparrow y \text {-twist } \\ & E_{10}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-C^{4} b^{4} \end{aligned}$ |

Figure 2: $x$-twist and $y$-twist relations among the six curves over $F_{q^{3}}$

| Definition field |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $F_{q}$ |
| $\# E_{1}\left(F_{q}\right)$ |  |
| $\# E_{3}\left(F_{q}\right)$ |  |
| $\# E_{5}\left(F_{q}\right)$ |  |
| $\# E_{4}\left(F_{q}\right)$ |  |
| $\# E_{6}\left(F_{q}\right)$ |  |
| $\# E_{2}\left(F_{q}\right)$ |  |

Figure 3: Order relations among six curves over $F_{q^{3}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\# E_{7}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right) & =q^{3}+1-t_{7}  \tag{35b}\\
\# E_{8}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right) & =q^{3}+1-t_{8},  \tag{35c}\\
\# E_{4}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right) & =q^{3}+1-t_{4}^{[3]}=q^{3}+1+t_{1}^{[3]} \\
& =q^{3}+1+\left(t_{1}^{3}-3 q t_{1}\right)  \tag{35d}\\
\# E_{9}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right) & =q^{3}+1-t_{9}=q^{3}+1+t_{7},  \tag{35e}\\
\# E_{10}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right) & =q^{3}+1-t_{10}=q^{3}+1+t_{8} \tag{35f}
\end{align*}
$$

where $t_{7} \sim t_{10}$ are the traces of $E_{7}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right) \sim E_{10}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right)$, respectively. As shown in Eq.(35a), we can easily determine $\# E_{1}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right)$ and $t_{1}^{[3]}$ by using only the base trace $t_{1}$. In the same way of the previous section, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\# E_{i}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right) \mid \# E_{1}\left(F_{q^{9}}\right), & i=1,7,8 \\
\# E_{i}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right) \mid \# E_{4}\left(F_{q^{9}}\right), & i=4,9,10 . \tag{36b}
\end{array}
$$

In addition, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# E_{1}\left(F_{q^{9}}\right)=q^{9}+1-\left(\left(t_{1}^{[3]}\right)^{3}-3 q^{3} t_{1}^{[3]}\right) \tag{37a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =q^{9}+1-\left(t_{7}^{3}-3 q^{3} t_{7}\right)  \tag{37b}\\
& =q^{9}+1-\left(t_{8}^{3}-3 q^{3} t_{8}\right) . \tag{37c}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we can obtain $t_{7}$ and $t_{8}$ by solving the following quadratic equation;
$f_{1}^{[3]}(t)=\left(t-t_{1}^{[3]}\right)\left(t^{2}+t_{1}^{[3]} t+u\right), u=t_{1}^{[9]} / t_{1}^{[3]}=\left(t_{1}^{[3]}\right)^{2}-3 q^{3}$,
where $t_{1}^{[9]}$ is given from Eq.(37a) as follows;

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}^{[9]}=q^{9}+1-\# E_{1}\left(F_{q^{9}}\right)=\left(t_{1}^{[3]}\right)^{3}-3 q^{3} t_{1}^{[3]} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this quadratic equation, we can easily obtain two solutions $t_{c}$ and $t_{d}$ as $t_{7}$ and $t_{8}$. In this case, both $t_{7}$ and $t_{8}$ are odd integers, therefore we can not distinguish them in the same way of the previous section; however, we can distinguish them by generating a random rational point $P$ on the elliptic curve $E_{7}(x, y)$ and then checking the order as follows ;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q^{3}+1-t_{c}\right) P=\mathcal{O} \text { or }\left(q^{3}+1-t_{d}\right) P=\mathcal{O} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{O}$ is the point at infinity. Consequently, the orders $\# E_{1}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right) \sim \# E_{10}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right)$ can be determined from only the base trace $t_{1}$, furthermore the orders of elliptic curves in the form of $E(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-b=0$ whose coefficient and definition fields are $F_{q^{3}}$ are systematically determined from only the base trace $t_{1}$.

## 5 Experimental result

In this section, let us consider that $q$ is a prime number $p>3$, therefore the base field $F_{q}$ is a prime field $F_{p}$. We use five prime numbers $7,13,19$ as the characteristic $p$ that satisfies $3 \mid(p-1)$. Table 1 shows examples.

For example, let us consider $p=7$ on Table 1. In this case, we prepare the following six defining equations $E_{1}(x, y) \sim E_{6}(x, y) ;$

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{1}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-3=0  \tag{41a}\\
& E_{2}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-3^{2}=y^{2}-x^{3}-2=0(41 \mathrm{a})  \tag{45b}\\
& E_{3}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-3^{3}=y^{2}-x^{3}-6=0(41 \mathrm{c})  \tag{45c}\\
& E_{4}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-3^{4}=y^{2}-x^{3}-4=0(41 \mathrm{~d}) \\
& E_{5}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-3^{5}=y^{2}-x^{3}-5=0(41 \mathrm{e}) \\
& E_{6}(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-3^{6}=y^{2}-x^{3}-1=0(41 \mathrm{f})
\end{align*}
$$

elliptic curve scalar multiplication. After that, from Eqs.(35) we can obtain $\# E_{9}\left(F_{7^{3}}\right)$ and $\# E_{10}\left(F_{7^{3}}\right)$.

As shown in the tables, some prime order elliptic curves exist. Therefore, we can apply the proposed method for effectively generating prime order curves. In addition, on the tables we can observe that the six curves have six distinct orders since the extension degrees of $F_{p}$ and $F_{p^{3}}$ are odd numbers 1 and 3.

## 6 Conclusion

This paper has particularly dealt with elliptic curves in the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-b=0, b \in F_{q}^{*} \tag{45a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 3 divides $q-1$. In this paper, we referred to the well-known twist technique as $x$-twist and proposed $y$ twist as follows ;

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{\prime}(x, y) & =y^{2}-x^{3}-b B^{2}=0 \\
E^{\prime \prime}(x, y) & =y^{2}-x^{3}-b B^{4}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B$ is an element in $F_{q}^{*}$. By combining $x$-twist and $y$-twist, we considered six elliptic curves from $E(x, y)$ and this paper proposed a method to obtain the six orders of these six elliptic curves by counting the order of only one of these six curves. In addition, from the viewpoints of $x$-twist and $y$-twist, this paper showed some properties such as; the above mentioned six orders are distinct when the extension degree of the definition field is an odd number.
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Table 1: Six base orders, traces, two solutions of $f_{1}(t) /\left(t-t_{1}\right)$

| $p$ |  | Const. ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Order** | Trace | $\# E_{1}\left(F_{p^{3}}\right)$ | $f_{1}(t) /\left(t-t_{1}\right)$ | Solutions $t_{a}, t_{b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | $E_{1}$ | 3 | $13^{*}$ | -5 | 364 | $t^{2}-5 t+4$ | 4, 1 |
|  | $E_{2}$ | 2 | 9 | -1 |  |  |  |
|  | $E_{3}$ | 6 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |
|  | $E_{4}$ | 4 | $3^{*}$ | 5 |  |  |  |
|  | $E_{5}$ | 5 | 7* | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | $E_{6}$ | 1 | 12 | -4 |  |  |  |
| 13 | $E_{1}$ | 2 | 19* | -5 | 2128 | $t^{2}-5 t-14$ | 7, -2 |
|  | $E_{2}$ | 4 | 21 | -7 |  |  |  |
|  | $E_{3}$ | 8 | 16 | -2 |  |  |  |
|  | $E_{4}$ | 3 | 9 | 5 |  |  |  |
|  | $E_{5}$ | 6 | $7{ }^{*}$ | 7 |  |  |  |
|  | $E_{6}$ | 12 | 12 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 19 | $E_{1}$ | 2 | 13* | 7 | 6916 | $t^{2}+7 t-8$ | $1,-8$ |
|  | $E_{2}$ | 4 | 21 | -1 |  |  |  |
|  | $E_{3}$ | 8 | 28 | -8 |  |  |  |
|  | $E_{4}$ | 16 | 27 | -7 |  |  |  |
|  | $E_{5}$ | 13 | 19* | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | $E_{6}$ | 7 | 12 | 8 |  |  |  |

${ }^{\dagger}$ Const. means the constant term $E(0,0)$.
${ }^{* *} \# E_{1}\left(F_{p}\right) \sim \# E_{6}\left(F_{p}\right)$ are tabulated. ${ }^{*}$ prime order.

Table 2: $\# E_{1}\left(F_{p^{3}}\right), \# E_{1}\left(F_{p^{9}}\right)$, two solutions of $f_{1}^{[3]}(t) /\left(t-t_{1}^{[3]}\right)$, six orders over $F_{p^{3}}$

| $p$ | $\# E_{1}\left(F_{p^{3}}\right)$ | $\# E_{1}\left(F_{p^{9}}\right)$ | $f_{1}^{[3]}(t) /\left(t-t_{1}^{[3]}\right)$ | Solutions $t_{c}, t_{d}$ | Orders** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 364 | 40341028 | $t^{2}-20 t-629$ | $37,-17$ | 364 327 $307^{*}$ 324 361 381 |
| 13 | 2128 | 10604617744 | $t^{2}+70 t-1691$ | 19, - 89 | $\begin{aligned} & 2128 \\ & 2109 \\ & 2179^{*} \\ & 2268 \\ & 2287^{*} \\ & 2217 \end{aligned}$ |
| 19 | 6916 | 322686721084 | $t^{2}-56 t-17441$ | 163, -107 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6916 \\ & 6753 \\ & 6697 \\ & 6804 \\ & 6967^{*} \\ & 7023 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{* *} \# E_{1}\left(F_{p^{3}}\right), \# E_{4}\left(F_{p^{3}}\right)$, and $\# E_{7}\left(F_{p^{3}}\right) \sim \# E_{10}\left(F_{p^{3}}\right)$ are tabulated. ${ }^{*}$ prime order.

## Appendix

## A. $E(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-b, b \in F_{p}^{*}$ is not supersingular

As shown in Appendix.B, the order $\# E\left(F_{q}\right)$ of the curve Eq.(9) is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# E\left(F_{q}\right)=3 N+1 \text { or } 3 N+2+1 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is a certain number. In other words, $\# E\left(F_{q}\right) \not \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$. Therefore, noting that 3 divides $q-1$, it is shown that the trace $t=q+1-\# E\left(F_{q}\right)$ is not equal to 0 . When $q$ is an odd prime number $p$, the elliptic curve $E\left(F_{p}\right)$ is not super-singular if and only if its trace $t$ is not equal to 0 . Consequently, it is shown that $E\left(F_{p}\right)$ defined by $E(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-b, b \in F_{p}^{*}$ is not super-singular.

## B. The orders of $y$-twisted curves

If $E(0, y)$ is irreducible over $F_{q^{m}}, E^{\prime}(0, y)$ and $E^{\prime \prime}(0, y)$ are also irreducible. On the other hand, if $E(0, y)$ is reducible over $F_{q^{m}}, E^{\prime}(0, y)$ and $E^{\prime \prime}(0, y)$ are also reducible, in addition each $E(0, y), E^{\prime}(0, y)$, and $E^{\prime \prime}(0, y)$ has two distinct zeros in $F_{q^{m}}$ because $b \neq 0$ and the characteristic $p$ is larger than 3 in this paper.[4].

When $E(0, y)$ is irreducible over $F_{q^{m}}$, we have the following rational points ;

- For $i \in F_{q^{m}}$ such that $E(0, i)$ is a TR in $F_{q^{m}}$, $x^{3}=E(0, i)$ generates three rational points on the curve.
- For $i \in F_{q^{m}}$ such that $E(0, i)$ is a TNR in $F_{q^{m}}$, $x^{3}=E(0, i)$ generates no rational points on the curve.

Therefore, when $E(0, y)$ is irreducible, the orders are written as Eqs.(12). On the other hand, when $E(0, y)$ is reducible, we have the following rational points;

- For $i \in F_{q^{m}}$ such that $E(0, i)$ is not equal to 0 and a TR in $F_{q^{m}}$,
$x^{3}=E(0, i)$ generates three rational points on the curve.
- For $i \in F_{q^{m}}$ such that $E(0, i)$ is not equal to 0 and a TNR in $F_{q^{m}}$, $x^{3}=E(0, i)$ generates no rational points.
- For $i \in F_{q^{m}}$ such that $E(0, i)$ is equal to 0 , $x^{3}=E(0, i)$ generates one rational point $(x, y)=$ ( $0, i$ ).

Therefore, when $E(0, y)$ is reducible, noting that $E(0, y)$ has two distinct zeros in $F_{q^{m}}$, the orders are written as Eqs.(13).

Let $N$ be the number of $i$ 's such that $E(0, i), i \in F_{q^{m}}$ is a non-zero TR in $F_{q^{m}}$, let $N^{\prime}$ and $N^{\prime \prime}$ be the numbers of $i$ 's such that $E(0, i), i \in F_{q^{m}}$ is a TypeI and a TypeII TNR in $F_{q^{m}}$, respectively. The notations TypeI and TypeII TNR are defined in Appendix.E. First, we consider $E(x, y), E^{\prime}(x, y)$, and $E^{\prime \prime}(x, y)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
E(x, y) & : \quad x^{3}=E(0, y)  \tag{47a}\\
E^{\prime}(x, y) & : \quad x^{3}=B^{2} E\left(0, B^{-1} y\right)  \tag{47b}\\
E^{\prime \prime}(x, y) & : \quad x^{3}=B^{4} E\left(0, B^{-2} y\right) \tag{47c}
\end{align*}
$$

We can easily understand that the following three curves has the same order;

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{3} & =E(0, y),  \tag{48a}\\
x^{3} & =E\left(0, B^{-1} y\right),  \tag{48b}\\
x^{3} & =E\left(0, B^{-2} y\right), \tag{48c}
\end{align*}
$$

because $y=B^{-1} y$ and $y=B^{-2} y$ are isomorphic variable transformations. In other words, the following relation holds ;

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{E(0, i), \forall i \in F_{q^{m}}\right\} & =\left\{E\left(0, B^{-1} i\right), \forall i \in F_{q^{m}}\right\} \\
& =\left\{E\left(0, B^{-2} i\right), \forall i \in F_{q^{m}}\right\} \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, if $B$ is a TR in $F_{q^{m}}$, by multiplying $B^{2}$ and $B^{4}$ as shown in Eqs.(47), TRs in $\left\{E(0, i), \forall i \in F_{q^{m}}\right\}$ become TRs in $F_{q^{m}}$ and TNRs in $\left\{E(0, i), \forall i \in F_{q^{m}}\right\}$ become TNRs in $F_{q^{m}}$ again. Consequently, we have the relation Eq.(15).

When $B^{2}$ is a TypeII TNR in $F_{q^{m}}$ and $E(0, y)$ is irreducible over $F_{q^{m}}$, for example, by multiplying $B^{2}$ as shown in Eq.(47b) and Fig.4-(b), we find

- $N$ non-zero TRs in $\left\{E(0, i), \forall i \in F_{q^{m}}\right\}$ become $N$ TypeII TNRs in $F_{q^{m}}$,
- $N^{\prime}$ TypeI TNRs in $\left\{E(0, i), \forall i \in F_{q^{m}}\right\}$ become $N^{\prime}$ non-zero TRs in $F_{q^{m}}$,
- $N^{\prime \prime}$ TypeII TNRs in $\left\{E(0, i), \forall i \in F_{q^{m}}\right\}$ become $N^{\prime \prime}$ TypeI TNRs in $F_{q^{m}}$.

In the same, by multiplying $B^{4}$ as shown in Eq.(47c) and Fig. 4 (c), we find

- $N$ non-zero TRs in $\left\{E(0, i), \forall i \in F_{q^{m}}\right\}$ become $N$ TypeI TNRs in $F_{q^{m}}$,
- $N^{\prime}$ TypeI TNRs in $\left\{E(0, i), \forall i \in F_{q^{m}}\right\}$ become $N^{\prime}$ TypeII TNRs in $F_{q^{m}}$,


Figure 4: The relation among $N, N^{\prime}$, and $N^{\prime \prime}$ when $B^{2}$ is a TypeII TNR in $F_{q^{m}}$

- $N^{\prime \prime}$ TypeII TNRs in $\left\{E(0, i), \forall i \in F_{q^{m}}\right\}$ become $N^{\prime \prime}$ non-zero TRs in $F_{q^{m}}$,
where in this case we should note that $B^{4}$ becomes a TypeI TNR in $F_{q^{m}}$. Consequently, we have the relation Eq.(16). Fig. 4 shows an image of these relations. On the other hand, when $B^{2}$ is a TNR in $F_{q^{m}}$ and $E(0, y)$ is reducible over $F_{q^{m}}, B^{2} E(0, i)$ and $B^{4} E(0, i)$ also become 0 for $i \in F_{q}^{m}$ such that $E(0, i)=0$. Therefore, noting that $E(0, y)$ has two distinct zeros in $F_{q^{m}}$, we have Eq.(17).
C. Eqs.(12), Eqs.(13), Eqs.(14), and Eq.(15)~Eq.(17) for $x$-twist
Let us consider the defining equations Eqs.(10). Corresponding to whether $E(x, 0)$ is irreducible or reducible over $F_{q^{m}}$, the orders $\# E\left(F_{q^{m}}\right)$ and $\# \tilde{E}\left(F_{q^{m}}\right)$ of $E(x, y)$ and $\tilde{E}(x, y)$ over $F_{q^{m}}$ becomes as follows;
when $E(x, 0)$ is irreducible over $F_{q^{m}}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \# E\left(F_{q^{m}}\right)=2 M+1  \tag{50a}\\
& \# \tilde{E}\left(F_{q^{m}}\right)=2 \tilde{M}+1 \tag{50b}
\end{align*}
$$

when $E(x, 0)$ is reducible over $F_{q^{m}}$,

$$
\# E\left(F_{q^{m}}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 M+1+1 \\
2 M+3+1
\end{array}\right.
$$

when $\left\{\begin{array}{l}E(x, 0) \text { has one zero in } F_{q^{m}} \\ E(x, 0) \text { has three zeros in } F_{q^{m}}\end{array}\right.$,

$$
\# \tilde{E}\left(F_{q^{m}}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 \tilde{M}+1+1 \\
2 \tilde{M}+3+1
\end{array}\right.
$$

when $\left\{\begin{array}{l}E(x, 0) \text { has one zero in } F_{q^{m}} \\ E(x, 0) \text { has three zeros in } F_{q^{m}}\end{array}\right.$.
$M$ and $\tilde{M}$ are the numbers of non-zero QRs in the following sets, respectively;

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{E(i, 0), \forall i \in F_{q^{m}}\right\}  \tag{52a}\\
\text { and } \quad & \left\{\tilde{E}(i, 0), \forall i \in F_{q^{m}}\right\} . \tag{52b}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, corresponding to whether $A$ is a QR or a QNR in $F_{q^{m}}$, the following relation holds for $M$ and $\tilde{M}$;
when $A$ is a QR in $F_{q^{m}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\tilde{M} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $A$ is a QNR in $F_{q^{m}}$ and $E(x, 0)$ is irreducible,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M+\tilde{M}=q^{m} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $A$ is a QNR in $F_{q^{m}}$ and $E(x, 0)$ is reducible,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
M+\tilde{M}+1=q^{m}  \tag{55}\\
M+\tilde{M}+3=q^{m}
\end{array}\right.
$$

when $\left\{\begin{array}{l}E(x, 0) \text { has one zero in } F_{q^{m}} \\ E(x, 0) \text { has three zeros in } F_{q^{m}}\end{array}\right.$.
In this case, we should note that $E(x, 0)$ does not have any duplicated zeros because of ECC implementation. Most of these properties are well-known[1].

## D. Six distinct orders

From Eqs.(12), Eqs.(13), Eqs.(14), and Fig.1, we can easily find that the six orders $\# E_{1}\left(F_{q}\right) \sim \# E_{6}\left(F_{q}\right)$ are distinct when $\# E_{1}\left(F_{q}\right), \# E_{3}\left(F_{q}\right)$, and $\# E_{5}\left(F_{q}\right)$ are distinct. In this section, we show that $\# E_{1}\left(F_{q}\right)$, $\# E_{3}\left(F_{q}\right)$, and $\# E_{5}\left(F_{q}\right)$ are distinct when $q$ is an odd power of a prime number $p$.

If two of three orders $\# E_{1}\left(F_{q}\right), \# E_{3}\left(F_{q}\right)$, and $\# E_{5}\left(F_{q}\right)$ are same, two of three traces $t_{1}, t_{3}$, and $t_{5}$ are same. It means that $f_{1}(t)$ defined by Eq.(27a) has duplicate solutions. We can easily check it by whether or not the discriminant $D\left(f_{1}\right)$ of $f_{1}(t)$ is equal to 0 , where $D\left(f_{1}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(f_{1}\right)=-108 q^{3}+27\left(-t_{1}^{[3]}\right)^{2} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, noting that $t_{1}^{[3]}=q^{3}+1-\# E_{1}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-4 q^{3}+\left(t_{1}^{[3]}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the above equation, there are no solutions with respect to $t_{1}^{[3]}$ if $q$ is an odd power of a prime number
$p$, where $p$ is the characteristic. Consequently, in this case, the six curves $E_{1}(x, y) \sim E_{6}(x, y)$ have distinct orders.
If Eq.(57) is satisfied, it is possible for the six orders not to be distinct. Moreover, in this case, since the trace $t_{1}^{[3]}$ of the curve $E_{1}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right)$ is divisible by the characteristic, some of the six curves $E_{1}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right), E_{4}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right)$, $E_{7}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right) \sim E_{10}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right)$ are super-singular.

## E. A TNR in $F_{q}$ becomes a $\mathbf{T R}$ in $F_{q^{3}}$

When 3 divides $q-1$, non-zero TRs and TNRs in $F_{q}$ are given as follows ;
non-zero TRs : $\left\{g^{3 j}, j=0,1,2, \cdots,(q-4) / 3\right\},(58 \mathrm{a})$
TypeI TNRs : $\left\{g^{3 k+1}, k=0,1,2, \cdots,(q-4) / 3\right\},(58 \mathrm{~b})$
TypeII TNRs : $\left\{g^{3 l+2}, l=0,1,2, \cdots,(q-4) / 3\right\},(58 \mathrm{c})$
where $g$ is a generator of $F_{q}^{*}$. These notations are also used in Appendix.A.

Let us consider a TNR $x$ in $F_{q}$. We can check whether $x$ is a TR or a TNR in $F_{q^{3}}$ by calculating $x^{\left(q^{3}-1\right) / 3}$, the calculation result becomes as follows ;

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\left(q^{3}-1\right) / 3}=\left(x^{q-1}\right)^{\left(q^{2}+q+1\right) / 3}=1, \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we note that $x^{(q-1)}=1$ and $\left(q^{3}-1\right) /(q-1)=$ $q^{2}+q+1$ is divisible by $3[4]$. Consequently, it is shown that a TNR in $F_{q}$ becomes a TR in $F_{q^{3}}$.

## F. Proof of Eqs.(31) and Eqs.(32)

First, since Eq.(27a) has $t_{1}, t_{3}$, and $t_{5}$ as its solutions, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{1}+t_{3}+t_{5} & =0  \tag{60a}\\
t_{1} t_{3}+t_{1} t_{5}+t_{3} t_{5} & =-3 q  \tag{60b}\\
t_{1} t_{3} t_{5} & =q^{3}+1-\# E_{1}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right) . \tag{60c}
\end{align*}
$$

From Weil's theorem, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}^{[3]}=-q^{3}-1+\# E_{1}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore, we obtain Eqs.(32b) from Eq.(60c). In the same way, we can show Eq.(32a).

Next, let us consider the following product;

$$
\begin{align*}
& \# E_{1}\left(F_{q}\right) \# E_{3}\left(F_{q}\right) \# E_{5}\left(F_{q}\right) \\
= & \left(q+1-t_{1}\right)\left(q+1-t_{3}\right)\left(q+1-t_{5}\right) . \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

By using Eqs.(60), we can develop the right-hand side of the above equation as

$$
=(q+1)^{3}-\left(t_{1}+t_{3}+t_{5}\right)(q+1)^{2}+
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(t_{1} t_{3}+t_{1} t_{5}+t_{3} t_{5}\right)(q+1)-t_{1} t_{3} t_{5} \\
= & (q+1)^{3}-3 q(q+1)-q^{3}-1+\# E_{1}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right) \\
= & \# E_{1}\left(F_{q^{3}}\right) \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, we have Eq.(31a). In the same way, we can show Eq.(31b).
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