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# An Investigation of the Pederin Family: Total Synthesis of Theopederin D; Synthesis and Determination of the Relative and Absolute Configuration of Psymberic Acid 

Michael Eric Green, PhD

University of Pittsburgh, 2008

An investigation of the pederin family of natural products has led to the total synthesis of theopederin D , a synthesis of the C1-C6 portion of psymberin (psymberic acid), and the determination of the absolute configuration of C 4 and C 5 of this fragment.


psymberin

psymberic acid

Highlights of our theopederin D synthesis include the use of an asymmetric ketenealdehyde cycloaddition to synthesize the A ring (or pederic acid subunit), a 1,5-anti-boron mediated aldol to construct the C16-C17 bond with high a high level of diastereocontrol, formation of the C and D rings in a one-pot, six reaction sequence, selective differentiation of a tetrahydrofuranol in the presence of a tetrahydropyranol, and elaboration of the C ring using vinylation chemistry developed by Yamamoto and Rainier.


The stereochemically labile B ring of theopederin D was constructed during the late stages of this synthesis using carbon-carbon bond activation via an Electron Transfer Initiated Cyclization (ETIC) method previously developed in the Floreancig research laboratories. This transformation proceeded under essentially neutral conditions and furnished the desired amidotrioxadecalin in high yield. The total synthesis of theopederin D was completed through coupling of pederic acid and an aminotrioxadecalin fragment using a modified diastereoselective strategy initially developed by Rawal.

Our efforts toward the total synthesis of psymberin involved the synthesis of psymberic acid, as its absolute and relative stereochemistry was previously undefined. The use of readily available starting materials (D- or L-serine) and subsequent elaboration using syn or anti selective methallylation allowed for the efficient construction of all possible stereoisomers of psymberic acid. The absolute configuration of psymberic acid was determined through natural product and model system degradative studies, and analysis of the reaction products using a gaschromatography/ mass spectrometry apparatus (GC-MS) outfitted with a chiral stationary phase.
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### 1.0 TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF THEOPEDERIN D

### 1.1 INTRODUCTION

Theopederin $D^{1}(\mathbf{1})$ is a member of the pederin ${ }^{2}$ family of biologically active natural products; a family which also includes mycalamides A-D, ${ }^{3-6}$ onnamides A-F, ${ }^{7-10}$ psymberin, ${ }^{11,12}$ and theopederins A-L. ${ }^{1,13,14}$ All members of this family were isolated from marine sponges with the exception of pederin, which was isolated from the Paederus beetle. ${ }^{15,16}$ The observance of highly similar compounds from organisms across different phyla has led to hypotheses that these natural products may be derived from bacterial symbionts. ${ }^{11,17,18}$ Several members of the pederin family display cytotoxicity at nM concentrations, and many have been shown to be potent protein synthesis inhibitors. ${ }^{19,20}$


Figure 1. Selected members of the pederin family of natural products

Due to intriguing biological activity, challenging molecular structure, and the lack of natural abundance, this family of natural products has gained the attention of the synthetic community, with total syntheses and fragment syntheses being reported by Matsumoto, ${ }^{21-24}$ Meinwald, ${ }^{25-28}$ Kishi, ${ }^{29,}{ }^{30}$ Nakata, ${ }^{31-35}$ Kocienski, ${ }^{36-45}$ Hoffman, ${ }^{46}$ Roush, ${ }^{47,48}$ Ihara, ${ }^{49-52}$ Trost, ${ }^{53}$ Rawal, ${ }^{54-56}$ De Brabander, ${ }^{57,58}$ and Williams. ${ }^{59}$

### 1.1.1 Isolation and Structural Determination of Theopederin D

Theopederins A-E were isolated from the marine sponge genus Theonella by Fusetani and coworkers off the coast of Japan in $1992 .{ }^{1}$ The frozen specimens $(15 \mathrm{~kg})$ were extracted with EtOH , then partitioned between several organic solvents, and subsequently purified via flash chromatography, gel filtration, and reverse-phase HPLC yielding theopederin A ( 4.8 mg ), theopederin $\mathrm{B}(2.1 \mathrm{mg})$, theopederin $\mathrm{C}(0.5 \mathrm{mg})$, theopederin $\mathrm{D}(0.8 \mathrm{mg})$, and theopederin $\mathrm{E}(0.6$ mg ) (Figure 2).


Figure 2. Theopederins A-E
The molecular formula of theopederin $\mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NO}_{10}\right)$ was determined using high resolution fast atom bombardment mass spectrometry (HRFABMS). The structure of O1-C16
was initially assigned based upon comparison to spectral data from the mycalamides (see Figure 1), and then confirmed by 2D NMR experiments (COSY, HMQC, and HMBC). The $\gamma$-lactone of 1 was assigned based upon HMBC correlations as well as a characteristic IR absorption at 1765 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of a lactone moiety.

### 1.1.2 Biological Activity of Theopederin D

Theopederin D has demonstrated impressive biological activity, with initial assays performed by Fusetani illustrating that $\mathbf{1}$ is active at nM concentrations $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.0 \mathrm{nM}\right.$; P388 murine leukemia cell line). ${ }^{1}$ Although the mechanism of action has yet to be established, it is believed that members of the pederin family derive their cytotoxicity through the inhibition of protein synthesis. ${ }^{19}$ While further biological testing has not been performed with $\mathbf{1}$, mycalamide A, mycalamide B , theopederin A , and theopederin B , have all recently been shown to bind to the 60 S large subunit of the ribosome. This portion of the ribosome is responsible for protein synthesis in eukaryotic cells. ${ }^{60}$

### 1.1.3 Structure Activity Relationship (SAR) studies

Several structure activity relationship (SAR) studies of the mycalamide class of compounds have been performed by Munro and Blunt, ${ }^{61-63}$ and Nakata. ${ }^{64}$ The structures of the pederin family are generally similar, and thus, the conclusions drawn by the SAR studies of the mycalamides are likely to hold true for the family as a whole.

In the pederic acid subunit, research has shown that the exocyclic olefin is not a critical factor in the activity of mycalamides. ${ }^{63,} 64$-Hydrogenation of the olefin in mycalamide A
afforded 6 (see Table 1) which was more active than mycalamide A ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of 0.4 nM compared to 1.0 nM for mycalamide A). $\alpha$-Hydrogenation of mycalamide B afforded 8, which gave no significant change in activity. $\beta$-Hydrogenation of both mycalamides A and B (compounds 7 and 9, respectively) resulted in products 4-8 times less biologically active.


Table 1. mycalamide $A$ and $B$ hydrogenation derivatives

|  | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | H | Me | H |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Me | H | H |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | H | Me | Me |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Me | H | Me |

Replacing the C6 methoxy group with an ethoxy group (10) (See Table 2) resulted in a 10 fold decrease in activity while incorporation of a hydroxyl group (11) at C6 gave a 20-40 fold decrease in activity. ${ }^{63}$ Derivatives at C7(12-15) afforded compounds $10-10^{3}$ fold less active than the starting compounds. ${ }^{61}$ Analogs of mycalamide A synthesized by Nakata indicate that the stereochemistry at C7 is an important factor in the biological activity of the compound. The C7 epimer of mycalamide A exhibits an approximately 3 fold decrease in biological activity against the HeLa cell line. ${ }^{64}$


Table 2. Mycalamide A and B alkylated derivatives

|  | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Et | H | H | H |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | H | H | H | H |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Me | Me | Me | Me |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Me | Me | H | Me |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Me | Me | Me | H |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Me | Me | H | H |

Research by Nakata has also shown that the presence of the C3 methyl group is not important to the bioactivity of mycalamide A. Analog 16 (see Figure 3) shares identical bioactivity against the HeLa cell line as mycalamide A.


16

Figure 3. A mycalamide A analog synthesized by Nakata
Structure activity relationship studies have also indicated the importance of the acyl aminal functionality to the biological activity of the mycalamides. ${ }^{62}$ For example, the biological activity of mycalamide C (see Figure 4) which lacks the acyl aminal functionality, is significantly less potent than mycalamides A and $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=230 \mathrm{nM}\right.$ against the P 388 leukemia cancer cell line). ${ }^{65}$


Figure 4. Mycalamide C
The stereochemical configuration of this moiety is also important for the displayed biological activity. Kocienski has reported that the C 10 epimer of mycalamide B is 3 times less biologically active than the parent compound. ${ }^{42}$

Lastly, alkylations or silylations of the tetrahydropyran side chain of the mycalamides also changed the biological activity of the molecules (Figure 6). ${ }^{61}$ TBDMS ethers of C 17 and C18 decreased the biological activity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1600 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, while TMS ethers did not (the researchers speculate that this is likely due to hydrolysis of the TMS ethers in the assay medium). However, methylation of C 17 and C 18 gave derivatives which were as potent as pederin $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.13 \mathrm{nM}\right)$.


Figure 5. An overview of structure activity relationships

### 1.2 PREVIOUS SYNTHESES IN THE PEDERIN FAMILY: APPROACHES TO THE MYCALAMIDE AND THEOPEDERIN FAMILIES

To date, there has been one total synthesis of theopederin D, although total and partial syntheses of structurally similar natural products mycalamides A and B have been reported. Generally speaking, convergent approaches involving pederic acid (18) and trioxadecalin subunits (19) have been used to construct these natural products (Figure 6).


Figure 6. Convergent approach to theopederin and mycalamide families
Several methods have been used in the construction of 18. Syntheses involving chiral pool starting materials, ${ }^{25,} 26,28,36,40,53$ chiral auxiliaries, ${ }^{34,47,49}$ transition metal mediated cross couplings, ${ }^{54}$ and substrate controlled reactions ${ }^{25,26,28}$ have all been reported. However, synthesis of the much more complex trioxadecalin scaffold 19 has not varied greatly, and only two general methods for construction of the pivotal $N$-acyl aminal have been investigated: Acylation of a nucleophilic aminotrioxadecalin subunit, ${ }^{29,30,33,35,54}$ or generation of an amidotrioxadecalin fragment via Curtius rearrangement, followed by appendage and elaboration of the pederic acid portion of the molecule. ${ }^{45,48,66}$ The following sections will briefly describe Kishi's approach for construction of the aminotrioxadecalin ring system, and $N$-acyl aminal functionality in mycalamides A and B, Kocienski's approach to N -acyl aminal formation in his synthesis of theopederin D, and Rawal's total synthesis of mycalamide A. Due to the abundance of methods used in preparation of $\mathbf{1 8}$, discussion of the synthesis of this fragment will be mentioned only in
the context of Rawal's efforts toward mycalamide A. Lastly, a discussion of the development of methodology developed in our own research labs directed towards the novel construction of the amidotrioxadecalin scaffold will complete this overview.

### 1.2.1 Kishi's Syntheses of Mycalamides A and B

Kishi's synthetic efforts towards the mycalamides began with 20, derived from $\alpha$-Dglucopyranoside and bearing the requisite stereochemistry at $\mathrm{C} 11, \mathrm{C} 12, \mathrm{C} 13$, and C 15 (see Scheme 1 below). ${ }^{29}$ Through a series of fairly straightforward transformations pyranoside 20 was converted to 21, containing geminal methyl groups at C14, in 7 steps and $62 \%$ overall yield. Elaboration of the C15 side chain, asymmetric dihydroxylation, and protection of the diol as carbonate 23 completed the C ring of the mycalamide system. Construction of the B ring commenced with propargyltrimethylsilane addition to $\mathbf{2 3}$, ozonolysis, and acetalization providing 24. Hydrogenolysis followed by acid mediated cyclization with paraformaldehyde generated hemiacetal 25. Mesylate formation and displacement with tetrabutylammonium azide afforded azidotrioxadecalin 26 as a 2:1 mixture of inseparable diastereomers in 72\% yield.




Scheme 1. Kishi's aminotrioxadecalin synthesis
Conditions: a) Swern oxidation; Wittig olefination; $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} / \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}$, EtOAc, rt; $\mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{PtO}_{2}$, AcOH , rt; TBDPSCl, imidazole, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt; TBAF, THF, rt, $62 \%$ overall yield; b) Swern oxidation; Horner-Emmons olefination; DIBAL-H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{Rh}$ on $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, EtOAc, rt; o- $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SeCN}, n-\mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{u}_{3} \mathrm{P}$, benzene, rt, then $m$-CPBA, $79 \%$ overall yield; c) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}, N$, $N$ '-bis(2, 4, 5-trimethylbenzyl)-( $S, S$ )-1,2-diphenyl-1,2diaminoethane, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6: 1,75 \% \mathrm{~d}\right)$ propargyltrimethylsilane, TMSOTf, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, then ozonolysis and acetalization, $60 \%$ overall yield; e) $\mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ on C, EtOAc, rt; paraformaldehyde, $\mathrm{HCl}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 86 \%$ overall yield; f) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMAP}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $72 \%$ overall yield; g) NaOH , $p$-dioxane (aq), rt; 4-MeOC $\mathrm{H}_{4}-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CCl}$, Hunig's base, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt; MeI, NaH, DMF, $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $p$ - $\mathrm{TsOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}, ~ \mathrm{rt}$; $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 76 \%$ overall yield.

The C15 side chain of azide $\mathbf{2 6}$ was elaborated for the synthesis of mycalamide B through a five step sequence providing 27 in 76\% yield, and as a 2:1 (desired to undesired) separable mixture of diastereomers.

Hydrogenation of azide 27 furnished amine 28 as a mixture of isomers, configurationally unstable under acidic, basic, and neutral conditions (see Scheme 2). This was also found to be the case for amine 29, derived from azide 26. Coupling of amine 28 with pederic acid derivative $\mathbf{3 0}$ afforded $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$-31 (38\%) and $\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{- 3 1}(40 \%)$ as a mixture of separable diastereomers. Coupling of amine 29 and $\mathbf{3 0}$ afforded $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ - $\mathbf{3 2}$ ( $59 \%$ ) and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-32 (26\%) as a separable mixture of diastereomers.

Undesired isomers $\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{- 3 1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta - 3 2}$ could be epimerized to the desired natural product configuration at C10 under basic conditions ( $t$-BuOK, THF, reflux). However, Kishi notes that while mycalamide A substrate $\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{- 3 2}$ could be completely epimerized to the desired configuration at C10, mycalamide B intermediate $\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{- 3 1}$ could only be epimerized to a $1: 1$ mixture of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ isomers. The syntheses of mycalamides $B$ and $A$ were completed from $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{- 3 1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{- 3 2}$, respectively. The protecting groups were removed in two steps furnishing mycalamide $\mathrm{B}(\mathbf{5})$ and mycalamide A (4) in $69 \%$ and $60 \%$ yield, respectively.


Scheme 2. Completion of mycalamides A and B by Kishi and coworkers
Conditions: a) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOAc}$; b) $p-\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{DMAP}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}$; c) $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, rt; DDQ, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 69 \%$; d) $t$-BuOK, THF, rt; DDQ, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 60 \%$.

Kishi's monumental syntheses of mycalamides A and B are significant not only because they were the first reported total syntheses of these molecules, but also because of the valuable insight gained about construction of the N -acyl aminal, and the larger implications for synthesis of other pederin family members bearing this sensitive functionality. The conformational lability of 28 and 29 under acidic, basic, and neutral conditions led researchers such as Roush and Kocienski (see Section 1.2.2) to search for alternative methods for construction of the $N$-acyl
aminal without proceeding through an aminotrioxadecalin intermediate. Furthermore, the variable success of Kishi's epimerization of $\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{- 3 1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{- 3 2}$ is illustrative of the subtle effects of the C 15 side chain of these natural products.

### 1.2.2 Kocienski's Synthesis of Theopederin D

Kocienski's approach to the amidotrioxadecalin framework of theopederin D began with an asymmetric aldol condensation between the silyl ketene acetal derived from 33 and 4chlorobutanal in the presence of scalemic borane 34, providing alcohol 35 in $95 \%$ yield and $94 \%$ $e e$ (Scheme 3). ${ }^{45}$ Elaboration of the alcohol to the acetate followed by Dieckman condensation afforded keto-lactone 36 in $78 \%$ yield. From 36, enol ether formation followed by DIBAL-H reduction generated dihydropyranone $\mathbf{3 7}$ in $85 \%$ yield. Copper catalyzed addition of vinyl magnesium bromide to 37, Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation and orthogonal protection of the resulting diol provided 40. Silyl enol ether formation (85\%) followed by epoxidation with $m$ CPBA generated epoxide 42. Treating epoxide 42 with dimethoxymethane and phosphorous pentoxide afforded trioxadecalin 43 in $77 \%$ yield overall yield. Meerwin-Pondorf-Verley reduction of 43 (6:1 d.r.), methylation, selenide formation, oxidation to the selenoxide, and elimination furnished compound 44 in 53\% overall yield.






Scheme 3. Kocienski's synthesis of the trioxadecalin framework of Theopederin
Conditions: a) LDA, TMSCl, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 91 \%$; b) $\mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CHO},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathbf{3 4}, 95 \%, 94 \% e e$; c) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 76 \%$; d) LDA, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 78 \%$; e) $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 18-\mathrm{c}-6, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 99 \%$; f) DIBAL-H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 85 \%$; g) vinyl magnesium bromide, $\mathrm{CuI}(6 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, THF, -90 to $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 80 \%$; h) Sharpless AD, d.r. $>10: 1$; i) PvCl , pyridine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 78 \%$ from 38; j) MOMCl, DIPEA, $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NI}, \mathrm{PhMe}, 9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 97 \%$; k) TBSOTf, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 85 \%$; l) $m$ - $\mathrm{CPBA}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; m) $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 77 \%$ from 41; n) Me Al , $i$ $\mathrm{PrOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 66 \% 6: 1$; o) NaHMDS, MeOTf, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 86 \%$; p) $\mathrm{PhSeNa}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 96 \%$, reflux; q) $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeOH}$, rt, then $\mathrm{PhMe}: \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1: 1)$, reflux, $98 \%$.

With the bulk of the trioxadecalin framework complete, attention was focused on formation of the $N$-acyl aminal functionality. Cleavage of the pivalate protecting group followed by oxidation to the aldehyde, Curtius rearrangement under Shioiri conditions, and thermolysis in the presence of 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethanol afforded 45 in $57 \%$ yield over 4 steps (Scheme 4). Treating 45 with methyl-oxalyl chloride followed by TBAF removal of the Teoc-carbamate, and coupling with pederic acid derivative 46 (synthesis not shown) afforded $N$-acyl aminal 47 in $78 \%$ yield. Diastereoselective reduction of ketone 47, methyl acetal formation, and protection of the
secondary alcohol as the benzoyl carbonate furnished 48 in $77 \%$ yield as a separable mixture of diastereomers at C7 ( $\sim 3: 1$ ).


Scheme 4. Kocienski's coupling of trioxadecalin and pederic acid fragments
Conditions: a) Red-Al, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 98 \%$; b) PDC, DMF, rt, 24 h ; c) $(\mathrm{PhO})_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \mathrm{TMSCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$, DIPEA, PhMe, $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $58 \%$ ( 2 steps); d) $\mathrm{MeO}_{2} \mathrm{CCOCl}, \mathrm{DMAP}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, $66 \%$; e) TBAF, THF, 83\%; f) 46, TMEDA, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 78 \%$; g) $\mathrm{LiBHBu}_{3}^{\mathrm{s}}$, THF, $-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6: 1$ d.r.; h) $\mathrm{CSA}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt; i) BzCl, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 77 \%$.

With coupling of the two principle fragments complete, attention was turned to unmasking the exocyclic olefin of the pederic acid scaffold, and to the construction of the C 17 lactone (Scheme 5). Treating 48 with Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation conditions, dual $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ selenide oxidation and diol cleavage, and elimination of the selenoxide furnished 49 in $69 \%$ yield over 3 steps. Grignard addition of $\mathbf{5 0}$ to aldehyde $\mathbf{4 9}$ afforded $\mathbf{5 1}$ as a $\sim 1: 1$ mixture of alcohols at C17. TPAP oxidation of $\mathbf{5 1}$ and cyclization afforded a $1: 1$ mixture of separable lactones at C 17 . Treatment of the desired isomer $\mathbf{5 2}$ with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in MeOH provided theopederin D (1) in 79\% yield.


Scheme 5. Kocienski's completion of theopederin D
Conditions: a) Sharpless AD ; b) $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt; $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{PhMe}$, reflux, $69 \%$ ( 3 steps); c) 50, THF, -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $1: 1$ d.r.; d) TPAP, $\mathrm{NMO}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeCN}$; e) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, rt, $79 \%$.

Kocienski's synthesis of theopederin D is noteworthy in that the Curtius rearrangement furnished the amidotrioxadecalin subunit as one stereoisomer at C 10 , thus avoiding the conformationally labile intermediates present in Kishi's synthesis. Furthermore, the "metallated dihydropyran" approach for coupling of the pederic acid core was novel, and high-yielding. However, the synthetic route was lengthy, and the introduction of the C 17 stereocenter could not be accomplished diastereoselectively.

### 1.2.3 Rawal's Synthesis of Mycalamide A

Rawal's total synthesis of mycalamide A began with construction of the pederic acid portion of the molecule. ${ }^{54}$ Condensation of homoallylic alcohol 53 (synthesized via Brown crotylation of acetaldehyde) with protected glyceric acid derivative 54 furnished $\mathbf{5 5}$ in $91 \%$ yield (Scheme 6). Subsequent Petasis-olefination of 55 ( $85 \%$ yield) followed by an intramolecular Heck reaction afforded 57 in 78\% yield with a 5.7: 1 ratio of desired to undesired diastereomers at C6. Removal of the benzylidene acetal under dissolving metal conditions, selective protection of the resulting primary alcohol as the triethylsilyl ether (TES), and benzoylation of the secondary alcohol at C7 afforded $\mathbf{5 8}$ in $81 \%$ overall yield. Benzoate $\mathbf{5 8}$ was directly converted to carboxylic acid $\mathbf{5 9}$ in $83 \%$ yield by treatment with pyridinium dichromate.



Scheme 6. Rawal's synthesis of pederic acid
Conditions: a) EDC, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, ~ 91 \%$; b) $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiMe}_{2}, \mathrm{PhCH}_{3}, 80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 85 \%$; c) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ ( 0.15 equiv), benzoquinone, $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$, propylene oxide, THF/DMF (20:1), $78 \%$, $5.7: 1.0$ desired to undesired diastereomers at C 7 ; d) Na , liq. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 93 \%$; e) TESCl, DIPEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 93 \%$; f) BzCl , DMAP, DIPEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 94 \%$; g) PDC, DMF, $83 \%$.

Synthesis of the aminotrioxadecalin scaffold began with commercially available diethyl D-tartrate 60 (Scheme 7). Protection of the diol as the bis-methoxy methyl ether (MOM)
followed by $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ reduction of the ethyl esters, mono-TBDPS protection, and Swern oxidation afforded aldehyde 61 in $77 \%$ overall yield. Addition of tri- $n$-butyl prenylstannane under chelation controlled conditions (90\%), methylation of resulting alcohol 62 (98\%), and MOM deprotection under mild conditions afforded diol 63 in $98 \%$ yield.


Scheme 7. Rawal's synthesis of the aminotrioxadecalin
Conditions: a) (MeO) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, quant.; b) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 86 \%$; c) $n$ - BuLi , TBDPSCl, THF, quant.; d) $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}, \mathrm{DMSO}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 90 \%$; e) $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{SnBu}_{3}, \mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 90 \%$; f) NaH , MeI, THF, $98 \%$; g) $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}$ (2.5 equiv.), $n$ - BuSH (3.0 equiv.), rt, $8 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 98 \%$; h) BzCl, DIPEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 11 \mathrm{~h}, 80 \%$; i) $\mathrm{CH}_{2}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 91 \%$; j) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 83 \%$; k) $\mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} ; \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DMAP, pyr; $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{TMS}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 66 \%$; 1) TBAF, THF, $\left.\left.91 \% ; \mathrm{m}\right)(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}, \mathrm{DMSO}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} ; \mathrm{n}\right)(\mathrm{CHO})_{n}$, concd. HCl , THF; o) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DMAP, pyr, $63 \%$, d.r. $5.4: 1.0$; p) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, (DHQ) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{PYR}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}, t-\mathrm{BuOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $-3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 83 \%$, d.r. $5: 1$; q) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DIPEA, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 92 \%$; r) TMSN ${ }_{3}$, TMSOTf, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},-78$ to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, quant.; s) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOAc}, 90 \%$.

Selective mono-benzoylation at C11 of diol 63 (80\%), MOM-protection of the remaining free alcohol at C12 (91\%), and benzoyl group removal afforded alcohol 64 in $83 \%$ yield. Ozonolysis of 64, and acetylation of the resulting lactol followed by $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ mediated allyl
trimethylsilane addition afforded pyran 65 as a single diastereomer in $66 \%$ yield. Cleavage of TBDPS ether 66 with TBAF (91\%), Swern oxidation, and subjection of the resulting aldehyde to paraformaldehyde and concentrated HCl at -15 to $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ resulted in generation of $\mathbf{6 6}$, which without isolation, was acetylated generating acetate 67 in $63 \%$ as a $5.4: 1$ mixture at C 10 . The C15 side chain was functionalized through asymmetric dihydroxylation $(83 \%, 5: 1)$ and the resulting alcohols were acylated, furnishing 68 in quantitative yield. The trioxadecalin was completed by displacement of the anomeric acetate with $\mathrm{TMSN}_{3}$, providing a 1.8:1.0 mixture of inseparable azide anomers. Hydrogenation of the azidotrioxadecalin mixture afforded aminotrioxadecalin 69 as a mixture of diastereomers.

To complete the synthesis of mycalamide A, $\mathbf{5 9}$ and $\mathbf{6 9}$ were coupled using DCC and DMAP affording 70 and epi-C10-70 as a favorable 5:1 mixture of separable diastereomers in 56\% yield (Scheme 8). Interestingly, coupling fragments 59 and 69 using PyAOP and DIPEA resulted in generation of epi-70 as a single diastereomer in $61 \%$ yield. Treatment of 70 with 1 N LiOH removed the benzoyl and acetate protecting groups yielding mycalamide A (4) in 78\% yield. Removal of the protecting groups from epi-70 generated epi-4 (epi-mycalamide A) in 75\% yield. Attempts to epimerize epi-4 to $\mathbf{4}$ were unsuccessful.


Scheme 8. Rawal's diastereoselective mycalamide A coupling
Conditions: a) DCC, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 56 \%$, $5: 1$ (70:epi-70); b) PyAOP, DIPEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 24h, $61 \%$, epi70 sole diastereomer; c) 1N LiOH, THF; 78\% (4), 75\% ( epi-4).

Rawal's mycalamide A synthesis represents a significant step forward for the synthesis of molecules in the pederin family. Coupling of the pederic acid fragment with a mixture of aminotrioxadecalin epimers to afford a favorable diastereomeric ratio elegantly circumnavigated the task of creating the C10 acyl aminal stereoselectively. Furthermore, the use of an intramolecular Wacker/Heck cyclization as a means for construction of the pederic acid fragment employed a novel disconnection, and eliminated the need for an exocyclic olefin surrogate in the pederic acid subunit.

### 1.2.4 The Floreancig Approach to the Amidotrioxadecalin Scaffold

Due to the paucity of methods used to synthesize the amidotrioxadecalin ring system, and an interest in pursuing a total synthesis of mycalamide B, Floreancig and coworkers sought to improve upon previous synthetic efforts by employing the Electron Transfer Initiated Cyclization
methodology (ETIC) developed in their research labs. Previous reports illustrated that this method allowed for the construction of cyclic ethers and acetals from homobenzylic ethers under mild conditions (Scheme 9). ${ }^{67,68}$


Scheme 9. Cyclic acetal construction by Floreancig and coworkers
Conditions: $h v, N$-methylquinolinium hexafluorophosphate (cat), $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, toluene, $\mathrm{DCE}, \mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 4 \AA \mathrm{MS}$.
When irradiated, $N$-methylquinolinium hexafluorophosphate $\left(\mathrm{NMQPF}_{6}, 71\right)$ becomes photoexcited, and can accept an electron from the co-sensitizer toluene, generating quinolyl radical 72 and the radical cation of toluene (73) (Scheme 10). Electron transfer between homobenzylic ether 74 and radical cation 73 regenerates toluene, and affords radical cation $\mathbf{7 5}$. Mesolytic cleavage of $\mathbf{7 5}$ generates a benzyl radical and oxocarbenium ion 76. Cyclization of the tethered nucleophilic alcohol and deprotonation with NaOAc provides the desired product 77 .



Scheme 10. The mechanism of the Electron Transfer Initiated Cyclization (ETIC)

During the reaction, atmospheric oxygen is gently bubbled through the solution. This serves to oxidize the benzylic radical to benzaldehyde, and to regenerate the catalyst by serving as a terminal oxidant (Note: $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ serves to reduce the superoxide species to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, which is sequestered with molecular sieves).

Based on their cyclic ether work, Floreancig and coworkers reasoned that the amidotrioxadecalin ring system (78) could arise via nucleophilic addition of a masked hemiacetal nucleophile into acyliminium ion 79 (Scheme 11). ${ }^{69}$ The ETIC conditions would allow for mild generation of such a reactive species from homobenzylic carbamate 80. After careful optimization of reaction conditions homobenzylic carbamate 81, bearing a tethered tetrahydrofuranyl protected nucleophile, was synthesized. When treated with standard ETIC conditions this substrate underwent cyclization furnishing acyl aminal 82 in $79 \%$ yield (Scheme 11).



Scheme 11. An electron initiated cyclization forming an N -acyl aminal
Conditions: a) $h v, \mathrm{NMQPF}_{6}$ (cat), $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, toluene, DCE, $\mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 4 \AA \mathrm{MS}, 79 \%$.

To test the feasibility of the ETIC methodology in substrates resembling the trioxadecalin ring system, model substrates $\mathbf{8 3}$ and $\mathbf{8 4}$ were synthesized (Scheme 12). Treatment of $\mathbf{8 3}$ with standard ETIC conditions afforded $\mathbf{8 5}$ and $\mathbf{8 6}$ in $94 \%$ yield as 1:10 mixture of separable
diastereomers. ${ }^{70}$ Subjection of $\mathbf{8 4}$ to identical conditions afforded products $\mathbf{8 7}$ and $\mathbf{8 8}$ in $94 \%$ yield as a 1.6:1.0 mixture of separable diastereomers. ${ }^{71}$
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Scheme 12. Construction of a trioxadecalin model system under ETIC conditions
Conditions: a) $h v, \mathrm{NMQPF}_{6}$ (cat), $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, toluene, DCE, $\mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 4 \AA \mathrm{MS}, 94 \%$; b) $h v, \mathrm{NMQPF}_{6}$ (cat), $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, toluene, DCE, $\mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 4 \AA \mathrm{MS}, 94 \%$; c) $p$-TsOH, trifluoroethanol.

The outcome from cyclization of substrate $\mathbf{8 3}$ was surprising in that the major product contained the opposite configuration of the natural product at C 10 (natural product numbering) (Figure 7). Product 86 has the majority of its substituents in an axial orientation while the configuration of compound 85 (identical to that of the natural product) places all of the ring substituents in an equatorial orientation (Figure 7).
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Figure 7. Amidotrioxadecalin diastereomers
From previous experiments, it was noted that ETIC cyclizations of homobenzylic amides likely proceed through late transition states, indicating that the relative energies of the products from these reactions ( $\mathbf{8 5}$ and $\mathbf{8 6}$ ) should be comparable to the relative energies of the transition states ( $\mathbf{8 9}$ and 90 ). That is, if product $\mathbf{8 6}$ is formed preferentially over $\mathbf{8 5}$, transition state $\mathbf{9 0}$ should be lower in energy than transition state 89 (Figure 8).
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Figure 8. Transition states leading to amidotrioxadecalin model system products

Fuchs and coworkers have performed molecular modeling calculations on the trioxadecalin core structure and have found $\mathbf{9 1}$ to be $4.3 \mathrm{kcal} /$ mol lower in energy than $\mathbf{9 2}$, which
has the configuration found in the natural product (Figure 9). ${ }^{72}$ This was rationalized by the unfavorable gauche COCC bond interactions found in 92, in comparison to the favorable anti COCC bond orientation present in 91. Molecular modeling calculations (MM3) performed on the product by Floreancig and coworkers indicated a $4.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ difference between products $\mathbf{8 6}$ and $\mathbf{8 5} .^{71}$


Figure 9. Analysis of the trioxadecalin core
In a reversal of this trend, substrate $\mathbf{8 4}$ was found to afford products in a 1.6:1.0 ratio of desired to undesired diastereomers, indicative of how the substituents on the ring system can override the energetic penalty of the developing gauche interactions in the desired amidotrioxadecalin (Scheme 12).

The Floreancig approach offers a novel method for the construction of the amidotrioxadecalin scaffold, with model studies indicating that the transformation is exceptionally high yielding. While the diastereocontrol was modest for substrate $\mathbf{8 4}$, the acyl aminal stereocenter could easily be epimerized under mild conditions ( $p-\mathrm{TsOH}$, trifluoroethanol). In addition, Rawal's subsequent report of a diastereoselective coupling during his synthesis of mycalamide A illustrates the inconsequentiality of the acyl aminal stereocenter in downstream chemistry. Thus, the ETIC approach offers a powerful method for the construction of highly reactive intermediates under mild conditions, providing products with high levels of molecular complexity, and in high yield.

### 1.3 PROJECT GOALS

### 1.3.1 Retrosynthetic Analysis of Theopederin D

With the precedent of construction of N -acyl aminals via our electron transfer initiated cyclization (ETIC) method well established, we focused our attention on the application of this method to a natural product total synthesis. We made our initial disconnection of $\mathbf{1}$ at the $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{N} 9$ bond generating pederic acid (59) and aminotrioxadecalin fragments (3) (Figure 10). In a forward sense, construction of this pivotal bond could be accomplished through a diastereoselective amide bond formation between $\mathbf{5 9}$ and $\mathbf{9 3}$, as reported in Rawal's mycalamide A synthesis (see Scheme 8, page 18). ${ }^{54}$


Figure 10. Initial bond disconnection of theopederin D
We anticipated that 59 could be generated via Nakata's diastereoselective Claisen condensation of a suitably protected lactone $\mathbf{9 4}$ with glycolate derivative 95 (Figure 11). ${ }^{34}$ Lactone 94 could arise from dihydropyranone 96 . We envisioned construction of 96 via an asymmetric heteroDiels Alder cycloaddition between diene 97 and acetaldehyde.


Figure 11. Pederic acid retrosynthesis
Due to the expected lability of $\mathbf{9 3},{ }^{29}$ we envisioned late stage formation of this sensitive fragment from deprotection of amidotrioxadecalin $\mathbf{9 8}$ under mild hydrogenolytic conditions (Figure 12).


Figure 12. Retrosynthesis of an aminotrioxadecalin fragment
Carbamate 98 could be generated from intermediate 99, formed in situ via an ETIC reaction of substrate 100. Homobenzylic carbonate 100 could be obtained from 101 via facile elaboration of
the anomeric vinyl and secondary alcohol functionalities. We envisioned generation of $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ via diastereoselective epoxidation and syn vinyl addition to dihydropyran 102. Dihydropyran 102 could be obtained from bis-lactol 103 via selective tetrahydrofuranol acetal formation, and subsequent tetrahydropyranol dehydration. Intermediate $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ could be obtained from oxidative cleavage and cyclization of diol 104 . Compound 104 could be generated via asymmetric allylation of keto-aldehyde 105, methylation of the resulting alcohol, followed by a substrate controlled 1, 5-anti-boron mediated aldol, and chelation controlled syn reduction to construct requisite stereocenters at $\mathrm{C} 13, \mathrm{C} 15$, and C 17 (natural product numbering).

### 1.4 PEDERIC ACID SYNTHESIS

### 1.4.1 Efforts toward Pederic Acid: Hetero-Diels-Alder Approach

Our synthetic efforts began with synthesis of Danishefsky-type diene 97 (Scheme 13). Acylation of commercially available bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene with propionyl chloride in the presence of aluminum chloride afforded alkyne 106, which was used without further purification. ${ }^{73}$ Treatment of $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ with methanol and 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO) ${ }^{74}$ afforded enol ether 107 in $70 \%$ yield over 2 steps. Diene formation was achieved by reaction of 107 with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, TMSOTf, and $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$, generating 97 as a $4: 1$ ratio of $Z$ : $E$ isomers in $85 \%$ yield. ${ }^{75}$


Scheme 13. Synthesis of a diene for hetero-Diels Alder chemistry
Conditions: a) propionyl chloride, $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt ; b) $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{DABCO}, \mathrm{rt}, 70 \%$ over 2 steps; c) $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{TMSCl}$, toluene, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 85 \%$.

Employing chemistry developed by Jacobsen and coworkers, ${ }^{76,}{ }^{77}$ we were able to synthesize dihydropyranone 96 in $82 \%$ yield (Scheme 14) via an asymmetric hetero-Diels Alder reaction between 97 and acetaldehyde in the presence of catalyst 108. Luche reduction ${ }^{78}$ of dihydropyranone 96 ( $87 \%$ yield), followed by protection of the resulting alcohol 109 as the triisopropylsilyl ether afforded $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ in $54 \%$ yield. Silyl protected dihydropyranol $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ was converted to lactone 111 in 71\% yield via oxidation with PCC. The ee of pyranone 96 (77\%) was established via hydrogenation of dihydropyranol 109 then subsequent treatment with $(S)$-(+)Mosher's acid chloride to afford 112. The diastereomers of this compound were sufficiently resolved in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum to permit analysis.




Scheme 14. Synthesis of the pederic acid core via hetero-Diels Alder
Conditions: a) acetaldehyde, $4 \AA \mathrm{MS}, \mathbf{1 0 8}, 40 \mathrm{~h}$, then TFA, $82 \%, 77 \%$ ee (see text); b) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{CeCl}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH},-10$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $87 \%$; c) TIPSOTf, 2,6 -lutidine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $54 \%$; d) PCC, DCE, $71 \%$; e) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH} ;$ f) $(\mathrm{S})-$ $(+)$-Mosher's acid chloride, pyridine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$

With the core of pederic acid complete, our next task was the synthesis of the C6 side chain using glycolate derivative $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ and lactone $\mathbf{1 1 1}$ following the literature protocol developed by Nakata and co-workers in their synthesis of pederic acid derivatives. ${ }^{34}$ Our decision to use protected benzyl glycolate was based on two desires: avoidance of the late stage usage of HMPA in our synthetic route (as reported by Nakata), thus easing the purification of the anticipated
labile final product, and the possibility of employing mild saponification conditions to cleave benzyl ester.

Treatment of lactone 111 and ester 113 under literature conditions afforded acetal 114, which when treated with acidic $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ afforded desired product $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ (Scheme 15 ).


Scheme 15. A diastereoselective Claisen condensation and subsequent acetal deprotection
Conditions: a) LDA (11.3 equiv), 113 ( 11.3 equiv), THF, HMPA ( 16.4 equiv), $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ ( $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 11.3$ equiv.), 78 to $\left.-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 17 \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{b}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}(1: 1), \mathrm{CSA}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}, \mathrm{rt}, 10 \mathrm{~min}, 28 \%$ from 111, $19: 81$ d.r.

This particular reaction sequence was performed several times with the reaction yields varying from poor to moderate $(28 \%, 40 \%$, and $51 \%)$. In addition, the diastereoselectivity for the transformation was extremely variable, ranging from 19:81 to 56:44 ratios of diastereomers at C7 from which neither syn nor anti products could be assigned from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra. The large quantities of reagents used in this transformation, and the lack of purification of intermediate $\mathbf{1 1 4}$ made analysis and purification of the final products a fairly difficult process.

Although yields were moderate at best, material was moved towards downstream chemistry to determine the viability of our synthetic route (Scheme 16). A 19:81 mixture of diastereomers of substrate $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ was protected at C7 as the benzoate furnishing $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ in $52 \%$ yield. With 116 in hand, we envisioned that the silyl ether at C 4 could be removed to furnish the desired alcohol 117. An oxidation/olefination route would allow for installation of the C 4 exocyclic olefin present in the molecule. Thus, silyl ether $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ was subjected to TBAF in THF in an attempt to obtain alcohol 117. However, no reaction was observed (even upon heating).

Several other conditions were also employed, but the desired transformation could not be accomplished.


Scheme 16. Protecting group manipulation for pederic acid intermediates
Conditions: a) BzCl , pyridine, DMAP, $52 \%$; b) TBAF, THF, 25 to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, no reaction; c) TBAT, THF, no reaction; d) HF-pyridine, THF, overnight stirring, no reaction.

In an attempt to avoid C4 silyl deprotection issues, compound 119 bearing the more labile TBS silyl ether was synthesized from 109 in good yield (Scheme 17).


Scheme 17. Synthesis of a TBS lactone substrate
Conditions: a) TBSCl, imidazole, DMF, $72 \%$; b) PCC, DCE, $71 \%$.

TBS protected lactone $\mathbf{1 1 9}$ was subjected to the aforementioned literature Claisen conditions (Scheme 18) but with a few subtle changes in the procedure: Stirring times between the addition of reagents were shortened (see experimental section Appendix A) and the subsequent reaction with camphorsulfonic acid, trimethylorthoformate, and methanol was shortened, due to our belief that our poor yields may have been due to product decomposition under the acidic conditions. Furthermore, commercial LHMDS (1M in THF) rather than LDA was used in an attempt to eliminate variability in preparation of the base. After several attempts at acidic cleavage of the C7 acetal (see experimental), and removal of excess reagents and byproducts from the reaction mixture, diol 121 was isolated. This compound was found to be configurationally unstable in
$\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. We hypothesized that this could possibly be an explanation for the variable diastereoselectivities in the preparation of substrate 115, as all the diastereomeric ratios were determined via analysis of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

Resubjection of $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ to acidic $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ led to formation of the desired acetal as well as C4 silyl-deprotection. The C7 epimers were separable via flash column chromatography, and the structure of the desired diastereomer $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ was confirmed via X-ray crystallography.


Scheme 18. C6 side chain elaboration via a diastereoselective Claisen condensation
Conditions: a) LHMDS (11.3 equiv.), 113 ( 11.3 equiv.), THF, HMPA ( 16.4 equiv.), $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ ( 1 M in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 11.3$ equiv.), -78 to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 17 \mathrm{~h}$; b) $\mathrm{CSA}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}(1: 1)$, rt , (see text for a discussion of d.r.); c) CSA, $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ (1:1), rt, 2 h .

To determine the viability of this synthetic route, $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ was used for subsequent studies (Scheme 19). Oxidation of the C4 hydroxyl group generating 124 was achieved via PCC oxidation, albeit in a moderate $55 \%$ yield. Attempts at other oxidative methods (Parikh-Doering and Dess-Martin oxidations) afforded no reaction or oxidation at C 4 and C 7 , respectively. TakaiNozaki olefination afforded compound $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ in a $25 \%$ unoptimized yield.


Scheme 19. Synthesis of pederic acid
Conditions: a) PCC, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 55 \%$; b) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2} \mathrm{ZnTiCl}_{4}$, THF, $25 \%$; c) DMF, NaH , dodecanethiol.

An attempt to cleave the benzyl ester of $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ using sodium dodecanethiolate gave consumption of starting material and formation of one major product which appeared consistent with carboxylic acid formation based upon thin-layer chromatography analysis (i.e. an extremely polar and streaky major product). However, due to the small scale of this reaction ( 5 mg ) and the large excess of reagents used (DMF and dodecanethiol), product formation could not be confirmed with certainty.

From the aforementioned studies we were able to gain significant insight about intermediates in the diastereoselective Claisen reaction as well as a possible explanation of the wildly inconsistent diastereoselectivities. Furthermore, we were also able to isolate our desired Claisen product 122 and determine the relative stereochemistry of this compound via X-ray crystallography. Lastly, we were able to reach the penultimate step in the synthesis of pederic acid.

### 1.4.2 Synthesis of Pederic Acid: Enantioselective Ketene-Aldehyde Cycloaddition

## Approach

To streamline our synthesis and avoid protecting group issues, we chose to alter our retrosynthetic analysis to construct known key intermediate 127 (Scheme 20). ${ }^{34}$ While our forward synthesis would be largely similar to Nakata's work, we were excited about the possibility of construction of the pederic acid core from $\beta$-lactone $\mathbf{1 3 0}$, which we anticipated could be constructed on multi-gram scale and in high enantiopurity through an enantioselective ketene-aldehyde cycloaddition.


Scheme 20. A second generation pederic acid retrosynthesis

Our forward synthesis commenced with the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ using cycloaddition chemistry developed by Nelson and coworkers. ${ }^{79}$ Treatment of acetaldehyde with propionyl chloride and DIPEA in the presence of catalytic trimethylsilyl-protected quinidine (131) afforded 130 in excellent ee ( $>99 \%$ ), and a modest $45 \%$ isolated yield (Scheme 21).


Scheme 21. Synthesis of lactone substrate for Claisen condensation
Conditions: a) TMS-quinidine (131) ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), propionyl chloride ( 2 equiv.), DIPEA ( 2.5 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 99 \% \mathrm{ee}$; b) $\mathrm{LDA}, t$ - $\mathrm{BuOAc}, \mathrm{THF},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 76 \%$ ( 2 steps); c) $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, ethanedithiol, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 3 days, $64 \%$.

Due to the volatility of $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ however, it was more advantageous to avoid purification and isolation of this substrate. Addition of a crude mixture of $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to a solution of the lithium enolate of tert-butyl acetate afforded the desired $\beta$-keto ester $\mathbf{1 2 9}$ in $\mathbf{7 6 \%}$ yield over 2 steps. Subjection of $\mathbf{1 2 9}$ to $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and ethanedithiol for 3 days afforded the desired product 127 in 64\% yield.

With lactone 127 in hand, we were able to carry out the diastereoselective Claisen condensation protocol affording 132 as a single diastereomer in $60 \%$ yield ( $70 \% \mathrm{brsm}$ ) (Scheme 22). While our yields were not as high as those reported by Nakata ( $83 \%$ yield, $88 \% \mathrm{brsm}$ ), it was our observation that this reaction was a consistently difficult undertaking. Rigorous drying of all reagents, the use of deoxygenated solvents, and a painstaking attention to the rates of reagent addition and stirring times was necessary to achieve even moderate yields for this transformation.

Protection of the secondary alcohol $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ with benzoyl chloride, pyridine, and DMAP provided benzoate 133 in $94 \%$ yield. Removal of the dithiolane protecting group afforded ketone

134 in $58 \%$ yield, which was slightly lower than expected due to unavoidable product decomposition upon purification caused by elimination at C6.



Scheme 22. Completion of $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Bz}$ pederic acid
Conditions: a) LDA, $O$-(2-methoxy-2-propyl)-glycolate (128), (see Scheme 20), HMPA, $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ ( 1 M in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), THF, -78 to $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 17 \mathrm{~h}$; b) $\left.\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}(1: 1), \mathrm{CSA}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 60 \%(70 \% \mathrm{brsm})(2 \mathrm{steps}) ; \mathrm{c}\right) \mathrm{BzCl}$, DMAP, pyridine, $94 \%$; d) bis(trifluoroacetoxy)iodo benzene, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 58 \%$; e) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2} \mathrm{ZnTiCl}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}, 76 \%$; f) $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SnOH}, 1,2$-dichloroethane, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 82 \%$.

Subjecting 44 to Takai-Nozaki olefination conditions followed by a fairly rapid purification via flash column chromatography afforded exocyclic olefin 135 in $76 \%$ yield. While compound 135 was stable for weeks after isolation and purification, it should be noted that prolonged exposure to silica gel during purification of the crude residue resulted in markedly lower yields. Saponification of methyl ester $\mathbf{1 3 5}$ under conditions developed by Nicoloau ${ }^{80}$ afforded our desired product $\mathbf{5 9}$ in $82 \%$ yield. This material could be stored for several weeks in a benzene matrix at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ without any decomposition.

### 1.4.3 Conclusions

Two methods for construction of the pederic acid core were developed. The initial method utilized an asymmetric hetero-Diels-Alder to construct the core pyran in moderate
enantioselectivity ( $77 \% e e$ ). Additionally, the pederic acid core was synthesized using an enantioselective ketene-aldehyde cycloaddition furnishing the desired substrate in $99 \% \mathrm{ee}$. The substrate was functionalized as reported by Nakata. However, a mild tin-mediated saponification was employed to ease purification, affording the desired protected pederic acid fragment in good yield.

### 1.5 AMIDOTRIOXADECALIN SYNTHESIS

With the pederic acid portion of the molecule complete, we turned our attention to the construction of amidotrioxadecalin fragment 98. For known keto-aldehyde $\mathbf{1 0 5}$, ${ }^{81}$ several methods for asymmetric allylation were at our disposal. Treatment of $\mathbf{1 5}$ with Leighton's pseudoephedrine derived allylsilane ${ }^{82} \mathbf{1 3 6}$ afforded homoallylic alcohol 137 in an excellent $94 \%$ yield, and $90 \% \mathrm{ee}$ (Scheme 23). ${ }^{69,71}$ This reaction could not be consistently reproduced however, and yields varied by as much as $30 \%$. Furthermore, in our hands preparation of $\mathbf{1 3 6}$ was inefficient and prohibitively expensive on multi-gram scale. Our desire to synthesize large quantities of starting materials efficiently and economically led us to investigate the utility of Roush's diisopropyl tartrate derived allyl borane $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ for this transformation. ${ }^{83}$


Scheme 23. Allylation of keto-aldehyde 15
Conditions: a) $\mathbf{1 3 6}$, toluene, $-15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60-94 \%, 90 \%$ ee; b) 138 , toluene, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 71 \%, 85 \%$ ee; c) 2,6 -di-tertbutylpyridine, MeOTf, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 88 \%$.

Although the enantiomeric excess was slightly lower $(85 \% e e)$, the yield of this reaction was consistently reproducible. In addition, allylborane $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ could be prepared easily and relatively inexpensively from D-diisopropyl tartrate. Alcohol 137 was methylated under non-anionic conditions with methyl trifluoromethanesulfonate and 2,6-di-tert-butylpyridine to avoid a retroaldol pathway, generating methyl ether 139 in $88 \%$ yield.

With ether $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ in hand we envisioned using 1,5-asymmetric induction via a boron aldol condensation to construct the requisite C17 stereocenter (Scheme 24). This method, initially developed by Masamune ${ }^{84}$ and further elaborated by Paterson, ${ }^{85}$ has been shown to provide excellent stereocontrol for the aldol reaction of methyl ketones bearing $\beta$ - $p$-methoxybenzyl (PMB), $\beta$-methoxybenzylidene (PMP) acetal, and $\beta$-tetrahydropyran (THP) susbstituents. $\beta$-Methoxy ketones have been shown to afford moderate diastereocontrol, while $\beta$-silyl ethers show poor 1,5-anti diastereocontrol. Although several transition state models have been proposed, a detailed understanding of the root of this induction remains unclear, with empirical results largely serving as the explanation for the selectivity. Recently, Goodman has proposed a
model which invokes a formyl bond between the reactive aldehyde and the oxygen of the $\beta$ directing group based upon theoretical calculations (Figure 13). ${ }^{86}$


1,5-anti TS


1,5-syn TS

Figure 13. Goodman's transition state model for boron mediated 1,5-asymmetric induction
Treating ketone 139 with diethylboron triflate, and diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by addition of 4-pentenal at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded alcohol $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ in excellent yield as a 3:1 (anti:syn) mixture of inseparable isomers (Scheme 24). To improve upon this result, we chose to generate the boron enolate using $(+)$-DIP-Cl as our boron source in an attempt to synergistically enhance our diastereoselectivity through matched substrate and reagent control. ${ }^{87,88}$


Scheme 24. Synthesis of a diol bearing C13, C15, and C17 stereocenters
Conditions: a) $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{BOTf}$, DIPEA, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then 4 -pentenal, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 86 \%$, 3:1 (anti:syn); b) (+)-DIP-Cl, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 0$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then 4-pentenal, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 62 \%, 10: 1$ (anti:syn); c) $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{BOMe}$, THF: $\mathrm{MeOH}(10: 1), \mathrm{NaBH}_{4},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 76 \%$.

Treating 139 with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ and (+)-DIP-Cl in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by addition of 4-pentenal at $78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded alcohol 140 in $62 \%$ yield and a 10:1 ratio of inseparable isomers. Keto-alcohol

140 was selectively reduced with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in the presence of diethylmethoxyborane to syn-diol 104 in $76 \%$ yield. ${ }^{89}$

With material in hand, we set about construction of the C and D rings of theopederin D (Scheme 25).


Scheme 25. Synthesis of rings $C$ and $D$ of theopederin $D$
Conditions: a) $\mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, 30 \%$; b) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}, \mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, 2,6$-lutidine, p-dioxane, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, 82 \%$; c) PPTs, $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{THF}$ (1:1), rt, 40 minutes, quantitative (used without purification).

Ozonolysis of 104 afforded bis-lactol 103 in consistently poor yields ( $\sim 30 \%$ ). However, subjecting $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ to modified Johnson-Lemieux conditions ${ }^{90}$ afforded 103 in an excellent $82 \%$ yield. It should be noted that this reaction is essentially a one-pot 6 step transformation consisting of two dihydroxylations, two oxidative cleavages, and two intramolecular cyclizations. Treating $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ with PPTs in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{THF}$ at room temperature selectively furnished tetrahydrofuranyl acetal 141 in quantitative yield. This selectivity can be explained by the differences in ring strain energy of the two possible oxocarbenium ions formed during the acidic methanolysis (103a and 103b, Figure 14). Due to a lower barrier of formation for 103b relative to 103a this oxocarbenium ion forms faster, leading to the observed kinetic product 141. This selective tetrahydrofuranyl ether formation enabled us to selectively mask the D ring lactone of theopederin D until a later point in our synthesis.


Figure 14. An illustration of the oxocarbenium ions possibly formed during acidic methanolysis of substrate 103

Cyclic acetal 141 was dehydrated under basic conditions using DIPEA, and trifluoroacetic anhydride to afford dihydropyran 102 in $92 \%$ yield (2 steps from 103) (Scheme 26).


Scheme 26. Towards the synthesis of the aminotrioxadecalin. Elaboration of the theopederin D C ring
Conditions: a) trifluoroacetic anhydride, DIPEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 92 \%$ (2 steps from 103); b) DMDO, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then c) trivinylalane, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Treatment of $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ with dimethyldioxirane (DMDO) ${ }^{91,92}$ afforded labile epoxide $\mathbf{1 4 2}$ which, without purification, was quickly added to a stirring solution of trivinylalane in THF at $78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ generating syn addition product 101. ${ }^{93,94}$ Interestingly, slow dropwise addition of $\mathbf{1 4 2}$ to
the trivinylalane solution afforded markedly lower yields, with the major product appearing to be oligomerization adduct. Rapid addition of the epoxide however ( $<15 \mathrm{~min}$ independent of the scale of the reaction), consistently produced the desired product in quantitative yield as one stereoisomer, and without the need for purification.

With stereocenters C11 and C12 constructed, we embarked upon a sequence of functional group interconversions to construct our ETIC substrate. Treatment of $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ with 4chloromethoxybutoxymethylbenzene ${ }^{70}$ (144) afforded $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ in $77 \%$ yield (over 2 steps from 102) (Scheme 27).


147


Scheme 27. Tetrahydropyran elaboration towards an ETIC substrate for cyclization
Conditions: a) $144, \mathrm{KI}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 77 \%$ (2 steps); b) $\mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then c) $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{4}$, (R)-t-butylsulfinamide, $55 \%$ (2 steps).

Ozonolysis of $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ followed by treatment of the resulting labile aldehyde 146 with Ellman's $(R)$ -tert-butyl sulfonamide and $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{4}$ afforded 147 in $55 \%$ yield over 2 steps. ${ }^{95}$ The low yield for this transformation is presumably due to the sensitivity of intermediate aldehyde 146. Attempts
at purification of aldehyde $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ followed by sulfonamide condensation afforded significantly lower yields.

Sulfinylimine $\mathbf{1 4 7}$ however, was exceptionally stable and addition of benzylmagnesium chloride afforded $\mathbf{1 4 8}$ in $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ yield as 1:1 mixture of diastereomers at C10 (Scheme 28). ${ }^{96}$


Scheme 28. Completion of the amidotrioxadecalin ring system
Conditions: a) $\mathrm{BnMgCl}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 75 \%, 1: 1$ d.r.; b) 4 M HCl in $p$-dioxane, $\mathrm{MeOH}, 75 \%$; c) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; d) Cbz chloride, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, 70 \%$ (2 steps).

While the lack of diastereocontrol was surprising, the stereocenter in question had no bearing on the outcome of our synthesis. However, attempts at improving the diastereoselectivity were made in an effort to ease interpretation of spectral data. Adding tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA) to the reaction mixture to enhance selectivity ${ }^{96}$ also afforded a 1:1 ratio of products. In addition, to determine if the poor diastereocontrol was due to "mismatched" double diastereodifferentiation, the ( $S$ )-tert-butylsulfinylimine was prepared and treated with identical reaction conditions. Again, benzyl addition afforded only a 1:1 ratio of diastereomers.

Cleavage of the chiral sulfur auxiliary with 4 M HCl afforded amine 149 in $75 \%$ yield as a mixture of diastereomers (Scheme 28). Hydrogenolysis of 149 in the presence of acetic acid afforded amino alcohol 150. Protection of the amine functionality with CbzCl and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{THF}$ afforded carbamate 151 in $70 \%$ yield.

Treatment of 151 with oxidative etherification conditions developed by Suarez and coworkers ${ }^{97}\left(\mathrm{PhI}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right.$, iodine, cyclohexane, then $\left.h v\right)$ afforded tetrahydrofuranyl substrate $\mathbf{1 5 2}$ in $80 \%$ yield (Scheme 29). ${ }^{97}$


Scheme 29. Completion of the theopederin D amidotrioxadecalin
Conditions: a) DIB, $\mathrm{I}_{2}$, cyclohexane, $80 \%$, $h v$; b) $h v, \mathrm{NMQPF}_{6}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{DCE}, \mathrm{PhMe}, 4 \AA \mathrm{MS}, 76 \%$, 2:1 d.r.; c) Jones oxidation, $64 \%$.

To our delight, subjection of $\mathbf{1 5 2}$ to our ETIC conditions afforded amidotrioxadecalin $\mathbf{1 5 3}$ in $76 \%$ yield. ${ }^{68}$ Jones oxidation of acetal 153 afforded lactone 154 in $64 \%$ yield.

### 1.6 FRAGMENT COUPLING AND COMPLETION OF THE TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF THEOPEDERIN D

With fragments 59 and 154 in hand our attention turned to coupling of these compounds under conditions developed by Rawal and coworkers (Scheme 30). ${ }^{54}$


Scheme 30. DCC coupling of C7-OBz-pederic acid and aminotrioxadecalin
Conditions: a) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, EtOAc; b) 59, DMAP, DCC, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, mixture of $\mathbf{5 2}$, 155-157, $15 \%$.

Treating carbamate 154 with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ in EtOAc furnished aminotrioxadecalin 93. While Kishi has reported isolation and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR studies of similar aminotrioxadecalin compounds in his total syntheses of the mycalamides, ${ }^{29}$ in our hands $\mathbf{9 3}$ was found to decompose fairly rapidly and had to be used within minutes of isolation. Prompt addition of $\mathbf{9 3}$ to a solution of freshly prepared $\mathbf{5 9}, \mathrm{DCC}$, and DMAP in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature, followed by stirring for 12 hours afforded products 52, and $\mathbf{1 5 5 - 1 5 7}$. From this product mixture $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehyde $\mathbf{1 5 5}$, the product of aminotrioxadecalin 93 decomposition, was the major product. Coupled products 156,

52 and 157 were formed in approximately $15 \%$ yield in a 1:1:1 ratio. The poor yield and diastereoselectivity for this transformation, in addition to the formation of C7 epimer $\mathbf{1 5 6}$ was perplexing in light of Rawal's elegant mycalamide A synthesis (Scheme 31 below).


Scheme 31. Rawal's mycalamide A fragment coupling
Conditions: DMAP, $\mathrm{DCC}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, $56 \%, 5: 1$ (desired:undesired).

Based upon our unsatisfactory results we hypothesized that the C15 tetrahydrofuranyl side chain could be exerting a remote effect on our substrate. The subtle effects of this side chain on reactivity and diastereocontrol at C10 were initially observed by Kishi in his total syntheses of mycalamides A and B (see Scheme 2, page 10). ${ }^{29}$

Thus, we set about optimization of our coupling reaction. Our intent was to decrease the length of reaction in an effort to suppress decomposition leading to formation of $\mathbf{1 5 5}$, and to increase the electrophilicity of the pederic acid coupling fragment 59. To accomplish these objectives, we chose to perform the coupling using C7-OBz-pederic acid chloride $\mathbf{1 5 8}$ (Scheme 32).

Treating 59 with $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ and pyridine in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ afforded acid chloride $\mathbf{1 5 8},{ }^{98}$ which was used without further purification (Scheme 32). Treating 158, with a freshly prepared solution of $\mathbf{9 3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by warming to room temperature afforded coupled products $\mathbf{5 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 5 7}$ in $40 \%$ yield, and in a 1:1 d.r. This reaction was performed several times, and was
highly reproducible. However, varying amounts of the C7 epimer $\mathbf{1 5 6}$ were also recovered during each coupling.


Scheme 32. Acid chloride coupling conditions
Conditions: a) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$, pyridine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt; b) $158, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 40 \%$.

Attempts at epimerization of the epi-C10 isomer 157 were unsuccessful. Treating 157 with stoichiometric 2-hydroxypyridine (pyridone) in acetonitrile at room temperature followed by heating to $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hours afforded only starting material (Scheme 33). Employing DMAP, DMAP hydrochloride salt, and a combination of these two reagents also afforded only starting material, even at elevated temperatures.


Scheme 33. Epimerization studies of epi-C10-O-Bz-theopederin D
Conditions: a) pyridone, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, rt to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$, no reaction; b) DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$ no reaction; c) DMAP- HCl , $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$, no reaction; d) DMAP, DMAP- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}$, no reaction.

To complete our synthesis, C 7 O -Bz-theopederin D (52) was treated with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in MeOH to afford theopederin D 1, in $66 \%$ yield (Scheme 34).


Scheme 34. Final protecting group removal to afford theopederin $D$
Conditions: a) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 66 \%$.

### 1.7 CONCLUSION

The amidotrioxadecalin ring system comprising the eastern hemisphere of theopederin D ( $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$, and D rings) was successfully synthesized. A 1,5-anti-boron aldol was used to establish the required stereochemical relationship between C 13 and C 17 . The C and D rings of theopederin D were constructed in high yield via a one-pot six reaction sequence of dihydroxylation, $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ cleavage, and cyclization. From this bis-lactol substrate, selective tetrahydrofuranyl ether formation in the presence of a tetrahydropyranol allowed for differentiation/protection of the D ring for late stage oxidation to the requisite lactone functionality. The C ring was elaborated using epoxidation/ syn vinylation chemistry developed by Yamamoto and Rainier providing functional group handles for preparation of our electron transfer initiated cyclization (ETIC) substrate. After a series of straightforward functional group manipulations, the ETIC substrate was constructed in short order. Treatment of our substrate with mild and essentially neutral ETIC conditions furnished the amidotrioxadecalin ring system
in excellent yield. Lastly, Jones oxidation of the pendant $D$ ring furnished the desired amidotrioxadecalin system with the proper oxidation state.

The aminotrioxadecalin and pederic acid subunits were coupled using conditions based upon literature precedent ( $\mathrm{DCC}, \mathrm{DMAP}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) affording the desired coupled product, albeit in $15 \%$ yield, with the major product being derived from aminotrioxadecalin decomposition. Optimization of the reaction conditions, namely the usage of pederic acid chloride, led to an increase in reaction rate and a significantly higher yield ( $40 \%$ 1:1 d.r.). Lastly, benzoyl protecting group removal furnished the natural product in $66 \%$ yield.

Thus the synthesis of theopederin D was completed, with our synthesis furnishing the natural product in $0.8 \%$ overall yield. The longest linear sequence was 16 steps from ketoaldehyde 105. This material can be easily obtained in multi-gram quantities in two steps from commercially available and inexpensive starting materials. ${ }^{81}$ Our usage of a 1,5-anti boron aldol to establish the proper C17 stereochemistry on the theopederin side chain should allow for the construction of several other pederin family members, notably mycalamides A and B . Furthermore, our catalytic asymmetric approach to the construction of the pederic acid core allows for rapid construction of this fragment on multi-gram scale.

# 2.0 SYNTHESIS AND DETERMINATION OF THE ABSOLUTE AND RELATIVE STEREOCHEMISTRY OF PSYMBERIC ACID 

### 2.1 INTRODUCTION

In relation to our interest in the pederin family of natural products, our labs were involved in research towards the total synthesis of psymberin (Figure 15). This distant relative of the theopederin and mycalamide classes of compounds displays selective cytotoxicity against several cancer cell lines. In addition to our synthetic studies, we also endeavored to determine the absolute and relative stereochemistry of the C1-C6 side chain (which we have named psymberic acid).


Figure 15. Psymberin

Psymberin's unique departure from the structural and biological motifs of the pederin family attracted the interest of several research groups, and many of them were simultaneously working towards the total synthesis of this natural product. The following sections discuss the
isolation and structure elucidation of $\mathbf{1}$, as well as its intriguing biological activity. Lastly an overview of the syntheses of psymberic acid (performed concurrently with our own synthetic work) is presented.

### 2.1.1 Isolation and Elucidation of the Structure of Psymberin

Irciniastatin A was isolated in 2004 from the marine sponge Ircinia Ramosa by Pettit ${ }^{12}$ and coworkers in Indonesian waters. Also in 2004, Crews and coworkers ${ }^{11}$ isolated a compound from the Psammocinia sp. sponge off the coast of Papua New Guinea which they named psymberin. Due to their similar biological and structural properties, it was speculated that the two compounds were identical. However, because the spectra of these compounds were reported in different deuterated solvents, this could not be absolutely confirmed.

The planar structure of psymberin (1) was elucidated by the two groups in roughly the same manner, with researchers separating the molecule into 3 pieces: The acyclic amide side chain (psymberic acid), the rigid THP ring, and the pendant dihydroisocoumarin (Figure 16). Crews and coworkers established the connectivity of psymberic acid and the THP ring based upon an HMBC correlation between carbonyl C 6 and H 8 . Connectivity to the pendant dihydroisocoumarin was established based upon a COSY correlation between H 13 and H 15 as well as an HMBC correlation between C 14 and H15. Pettit used a somewhat similar HMBC correlation approach in his determination of the connectivity of the molecule.

With the skeletal structure of psymberin established, it was clear that the compound was related to the pederin family. Like other members of the family, psymberin contains acyl aminal functionality as well as an eastern hemisphere THP ring. However, the trioxadecalin ring system
present in the mycalamides, onnamides, and theopederins is absent, and the cyclic pederic acid subunit (seen in all of the 33 other family members) is not present.


Figure 16. The partially elucidated structure of psymberin/irciniastatin A by Crews
Although both groups arrived at identical planar structures, their assignment of psymberin's stereochemical configuration was not entirely identical. Attempts by both groups to make derivatives or obtain crystal structures of psymberin were unsuccessful.

The Crews group assigned the structure of the psymberic acid side chain using nOe, and HSQMBC data (see Figure 17). However, the C 4 stereocenter could not be determined because of the chain's rotational freedom, and assignment of the stereochemistry at $\mathrm{C} 5\left(S^{*}\right)$ was speculated based on psymberin's homology to pederin (the same rotamers for the analogous C6 and C7 carbons were reported for mycalamide A). The Pettit group made no attempts at assigning the stereochemical configuration of psymberic acid.


Figure 17. Observed coupling in the determination of psymberic acid stereochemistry

Furthermore, the Crews and Pettit groups reported different stereochemical assignments for the eastern hemisphere THP ring and appended acyl aminal functionality (see Figure 18). The Crews group confirmed the chair structure based on spin-spin couplings and nOe enhancements, with the stereochemistry at C8 being assigned based upon nOe enhancements between $\mathrm{H} 8 / \mathrm{H} 11 \mathrm{a}$, $\mathrm{H} 8 / \mathrm{H} 13 \mathrm{a}$, and $8-\mathrm{OCH}_{3} / \mathrm{H}-10 \mathrm{e}$. These enhancements in addition to gauche coupling between $\mathrm{H} 8 / \mathrm{C} 10(J=3 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $\mathrm{H} 8 / \mathrm{C} 9(J=6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ confirmed their stereochemical assignment of $8 S^{*}, 9 S^{*}$, $11 R^{*}$, and $13 R^{*}$.



Figure 18. A comparison of Crews (upper) and Pettit (lower) stereochemical assignments using nOe enhancements (arrows) for the THP ring and appended acyl aminal

The Pettit group determined the stereochemistry of the eastern hemisphere tetrahydropyran ring based upon nOe enhancements as well (see Figure 18 above). However, their assignment of C 8 differs from that of Crews and co-workers. Their stereochemical configuration is rationalized by enhancements between H 5 and the amide proton, and H 9 and the
amide proton which led to them to conclude that these protons were all oriented on the same side in space. Further enhancements of H 8 to H 11 and H 13 completed their analysis of the system.

Lastly, Crews and researchers defined the stereochemical configuration of the C15 to C17 system using coupling constants, nOe's (see Figure 19) and the observance of a Cotton effect at the $n \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ (ca. 270 nm ) transition for the chiral dihydroisocoumarin. The Pettit group made no assignment for these stereocenters.


Figure 19. Stereochemical configuration of C 15 to C 18 of psymberin by Crews and researchers based upon nOe enhancements (arrows)

### 2.1.2 Biological activity of psymberin

In addition to psymberin's striking structural departures from the class of pederin-like molecules, its biological activity also differs from other family members. Psymberin displays selective in vitro biological activity (see Table 3). ${ }^{99}$ For example, for multiple leukemia cell lines (CCRF-CEM, HL-60(TB), K-562) psymberin displayed relatively high $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ values $\left(>2.5 \times 10^{-5}\right.$ M). However, for other cell lines such as melanoma (MALME-3M) or breast cancer (MDA-MB435) psymberin is 4 orders of magnitude more potent $\left(\mathrm{LC}_{50}<2.5 \times 10^{-9}\right)$.

Table 3. In vitro LC50 (M) values for psymberin against various cancer cell lines
Reprinted with permission from Cichewicz, R.H; Valeriote, F.A; Crews, P. "Psymberin, A Potent SpongeDerived Cytotoxin from Psammocinia Distantly Related to the Pederin Family" Org. Lett. 6(12); 1951-1954. Copyright 2004. American Chemical Society.

| cell line | LC50 $(\mathrm{M})$ | cell line | LC50 $(\mathrm{M})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leukemia |  | Melanoma |  |
| CCRF-CEM | $>2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ | LOX IMVI | $>2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| HL-60(TB) | $>2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ | MALME-3M | $<2.5 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| K-562 | $>2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ | SK-MEL-2 | $>2.5 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| MOLT-4 | $>2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ | SK-MEL-5 | $<2.4 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| RPMI-8226 | $>2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ | SK-MEL-28 | $1.41 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| SR | $>2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ | UACC-257 | $>2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
|  |  | UACC-62 | $<2.5 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| breast cancer |  | colon cancer |  |
| MCF7 | $>2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ | HCC-2998 | $3.76 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| HS 578T | HCT-116 | $<2.5 \times 10^{-9}$ |  |
| MDA-MB-435 | $<2.5 \times 10^{-9}$ | HT29 | $>2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| NCI/ADR-RES | $1.9 \times 10^{-5}$ | SW-620 | $>2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| T-47D | $1.36 \times 10^{-5}$ |  |  |

Psymberin also displays potent growth inhibition against numerous cancer cell lines $\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}\right.$ values of $10^{-3}-10^{-4} \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) (see Table 4). Initial hypotheses suggested that the psymberic acid and dihydroisocoumarin moieties may have been responsible for psymberin's selective biological activity. However, recent work by De Brabander using psymberin/pederin analogues has illustrated that while the cyclic pederic acid subunit is responsible for the cytotoxicity of pederin and mycalamide, the dihydroisocoumarin is critical to the displayed cytotoxicity of psymberin. ${ }^{58}$

Table 4. Inhibition of cell line growth (GI50, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) for psymberin
Reprinted with permission from Pettit, G.R. et al "Antineoplastic Agents. 520. Isolation and Structure of Irciniastatins A and B from the Indo-Pacific Marine Sponge Ircinia ramosa" J. Med. Chem.. 47(5); 1149-1152. Copyright 2004. American Chemical Society.

| Human cancer cell line |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pancreas | BXPC-3 | GI50 $(\boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathbf{m L})$ |
| Breast | MCF-7 | 0.0038 |
| CNS | SF268 | 0.0032 |
| Lung | NCI-H460 | 0.0034 |
| colon | KM20L2 | 0.0001 |
| prostate | DU-145 | 0.0027 |
| Leukemia (murine) | P388 | 0.00413 |

### 2.2 PREVIOUS SYNTHESES OF PSYMBERIC ACID

### 2.2.1 Synthesis of Psymberic Acid by De Brabander and Coworkers

Based on the belief that Crews' assignment of the stereochemical configuration of C5was correct, the synthetic route toward psymberic acid pursued by De Brabander involved construction of the two possible stereoisomers at C4 (see Scheme 35). ${ }^{57}$ Commercially available acetonide 2 was diastereoselectively methallylated using (-)-(Ipc) $)_{2} \mathrm{BOMe}$ and methallyllithium to afford an alcohol at C 4 . The alcohol was subsequently converted to the methyl ether, and the acetonide was removed to afford diol $\mathbf{3}$ in $62 \%$ yield over 3 steps. Substrate 4 was prepared via protection of the C6 hydroxyl group as the TBS ether, benzoylation of the C5 hydroxyl group, and TBS deprotection of the C6 hydroxyl group in $90 \%$ yield over 3 steps. Primary alcohol 4 was converted to the aldehyde with Dess Martin-periodinane, followed by a Pinnick oxidation to generate carboxylic acid 5 in $87 \%$ yield over 2 steps. Syn diastereomer $\mathbf{6}$ was constructed under identical conditions using $(+)-(\mathrm{Ipc})_{2} \mathrm{BOMe}$.
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Scheme 35. De Brabander's synthesis of a protected psymberic acid substrate
Conditions: a) $(-)-(\mathrm{Ipc})_{2} \mathrm{BOMe}$ for the anti-series and $(+)-(\mathrm{Ipc})_{2} \mathrm{BOMe}$ for the syn-series, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMeCH}_{2} \mathrm{Li}^{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; b) NaH , MeI, THF; c) PPTs, $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $62 \%$ over 3 steps; d) TBSCl, imid., $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$; e) BzCl , pyr.; f) aq. $3 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}, 90 \%$ over 3 steps; g) DMPI, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$; h) $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{NaClO}_{2}, 2$-methyl-2-butene, $t$ - $\mathrm{BuOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $87 \%$ over 2 steps.

The De Brabander group would later determine the correct stereochemical configuration of psymberic acid $(4 S, 5 S)$ upon completion of the total synthesis of psymberin and comparison to the natural product's characterization data.

### 2.2.2 Williams' Model System Approach

In addition to work by the De Brabander group, Williams and coworkers investigated the stereochemical configuration of psymberic acid. ${ }^{100}$ Following a similar synthetic route to the De Brabander group, the Williams group was able to synthesize model amides $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{8}$ (see Figure 20).


Figure 20. Model substrates for Williams' NMR psymberic acid studies

Comparison of the differences between the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of the natural product with those of the model substrates led to the conclusion that the correct stereochemical configuration was $4 S, 5 S$ (see Figure 21).
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Figure 21. A histogram of the differences between psymberin and psymberic acid model substrates
The $x$-axis identifies the appropriate proton/ carbon signals. The $y$-axis is $\delta$ (psymberin) $-\delta($ anti or syn model).
Reprinted with permission from Kiren, S; Williams, L.J. "Configuration of the Psymberin Amide Side-chain" Org.
Lett. 7 (14); 2905-2907.Copyright 2005 American Chemical Society.

### 2.3 RETROSYNTHESIS OF PSYMBERIC ACID

At the time of our synthesis, the stereochemistry of pederin at C 4 was undefined and the configuration at C5 was hypothesized as $5 S^{*}$ based solely on psymberin's homology to pederin. Thus, we required a synthetic strategy that would allow for generation of all stereoisomers of this side chain for determination of the absolute and relative stereochemistry. Our retrosynthetic analysis began with cleavage of psymberin's amide bond to afford protected psymberic acid derivative 9 (see Figure 22). We envisioned that carboxylic acid 9 could be constructed via diastereoselective methallylation of orthogonally protected dihydroxypropionaldehyde $\mathbf{1 0}$, which
could be generated from known methyl ester $\mathbf{1 1}$. Diol 11 could be obtained from serine, of which both enantiomers are inexpensive and readily available.


Figure 22. Retrosynthesis of psymberic acid

### 2.4 SYNTHESIS OF PSYMBERIC ACID

Our synthesis of psymberic acid began with the diazotization of D-serine by treatment with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ for 3 days affording an alcohol at C 2 , followed by esterification to the methyl ester generating 13 in a modest $41 \%$ yield over 2 steps (see Scheme 36). Although our yield of $\mathbf{1 3}$ was not comparable to the reported literature yield, ${ }^{101}$ the starting materials were inexpensive and the ease of reaction on multi-gram scale, in addition to the high enantiopurity of the product, justified our continued usage of this protocol.


Scheme 36. Synthesis of psymberic acid intermediates
Conditions: a) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{NaNO}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 3$ days; b) $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 41 \%$ over 2 steps; c) TBDPSCl, imidazole, $-42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 74 \%$; d) $p$-methoxybenzyltrichloroacetimidate, $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, cyclohexane, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 76 \%$.

Mono-protection of the primary alcohol of $\mathbf{1 3}$ as the TBDPS ether followed by protection of the secondary alcohol using $p$-methoxybenzyltrichloroacetimidate and catalytic $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{THF}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ cyclohexane afforded 14 . It should be noted that although many literature procedures call for extended reaction times (i.e. overnight stirring) and catalytic $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O},{ }^{102}$ overnight stirring resulted in isolation of only starting material, and shorter reaction times with $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ resulted in yields much lower than reported literature values for this transformation. ${ }^{103}$ The use of milder conditions (less than $1 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{THF}$ ), shorter reaction times ( $\sim 3 \mathrm{~min}$ ) and a "reverse quench" method (see Experimental Appendix B), gave consistently reliable yields on various scales $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}$ to 9.00 g$)$.

From methyl ester 14, several choices were available for construction of the C 4 stereocenter. A one pot DIBAL-H reduction and methallylation using methallylmagnesium chloride resulted in a 1.8:1.0 ratio of syn:anti products (15) in excellent yield, with our selectivity rationalized by a chelation-controlled transition state (see Figure 23).
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Figure 23. Chelation controlled methallylation
Conditions: a) DIBAL-H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then methallylmagnesium chloride, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 91 \%$.

Due to our need for large quantities of both diastereomers the observed diastereoselectivity was deemed acceptable for our studies. However, research by Mulzer has shown that the addition of $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2}$ to Grignard additions can greatly improve the ratio of syn to anti products. ${ }^{104}$

Furthermore, we were able to improve our ratio of syn to anti products by treating the isolated (but crude) aldehyde from DIBAL-H reduction of $\mathbf{1 4}$ with $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and methallyltrimethyl silane at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford $\mathbf{1 5}$ in a $1: 4$ syn: anti diastereomeric ratio, albeit with much lower yields (typically $30 \%$ yield over 2 steps) due to decomposition of the product in the presence of $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Modification of the reaction conditions by using $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{THF}$ resulted in a more desirable yield (56\% over 2 steps, Scheme 37).



Scheme 37. Felkin-Anh methallylation
Conditions: a) DIBAL-H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; b) Methallyltrimethylsilane, $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 56 \% 2$ steps.
Attempts to improve upon this yield through the use of other methallylating reagents such as methallyl-tri- $n$-butyltin, resulted in good selectivity (based upon crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR). However, removal of the excess tin reagent required for this transformation was difficult.

The diastereomeric mixture of secondary alcohols (15) was methylated under non-anionic conditions generating a separable diastereomeric mixture of ethers 16 (see Scheme 38). Although the diastereomers could be separated at this point, material throughput and separation of the diastereomers was improved by delaying separation until removal of the silyl ether protecting group. Treating 16 with TBAF in THF furnished alcohols 17 and $\mathbf{1 8}$ in $76 \%$ yield.


Scheme 38. Methylation and deprotection of a psymberic acid precursor
Conditions: a) MeOTf, 2,6-di-tert-butylpyridine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 87 \%$; b) TBAF, THF, $76 \%$.
From this point, both anti and syn products (as well as the opposite enantiomeric series)
were taken through identical syntheses (Scheme 39). Parikh-Doering oxidation of alcohols $\mathbf{1 7}$
and 18 furnished aldehydes 19 and $\mathbf{2 0}$, respectively. A mild Pinnick oxidation was employed to avoid epimerization at the $\alpha$-position, providing anti and syn carboxylic acids 21 and 22, in 77\% and $82 \%$ yield, respectively.


Scheme 39. Completion of anti and syn protected psymberic acid substrates
Conditions: a) $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$-pyridine complex, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DMSO; b) $\mathrm{NaOCl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, 2-methyl-2-butene, $t$ - $\mathrm{BuOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 77 \%$ over 2 steps (anti series), $82 \%$ over 2 steps (syn series).

### 2.5 DETERMINATION OF THE ABSOLUTE AND RELATIVE STEREOCHEMISTRY OF PSYMBERIC ACID: NATURAL PRODUCT DEGRADATION AND ANALYSIS

With the synthesis of all possible stereoisomers of psymberic acid complete, our next task was the determination of the absolute and relative chemistry of the side chain in the natural product. During the late 1960 's and early 1970's, Matsumoto and Cardani performed extensive degradation studies of pederin. ${ }^{105,106}$ In their work, they developed conditions for cleavage of the acyl aminal bond (Figure 24). We hypothesized that we could cleave the acyl aminal bond of psymberin in a likewise manner.


Figure 24. A summary of acyl aminal cleavage conditions and products by Matsumoto (upper) and Cardani (lower)

Due to limited amounts of the natural product at our disposal $(0.5 \mathrm{mg}$ were graciously donated to our lab by Prof. Phil Crews), we required an analytical method that would allow for comparison to synthetic material and analysis on a small scale. Thus, we chose to use GC and GC-MS outfitted with chiral stationary phases for analysis of our degradation products.

### 2.5.1 Construction of a Degradation Model System

We chose to construct a model system bearing the acyl aminal functionality so that we could develop and optimize the reaction conditions required for cleavage (Scheme 40). Treating protected psymberic acid derivative $\mathbf{2 2}$ with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ and thionyl chloride in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ generated acid chloride 23, which was used without purification in subsequent acyl imidate formation with imidate 24. ${ }^{107} \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ reduction of acyl imidate $\mathbf{2 5}$ afforded acyl aminal 26 in $44 \%$ yield over 3 steps, and in a 2:1 ratio of inseparable diastereomers. ${ }^{107}$ Deprotection of PMB ether $\mathbf{2 6}$ with 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) afforded our model substrate 27 in a modest $39 \%$ yield.


Scheme 40. Synthesis of a model degradation system
Conditions: a) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt; b) 24, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt; c) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 44 \%$ over 3 steps from 22, 2:1 d.r.; d) DDQ, pH 7 buffer, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 39 \%$.

Treating our model system to modified conditions developed by Cardani ${ }^{108}$ afforded several degradation products, depending on the length of reaction. Scheme 41 (see below) provides a timeline of products that can be identified during this reaction based upon ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis.


Scheme 41. Degradation products of the acyl aminal model system
After 1 to 2 hours, amide 28 could be detected (based upon ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR), in addition to unreacted starting material. After 3 to 4 hours 27, 28 and methyl ester 29, were observed in the
reaction mixture. After 5 to 6 hours, the reaction mixture was composed of methyl ester 29, and compound 30, the product of olefin protonation followed by reaction of the resulting carbocation with MeOH . Prolonged reaction ( $\sim 12$ hours) provided $\mathbf{3 1}$ as the sole product. No epimerization was seen for this substrate (based initially upon ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, then upon subsequent research (See following text).

### 2.5.2 Construction of Tetrahydrofuran Stereoisomers

With knowledge of the degradation products from our model study, we set about synthesizing the final THF degradation products for all of the stereoisomers of psymberic acid (Scheme 42).
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Scheme 42. Synthesis of model system degradation products
Conditions: a) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, then MeOH at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 35 \%$; b) $\mathrm{CAN}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 96 \%$; c) 0.1 M $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 14 \mathrm{~h}$, quant.

Carboxylic acid 22 was treated with $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ to form the acid chloride which was treated with methanol to afford the methyl ester 32 in $35 \%$ yield. The p-methoxybenzyl protecting group was subsequently removed to afford alcohol 29 in $96 \%$ yield. Treating 29 with $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ in MeOH at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 14 hours afforded 31 in quantitative yield, as one
stereoisomer and with no signs of epimerization. The syn stereochemistry was confirmed by 2D NOESY analysis.

This synthetic sequence was performed for all stereoisomers (with similar yields), and in each case, only one stereoisomer was observed for the final product. Furthermore, each compound was analyzed by GC using a chiral column (Chiraldex G-TA) and only one peak was noted for each substrate. Lastly, conditions were developed that allowed for separation of a mixture of all four stereoisomers (see Figure 25).


Figure 25. A Chiral GC trace of all possible stereoisomers of psymberic acid degradation products

### 2.5.3 Determination of the Absolute and Relative Stereochemistry of Psymberic Acid

With the synthesis of all stereoisomers completed and conditions developed to analyze each substrate, we decided to subject psymberin to our acidic methanolysis conditions to determine the absolute and relative stereochemical configuration of the psymberic acid side chain.

Treating 0.1 mg of psymberin with $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ in MeOH at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 14 hours in a sealed reaction tube provided us with degradation products that we could analyze by GC outfitted with a chiral column (Chiraldex G-TA) (see Figure 26).


Figure 26. A chiral GC trace of psymberin degradation products

Comparison of the retention times as well as a co-injection of the psymberin degradation mixture with tetrahydrofuran $\mathbf{3 4}$ led to our determination that $\mathbf{3 4}$ was present in the degradation reaction mixture (see Figure 27).


Figure 27. An overlay of degradation products. A: A diluted sample of 34; B: The psymberin degradation reaction mixture; C: A co-injection of $\mathbf{3 4}$ and the psymberin degradation reaction mixture

We also chose to analyze our products by GC-MS using a chiral column. A peak with similar retention time and fragmentation pattern corresponding to $\mathbf{3 4}$ was seen in the psymberin degradation mixture (Figure 28). These results led us to conclude that the stereochemical configuration of psymberic acid was $4 S, 5 S$, which was in agreement with the reports from De Brabander and Williams laboratories. ${ }^{57,100}$


Figure 28. Chiral GC-MS traces of psymberin degradation products Left: A chiral GC-MS trace of 34. Right: A Chiral GC-MS trace of the psymberin degradation mixture

### 2.6 CONCLUSION

Starting from the appropriate enantiomer of serine, we were able to construct all possible stereoisomers of the C1-C6 portion of psymberin (psymberic acid). Access to syn and anti diastereomers was accomplished through chelation controlled or Felkin-Anh methallylations, respectively. Construction of a simple acyl aminal model system and subjection to acidic methanolysis afforded tetrahydropyran degradation products. All possible tetrahydropyran stereoisomers were synthesized and were separable by chiral gas chromatography. Degradation of an authentic sample of psymberin under identical acidic methanolysis conditions afforded one tetrahydrofuran isomer. Comparison of the authentic tetrahydrofuran to synthetic material by GC and GC-MS outfitted with a chiral column (Chiraldex G-TA) enabled assignment of the absolute and relative stereochemistry of psymberin as $4 S, 5 S$.

## APPENDIX A

## EXPERIMENTAL: TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF THEOPEDERIN D

## General Experimental

Proton ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) and carbon ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance 300 spectrometers ( 300 MHz and 75 MHz , respectively), Bruker Avance 500 spectrometers ( 500 MHz and 125 MHz , respectively) Bruker Avance 600 spectrometers ( 600 MHz and 150 MHz , respectively), and a Bruker Avance 600 spectrometer equipped with a 5 mm cryoprobe ( 600 MHz , and 150 MHz , respectively). The chemical shifts are given in parts per million (ppm) on the delta ( $\delta$ ) scale. The solvent peak was used as reference value. For ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}=7.27 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}=7.15$. For ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}=77.00 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}=128.00 \mathrm{ppm}$. For proton data: $\operatorname{app}=$ apparent; $\mathrm{br}=$ broad; $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet; $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet; $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet; $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet; $\mathrm{p}=$ pentet; $\mathrm{dd}=$ doublet of doublets; $\mathrm{dt}=$ doublet of triplets; $\mathrm{ddd}=$ doublet of doublet of doublets; dddd $=$ doublet of doublet of doublet of doublets; ddt $=$ doublet of doublet of triplets; ddq $=$ doublet of doublet of quartets; $\mathrm{qd}=$ quartet of doublets; $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet. High resolution and low resolution mass spectra were recorded on a VG 7070 spectrometer. Infrared (IR) spectra were
collected on a Mattson Cygnus 100 spectrometer. Samples for IR were prepared as a thin film on NaCl plates. Analytical high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was performed on a Hewlett Packard 1100 liquid chromatograph equipped with a variable wavelength UV detector (deuterium lamp, 190-600 nm) using a Daicel Chiralpak ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}} \mathrm{AD}$ column ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) (Daicel Inc.), and HPLC-grade isopropanol and hexanes were used as the eluting solvents. Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeter. Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on E. Merck pre-coated ( 25 nm ) silica gel 60F-254 plates. Visualization was done under UV ( 254 nm ). Flash column chromatography was performed using ICN SiliTech 32-63 60 $\AA$ silica gel. Preparatory thin layer chromatography (PTLC) was performed on Sorbent Technologies pre-coated ( 25 nm ) silica gel HL UV254 plates. Reagent grade ethyl acetate, hexanes (commercial mixture), ether, dichloromethane, benzene, acetone, and toluene were purchased from EM Science and used as is for chromatography. Reagent grade methylene chloride $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ and 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE) were distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Diethyl ether $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ was dried by passage through an aluminum drying column. THF was dried by passage through an aluminum drying column, except where noted. In these cases THF, was distilled from sodium benzophenone under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Anhydrous methanol ( MeOH ) was purchased from Aldrich and used as is. All reactions were conducted under argon or nitrogen atmosphere, in oven or flame dried glassware with magnetic stirring except were noted. "Careful concentration" refers to the handling of volatile substrates by concentration under reduced pressure at low temperatures $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ to room temperature), or concentration by gently passing a stream of air over the volatile material.

NOTE: The compounds reported on pages 71-82 are from exploratory work towards the synthesis of the pederic acid subunit of Theopederin D. This route was abandoned after a superior strategy was discovered and thus, the compounds on pages 71-82 were not fully characterized.

## 1-Trimethylsilanylpent-1-yn-3-one (106)



To a solution of bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene ( $50.0 \mathrm{~g}, 293 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(673$ $\mathrm{mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added propionyl chloride $(24.6 \mathrm{~g}, 266 \mathrm{mmol})$. After stirring for 10 minutes, $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(42.6 \mathrm{~g}, 320 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 hours at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 2 hours, the reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for an additional 2 hours. After stirring for 2 hours at room temperature, the reaction mixture was poured into an ice $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})$ solution ( 700 mL ) and stirred for 10 minutes. The biphasic solution was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and filtered. Careful concentration under reduced pressure afforded the product as a brown oily residue. The material was taken on crude: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.59(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ H), $1.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.25(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. These data are consistent with reported literature values. ${ }^{109}$

## 1-Methoxypent-1-en-3-one (107)



To a solution of crude $106(41.0 \mathrm{~g}, 266 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(216 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO) ( $60.0 \mathrm{~g}, 533 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at room temperature. After 20 minutes the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the crude residue was diluted with EtOAc ( 200 mL ), extracted with brine ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried
$\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and filtered. After concentration under reduced pressure, the crude material was distilled under vacuum to afford the desired product ( 2 torr, $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 21.4 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ yield over 2 steps): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. These data are consistent with reported literature values. ${ }^{110}$

## 1-(2-Methoxyvinyl)propenyloxyltrimethyl-silane (97)

 mixture was stirred for 1 hour at room temperature. To this mixture was added a solution of $107(8.00 \mathrm{~g}, 7.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(16.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature, followed by addition of $\mathrm{TMSCl}(15.2 \mathrm{~g}, 140 \mathrm{mmol})$. A condenser was fitted atop the reaction vessel, and the reaction mixture was heated at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 14 hours, satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (aq) was added at room temperature, and the reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, washed with brine $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and filtered. The organic extracts were concentrated under reduced pressure, then distilled ( $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 2 torr) to afford the desired product as well as trimethylsilanol. Filtration of the distillate through an oven dried frit containing oven dried $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ (to remove silyl impurities) afforded the desired product as a pale yellow liquid ( $11.1 \mathrm{~g}, 4: 1$ ratio of $Z$ : $E$ isomers, $85 \%$ yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.80$ (d, $J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}), 5.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}), 4.66-4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2.4 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.23-$ $0.19(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. These data are consistent with reported literature values values. ${ }^{75}$

## 2,3-Dimethyl-2,3-dihydropyran-4-one (96)

To a mixture of $97(0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ and crushed $3 \AA$ molecular sieves $(0.40 \mathrm{~g})$ was
 added acetaldehyde $(2.64 \mathrm{~g}, 53.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 hours at room temperature. After 3 hours $\mathbf{1 0 8}^{76}(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 10.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the reaction at room temperature, and the reaction was stirred for 40 hours. After 40 hours, the reaction was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added ( 2 ml ) followed by 5 drops of trifluoroacetic acid, and the reaction was warmed to room temperature. After stirring for 15 minutes the reaction mixture was filtered through silica on Celite and the filter cake was rinsed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The filtrate was carefully concentrated to afford a brown residue which was purified via flash chromatography ( $20 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in pentane to $25 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in pentane) to afford the desired product as a yellow oil (0.66 $\mathrm{g}, 82 \%$ yield based on reactive diene present): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{qd}, J=3.5,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{qd}, J=3.5,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~d}, 1.09, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 196.5$, 162.1, 104.5, 77.5, 43.8, 15.0, 8.6; IR (neat): 2980, 2940, 2249, 1678, 1597, 1454, 1406, 1386, 1225, 1088; HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+)$ 126.0608, found 126.066.

## 2,3-Dimethyl-3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran-4-ol (109)



To a solution of $96(0.58 \mathrm{~g}, 4.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(9.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{CeCl}_{3} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.14$ $\mathrm{g}, 5.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at room temperature and the reaction mixture was vigorously stirred until all the $\mathrm{CeCl}_{3} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was dissolved. The reaction was cooled to $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(0.37 \mathrm{~g}, 9.89$ mmol) was added slowly. After addition, the reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 30 minutes. Brine ( 20 mL ) was added and the reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{x} 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried
$\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and filtered. The material was carefully concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a brown/yellow oil which was used crude without further purification: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.53,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{ddd}, J=2.1,2.1,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53-4.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), $4.13(\mathrm{qd}, J=2.0,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 144.1,103.2,73.8,65.8,36.3,17.2,5.1$; IR (neat): 3418, 2980, 2882, 2251, 1643, 1383, 1230, 1086.

## (2,3-Dimethyl-3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran-4-yloxy)triisopropylsilane (110)

$Y^{\circ}$ To a stirring solution of $\mathbf{1 0 9}(1.13 \mathrm{~g}, 8.83 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to -
Otips $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added 2,6 -lutidine $(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 10.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by triisopropylsilyltrifluoromethanesulfonate ( $3.25 \mathrm{~g}, 10.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 3 hours of stirring at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (aq) was added ( 2 mL ) and the reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and filtered. Concentration under reduced pressure, followed by purification via flash column chromatography ( $5 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in pentane) afforded the desired compound as a clear oil $(1.36 \mathrm{~g}, 54 \%$ yield $):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $6.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.6,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.8,1.8,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.7,1.8,6.3$ Hz, 1 H ) 4.09 (qd, $J=1.9,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08$ (s, 21 H) $0.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## 5,6-Dimethyl-4-triisopropylsilanyloxytetrahydropyran-2-one (111)



To a stirring solution of $\mathbf{1 1 0}(1.36 \mathrm{~g}, 4.79 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1,2-dichloroethane $(53.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature was added pyridinium chlorochromate ( $2.07 \mathrm{~g}, 9.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 4 hours, the reaction mixture was filtered through a frit of Celite then concentrated under reduced
pressure to afford a crude yellow residue. Purification via flash column chromatography ( $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) afforded the desired product as a pale yellow to colorless waxy solid ( 1.03 g , $71 \%$ yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.40(\mathrm{qd}, J=2.1,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.24 (ddd, $J=4.5$, $6.9,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{ddd}, J=0.8,6.9,18.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,18.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 2.05$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 21 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## 2,3-Dimethyltetrahydropyran-4-ol (159)

To a stirring solution of $\mathbf{1 0 9}(336 \mathrm{mg}, 2.63 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(5.50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$
$(40.0 \mathrm{mg})$. A balloon filled with hydrogen gas was attached to a three-way adapter, and the reaction vessel was pumped and purged three times under water aspirator pressure to remove the oxygen atmosphere. After stirring overnight, the reaction mixture was filtered through a frit of Celite, and the filtrate was carefully concentrated. Purification via flash column chromatography $\left(40 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ in pentane to $\left.100 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ afforded the desired product as a slightly yellow liquid ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 29 \%$ yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.95$ (ddd, $J=1.3,5.0,11.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

3,3,3-Trifluoro-2-methoxy-2-phenylpropionic acid 2,3-dimethyltetrahydropyran-4-yl ester (112)
 To a solution of $159(25.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added pyridine $(0.30 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by $(S)-(+)$-alpha-Methoxy-alphatrifluoromethylphenylacetyl chloride ( $0.13 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 90
minutes, all starting material was consumed. The reaction mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added $(0.10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 0.30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and filtered. Concentration under reduced pressure afforded a crude yellow oil which was used without purification for analysis. The diastereomers were sufficiently separated in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum such that integration could be easily performed. Peaks used for analysis: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.98 \mathrm{H})$, $0.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.28 \mathrm{H})$.

## Hydroxy-(2-methoxy-5,6-dimethyl-4-triisopropylsilanyloxytetrahydropyran-2-yl)-acetic

## acid benzyl ester (115)



To a solution of diisopropylamine ( $2.63 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 37.0 mL ) cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $n$ - BuLi ( $11.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane). After 5 minutes, $113(4.47 \mathrm{~g}, 18.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was slowly added to the reaction mixture, and the solution was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. HMPA ( $4.74 \mathrm{~mL}, 27.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was subsequently added, and after stirring at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes, $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}\left(18.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ was added to the reaction at this same temperature. After 75 minutes, a solution of $111(0.5 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.66 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 9.50 mL ) was slowly added to the reaction mixture. The reaction was allowed to slowly warm to $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 18 hours, satd. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{aq})(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined and washed with satd. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{aq})(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine ( 20 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and filtered. The organic material was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a purple oily residue. To this crude residue at room temperature was added $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(6.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(6.0) \mathrm{mL}$, followed by $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}(1.74 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.9 \mathrm{mmol})$,
and 10 -camphorsulphonic acid monohydrate $(0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 10 minutes, the reaction mixture was poured into water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined and washed with satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and filtered. After concentration under reduced pressure, the crude residue was purified via flash column chromatography ( $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the desired product in a 19:81 mixture of diastereomers ( $0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 28 \%$ yield over 2 steps). Major Diastereomer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.40-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $4.9,4.9,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{qd}, J=2.1,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.86(\mathrm{ddd}, J=0.8,5.0,13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.12$ (d, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 21 \mathrm{H}) 0.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## Benzoic acid benzyloxycarbonyl-(2-methoxy-5,6-dimethyl-4-

## triisopropylsilanyloxytetrahydropyran-2-yl)methyl ester (116)



To a 19:81 diastereomeric mixture of $\mathbf{1 1 5}(0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ in pyridine ( 2.00 mL ) and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added benzoyl chloride ( $0.06 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by DMAP $(0.01 \mathrm{~g}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred overnight. Afterwards, the reaction mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ aqueous tartaric acid $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined and washed with $10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ aqueous tartaric acid $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and filtered. Concentration under reduced pressure afforded a yellow residue, which after purification via flash chromatography ( $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes), afforded the desired product as a colorless oil and as a single diastereomer ( $0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 52 \%$ yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.10-8.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2$
H), 7.60-7.57 (m, 2 H$), 7.48-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.2$ (app. p. $J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.8(\mathrm{qd}, J=3.1,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-$ $1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 0.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## tert-Butyl-(2,3-dimethyl-3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran-4-yloxy)-dimethyl-silane (118)



To a solution of $\mathbf{1 0 9}(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 7.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added Отвs imidazole ( $1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 15.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by addition of tert-butyldimethylsilyl chloride $(2.35 \mathrm{~g}, 15.6 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for one hour, then the solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The reaction mixture was extracted with pentane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure, and purified by flash column chromatography ( $5 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in pentane) to afford the desired product as a brown oil ( $1.31 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%$ yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.25$ (dd, $J=1.4,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.4,2.2,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.7,1.8,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $4.1(\mathrm{qd}, J=2.2,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0$ Hz, 3 H ), 0.91 (s, 9 H$), 0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

## 4-(tert-Butyldimethylsilanyloxy)-5,6-dimethyltetrahydropyran-2-one (119)



To a solution of $\mathbf{1 1 8}(1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 4.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1,2-dichloroethane ( 60 mL ) was added pyridinium chlorochromate $(2.34 \mathrm{~g}, 10.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature. After 5 hours the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified via flash chromatography ( $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $0.80 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.43(\mathrm{qd}, J=2.6,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{ddd}, J=4.4,6.7,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{ddd}, J=0.7$,
$6.8,18.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.6,18.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 2.05-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) 0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.5,76.6$, 67.7, 38.2, 36.0, 25.6, 18.0, 17.9, 4.5, -4.6, -4.9; IR (neat): 2930, 2254, 1726, 1471, 1384, 1242, 1114, 911, 734; HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+]$ 258.1651, found 258.1664.

## 4-(tert-Butyldimethylsilanyloxy)-2-hydroxy-5,6-dimethyltetrahydropyran-2-yl]-hydroxy-

acetic acid benzyl ester (121)
To a solution of LHMDS ( $18.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) in THF ( 35.0 mL ) cooled to $78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of $\mathbf{1 1 3}(4.42 \mathrm{~g}, 18.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(9.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 10 minutes HMPA ( 4.69 mL 27.0 mmol ) was added to the reaction mixture. After 15 minutes $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ ( $18.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) was added and the reaction was stirred for an additional 75 minutes at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Afterwards, $\mathbf{1 1 9}(0.42 \mathrm{mg}, 1.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 8.50 mL ) was slowly added to the reaction mixture at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was allowed to slowly warm to $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The next day, satd. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{aq})(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined and washed with satd. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{aq})(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and filtered. The organic material was concentrated under reduced pressure, and this residue was used without further purification.

To this crude residue at room temperature was added $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(8.00$ $\mathrm{mL})$, followed by $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}(1.72 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 10 -camphorsulphonic acid monohydrate $(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 20 minutes, the reaction mixture was poured into water $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined and washed
with satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and filtered. The acidic methanol sequence was repeated again with a reaction length of 5 minutes, with an identical workup procedure. Removal of excess reagents and byproducts afforded a crude residue containing the product, as well as a small amount of starting material. This material was used without further purification in subsequent chemistry.

## Hydroxy-(4-hydroxy-2-methoxy-5,6-dimethyltetrahydropyran-2-yl)-acetic acid benzyl ester



To crude residue $121(231 \mathrm{mg}, 0.544 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature was added $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}(0.57 \mathrm{~mL}$, 5.2 mmol ), and 10 -camphorsulphonic acid monohydrate ( $0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 2 hours, the reaction mixture was poured into water $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{x}$ $10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, washed with satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and filtered. Concentration under reduced pressure afforded a crude residue which was purified by flash column chromatography ( $70 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) to afford the desired product as a separable mixture of diastereomers ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 32 \%$ ). $7 \boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ stereoisomer (Faster eluting) 123: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 7.18-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96($ app. p, $J=4.3,11.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{qd}, J=4.4,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94($ app. $\mathrm{t}, J=$ $12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7 \mathrm{~S}^{*}$ stereoisomer (slower eluting) 122: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta$ 7.23-7.06 (m, 5 H), $5.08(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.07(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{qd}, J=1.7,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0$, $12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{app} . \mathrm{t}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

To a solution of $\mathbf{1 2 2}(0.016 \mathrm{~g}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $4 \AA$ molecular sieves $(0.02 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(540 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added pyridinium chlorochromate (PCC) (0.011 g, 0.05 $\mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature. After 2 hours, the reaction mixture was poured onto Celite and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified via flash column chromatography ( $50 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the desired product as a colorless oil ( $0.009 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%$ yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.07-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{qd}, J=2.9,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $2.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{qd}, J=3.1,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $0.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Hydroxy-(2-methoxy-5,6-dimethyl-4-methylenetetrahydropyran-2-yl)-acetic acid benzyl ester (125)

To a solution of $\mathbf{1 2 4}(0.02 \mathrm{~g}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(1.50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}-$

$\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}\left(1 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$, stock solution as prepared by Nakata $\left.{ }^{34}\right)$ at room temperature. After, 50 minutes, another 0.5 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}-\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ was added. After an additional hour, the reaction mixture was poured into satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (aq.) ( 2 ml ), and extracted with EtOAc (3 x 2 mL ). The organic layers were combined, washed with satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})(2$ $\mathrm{mL})$ and brine $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, then dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and filtered. Concentration under reduced pressure followed by purification via flash column chromatography ( $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) afforded the desired product ( $5.00 \mathrm{mg}, 25.2 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.21-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=$
$12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77$ (app. t, $J=2.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72$ (app. t, $J=2.0$, $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{qd}, J=2.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.9(\mathrm{qd}, J=2.3,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## (3S ,4R)-3,4-Dimethyloxetan-2-one (130)



To a solution of $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}(1.61 \mathrm{~g}, 15.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added TMSquinidine ${ }^{111}(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was cooled to $78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ whereupon DIPEA $(21.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 125.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and acetaldehyde $(3.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 67.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. To this reaction mixture was added propionyl chloride ( $8.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 100.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a solution in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, slowly dropwise over 3 hours. After addition, the reaction was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The following morning $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature then filtered through a short pad of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated to $1 / 3$ its total volume under reduced pressure at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and was used crude without further purification. Alternatively, the filtrate can be concentrated under reduced pressure at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and purified via flash column chromatography $(100 \%$ pentane to $10 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in pentane to $20 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in pentane) to afford the desired product as a low boiling colorless liquid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. These data are consistent with reported literature values. ${ }^{112}$

## (4S, 5R)-tert-Butyl 5-hydroxy-4-methyl-3-oxohexanoate (129)

 for 10 minutes, before crude $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was slowly added dropwise at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 minutes, satd. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{aq})(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the reaction was warmed to room temperature. The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined
organic layers were washed with brine $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a crude yellow oil. The crude residue was purified via flash column chromatography (30\% EtOAc in hexanes to $70 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes to $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ to $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in EtOAc) to afford the desired product as an $8: 1$ inseparable mixture with its tautomer ( $5.15 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ ). Underlined values correspond to assignable tautomer peaks: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \underline{12.4}(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \underline{4.94}(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50$ (d, $J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 2.72(\mathrm{qd}, J=3.3,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. These data are consistent with reported literature values. ${ }^{34}$

## (3R, 4S)-3,4-Dimethyloxetan-2-one (ent-130)

To a solution of $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}(0.64 \mathrm{~g}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added TMS-
 quinine ${ }^{111}(1.19 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(60.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ whereupon DIPEA ( $13.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 75.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetaldehyde $(4.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 71.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. To this reaction mixture was added propionyl chloride ( $5.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 60.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a solution in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ slowly dropwise over 2 hours. After addition, the reaction was stirred at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The following morning $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature then filtered through a short pad of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and purified via flash column chromatography (pentane to $10 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in pentane to $20 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in pentane) to afford the desired product as a low boiling colorless liquid $(0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 9 \%)$.

## (2S, 3R)-N-Benzyl-3-hydroxy-2-methylbutanamide (160)



To a solution of $\mathbf{1 3 0}(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature was added catalytic DMAP followed by benzylamine ( $0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 1.50$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. After 4 hours, the reaction was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $3 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction mixture, followed by addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the organic layers were combined. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified via flash column chromatography (30 to $60 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) to afford the desired product as a white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.37-7.25 (m, 5 H), $6.50(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{qd}, J=4.1,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.32(\mathrm{qd}, J=3.0,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## (2R, 3S)-N-Benzyl-3-hydroxy-2-methylbutanamide (ent-160)



See procedure for enantiomer (104). HPLC was performed on a Hewlett Packard 1100 liquid chromatograph equipped with a variable wavelength UV detector (deuterium lamp, 190-600 nm) using a Daicel Chiracel ${ }^{\text {™ }}$ OD-H column ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) (Daicel Inc.) and HPLC-grade 8\% isopropanol in hexanes as the eluting solvent.

Undesired derivative ent-160 retention time: 10.05 minutes.

Desired isomer 160 retention time: 11.12 minutes.

## (9R, 10S)-9,10-Dimethyl-8-0xa-1,4-dithiaspiro[4.5]decan-7-one (127)



To a solution of $\mathbf{1 2 9}(2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 11.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(69.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added 1,2-ethanedithiol ( $3.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 34.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 57.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction was warmed to room temperature. After 48 hours, the reaction mixture was
carefully poured into a $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ solution of satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined organic layers were washed with satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a crude orange residue. The material was purified via flash column chromatography $(100 \%$ hexanes to $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the desired product and its C2 diastereomer ( $\sim 10: 1$ ) as a yellow solid. The solid was recrystallized from 30\% EtOAc in hexanes to afford the desired product ( $1.60 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.89(\mathrm{qd}, J=$ $2.7,6.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~d}, 19.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{qd}, J$ $=2.7,6.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),[\alpha]^{27}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+99.8\left(c 1.10, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, lit. $[\alpha]^{27}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+106.7\left(c\right.$ 1.10, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. These data are consistent with reported literature values. ${ }^{34}$

## (S)-Methyl 2-hydroxy-2-((7R, 9R, 10S)-7-methoxy-9,10-dimethyl-8-oxa-1,4-

## dithiaspiro[4.5]decan-7-yl)acetate (132)



To a flame dried flask under $\operatorname{Ar}(\mathrm{g})$ atmosphere was added diisopropylamine ( $2.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and freshly distilled THF ( 42.0 mL ). The flask was evacuated and purged with $\operatorname{Ar}(\mathrm{g})$ three times, then cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, whereupon $n-\operatorname{BuLi}(1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes, 12.5 mL ) was added slowly dropwise. The reaction was warmed to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes, then cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To the reaction mixture was added a solution of $\mathbf{1 2 8}(3.36 \mathrm{~g}, 20.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in freshly distilled THF $(21.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ (separately prepared and evacuated/ backfilled with $\operatorname{Ar}(g))$ slowly dropwise over 10 minutes. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 hour, then freshly distilled HMPA ( $5.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 30.1 \mathrm{mmol}$, evacuated and backfilled with $\operatorname{Ar}(g)$ ) was added slowly dropwise over 8 minutes. The reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 15 minutes before $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}\left(20.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ was added slowly dropwise over 15
minutes. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours. After 2 hours, 127 (0.40 $\mathrm{g}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in freshly distilled THF ( 10.8 mL ) (evacuated and backfilled with $\operatorname{Ar}(\mathrm{g})$ ) was added to the reaction mixture slowly dropwise over 4 minutes. The reaction was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours then warmed to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 12 hours at $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, satd. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{aq})(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined and washed with satd. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{aq})(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine $(20$ mL ), then dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a crude yellow oil. The crude material was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(26 \mathrm{~mL})$, $\mathrm{MeOH}(26 \mathrm{~mL})$. To this reaction mixture was added trimethylorthoformate $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and camphorsulfonic acid $(0.55 \mathrm{~g}$, 2.4 mmol ). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 hours then poured into a separatory funnel containing ice water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3 x 30 mL ). The combined organic layers were washed with satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine ( 20 $\mathrm{mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified via flash column chromatography ( $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the desired product as a yellow oil ( $0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ isolated, $70 \% \mathrm{brsm}$ ) and recovered $127(0.06 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \%):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.38(\mathrm{qd}, J=1.8,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.37-3.1 (m, 4 H$), 3.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{q}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+63.0\left(c 0.60, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, lit. $[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+102.8\left(c 1.04, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. This compound is consistent with literature values. ${ }^{34}$

## 7-yl)-2-oxoethyl benzoate (133)

To a solution of $\mathbf{1 3 2}(0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ in pyridine $(4.50 \mathrm{~mL})$ cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
 was added benzoyl chloride ( $0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by a few crystals of DMAP (cat). The solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes then allowed to warm to room temperature. After 17 hours, the reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and benzoyl chloride $(0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by a few crystals of DMAP (cat) were added again. The reaction was stirred for 10 minutes at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then warmed to room temperature and allowed to stir overnight. The following morning the reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $10 \%$ tartaric acid (aq., $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}, 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to the reaction mixture, and the reaction was stirred vigorously for 10 minutes at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, washed with $10 \%$ tartaric acid (aq.) $(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine ( 5 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified via column chromatography ( $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes to $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) to afford the desired product as a white solid ( $0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.07-8.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{qd}, J=$ $1.9,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.34-3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; $[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+84.0\left(c \quad 0.81, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, lit. $[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+87.9\left(c \quad 1.29, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. These data are consistent with reported literature values. ${ }^{34}$

## oxoethyl benzoate (134)



To a solution of $\mathbf{1 3 3}(0.07 \mathrm{~g}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(3.58 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.47$ mL ) cooled to $-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added bis(trifluoroacetoxy)iodo benzene ( 0.21 g , $0.49 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was stirred at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 50 minutes then warmed to room temperature. After 20 minutes, the reaction mixture was poured into satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})(5$ mL ) and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, washed with brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a crude yellow oil. The crude residue was purified via flash column chromatography ( $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes to $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the desired product. ( $0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 63 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 8.07-8.04 (m, 2 H ), 7.64-7.58 (m, 1 H ), 7.50-7.45 (m, 2 H ), 5.49 (s, 1 H), $4.20(\mathrm{qd}, J=3.0,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66$ (dd, $J=0.9,15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{br} q \mathrm{~d}, ~ J=2.7,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+94.6\left(c 0.84, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, lit. $[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+114.4\left(c\right.$ 1.23, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. These data are consistent with reported literature values. ${ }^{34}$
(S)-2-Methoxy-1-((2R, 5R, 6R)-2-methoxy-5,6-dimethyl-4-methylenetetrahydro-2H-pyran-

## 2-yl)-2-oxoethyl benzoate (135)



To a solution of $\mathbf{1 3 4}(0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(6.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature was added freshly prepared "Stock" Takai-Nozaki olefination reagent ${ }^{34}$ slowly dropwise $(5.1 \mathrm{~mL})$. After addition was complete, the reaction was poured into 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined and washed with satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$,
dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified via flash column chromatography ( $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the desired product $(0.01 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%)$ as a colorless oil (NOTE: The purification was carried out quickly using a short pad of silica gel to decrease decomposition on the column): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 8.11$8.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 5.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{brt}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.81 (br t, $J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{qd}, J=2.4,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{qd}, J=2.4,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.961(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+104.6\left(c 2.65, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$, lit. $[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+112.5(c 0.51$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). These data are consistent with reported literature values. ${ }^{34}$

## (S)-2-(Benzoyloxy)-2-((2R, 5R, 6R)-2-methoxy-5,6-dimethyl-4-methylenetetrahydro-2H-

 pyran-2-yl) acetic acid (59)

To a solution of $\mathbf{1 3 5}(0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1,2-dichloroethane ( 12.3 mL ) was added trimethyltin hydroxide $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction was heated to $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 4 hours, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{4}\left(10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.01 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 $\mathrm{x} \mathrm{mL})$. The organic layers were combined, washed with brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified via flash column chromatography ( $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes to $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ to $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in EtOAc) to afford the desired product $(0.06 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 7.51-7.46(m, 2 H), $5.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{qd}, J=2.7,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, J$
$=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;[\alpha]^{21}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+133.7\left(c 0.40, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$, lit. (antipode) $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-$ $93.1\left(c 1.00, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{53}$ These data are consistent with reported literature values. ${ }^{34}$

## ( $R$ )-4-Methoxy-3,3-dimethylhept-6-en-2-one (139)



To a solution of $\mathbf{1 3 7} 7^{69,71}(2.03 \mathrm{~g}, 13.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(13.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added 2,6-di-tert-butyl pyridine ( $4.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by methyltrifluoromethane sulfonate $(1.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction was warmed to room temperature. After 12 hours, the reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 2,6-di-tert-butyl pyridine (4.3 $\mathrm{mL}, 19.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and methyltrifluoromethane sulfonate $(1.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added again, and the reaction was subsequently warmed to room temperature. After 12 hours, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the reaction was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined and washed with satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and carefully concentrated under reduced pressure at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crude residue was purified via flash column chromatography ( $30 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in pentane) to afford the desired product $(2.01 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%)$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.96-5.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15-5.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06-5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43$ (dd, $J=6.5,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 212.9,136.0,116.4,86.0,59.9,52.4,36.7,26.5,10.9,20.2$; IR (neat) 2977, 2827, 1704, 1469, $1100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]$ 129.0915, found 129.0915; $[\alpha]^{24}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-9.72\left(c \mathrm{c} 1.19, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## (4R, 8R)-8-Hydroxy-4-methoxy-5,5-dimethyldodeca-1,11-dien-6-one (140)



To a solution of $(+)$-DIP-Cl $(2.83 \mathrm{~g}, 8.81 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.00 \mathrm{mmol})$, followed by $139(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.87 \mathrm{mmol})$. The
reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes then cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, whereupon 4-pentenal ( $1.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added slowly dropwise. After 15 minutes, pH 7 (phosphate) buffer solution ( 16 mL ), $\mathrm{MeOH}(8 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and hydrogen peroxide solution (aq., $30 \%, 8 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) were added to the reaction at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the reaction was warmed to room temperature. After stirring for 20 minutes at room temperature, the reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the organic layers were combined. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( 20 $\mathrm{mL})$, satd. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified via flash column chromatography ( $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) to afford the desired product as a yellow oil ( $0.93 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta$ 5.91-5.75 (m, 2 H), 5.15-4.95 (m, 4 H), 4.05-3.97 (m, 1 H$), 3.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.7,18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56$, (dd, $J=9,18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.23-2.12(m, 4 H$), 1.70-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 217.0,138.3,136.0,116.9,114.8,86.2,67.1,60.0,52.5,45.3,35.6,35.4,29.8,21.4$, 19.8; IR (neat): $3526,3079,2978,2936,1694,1640 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ [M-OH] 237.1854, found 237.1843; [ $\alpha]^{24}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-21.0\left(c 0.80, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## (5R, 7R, 9R)-9-Methoxy-8,8-dimethyldodeca-1,11-diene-5,7-diol (104)

To a solution of $\mathbf{1 4 0}(2.09 \mathrm{~g}, 8.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(82.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(8.20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at
 $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{BOMe}(1.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.04 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 1 hour, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(0.93 \mathrm{~g}$, 24.7 mmol ) was added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After one hour, hydrogen peroxide solution (aq., $30 \%, 31.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added carefully dropwise and the reaction was warmed to room temperature. After 3 hours the reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and satd. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction
mixture was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a crude residue. The material was purified via flash column chromatography ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) to afford the desired product ( $1.63 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.90-5.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.17-4.95 (m, 4 H), 4.46 (d, $J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.80(\mathrm{~m} .2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.8,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ $\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 138.3,136.0,116.3,114.1,89.6,77.8,71.7,59.9,41.4,36.9$, 36.6, 34.7, 29.4, 21.2, 20.2; IR (neat): 3440, 2977, 2253, 1641, 1470, $1389 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS (ES) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]$ 279.1936, found 279.1911; $[\alpha]^{24}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+2.0\left(c 0.85, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## (4R, 6R)-4-(But-3-enyl)-6-((R)-3-methoxy-2-methylhex-5-en-2-yl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxane

## (161)



To a solution of $\mathbf{1 0 4}(0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 2,2-dimethoxypropane $(2.79 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature was added $p$-toluenesulfonic acid ( $5.00 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 3 hours, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added followed by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3.00 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford an oily yellow residue. The material was purified via flash column chromatography ( $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the desired product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.03-5.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89-5.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14-4.95(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.4,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.15$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $138.4,137.6,115.8,114.6,98.3,83.9,71.2,68.5,60.2,41.7,35.7,35.5,30.9,30.3,29.3,20.0$, 18.2, 17.3.

## (4R, 6R)-6-(((R)-5-Hydroxytetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methyl)-4-methoxy-5, 5-

## dimethyltetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-ol (103)



To a solution of $\mathbf{1 0 4}(0.92 \mathrm{~g}, 3.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $p$-dioxane $(18.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(18$ mL ) at room temperature was added 2,6-lutidine ( $0.77 \mathrm{~g}, 7.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ $(0.02 \mathrm{~g}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(3.08 \mathrm{~g}, 14.4 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 4 hours, the chalky reaction mixture was extracted with $\operatorname{EtOAc}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was separated and extracted again with EtOAc ( $2 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a crude residue. The material was purified via flash column chromatography ( $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes to $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes, to $70 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes, to $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$, to $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in EtOAc) to afford the desired product as a viscous oil $(0.77 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%)$ : ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 98.6,98.4,97.9,94.6,94.5,91.8,91.6$, $83.3,80.1,80.0,79.8,79.7,79.3,78.4,78.1,74.7,73.8,60.2,57.1,57.1,56.9,38.8,38.7,38.0$, $35.7,34.7,34.3,33.6,33.3,33.1,32.2,32.1,30.8,30.6,30.3,30.1,29.3,22.3,22.2,20.8,14.0$, 12.9, 12.0; IR (neat): $3404,2962,1737,1443,1244 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}] 283.1521$ found $283.1537 ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+16.6(c 0.79, \mathrm{MeOH})$.

## (4R, 6R)-4-Methoxy-6-(((R)-5-methoxytetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methyl)-5, 5-

## dimethyltetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-ol (141)



To a solution of $103(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 2.31 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 12.0 mL ) and $\mathrm{MeOH}(12.0$ mL ) at room temperature was added pyridinium $p$-toluenesulfonate (PPTs) $(0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 40 minutes the reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (aq) ( 10 mL ) was added. The reaction was subsequently warmed to room temperature then extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine
$(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a viscous yellow residue which was used without further purification: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 104.8$, $104.8,104.7,104.6,94.6,91.9,83.6,83.5,80.0,79.9,79.1,78.8,78.12,78.06,76.0,75.7,72.9$, $72.8,57.2,57.1,54.5,54.4,54.3,38.7,38.6,37.9,37.9,35.5,35.2,34.1,34.1,34.0,33.1,33.0$, $31.9,31.0,29.0,28.8,28.6,28.5,22.4,22.3,22.3,22.2,13.0,13.0,12.1,12.1$; IR (neat): 3411 , 2962, 2829, 2246, 1733, 1443, 1367, $1244 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+$ Na ] 297.1678 found 297.1705; $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+30.1(c 0.60, \mathrm{MeOH})$.

## (2R,4R)-4-Methoxy-2-(((R)-5-methoxytetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methyl)-3,3 -dimethyl-3,4-

## dihydro-2H-pyran (102)



Crude $141(0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 2.31 \mathrm{mmol})$ was taken up in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(14 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to -78
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ whereupon diisopropylethylamine $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added followed by trifluoroacetic anhydride ( $0.69 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 minutes at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 1 hour. Afterwards, the reaction was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 2 hours, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and brine was added ( 10 mL ). The reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 12 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layers were washed with satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified via flash column chromatography (the column was washed with $3 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in hexanes, then eluted with $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the desired product as a mixture of inseparable isomers (0.55 g, $92 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.33$ (app. d, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.04 (dd, $J=1.8,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{ddd}, J=2.4,4.8,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31-4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61$ (d, $J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-1.80(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.8,104.7$,
$104.6,100.0,100.0,80.3,80.2,80.1,78.1,75.3,56.9,54.4,54.1,35.4,35.2,35.1,33.5,33.0$, 31.9, 28.7, 28.4, 23.4, 13.7; IR (neat): 3056, 2979, 2934, 2825, 1648, 1464, 1367, 1266, 1195, 1100, $1042 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right] 256.1675$, found 256.1675; $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ -30.0 (c 1.02, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

## (2R, 3S, 4S, 6R)-4-Methoxy-6-(((R)-5-methoxytetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methyl)-5, 5-dimethyl-

## 2-vinyltetrahydro-2H-pyran-3-ol (101)



To a solution of $\mathbf{1 0 2}(0.47 \mathrm{~g}, 1.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(19.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was slowly added DMDO $\left(0.07 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 75.0 \mathrm{~mL}\right)$ in 3 portions. After the final addition was complete, the reaction was concentrated under reduced pressure at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (using argon to backfill the rotary evaporator after the vacuum was removed), until approximately 5 mL of solvent remained. The crude solution was diluted in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(31.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added dropwise over 15 minutes to a stirring $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ solution of trivinyl alane $(0.1 \mathrm{M}, 111.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was stirred for 2 hours at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then warmed to room temperature and stirred for an additional 30 minutes. Afterwards, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by satd. sodium potassium tartrate (aq) ( 100 mL ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 hours at room temperature. The resulting mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the desired product in quantitative yield: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.21-6.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51-5.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.94(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J$ $=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.56-4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.34-4.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-3.34$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 6$ H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 132.5,132.3,119.3,119.1,104.7,104.5,87.2,87.1,78.2$, $75.8,75.8,75.7,74.5,74.1,69.4,67.7,62.3,54.3,54.1,41.2,41.1,36.5,34.5,33.0,31.8,28.9$,
28.5, 25.4, 23.2, 23.1, 13.7, 13.6; HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ [M-OMe] 269.1752 found 269.1745.

## (R)-5-(((2R,4S,5S,6R)-5-Hydroxy-4-methoxy-3, 3-dimethyl-6-vinyltetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-

yl)methyl)dihydrofuran-2(3H)-one (162)


To a solution of $101(0.02 \mathrm{~g}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.34 \mathrm{~mL})$ cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $m$-CPBA $(0.02 \mathrm{~g}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.018 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.15$ mmol ). After 2 minutes, the reddish-orange reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature. After 20 minutes, the reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ $(0.050 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The reaction was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes then concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified via flash column chromatography ( $70 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) to afford the desired product: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.23-6.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47$ (app. s, 1 H$), 5.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71-4.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89$ (dd, 6.6, 9.6 Hz, 1 H), $3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.44$ (app. d, $J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.57-2.52(m, 2 H$), 2.36-2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 177.0,132.2,120.5,87.1,78.8,75.9,73.0,69.5$, 62.6, 41.2, 34.1, 28.8, 27.3, 23.5, 14.1; HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right] 284.1624$ found 284.1621 .

## (2R, 4S, 5S, 6R)-5-((4-(Benzyloxy)butoxy)methoxy)-4-methoxy-2-(((R)-5-

## methoxytetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methyl)-3, 3-dimethyl-6-vinyltetrahydro-2H-pyran (145)



To a solution of $\mathbf{1 0 1}(0.042 \mathrm{~g}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1,2-dichloroethane ( 0.05 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added DIPEA ( $0.06 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the solution was stirred for 30 minutes. Afterwards, a heterogeneous mixture of BBM$\mathrm{Cl}^{70}(0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{KI}(0.06 \mathrm{~g}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $1,2-$ dichloroethane $(0.05 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the reaction mixture was heated to $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring overnight the reaction was cooled to room temperature, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction. The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified via flash column chromatography ( $10 \%$ to $40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the desired product ( $0.053 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 7.25-7.21 (m, 5 H ), 6.11-6.05 (m, 1 H ), 5.48-5.37 (m, 2 H ), 5.04$5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-4.93(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-$ $4.51(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.31-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.31-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 2.81-2.76 (m, 1 H$), 2.03-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 138.5$, $133.5,133.2,128.2,127.5,127.4,119.1,119.0,104.8,104.6,95.7,85.7,85.6,78.3,76.3,75.8$, $74.9,74.4,74.0,72.7,70.0,67.8,61.9,54.5,54.2,41.5,41.4,36.8,34.8,33.1,31.9,29.1,28.7$, 26.4, 26.3, 23.0, 22.9, 13.8, 13.7; IR (neat): $3019,2400,1215,1100,1040,757 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}] 515.2985$ found 515.2963; $[\alpha]^{24}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+32.6$ (c 1.00, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).
methoxytetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methyl)-5, 5-dimethyltetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)methylene)-

## 2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (147)



To a solution of $145(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10.00 \mathrm{~mL})$, cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was bubbled $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ in 20 second intervals until all starting material was observed to be consumed by TLC (approximately 3 minutes total bubbling time). The solution was placed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere for 10 minutes, and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(0.80 \mathrm{~g}, 3.06$ mmol ) was added to the reaction mixture at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was subsequently warmed to room temperature. After stirring for 1 hour at room temperature, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in THF ( 13.40 mL ). To this solution at room temperature was added $\operatorname{Ti}(i-\mathrm{OPr})_{4}(1.56 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.20 \mathrm{mmol})$, followed by $(R)$-tertbutylsulfinamide $(0.37 \mathrm{~g}, 3.06 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction was stirred overnight. The following morning brine ( 20 mL ) was added to the reaction mixture, the heterogeneous mixture was filtered through a short pad of Celite, and the filter cake was washed with EtOAc. The filtrate was washed with brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude yellow to translucent oil was purified via flash column chromatography ( 30 to $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) to afford the desired product as a pale yellow oil ( $0.34 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.03-5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 0.57 \mathrm{H}), 4.93($ app. d, 0.43 H), $4.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79-4.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.65-$ $4.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.81-2.76 (m, 1 H$), 2.2-1.4(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 166.6,166.5,138.5,128.2,127.4,127.3,104.8,104.7,96.0,86.2,86.2,78.2,76.5$,
$76.3,76.0,75.9,75.4,70.7,69.8,68.1,62.0,57.1,57.1,54.5,54.2,41.4,41.3,36.8,34.8,33.0$, 32.0, 29.6, 29.3, 28.9, 26.4, 26.3, 22.7, 22.5, 22.3, 13.4; IR (neat): 3052, 2933, 2248, 1620, 1454, 1364, 1265, 1100, 1039, $909 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ES) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{NO}_{8} \mathrm{NaS}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]$ 620.3233 found $620.3267 ;[\alpha]^{24}-10.7\left(c 0.58, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## N-(1-((2R, 3R, 4S,6R)-3-((4-(Benzyloxy)butoxy)methoxy)-4-methoxy-6-(((R)-5-

methoxytetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methyl)-5, 5-dimethyltetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-2-
phenylethyl)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide (148)

to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added benzylmagnesium chloride $(18.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ slowly dropwise. After addition was complete $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction mixture and the solution was warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified via flash column chromatography ( $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes to $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) to afford the desired product as a $\sim 1: 1$ mixture of inseparable isomers ( $0.66 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.34-7.18 (m, 10 H), 5.30-5.19 (m, 1 H$), 5.03-4.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77-4.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.6-4.5(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.38-4.22(m, 1 H$), 3.95-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 2.38-1.78(m, 4 H$), 1.68-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.21-0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $138.4,138.2,138.0,136.8,136.7,130.6,129.6,129.4,129.3,128.1,127.6,127.4,126.2,126.0$, $104.9,104.7,104.6,96.4,95.3,84.1,84.0,78.8,78.4,78.2,76.4,75.6,73.8,72.7,72.1,71.8$,
$71.0,69.8,68.4,68.3,68.0,61.5,60.5,59.7,55.7,55.5,55.3,55.2,54.5,54.2,54.1,41.2,41.0$, $39.1,37.3,37.1,36.3,36.1,35.3,34.3,33.8,33.0,31.9,31.8,29.1,29.1,29.0,25.9,24.6,23.8$, 23.6, 22.4, 22.1; IR (neat): $3252,3029,2947,2360,1454,1364,1268,1042,953 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ES) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{NO}_{8} \mathrm{NaS}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}] 712.3859$ found 712.2859; [ $\left.\alpha\right]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-22.6$. (c 0.53, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

## 1-((2R, 3R, 4S, 6R)-3-((4-(Benzyloxy)butoxy)methoxy)-4-methoxy-6-(( $(R)$-5-

methoxytetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methyl)-5, 5-dimethyltetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-2-
phenylethanamine (149)

was added 4 M HCl in $p$-dioxane $(6.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 23.90 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was stirred for 10 minutes at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then warmed to room temperature. After 40 minutes the reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ (aq. $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ) was added until precipitation occurred. The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic layers were washed with brine (30 $\mathrm{mL})$. The organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified via flash column chromatography (the column was washed in $3 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in hexanes then eluted with $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes to $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) to afford the desired product ( $1.13 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.34-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, 5.03-5.01 (m, 0.64 H), $4.94(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{~d}, 0.36 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77-4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.49-$ $4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.32-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.34-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.22-3.17 (m, 1 H$), 3.08-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.14-1.07(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-0.93(\mathrm{~m}, 3$ $\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 139.4,139.3,139.2,139.1,138.3,129.5,129.2,129.0,129.0$,
$128.2,128.1,127.8,127.3,127.2,126.0,125.6,104.8,104.7,104.6,104.5,95.4,95.3,95.1,84.8$, $84.3,84.1,78.7,78.2,77.2,76.3,75.7,74.9,74.5,74.2,73.7,73.5,73.3,72.5,69.7,69.7,68.1$, $60.7,60.4,60.1,54.5,54.3,54.1,52.2,51.0,50.9,40.1,39.9,39.1,38.4,36.4,34.5,33.0,31.7$, 29.7, 29.2, 28.9, 28.8, 28.6, 26.3, 26.2, 25.6, 25.1, 24.6; IR (neat): 3364, 2941, 2830, 2525, 1651, 1454, 1098, $1029 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ES) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{NO}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 586.3744$ found 586.3719; $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+6.15\left(c 0.54, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 4-(((2R, 3R, 4S, 6R)-2-(1-Amino-2-phenylethyl)-4-methoxy-6-(((R)-5-

methoxytetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methyl)-5, 5-dimethyltetrahydro-2H-pyran-3-
yloxy)methoxy)butan-1-01 (150)


To a solution of $\mathbf{1 4 9}(0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added glacial acetic acid ( $0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.67 \mathrm{mmol})$. To the reaction mixture was added $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(0.10 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mathrm{wt} . \%)$, the reaction vessel was evacuated, and then placed under $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ atmosphere. After stirring overnight the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite, and the filter cake was rinsed copiously with EtOAc. The filtrate was washed with satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by brine ( 20 $\mathrm{mL})$. The aqueous layers were combined and back-extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was without further purification.

## Benzyl 1-((2R, 3R, 4S, 6R)-3-((4-hydroxybutoxy)methoxy)-4-methoxy-6-(((R)-5-

methoxytetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methyl)-5, 5-dimethyltetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-2-
phenylethylcarbamate (151)


To a solution of crude $150(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1,4.0$ $\mathrm{mL})$ was added $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by benzylchloroformate $(0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 2 hours the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified via flash column chromatography ( $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane to $70 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes, to $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) to afford the desired product ( 0.08 g , $70 \%, 2$ steps): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.31-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.32,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.98$ (br s, $0.68 \mathrm{H}), 5.46(\operatorname{app~d}, 0.62 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\operatorname{app~d}, 0.38 \mathrm{H}), 5.13-5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 0.66 \mathrm{H}), 5.02-4.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2.85 \mathrm{H})$, 4.82-4.74 (m, 1.22 H), 4.65-4.63 (m, 1.5 H), 4.57-4.42 (m, 1.36 H), 4.40-4.29 (m, 0.41 H), 4.26$4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1.07 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1.15 \mathrm{H}), 3.67-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2.53 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 6.06 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.00$ (m, 1.43 H), 3.00-2.72 (m, 1.76 H), 2.70-2.14 (m, 1.22), 2.12-1.78 (m, 3.15 H), 1.63-1.31 (m, $5.63 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1.35 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1.07 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 0.96 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2.62 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 156.2,156.0,155.7,137.6,137.5,137.1,136.8,130.0,130.0,129.6,129.6$, $129.0,129.0,129.0,128.2,128.1,127.9,127.8,127.7,127.7,126.2,126.1,104.9,104.7,96.1$, $96.0,95.1,84.4,84.0,83.9,83.7,80.0,78.6,77.1,75.7,68.6,68.1,66.1,65.9,62.3,62.2,60.8$, $59.9,59.7,54.5,54.2,54.1,51.8,51.2,39.8,38.3,37.7,37.0,36.6,35.1,34.6,34.0,33.1,32.7$, $31.8,31.5,29.8,29.5,29.4,29.4,39.3,39.3,29.2,28.9,28.8,26.2,26.1,24.5$; IR (neat): 3432,

2936, 2360, 1702, 1496, 1453, 1365, 1096, $1038 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ES) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{NO}_{9} \mathrm{Na}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}] 652.3462$ found $652.3436 ;[\alpha]^{21}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+19.6\left(c, 1.40 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

Benzyl 1-((2R, 3R, 4S, 6R)-4-methoxy-6-(((R)-5-methoxytetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methyl)-5, 5-dimethyl-3-((tetrahydrofuran-2-yloxy)methoxy)tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-2-
phenylethylcarbamate (152)


To a solution of $151(0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ in cyclohexane $(14.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature was added (diacetoxyiodo)benzene (0.150, 0.46 $\mathrm{mmol})$ followed by $\mathrm{I}_{2}(0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was stirred vigorously for 20 minutes, and then irradiated using a medium pressure mercury lamp. After 2 hours the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc ( 14.0 mL ) and washed with satd. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by brine $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layers were combined then back-extracted with EtOAc ( 3 x 10 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a crude yellow oil. The crude residue was purified via flash column chromatography (the column was washed with $3 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in hexanes, then eluted with $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes to $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) to afford the desired product $(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%)$. The product was used immediately.

2-((4aS, 6R, 8S, 8aR)-8-Methoxy-6-(((R)-5-methoxytetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methyl)-7, 7-dimethylhexahydropyrano[3,2-d][1,3]dioxin-4-ylamino)-1-phenylethanone (153)


To a solution of $\mathbf{1 5 2}(0.044 \mathrm{~g}, 70.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in 1,2-dichloroethane $(9.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and toluene $(1.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature was added $\mathrm{NMQPF}_{6}(0.001 \mathrm{mg}$, $4.21 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{NaOAc}(0.088 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(0.088 \mathrm{mg})$ and powdered $4 \AA$
mol. sieves $(0.088 \mathrm{mg})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 minutes, and then irradiated for 4 hours while air was gently bubbled through the solution. The crude reaction mixture was then filtered through a short pad of Celite, and the filter cake was washed copiously with EtOAc. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the crude residue was purified via flash column chromatography ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes to $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) to afford the desired product as a $2: 1$ mixture of inseparable diastereomers ( 0.024 g , $73 \%):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.39-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.33 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.67 \mathrm{H}), 5.18-5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 4.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.12-4.02 (m, 1 H$), 3.83-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.40-1.40(m, 6 H ), $1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 155.8, 136.3, $136.1,128.5,128.4,105.1,105.0,91.3,83.8,83.7,81.6,81.5,80.6,80.0,77.7,77.2,72.9,67.1$, $66.9,61.4,61.3,59.4,59.3,54.4,54.3,36.7,36.6,34.7,32.9,31.6,29.9,29.8,27.7,27.6$; IR (neat): $3054,2986,2306,1729,1512,1422,1265 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ES) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NO}_{8} \mathrm{Na}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}] 488.2260$ found $488.2268 ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-8.5\left(c 0.61, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## (5R)-5-(((4aS, 6R, 8S, 8aR)-8-Methoxy-7, 7-dimethyl-4-(2-0x0-2-

phenylethylamino)hexahydropyrano[3,2-d][1,3]dioxin-6-yl)methyl)dihydrofuran-2(3H)-one (154)


To a solution of $\mathbf{1 5 3}(0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone $(5.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added Jones reagent $(0.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.67 \mathrm{M})$. After addition, the reaction was complete by TLC analysis. The reaction mixture was loaded directly onto a silica column and purified via flash column chromatography (50\% EtOAc in hexanes to $70 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the desired product as a mixture of inseparable
diastereomers $(0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%)$, d.r. $=7.3: 1)$ Distinguishable peaks assigned to the minor diastereomer are underlined. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.60-7.0(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 0.88 \mathrm{H}), \underline{5.95}(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.12), 5.21-5.09(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \underline{4.89}(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.16 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.84 \mathrm{H}), 4.54-4.44(\mathrm{~m}, 0.74 \mathrm{H}), 4.38-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 0.26 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 2.7, $12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.39 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2.72 \mathrm{H}$ ) $\underline{2.98(\mathrm{~s}, 0.27 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1}$ H), 2.52-2.47 (m, 2 H), 2.41-2.29 (m, 1 H), 1.93-1.79 (m, 1 H), 1.16-1.58 (m, 1 H ), $\underline{1.26}$ (s, 1.13 H), $1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1.87 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 176.6,155.5,136.1$, 128.7, $128.5,128.1,91.4,83.4,79.7,79.5,79.2,78.8,72.6,67.2,61.7,59.3,36.4,33.4,28.6,28.1,27.3$, 22.5; IR (neat): $3019,2400,1771,1730,1514,1423,1024,929 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS (ES) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{8} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]$ 472.1947, found 472.1914; $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-1.4\left(c 0.63, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## (5R)-5-(((4aS,6R,8S,8aR)-4-Amino-8-methoxy-7,7-dimethylhexahydropyrano[3,2-

## d][1,3]dioxin-6-yl)methyl)dihydrofuran-2(3H)-one (93)



To a solution of $\mathbf{1 5 4}(0.016 \mathrm{~g}, 0.037 \mathrm{mmol})$ in EtOAc at room temperature was added $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mathrm{wt} \%)$. The reaction vessel was evacuated and backfilled with $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) 3$ times before being left under $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ atmosphere. After 40 minutes, the reaction was complete by TLC. The reaction mixture was filtered through a short pad of Celite and the filter cake was washed several times with EtOAc. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a colorless oil which was used immediately without further purification.

## pyran-2-yl)acetyl chloride (158)

 0.48 M in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ) at room temperature. The reaction was stirred for 20 minutes then concentrated under reduced pressure. The material was used immediately without further purification.


To a solution of $\mathbf{1 5 8}(0.026 \mathrm{~g}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.75 \mathrm{~mL})$ cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added DMAP ( $0.009 \mathrm{~g}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction was stirred for 5 minutes. Afterwards, a solution of $\mathbf{9 3}(0.012 \mathrm{~g}, 0.037 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.37 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes and then warmed to room temperature. After 4 hours the reaction mixture was directly purified via PTLC (50\% EtOAc in hexanes) followed by a second prep plate, (20\% EtOAc in benzene, 2 elutions) to afford the desired products ( $\mathbf{1 5 6}$ and 52) as a $1: 1$ mixture of separable products $(0.008 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%)$.
epi- $\mathrm{C}_{7}$-O-Bz-theopederin D 156 (Fastest eluting): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) 8.29-8.28 (m, 2 H), 7.07-6.97 (m, 3 H ), 5.93 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.53 (app. t, $J=9.2,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.85(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{t}, J=1.9,3.7$

Hz, 1 H), $4.82(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{t}, J=2.0,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26-4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{qd}, J=2.8,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.31-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.1(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.6,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04-3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.45-2.37 (m, 1 H ), $2.03(\mathrm{qd}, J=2.7,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 177.5,167.6,166.0,146.1,133.3,110.6,99.7,92.3,85.9,80.9,80.1,78.6,77.1,76.7$, $72.5,70.0,61.6,48.0,41.9,41.3,34.5,32.0,30.2,29.0,28.0,22.6,17.8,14.5,12.2,1.4$; IR (neat): $3608,3359,2960,2923,2852,1725,1659,1632,1465,1412,1378,1261,1094,1021$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS (ES) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{NO}_{11} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}] 654.2890$ found $654.2870 ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+9.8$ (c 0.1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).
$\underline{C}_{7}-O$-Bz-theopederin D 52 (faster eluting): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.25$ (dd, $J=1.5,8.1$ Hz, 2 H ), $7.09-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.84$ (s, 1 H ), 5.76 (app t, $9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82$ (br s, 1 H$), 4.79$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56-4.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6$, $10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{qd}, J=3.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.16$ (d, $J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.4,16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{ddd}, J=3.0$, $9.0,12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{qd}, J=2.4,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.04$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 175.9,167.0,165.4,144.9,133.6,130.1,129.8,127.6,111.5,99.8,86.6,78.7,78.0$, $75.2,74.9,74.1,73.0,71.9,69.9,61.3,48.4,41.4,41.2,45.6,34.6,28.8,28.2, ~, 22.8,17.6,12.2 ;$ IR (neat): 3354, 2962, 2924, 2854, 2360, 2339, 1770, 1727, 1526, 1453, 1413, $1261 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS
(ES) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{NO}_{11} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}] 654.2890$ found $654.2877 ;[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+29.0$ (c 0.1, EtOAc); literature ${ }^{45}:[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+54.0$ (c 0.5, EtOAc).
$\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{7}-$-O-Bz-epi-C10-theopederin D (157) (slowest eluting): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.36-8.33$ (m, 2 H$), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.8,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 4.87 (br t, $J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{brt}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{qd}, J=2.7,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=2.7,11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{ddd}, J=3.6,7.5,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.09$ (qd, $J=2.7,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 1.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,9.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $\delta 175.9,167.3,166.3,146.9,133.4,130.8,110.8,100.3,91.7,84.1,80.2,79.9$, $78.2,73.2,72.4,70.2,61.7,59.0,48.5,42.5,36.9,35.1,33.4,32.3,29.0,28.5,28.0,23.4,18.5$, 14.7, 14.6, 12.6, 1.6; IR (neat): 3608, 3593, 3376, 2924, 1774, 1730, 1703, 1522, 1453, 1270, 1148, 1091, $1027 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ES) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{NO}_{11} \mathrm{Na}\left[\mathrm{M}+{ }^{23} \mathrm{Na}\right] 654.2890$ found $654.2901 ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{2}+24.5\left(c\right.$ 0.22, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## Theopederin D (1)



To a solution of $52(2.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.004 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.500 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.006 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 10 minutes, the reaction was complete by TLC analysis. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 3$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under
reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified via flash column chromatography ( $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes to $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes). This material was purified using PTLC $(70 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes), followed by running an additional prep plate ( $70 \%$ EtOAc in acetone) to afford the desired product as well as an inseparable impurity $(1.1 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.3,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50-4.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6,9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{qd}, J=2.7,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 6.3, $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.53-2.49 (m, 1 H$), 2.46-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 2.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.28-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.95$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 177.5,172.3,145.0,111.0,99.8,86.5,79.5,76.1$, $74.0,73.7,71.6,69.5,61.7,48.5,41.3,35.0,33.3,28.7,28.0,22.7,18.1,14.1,12.0$; IR (neat): $3541,3164,3060,2999,2943,2292,2252,1735,1627,1442,1375,1270,1039,919 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ES) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NO}_{10} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}] 550.2528$ found 550.2655. [ $\left.\alpha\right]^{21}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+7.56(c$ $\left.0.14, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; literature ${ }^{1}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+80\left(c 0.04, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ (no temperature was reported for the optical rotation).

## APPENDIX B

## EXPERIMENTAL: SYNTHESIS AND DETERMINATION OF THE RELATIVE AND ABSOLUTE CONFIGURATION OF PSYMBERIC ACID

## (R)-2,3-Dihydroxypropionic acid methyl ester (13)

 aqueous $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}(6.0 \mathrm{M}, 42.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 5 hours, then subsequently cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ whereupon aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(3.0 \mathrm{M}, 63 \mathrm{~mL})$ and aqueous $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}(6.0 \mathrm{M}, 42.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added again. The solution was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 2 days after which $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was distilled away from the reaction mixture (ca. 250 mL ) under water aspirator pressure. The remaining reaction residue was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(10.5 \mathrm{~g}, 263 \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added followed by addition of acetone $(25.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting solids were removed via filtration through a frit of Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The above process
(aqueous NaOH addition followed by acetone $/ \mathrm{MeOH}$ addition, filtration, and concentration) was repeated an additional 3 times. To the partially solvent free residue was added toluene ( 50.0 mL ) and the material was concentrated under reduced pressure. This process was repeated three times. To the crude residue was added $\mathrm{MeOH}(125 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 minutes, the reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the solution pH was neutralized by addition of solid $\mathrm{NaOCH}_{3}$. The solids were removed via filtration through a frit of Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a crude residue which was purified by flash chromatography ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes to $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) to afford the desired product ( $11.4 \mathrm{~g}, 41 \%$ yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.29(\mathrm{t}, J=3.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-10.4\left(c 0.97, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. This compound is consistent with literature values. ${ }^{113}$

## (S)-2,3-Dihydroxypropionic acid methyl ester (ent-13)



## (R)-3-(tert-Butyldiphenylsilanoxy)-2-hydroxypropionic acid methyl ester (36)



To a solution of $(R)$-methyl 2,3-dihydroxypropanoate ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 41.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(208 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature was added imidazole $(5.67 \mathrm{~g}, 83.3$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. After complete dissolution of the solids, the reaction mixture was cooled to $-42{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and tert-butyldiphenylsilylchloride ( $13.7 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction was stirred at -42 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour then satd. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{aq})(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the solution was warmed to room temperature. The reaction was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic
layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and filtered. The combined organic layers were concentrated under reduced pressure and the crude residue was purified by column chromatography ( $5 \%$ to $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the desired product as a colorless oil ( $11.0 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%$ yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.69-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}-23.9\left(c 1.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The product is consistent with literature values. ${ }^{114}$

## (S)-(3-(tert-Butyldiphenylsilanoxy)-2-hydroxypropionic acid methyl ester (ent-36)

 through the procedure that was reported for its enantiomer.
$[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+22.8\left(c\right.$ 1.91, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
(R)-3-(tert-Butyldiphenylsilanoxy)-2-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)propionic acid methyl ester (14)
 To a stirring solution of p-methoxybenzyl trichloroacetimidate (5.26 g, 18.6 $\mathrm{mmol})$ in cyclohexane $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature was added a solution of the appropriate secondary alcohol $(2.22 \mathrm{~g}, 6.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{THF}(6.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.062 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. An orange/white solid immediately precipitated. The mixture was warmed to room temperature, diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and cyclohexane $(1: 2,50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and poured into satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was extracted with a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ cyclohexane mixture $(1: 2,3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to an oily yellow semi-solid. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography $(10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the desired product as a colorless oil ( $2.27 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ yield $):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$

NMR (300 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.67-7.65$ (m, 4 H ), 7.43-7.34 (m, 6 H), 7.29-7.26 (m, 2 H ), 6.88-6.85 (m, 2 H), $4.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.11$ (app. $\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $171.2,159.3,135.7,135.6,135.5,135.4,133.1,133.0,129.5,129.4,127.6,113.7,113.7,78.8$, 72.0, 64.7, 55.1, 51.7, 26.6, 19.2; IR (neat): 1818, 1740, 1423, 1363, 1249, 1202, 1143, 981, 947, $702 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \operatorname{HRMS}(\mathrm{ES}) m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{NaSi}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}] 501.2073$, found 501.2052; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ $+18.8\left(c 1.3, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
(S)-3-(tert-Butyldiphenylsilanoxy)-2-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)propionic acid methyl ester (ent-

## 14)

 This material was prepared from the appropriate secondary alcohol through the procedure that was reported for its enantiomer. $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-20.2$ (c 1.30, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

## 1-(tert-Butyl-diphenylsilanoxy)-2-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-5-methylhex-5-en-3-ol (15)

 Method A: To a solution of $\mathbf{1 4}(2.27 \mathrm{~g}, 4.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(32 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added DIBAL-H ( $6.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.00 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes). The solution was stirred for 1 hour at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then methallylmagnesium chloride ( $23.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.80 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) was slowly added. The temperature was held at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes and then warmed to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 minutes $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature. $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, washed with brine ( 40 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and filtered. After concentration under reduced pressure, the crude residue was
purified by flash column chromatography ( $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the desired product as a colorless oil in a 1.8:1 (syn:anti) diastereomeric mixture ( $2.19 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ yield over 2 steps): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.71-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87-6.83$ (m, 2 H), 4.86-4.83 (m, 1 H), $4.78(\mathrm{~s}, 0.38 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~s}, 0.69 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=11.3,0.43 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.68 \mathrm{H}), 4.0-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.49$ (dd, $J=5.1,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.40 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 0.69 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 0.46 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.12$ (m, 2 H ) $1.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.24,159.2,142.7$, 142.6, $135.6,135.5,133.3,133.2,133.1,130.7,130.4,129.7,129.6,129.4,129.3,129.26,127.7,113.7$, $112.9,112.8,81.4,80.4,72.5,72.3,69.7,68.9,63.8,63.2,55.1,42.1,41.2,26.8,22.4,22.3$, 19.1; IR (neat): $2875,2953,2860,1612,1513,1470,1462,1391,1300,1250,1120,1106,1079$, 1038, 824, $705 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ES) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{NaSi}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}] 527.2594$, found 527.2596; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-17.5\left(c 1.30, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

Method B: To solution of $14(0.52 \mathrm{~g}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added DIBAL-H ( $1.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.00 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes). The solution was stirred for 1 hour at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then EtOAc ( 2.0 mL ) was added followed by satd. sodium potassium tartrate $(\mathrm{aq})(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over 3 hours. The reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 8 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined and washed with brine $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and filtered. The aldehyde was concentrated under reduced pressure and trace solvent removed under high vacuum. The crude material was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Methallyltrimethylsilane ( $0.28 \mathrm{~g}, 2.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the solution followed by slow addition of $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{THF}(121 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 1 hour satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction was warmed to room temperature.

The reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and filtered. The residue was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by column chromatography ( $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the desired product as a colorless oil in 1:4 (syn:anti) ratio ( $303 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%$ yield over 2 steps).

## 1-(tert-Butyl-diphenylsilanoxy)-2-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-5-methylhex-5-en-3-ol (ent-15)



Prepared according to the procedure for the enantiomer.
$[\alpha]^{24}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+19.78\left(c 0.74, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## tert-Butyl-[3-methoxy-2-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-5-methylhex-5-enyloxy]-diphenylsilane (16)



To a mixture of diastereomers of $\mathbf{1 5}(2.97 \mathrm{~g}, 5.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.50$ mL ) was added 2,6-di-tert-butylpyridine ( $1.96 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at room temperature. The solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and methyl triflate was added $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.83$ mmol ). The solution was slowly warmed to room temperature over 12 hours, then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added $(10.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and filtered. The crude material was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified via flash column chromatography ( $100 \%$ hexanes to $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the desired product as a colorless oil $(2.66 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ yield $):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.70-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-$ 7.36 (m, 6 H), 7.22-7.19 (m, 2 H$), ~ 6.85-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.79-4.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 0.48 \mathrm{H}), 4.65$ $(\mathrm{s}, 0.61 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3,0.75 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.80 \mathrm{H}) 4.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.60$ H), $3.90-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1.61 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 0.79 \mathrm{H}) 3.36-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-$ $2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.07-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.1$, 150.0,
$143.2,142.9,135.6,133.6,133.5,133.47,131.0,130.9,129.6,129.5,129.2,127.7,113.6,112.5$, $112.2,80.3,79.9,79.6,78.7,77.2,72.7,72.3,63.4,62.8,58.3,58.0,55.2,22.2,38.6,38.1,26.9$, 22.8, 22.7, 19.2; IR (neat) $3071,2932,2858,1612,1512,1248,1110 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{NaSi}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}] 541.2750$, found 541.2774; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-14.2\left(c 0.74, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
tert-Butyl-[3-methoxy-2-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-5-methylhex-5-enyloxy]-diphenylsilane (ent16)


Prepared according to the procedure for the enantiomer. Diastereomeric ratio after column chromatography was 1:1, syn:anti. $[\alpha]^{24}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+5.57$ (c 1.74, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

## 3-Methoxy-2-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-5-methylhex-5-en-1-ol



To a mixture of diastereomers of $\mathbf{1 6}(0.28 \mathrm{~g}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(5.33 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NF}(\mathrm{TBAF})(0.21 \mathrm{~g})$ at room temperature. After 3 hours $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The solution was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and filtered. Concentration of the crude material under reduced pressure, followed by purification via flash column chromatography (30\% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded separation of the two diastereomeric products ( 0.11 g total, $76.2 \%$ yield). Anti diastereomer (17) (faster eluting): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.28-7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 159.3,142.5,130.3,129.4,113.8,112.9,80.5,80.2$, $71.7,61.2,58.6,55.2,39.5,22.8$; IR (neat): $3452,2935,1612,1513,1460,1513,1248,1101$,
$1035 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right] 280.1675$ found $280.1671 ;[\alpha]^{26}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+7.6(c$, $0.90, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); Syn diastereomer (18) (slower eluting): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.30-7.26$ (d, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4,2 \mathrm{H}) 4.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59$, (s, 2 H ) 3.83$3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.67-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.9,14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 2.19, $14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 1.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 159.3,142.7,130.4,129.5$, $113.8,112.6,80.4,78.7,72.4,61.9,58.3,55.2,37.9,22.7$; IR (neat): $3447,2934,1612,1513$, 1461, 1301, 1248, 1088, $1036 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+) 280.1674$ found 280.1656; $[\alpha]^{24}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-6.5\left(c\right.$ 1.06, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 3-Methoxy-2-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-5-methylhex-5-en-1-ol



These compounds were prepared in accord with the procedure for their enantiomers. Anti diastereomers (ent-17): $[\alpha]^{24}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-8.8^{\circ}\left(c 1.15, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$;

Syn diastereomers (ent-18): $[\alpha]^{24}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+5.8\left(c 1.29, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 3-Methoxy-2-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-5-methylhex-5-enal (19)



To a solution of $\mathbf{1 7}(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO $(1.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by a solution of sulfur trioxide pyridine complex $(0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO $(1.1 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 30 minutes $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and filtered. The material was concentrated under reduced pressure and used without further purification.

## 3-Methoxy-2-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-5-methylhex-5-enal (ent-19)



This compound was prepared according to the procedure for its enantiomer.

## 3-Methoxy-2-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-5-methylhex-5-enoic acid



To a solution of crude aldehyde $19(0.15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tert-butanol ( 8.5 mL ) was added 2-methyl-2-butene ( 7.2 mL ) at room temperature. A solution of $80 \% \mathrm{NaClO}_{2}(1.35 \mathrm{~g}, 14.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.08 \mathrm{~g}, 7.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(8.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the colorless reaction slowly turned lime green. After 30 minutes the reaction was diluted with water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{EtOAc}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the organic and aqueous phases separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic layers were washed with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL}), 10 \% \mathrm{aq} . \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ citric acid $(20 \mathrm{ml})$, and brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated to a crude yellow oil. The material was purified via flash chromatography using a short column of silica (20\% EtOAc in hexane to $100 \%$ EtOAc $)$ to afford the desired product as a clear oil ( $0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%$ yield): Anti isomer (21): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 7.32-7.29 (m, 2 H ), 6.91-6.84 (m, 2 H ), $4.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ H), $4.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.78-3.72 (m, 1 H$), 3.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,14.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 1.75 (s, 3 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 173.9,159.6,141.7,129.7,128.9,113.9,113.3,80.8$, 80.0, 72.6, 58.3, 55.2, 38.5, 22.6; IR (neat): br 2934, 1728, 1613, 1514, 1302, 1250, 1174, 1109 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$294.1467, found 294.1452; $[\alpha]^{27}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-25.9$ (c 1.08, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); Syn isomer (22): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.30-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.77$ (m, 4 H ), 3.39 (s, 3 H ), 2.38 (dd, $J=6.8,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33$ (dd, $J=7.7$, 14.2 Hz, 1 H ), $1.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 175.3,159.5,141.5,130.1$, 128.7, $113.8,113.6,80.1,77.9,73.1,58.3,55.2,37.7,22.6$; IR (neat): br 2937, 1729, 1612, 1249, 1177,

1093, $1033 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$294.1467, found 294.1467; [ $\left.\alpha\right]^{27}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ $-20.8\left(c 0.85, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 3-Methoxy-2-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-5-methylhex-5-enoic acid




Syn Isomer (ent-22): This compound was prepared according to the procedure for its enantiomer. $[\alpha]^{27}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+26.8\left(c\right.$ 1.10, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 3-Methoxy-2-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-5-methylhex-5-enoyl chloride (23)



To a solution of $22(0.108 \mathrm{~g}, 0.367 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(54 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.734 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(143 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was warmed to room temperature. After 2 hours the reaction was concentrated under reduced pressure, diluted with ether ( 5 mL ) and filtered over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The material was concentrated under reduced pressure, and used crude in subsequent reactions.

## 3-Methoxy-2-(4-methoxy-benzyloxy)-5-methylhex-5-enoic acid methyl ester

$\underbrace{\text { OPм }}_{\text {Омео }}$ added $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(60.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(162 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.16$ mmol ) and the solution was warmed to room temperature. After 2 hours the reaction was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and anhydrous $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified via flash chromatography ( $10 \%$ to $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the desired product as a colorless oil (70 mg g, 54\% yield): Anti isomer (37): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ H), $4.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ H), $3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $171.5,159.4,142.1,129.7,129.3,113.7,112.9,80.7,78.9,72.2,58.1,55.2,51.8,38.9,22.7$; IR (neat): $2935,1749,1613,1514,1439,1249,1173,1108,1034 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS (ES) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}\right.$ 331.1521, found 331.1515; $[\alpha]^{29}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-57.0\left(c 0.73, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; Syn isomer (32): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.30-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31$ (app. d, 2 H ), 1.75 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.9,159.4,141.9,129.9,129.4,113.7,113.2,80.2,78.7,72.7,58.2,55.3,51.9,38.0$, 31.6, 22.7, 14.2; IR (neat): 2951, 1752, 1613, 1438, 1250, 1174, 1097, $1034 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS (ES) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}] 331.1521$, found 331.1512; $[\alpha]^{29}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-38.4\left(c 0.59, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 3-Methoxy-2-(4-methoxy-benzyloxy)-5-methylhex-5-enoic acid methyl ester



Anti isomer (ent-37): $[\alpha]^{27}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+45.9\left(c 0.63, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.


Syn isomer (ent-32): $[\alpha]^{27}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+41.5\left(c\right.$ 1.40, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 2-Hydroxy-3-methoxy-5-methylhex-5-enoic acid methyl ester



To a solution of $\mathbf{3 7}(70 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~g}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile ( 4 mL ) and water (400 $\mu \mathrm{L})$ was added ceric ammonium nitrate $(0.39 \mathrm{~g}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature. After 1 hour the reaction mixture was concentrated by careful evaporation, and
purified via flash chromatography ( $10 \%$ to $40 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in pentane) to afford the desired product as a light yellow oil ( $0.025 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ yield): Anti isomer (38): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.85$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ $3.24-3$ (br s, 1 H ), 2.37 (dd, $J=7.6,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.22 (dd, $J=6.2,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.78 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.9,141.7,113.3,81.4,71.9,58.2,52.5,37.9,22.6$; IR (neat): 3424, 3020, 2400, 1736, 1440, 1216, 1107, 929, $756 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ $(\mathrm{M}+)$ 188.1048, found 188.1043; $[\alpha]^{29}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+26.6\left(c, 0.37, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; Syn isomer (29): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-$ $2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.8(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.9,141.4,113.8,80.3,71.5,58.0$, 52.4, 37.9, 22.7; IR (neat): 3434, 2953, 1744, 1648, 1440, 1281, 1092; HRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]$ 173.0813, found 173.0813; $[\alpha]^{29}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+13.1\left(c 0.19, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 2-Hydroxy-3-methoxy-5-methylhex-5-enoic acid methyl ester



## 3-Methoxy-5,5-dimethyltetrahydrofuran-2-carboxylic acid methyl ester

 temperature. The reaction mixture was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 12 hours.
$\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{mg})$ was added and the reaction mixture was filtered to remove the solid. After careful evaporation of solvent, the desired product was obtained as a colorless oil in quantitative yield: Anti isomer (34): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.12$ (app. $\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ),
$3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.5,85.9,83.7,82.3,57.3,52.2,43.8,29.1,28.3$; IR (neat): 2916, 2848, 1755, 1620, 1439, 1366, 1260, 1107; HRMS: (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ [M- $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ] 173.0813, found 173.0802; $[\alpha]^{29}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+2.5\left(c, 0.13, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; Syn isomer (31) prepared from 29: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $3.9,13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8,13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.5,83.4,82.5,80.5,57.6,51.9,42.8,28.9,29.0$; IR (neat): 2926, 2850, 1754, 1439, 1367, 1197, 1136, 1098; HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]$ 173.0813, found 173.0819; $[\alpha]^{29}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-7.7\left(c 0.29, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 3-Methoxy-5,5-dimethyltetrahydrofuran-2-carboxylic acid methyl ester



Syn isomer (35, prepared from ent-29): $[\alpha]^{29}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+10.5\left(c 0.40, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 3-Methoxy-2-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-5-methylhex-5-enoic acid (1-methoxy-2-

phenylethylidene)amide (25)


To a solution of $\mathbf{2 4}$ (methyl imidate) ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2$ $\mathrm{mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(208 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by a solution of crude $23(0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour then warmed to room temperature for 3 hours, after which the reaction mixture was
concentrated under reduced pressure, diluted with ether, and filtered over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Concentration under reduced pressure afforded a brown oil which was used crude without further purification.

## 3-Methoxy-2-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-5-methylhex-5-enoic acid (1-methoxy-2-

## phenylethyl)amide (26)



To a solution of crude $25(0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 0.374 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(350 \mathrm{mg}, 9.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$.

The reaction was stirred for 2 hours at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$ was slowly added dropwise. The reaction was warmed to room temperature and the reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined and washed with brine ( 10 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and filtered. The material was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by flash chromatography ( 20 to $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the desired product as a slightly yellow oil in a $2: 1$ ratio of diastereomers ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \%$ over 3 steps): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.30-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.51-5.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~s}, 0.72 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~s}$, $0.33 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~s}, 0.81 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{~s}, 0.33 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.79 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $0.74 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.31 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.41 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 3.06-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $2.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 1.74-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.9$, $171.8,159.73,159.66,141.8,141.7,136.1,136.0,130.2,130.0,129.5,129.5,128.7,128.6$, $128.4,126.7,126.6,114.0,113.9,113.5,81.0,80.4,80.2,80.0,79.8,73.9,73.5,58.6,58.3,55.9$, 55.6, 55.2, 41.6, 38.5, 37.9, 22.6; IR (neat): 3400, 3065, 3029, 2933, 2833, 1686, 1612, 1586, 1514, 1454, 1363, 1322, 1302, 1249, 1177, 1089, 1033, 894, 824, 736, 701; HRMS (EI) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right]$ 396.2175, found 396.2172; $[\alpha]^{29}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-36.4\left(c 0.79, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 2-Hydroxy-3-methoxy-5-methylhex-5-enoic acid (1-methoxy-2-phenylethyl)amide (27)



To a solution of $26(0.61 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and pH 7 (phosphate) buffer solution ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added DDQ $(0.38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17$ mmol ) at room temperature. After 4 hours, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified via flash chromatography ( $20 \%$ to $50 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the desired product as a slightly yellow oil $\left(0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 39 \%\right.$ yield, mixture of diastereomers): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.36-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.48-5.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ H), $4.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.0(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1.15 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 0.91$ H), 3.19 (s, 2 H ) $3.14-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.29$ (app. d, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.16$ (m, 1 H ), 1.80 (s, 3 $\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 173.1,172.9,141.8,141.7,135.9,135.7,129.7,129.6,128.7$, $128.4,126.7,113.5,81.1,80.9,79.0,78.8,71.2,71.1,58.2,56.1,55.9,41.6,41.5,39.0,38.2$, 22.6; IR (neat): $3403,2936,2253,1678,1511,1455,1379,1112,1087,919,733,650 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) $m / z$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right]$ 275.1521, found 275.1514; $[\alpha]^{29}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-15.3$ (c $\left.1.09, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## Model System Degradation



Imidate $27(0.01 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ was diluted in 1 mL of $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ in MeOH . The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 12 hours then cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{mg})$ was added and the reaction was filtered. The filtrate was carefully concentrated to afford a yellow residue. The
crude material was purified via flash chromatography to afford the desired product (31) as a colorless oil ( $0.002 \mathrm{~g}, 34 \%$ ).

## Psymberin Degradation

To a sample of psymberin $(0.2 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(250 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(5.5 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(750 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. The reaction mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hours in a sealed tube. After 12 hours $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ was added, and the contents of the sealed tube were transferred via syringe into a 3 mL GC vial. The solvent was removed via careful air evaporation. The sealed tube as well as the syringe were washed copiously with ether (4 times) and the washings were transferred to the GC vial where the solvent was again carefully evaporated.

## GC Experiments

Studies were conducted using a Hewlett-Packard HP6850 GC System equipped with a Chiraldex G-TA column. For experiments in which 31, 33, 34, and 35 were run either separately or together, the column temperature was held at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 25 minutes. Column pressure was 8.7 psi and flow rate was $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The psymberin degradation mixture was run independently or with 34, and the column temperature was held at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 25 minutes then warmed to $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. Column pressure was 8.7 psi and flow rate was $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.
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