PREGNANCY AFTER LIVER TRANSPLANTATION WITH TACROLIMUS IMMUNOSUPPRESSION: A SINGLE CENTER'S EXPERIENCE UPDATE AT 13 YEARS¹

Ashokkumar B. Jain,^{2,3} J. Reyes,² Amadeo Marcos,² G. Mazariegos,² Bijan Eghtesad,² Paulo A. Fontes,² Thomas V. Cacciarelli,² J. Wallis Marsh,² Michael E. de Vera,² Ann Rafail,² Thomas E. Starzl,² and John J. Fung²

Background. Chronic liver disease often leads to amenorrhea in women of childbearing age. There are several reports of successful pregnancy after liver transplantation (LTx) with cyclosporine A immunosuppression. Tacrolimus has been increasingly used in solid-organ transplantation, and the effect of the drug on pregnancy is still of interest to clinicians. This study updates our single-center experience.

Methods. All pregnancies after LTx with tacrolimus immunosuppression were followed prospectively. Patients' clinical courses during pregnancy and labor along with gestational period and birth weight were catalogued. Changes in liver function, renal function, and immunosuppression also were recorded. The birth weight percentile was calculated on the basis of the gestational period using a standard chart.

Results. Thirty-seven mothers delivered 49 babies. Three mothers delivered three times, and six mothers delivered two times. Thirty-six mothers (97%) survived the pregnancy, and 36 allografts (97%) survived. The one death and graft loss was in a patient who demonstrated infra-aortic arterial graft, which clotted by the gravid uterus during labor. The patient developed a gangrenous liver and died before she could undergo retransplantation. The mean gestational period was 36.4±3.2 weeks, excluding two premature deliveries at 23 and 24 weeks gestation. Twenty-two babies (46.9%) were delivered by cesarean section, and the other babies were delivered vaginally. In addition to the two premature babies, one baby, who was born to a mother with Alagille syndrome, died from congenital birth defects. The rest of the newborns survived. The mean birth weight was $2,797\pm775$ g, with 38 babies (78%) weighing more than 2,000 g. The mean birth weight percentile to gestational period was 54±23. Four babies (8.5%) had a birth weight percentile of less than 25, and 28 babies (59.6%) had a birth weight percentile greater than 50. Twelve patients demonstrated an increase in hepatic enzymes without jaundice during the pregnancy. All of them responded to augmentation of immunosuppression.

Conclusion. The present report reconfirms the safety of tacrolimus during pregnancy after LTx. Pre-

¹ This article was presented in part at the XIX International Congress of The Transplantation Society, Miami, Florida, August 25 to 30, 2002.

² Thomas E. Starzl Transplantation Institute, Division of Transplantation, Department of Surgery, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

³ Address correspondence to: Ashokkumar B. Jain, M.D., University of Rochester Medical Center, 601 Elmwood Ave, Box Surg, Rochester, NY 14642. E-mail ashok_jain@urmc.rochester.edu.

Received 13 February 2003. Revised 14 April 2003. Accepted 5 June 2003.

DOI: 10.1097/01.TP.0000084823.89528.89

term delivery and low birth weight seem to be a persistent problem in all solid-organ transplantation under any form of immunosuppression. However, toxemia of pregnancy and new onset of hypertension seem to be have a low occurrence with the use of tacrolimus.

Chronic liver disease can lead to amenorrhea in women, and pregnancy is often not possible in women of childbearing age. There are reports after liver transplantation (LTx) indicating that the normal menstrual cycle is restored, and that childbearing is possible. There are also reports of pregnancy during which azathioprine (Aza) and cyclosporine A (CsA)based (1-3) immunosuppression is administered. Tacrolimus was introduced in clinical trials at our center 13 years ago and has been used by many other centers during the last 10 years. During the last 5 to 10 years, we have published our initial reports on successful pregnancies after LTx with tacrolimus-based immunosuppression (4, 5).

This report updates our center's experience and examines the outcome of pregnancies after LTx with tacrolimus-based immunosuppression during the last 13 years from a single center.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

All pregnancies with tacrolimus-based immunosuppression after LTx were examined prospectively. The course of the pregnancy in the mother, changes in allograft function, complication in the mother, and immunosuppression were examined. Gestational period, type of delivery, birth weight, intrauterine development of the fetus, and congenital defect in the fetus were recorded. Intrauterine growth of the fetus was determined by calculating birth weight percentile using the scatter gram from Lubchenco, Hansman, and Boyd (6).

RESULTS

Overall, 37 mothers delivered 49 babies. Three mothers delivered three times (cases 2, 11, and 35), and six mothers delivered two times (cases 1, 5, 9, 10, 14, and 16). The primary diagnosis of end-stage liver failure is given in Table 1. Eight mothers underwent two transplants and three mothers underwent three transplants before pregnancy. Seven mothers (18.9%) had undergone LTx at the age of 12 years or less; the youngest patients were 6 (case 32) and 8 years (case 31) at the time of LTx. The mean age of the mother at the time of delivery was 29.1±6.3 years (median 27.5; range 18.3-43.4 years). The mean interval from LTx to delivery was 70.2 ± 51.8 months (median 48; range 8-163 months). All mothers received tacrolimus for the entire gestational period. A total of 20 mothers received tacrolimus from the outset after LTx, and 17 mothers originally received CsA and were converted to tacrolimus for ongoing rejection. They were con-

Aff of the function of
763 113 633 7600 (a) 763
113 113 114
Rie 77 $Vaginal Paginal 2.968 7.0 0.001 0.011 0.0$
Main Main <t< td=""></t<>
Matrix
Matrix
341 679 70 7
32.1 82.4 40 Vagnal 3.773 611 55 6.232 19.1 86.2 3.6 Vagnal 2.113 60.7 3.6 60.9 3.7 60.0 60.0 2.0 60.0
239 662 36 Vaginal 215 007 007 000 267 946 37.5 007 007 007 00000 00000
267 946 40 Vaginal $3,324$ Boy 66 0070 2233 3772 38 772 38 772 86 0070 0010 2337 5117 40 CS Plaenta previa $2,280$ 011 25 0070 0070 2332 103 39 CS Prohylactic $3,570$ 011 90 6070 0070 3322 2033 40 CS Prolonged labor $3,570$ 011 90 0070 0070 414 763 32 CS Palme to progress $2,964$ 017 90 0070 0071 0071 414 763 32 CS Palme to progress $2,964$ 017 90 0170 0170 312 563 773 866 90 607 0071 90 0071 90 0071 90
259 372 36 CS Placenta previa 2280 Girl 25 G000 NG 233 518 10 23 231 40 CS Prophylactic 3,52 Boy 75 G101 25 G000 MG 337 618 3 2 CS Prophylactic 3,570 G11 29 G000 MG 332 2 03 3 CS Prophylactic 2,590 Boy 75 G110 97 76 G100 MG 332 2 2 Prophylactic 2,596 Boy 76 G100 MG 332 2 3 2 CS Failure to progress 2,590 Boy 75 G110 97 76 G100 MG 332 2 CS Failure to progress 2,890 Boy 76 G100 97 60 600 97 60 99 60 600
in 25.5 118 38 Vaginal 2.430 By 25 G1P 3570 Girl 90 26 G1P 312 312 203 40 C/S Prolonged labor 2550 600 By 75 G1P 312 312 203 40 C/S Prolonged labor 2.640 611 90 6000 6000 312 753 32 C/S Prolonged labor 2.640 611 90 6000 6000 312 753 32 C/S Prolonged labor 2.640 611 90 6010 611 90 6010 611 90 6010 611 90 6010 611 90 6010 611 90 6010 611 90 6110 91 611 90 6110 91 611 90 6110 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91
in $25.$ 103 39 CS Failure to progress 3.570 Girl 90 G00 By 75 G110 332 203 40 CS Frolonged labor 3.690 By 76 G110 90 G010 332 203 203 203 70 G12 204 G111 30 G119 30 G119 332 2562 375 CS Failure to progress 2,890 By 50 G110 25 G010 222 933 175 CS Failure to progress 2,890 By 50 G110 25 G010 223 119.8 77.9 37 CS Failure to progress 2,890 By 50 G110 26 G010 223 119.6 28 Vaginal 223 119.6 28 Vaginal 223 119.6 28 Vaginal 223 119.6 231 235 CS Failure to progress 2,890 By 50 G110 26 G010 223 119.3 114.6 28 Vaginal 223 924 By 70 G11 25 G011 223 119.3 114.6 28 Vaginal 223 114.6 28 Vaginal 233 2,42 By 70 G019 203 111.5 38 CS By 3362 By 25 G014 20 209 203 111.5 38 CS By 3362 By 70 G019 231 132.4 40 Vaginal 23 2,42 By 70 G019 23,222 231 132.4 40 Vaginal 23 2,42 By 70 G019 23,26 G014 23 23.1 132.4 40 Vaginal 23 2,42 By 70 G019 23,26 G014 23 2,56 G014 24 2,56
33.2 20.3 40 CS Prolonged labor 3,690 Boy 75 G1P0 43.4 18.6 40 Vaginal Nuptured membrane 2,954 Girl 30 G1P0 43.2 75.3 37.5 C/S Failure to progress 2,964 Girl >90 G2P1 33.2 26.2 37.5 C/S Failure to progress 2,960 Girl >90 G2P1 36.1 97.8 37.5 C/S Failure to progress 2,960 Girl >90 G2P1 26.2 97.8 37.5 C/S Failure to progress 2,960 Girl >90 G2P1 20.3 114.6 28 Vaginal Elective/spina bifid/small pelvis 3,22.5 Boy 70 G0P2 20.3 111.5 34 Vaginal Breech presentation 1,439 Girl 20 G0P1 20.3 111.5 33 C/S Breech presentation 3,162 Boy 70 G0P3 20.3 111.7 33 C/S Breech present
434 18.6 40 Vaginal 2.954 Girl 30 G1P0 41.8 75.3 32 C/S Ruptured membrane 2,940 Girl 50 G1P0 33.2 26.2 77.9 37.5 C/S Failure to progress 2,956 Girl 50 G1P0 26.2 77.9 37.5 C/S Failure to progress 2,586 Boy 50 G1P0 20.3 17.4.6 28 Vaginal 2,585 Boy 50 G1P0 20.3 17.4.6 28 Vaginal 2,586 Boy 20 G0P0 20.3 117.6 28 Vaginal 2,342 Boy 20 G0P3 20.3 1148.5 38 C/S Fletal distress 2,342 Boy 20 60P3 20.3 111.6 28 Vaginal Elective/spina bifid/a/small pelvis 3,242 Boy 20 60P3 20.3 111.5 34 Vaginal 1,439 Girl 20 60P5 21.3 1
41.8 75.3 32 C/S Ruptured membrane 2,040 Girl >90 G2P1 33.2 2.62 37.5 C/S Failure to progress 2,890 Boy 56 G1P0 33.2 2.61 37.5 C/S Failure to progress 2,890 Boy 56 G0P0 20.2 93 35.5 Vaginal 2,586 Boy 26 G0P0 20.3 1174.6 28 Vaginal 2,585 Boy 26 G0P1 20.3 1174.6 28 Vaginal 2,342 Boy 70 G0P3 20.3 111.6 38 C/S Elective/spina hifd/a/small pelvis 3,342 Boy 70 G0P3 20.3 111.1.5 34 Vaginal 1,438 57 60 50 G0P4 20.3 111.1.5 34 Vaginal 1,644/small pelvis 3,322 Boy 70 G0P3 20.3 111.1.5 34 Vaginal 1,449 Girl 20 G0P4 20.3 111.5
33.2 26.2 37.5 C/S Failure to progress 2,890 Boy 50 G1P0 26.2 77.9 37.5 C/S Failure to progress 2,580 Girl 25 G0P0 26.1 97.8 35.5 Vaginal 2,865 Boy 80 G0P0 26.1 97.8 36.5 Vaginal 2,865 Boy 25 G0P1 26.1 97.8 36.5 Vaginal 2,686 Boy 26 G0P3 36.1 97.8 38 C/S Fetal distress 2,686 Boy 26 G0P3 36.1 148.5 28 Vaginal Elective/spina bifd/asmall pelvis 3,324 Boy 70 G0P3 20.3 111.5 34 Vaginal 1,439 Girl 26 G0P4 21.9 117.9 33 C/S Breech presentation 1,449 Girl 26 G0P6 22.4 160.7 32 26.4 Presentation 1,449 Girl 26 G0P6 23.1 10.
262 779 37 CS Failure to progress $2,586$ Boy 80 6090 222 97.8 35.5 Vaginal $2,855$ Boy 80 609 80 609 36.1 97.8 38 C/S Fetal distress $2,855$ Boy 21 607 80 40 400 80 40 400 24 Boy 210 607 80 2607 80 2607 80 80 607 80 607 80 607 80 607 80 202 800 210 911 23 80 20 80 210 111.6 32 80 210 111.9 32 80 200 3117 91117 91 202 800 50 607 911119 912 80 316 607 910 607 910 2012 910119 912 910119 91011119 911179 912 9101119 912
2229335.5Vaginal2,855Boy80 (00) 36.197.838 C/S Fetal distress2,855Boy 25 (001) 36.197.838 C/S Fetal distress2,585Boy 25 (001) 22.3174.628Vaginal92.4Boy <10 (002) 18.3148.538 C/S Elective/spina bifida/small pelvis $3,242$ Boy 70 (002) 11.1534Vaginal $3,362$ Boy 70 (002) $3,362$ Boy 50 (007) 20.3111.1534Vaginal $3,362$ Boy 50 (017) (002) 21.9117.933 C/S Breech presentation $1,439$ $(11')$ 20 (107) 23.1132.440Vaginal $3,750$ $(11')$ 25 (202) 23.1132.440 37.5 Breech presentation $1,439$ $(11')$ 25 (202) 25.4160.7 3.75 $Vaginal$ $3,750$ $(11')$ 25 (202) 25.837 C/S Breech presentation $1,439$ $(11')$ 25 (202) 25.450.7 $(11')$ 25 $(11')$ 26 (207) 26.351.8 37 $(21')$ $(21')$ $(20')$ $(20')$ 27.551.8 37 $(21')$ $(21')$ $(20')$ $(20')$ 29.170.2 $(21')$
36.1 97.8 38 C/S Fetal distress $2,565$ Boy 25 $(0P1)$ 22.3 174.6 28 Vaginal 92.4 Boy <10 $G0P2$ 22.3 174.6 28 Vaginal 92.4 Boy <10 $G0P2$ 18.3 148.5 38 C/S $Elective/spina bifida/small pelvis 3,362 Boy <10 G0P3 20.3 111.5 34 Vaginal 3,362 Boy 70 G0P3 20.3 117.9 33 C/S Breech presentation 1,439 Girl 20 G0P6 21.9 117.9 33 C/S Breech presentation 1,439 Girl 20 G1P1 25.4 160.7 32.75 Breech presentation 1,439 Girl 20 G1P6 25.8 160.7 32.75 Breech presentation 1,449 Girl 20 G1P1 25.8 160.7 37.6 60.76 60.76 60.76$
22.3 174.6 28 Vaginal 924 Boy <10
18.3 148.5 38 C/S Elective/spina bifid/small pelvis $3,242$ Boy 70 $60P3$ 40 48.1 36 Vaginal $3,362$ Boy 70 $60P3$ 20.3 111.5 34 Vaginal $1,983$ Boy 50 $60P4$ 20.3 111.5 34 Vaginal $1,439$ 61 20 $60P6$ 21.9 117.9 33 C/S Breech presentation $1,439$ 61 20 $60P6$ 23.1 132.4 40 Vaginal Breech presentation $1,439$ 61 20 $60P6$ 23.1 132.4 40 Vaginal Breech presentation $1,449$ 61 26 $60P6$ 25.8 160.7 32 C/S Breech presentation $1,449$ 61 290 $60P6$ 25.8 163 37 C/S Breech presentation $3,750$ 611 290 $60P0$ 27.5 51.8 37 C/S Breech presentation $2,711$
40 48.1 36 Vaginal $3,362$ Boy 85 G0P4 20.3 111.5 34 Vaginal $3,362$ Boy 85 G0P4 20.3 111.5 34 Vaginal $1,983$ Boy 85 G0P6 21.9 117.9 33 C/S Breech presentation $1,439$ Girl 20 G0P6 23.1 132.4 40 Vaginal $3,162$ Boy 55 G0P6 25.4 160.7 32 C/S Breech presentation $1,439$ Girl 20 G0P6 25.8 163 37.5 V aginal $3,750$ Boy 55 G0P0 25.8 163 37.5 V aginal $3,750$ Boy 560 $60P0$ 20.1 70.2 36.4 C/S Breech presentation $3,721$ Boy 50 $60P0$ 27.5 51.8 37 C/S Breech presentation $3,721$ Boy 50 $60P0$ 27.5 51.8
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
21.9 117.9 33 C/S Breech presentation 1,439 $Girl$ 20 $G0P6$ 23.1 132.4 40 Vaginal 3,182 Boy 50 $G1P1$ 25.4 160.7 32 C/S Breech presentation 1,449 $Girl$ 25 $G2P2$ 25.8 163 37.5 Vaginal 3,750 $Girl$ 25 $G2P2$ 25.8 163 37.5 Vaginal 3,750 $Girl$ 26 $G0P0$ 26.1 70.2 36.4 C/S Breech presentation 3,721 Boy >90 $G0P0$ 27.15 51.8 37 C/S Breech presentation 2,711 50 $G0P0$ 6.3 48 3.2 775 2687 51.8 90° $60P0$ 6.3 48 3.2 775 2687 50° 50° 6.3 48 3.2 775 26897 50° 70°
23.1 132.4 40 Vaginal 3,182 Boy 50 $(1P1)$ 25.4 160.7 32 C/S Breech presentation 1,449 (irl) 25 $(2P2)$ 25.8 163 37.5 Vaginal 3,750 (irl) 25 $(2P2)$ 25.8 163 37.5 Vaginal 3,750 (irl) 25 $(2P2)$ 25.8 163 37 C/S Breech presentation $3,721$ Boy >90 $G0P0$ 29.1 70.2 36.4 C/S Breech presentation $2,697$ 53.51 50 $60P0$ 27.15 51.8 37 $2,711$ 50 $2,711$ 50 26.88 6.3 48 3.2 775 $2,711$ 50 26.88
25.4 160.7 32 C/S Breech presentation 1,449 $Girl$ 25 $G2P2$ 25.8 163 37.5 Vaginal 3,750 $Girl$ >90 $G0P0$ 38.3 46.3 37 C/S Breech presentation 3,721 Boy >90 $G0P0$ 29.1 70.2 36.4 C/S Breech presentation 2,697 53.51 27.5 51.8 37 6.3 48 3.2 775 26.88
25.8 163 37.5 Vaginal 3,750 Girl >90 G00 38.3 46.3 37 C/S Breech presentation $3,721$ Boy >90 G00 A_{10} 38.3 46.3 37 C/S Breech presentation $3,721$ Boy >90 $G0P0$ A_{10} 29.1 70.2 36.4 C/S Breech presentation $2,697$ 53.51 50 $G0P0$ A_{10} 27.5 51.8 37 $2,711$ 50 $2,711$ 50 26.88 V
38.3 46.3 37 C/S Breech presentation $3,721$ Boy >90 $G00$ A 29.1 70.2 36.4 C/S Breech presentation $2,697$ 53.51 790 600 A 27.5 51.8 37 $2,711$ 50 $2,711$ 50 92 6.3 48 3.2 3.2 775 26.88 92
29.1 70.2 36.4 $2,697$ 53.51 70 27.5 51.8 37 $2,711$ 50 2.7 6.3 48 3.2 775 26.88 9.2
27.5 51.8 37 2,711 50 2 6.3 48 3.2 775 26.88 9
6.3 48 3.2 - 7/10 20.88 -

Fremature pury dued soon after pirdt. C/S, cesarean section; g, gravida; p, para; SD, standard deviation.

ų

Vol. 76, No. 5

÷.

verted to tacrolimus before pregnancy. The mean time they received tacrolimus before delivery was 49.9 ± 40.8 months (median 31.2; range 5.8-114.8 months).

Survival

Mothers. Thirty-six mothers (92.3%) survived the pregnancy. One mother (case 32) underwent two LTxs; primipara at age 40 years, her infra-renal aortic graft clotted during labor. She developed liver failure 2 days later and died of fulminant gangrene of the allograft on transfer to our center before retransplantation could be accomplished. However, the newborn baby survived. Of the remaining 36 mothers, three died during the subsequent follow-up period. One mother (case 3) had a documented history of noncompliance with substance abuse and died as a result of liver failure from chronic rejection 67 months postdelivery and 101 months post-LTx. Another mother (case 12) was also noncompliant and died of liver failure from recurrent hepatitis B virus infection and chronic rejection 40 months after delivery and 84 months post-LTx. A third mother (case 7) underwent retransplantation 30 months postdelivery for de novo hepatitis C virus infection. Postoperatively, she sustained ischemic brain injury from respiratory failure and died 34 months postdelivery.

Liver Allograft. All mothers maintained liver allograft function during the pregnancy except case 32 (described previously), who experienced ischemic graft injury during labor. After delivery, one mother (case 11) lost the liver allograft from recurrent autoimmune hepatitis and chronic rejection. After two successful pregnancies, she underwent combined liver and kidney transplantation 46 and 19 months after delivery after the first and second babies, respectively. She subsequently delivered for the third time 69 months after kidney and liver retransplantation. The rest of the surviving mothers have kept their original graft from the time of pregnancy.

Newborn Babies. Twenty-seven babies (55%) were delivered vaginally, and 22 babies (46.9%) were delivered by caesarean section. The reasons for caesarean section are given in Table 1.

Of 49 live babies, two were premature and died soon after delivery (cases 5 and 10a); both mothers conceived soon after transplantation and are described in our previous report. Of the remaining 47 live babies, one baby (case 35) was born to a mother who underwent transplantation for Alagille syndrome and died 4 months later from multiple congenital birth defects of cardiopulmonary and esophageal origin. The mother subsequently remarried and has two viable babies (cases 35b and 35c) from the second marriage. The remaining 46 babies (25 boys and 21 girls) were alive and well at the last follow-up.

Gestational Period. The mean gestational period for the viable babies was 36.4 ± 3.2 weeks (excluding the two premature babies; range 28-40, median 37 weeks).

Thirty-two babies (68%) had gestational periods of 36 weeks or more, 12 babies (26%) had gestational periods ranging from 32 to 36 weeks, and the remaining three babies (6%) had gestational periods of less than 32 weeks.

Birth Weight

The mean birth weight was $2,697\pm775$ g (median 2,652; range 924-2,346 g). Thirty-eight babies (78%) weighed more than 2,000 g, excluding two premature babies.

Birth Weight Percentile for Gestational Period. The mean birth weight percentile was 54 ± 27 (range <10->90; median=50). Four babies (8.5%) had a birth weight percentile of less than 25, 15 babies (31.9%) had a birth weight percentile of 25 to 50, and the remaining 28 babies (59.6%) had a birth weight percentile of 50 or greater.

Congenital Anomaly

There was a multiple congenital defect in case 35a consisting of a tracheoesophageal fistula and valvular heart disease. There was a nonfunctional unilateral cystic kidney in case 14a. The baby also had an accessory nipple on the right side. The mother had a history of a similar abnormality in two sons with one extra nipple and one son with two extra nipples before LTx. She did not inform us initially, because she felt it was a familial condition. No other congenital anomaly was detected.

Renal Function

Overall, renal function before conception, during the first, second, and third trimesters, and after delivery remained stable. There was a slight improvement in mean serum creatinine during the pregnancy, but this returned to baseline after delivery. The details of mean blood urea nitrogen and serum creatinine are given in Table 2. During the follow-up period, one patient (case 11) underwent kidney transplantation (with retransplantation of liver described earlier in the present report) 19 months after the second delivery. Also, one patient (case 30) developed end-stage renal failure 28 months postdelivery and is currently on hemodialysis. She had a longstanding history of diabetes and hypertension. One patient (case 36) passed kidney stones during the pregnancy.

Liver Function

Twelve pregnancies (24.5%) were associated with an increase in liver enzymes without jaundice (six during pregnancy and six in the postpartum period). These were usually treated with an augmentation of baseline immunosuppression or a steroid bolus or both. None of the grafts were lost for immunologic reasons.

Hypertension and Toxemia of Pregnancy

Four mothers (cases 8, 10, 22, and 30) were hypertensive before pregnancy, which required treatment. Calcium channel blocker has been the antihypertensive agent of choice at our center, and usually single agent was adequate. They remained stable during the pregnancy, except in case 10a in which emergency cesarean section was required. Their gestation periods were 35, 32, 38, and 38 weeks with birth weights of 2,594, 1,565, 2,430, and 2,585 g and birth weight percentiles for gestational periods of 75, 50, 25, and 25, respectively.

Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Mellitus

Three mothers (cases 4, 29, and 30) were receiving insulin before and during the viable pregnancy. The mean gestational periods in these three mothers were 33, 35.5, and 38 weeks with birth weight percentiles of 40, 80, and 20, respectively.

Vol. 76, No. 5

TABLE 2. Liver and renal function with tacrolimus dose and c	concentration
--	---------------

Before pregnancy			pregnancy First trimester		Second trimester		Third trimester			After delivery				
Liver function (mean values)														
Bilirubin	AST	ALT	Bilirubin	AST	ALT	Bilirubin	AST	ALT	Bilirubin	AST	ALT	Bilirubin	AST	ALT
0.6	35.3	38.0	0.6	31.5	32.0	0.6	37.0	38.7	0.5	36.7	31.2	0.7	48.7	41.6
0.4	21.1	25.1	0.4	19.6	23.5	0.4	40.3	33.6	0.4	47.0	25.7	0.5	70.6	53.3
0.6	27.5	32.0	0.5	26.5	28.0	0.5	27.0	24.0	0.4	27.0	23.5	0.7	28.0	25.0
	Renal function (mean value)													
Creatinine	Creatinine BUN		Creatinine		BUN	Creatinine BUN		BUN	Creatinine		BUN	Creatinine		BUN
1.2	20.3		1.0		17.0	1.0		15.0	1.1		14.2	1.2		18.8
0.5	5 7.5		0.4		6.6	0.4		6.4	0.4		8.1	0.5		10.2
1.1	1.1 18.5		0.9		17.0	0.9		14.0	0.9		13.0	1.1		15.0
	Mean tacrolimus—dose mg/day and whole-blood trough concentration ng/mL													
Dose	Dose Level		Dose	Le	vel	Dose	L	evel	Dose		Level	Dose		Level
9.8	9.8 7.0		9.5	7.	0	9.6		4.5	9.5		4.5	10.9		5.7

Bilirubin mg/dL (1 mg/dL=17 µmol/L, SI units), creatinine mg/dL (1 mg/dL=88.4 µmol/L-SI units).

BUN, blood urea nitrogen-mg/dL (1 mg/dL=0.357 µmol/L SI units); AST, aspartate amino transferase U/L; ALT, alanine amino transferase U/L.

Immunosuppression

All patients received tacrolimus during the entire pregnancy period. Seventeen of the 37 mothers initially received CsA but were converted to tacrolimus before pregnancy. The mean tacrolimus dose and tacrolimus trough concentration before, during, and after pregnancy are given in Table 2. Three patients received Aza (cases 6, 11, 11c, and 36). In one mother (case 6), Aza was discontinued during the first trimester; the other two mothers continued to take Aza during the pregnancy (75 and 50 mg/day), respectively.

Prednisone

Twelve mothers (27%) received prednisone (5-10 mg/day) before and at the time of conception. In two of them, the prednisone was discontinued during the pregnancy without any ill effects.

Fludrocortisone

Nine of the 37 mothers (25%) received fludrocortisone (0.1 mg/day) to control hyperkalemia.

DISCUSSION

Tacrolimus has proven to be a potent immunosuppressive agent (7-11). It was first used in clinical trials 13 years ago at our center, and many other centers have confirmed our initial findings of safety and efficacy of the drug in clinical LTx (12). Its safety in pregnancy has been similarly described by us (5) and others (13-16). It is important to note that this is a case series with only 39 mothers and 49 babies, and that it is premature to draw a firm conclusion.

In our previous report, we showed that the drug is transmitted transplacentally and also is excreted in the breast milk (5); we therefore advise mothers not to breast-feed their babies. We also reported transient hyperkalemia and slight impaired renal function in the babies; this is still not a major problem. In addition, congenital anomalies are rare. One patient (case 9) with a unilateral renal cyst with an accessory nipple was probably familial. In patients with Alagille syndrome, congenital multiple defects are well documented. Although LTx offers the phenotypic correction of liver function in Alagille syndrome, the genetic defect continues to persist with the risk of transmission of the defective genome to the offspring. One mother, who had lost her first baby as the result of multiple esophageal and cardiovascular pulmonary abnormalities, delivered two viable and healthy babies with a different male partner after her second marriage. Both babies were tested by genetic counseling and were healthy, indicating that the previous anomalies, in all probability, were not related to tacrolimus. Two premature babies conceived soon after LTx died. The general recommendation for women wanting to conceive post-LTx is to wait 1 to 2 years until overall immunosuppression is lower and graft function is stable.

The cesarean section rate has remained high for a variety of reasons. As is the case with CsA, preterm delivery remains a common occurrence without any long-term consequences.(1-3, 14, 17-19). No risk factors in particular were identified in the present series. The rate of preeclampsia, deterioration in renal function, development of hypertension, and rate of rejection are also reported in kidney transplantation with CsA (20, 21). Preterm births continue to be a factor reported in all of organ transplantation without any long-term consequences. The median birth weight percentile is 50, although 10% of babies still have a birth weight percentile less than 25, and 32% of babies are born before 36 weeks of the gestational period. Graft loss and mothers' deaths are consistent with the rest of the LTx population with a 3% to 4% loss beyond 2-year survival (12). One death as the result of clotting of the infra-renal aortic graft by external compression from the gravid uterus has not been reported and should be kept in mind by both transplant surgeons and obstetricians. Fortunately, renal function and liver function is generally well preserved in this series, compared with other reports of solid-organ transplantation with CsA (22-24). All pregnancies in mothers post-LTx should be considered highrisk and followed carefully by transplant clinicians and obstetricians.



FIGURE 1. Gestation period in weeks for each pregnancy (top); birth weight for each newborn baby (middle); birth weight percentile based on gestation period for each newborn baby (bottom).

CONCLUSION

Preterm delivery, low birth weight, and the high rate of cesarean section with relatively lower rates of toxemia of pregnancy reconfirm our initial observation of the safety of tacrolimus use during pregnancy post-LTx. Late incidence of graft loss and death are comparable to that in other transplant patients. Patients with infra-aortic grafts for hepatic arterial flow may need to be monitored with color Doppler ultrasonography during labor.

Acknowledgments. We thank Ron Shapiro, M.D., and Judy Canelos, M.A., for help in editing this manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Laifer SA, Darby MJ, Scantlebury VP, et al. Pregnancy and liver transplantation. Obstet Gynecol 1990; 76(6): 1083.
- Penn I, Makowski EL, Harris P. Parenthood following renal and hepatic transplantation. Transplantation 1980; 30(6): 397.
- Scantlebury V, Gordon R, Tzakis A, et al. Childbearing after liver transplantation. Transplantation 1990; 49(2): 317.
- Jain A, Venkataramanan R, Lever J, et al. FK506 and pregnancy in liver transplant patients. Transplantation 1993; 56(6): 1588.
- Jain A, Venkataramanan R, Fung JJ, et al. Pregnancy after liver transplantation under tacrolimus. Transplantation 1997; 64(4): 559.
- Lubchenco LO, Hansman C, Boyd E. Intrauterine growth in length and head circumference as estimated from live births at gestational ages from 26 to 42 weeks. Pediatrics 1966; 37(3): 403.
- 7. Fung JJ, Eliasziw M, Todo S, et al. The Pittsburgh randomized trial of

ta crolimus compared to cyclosporine for hepatic transplantation. J Am Coll Surg 1996; 183 (2): 117.

- Randomised trial comparing tacrolimus (FK506) and cyclosporin in prevention of liver allograft rejection. European FK506 Multicentre Liver Study Group. Lancet 1994; 344(8920): 423.
- A comparison of tacrolimus (FK 506) and cyclosporine for immunosuppression in liver transplantation. The U.S. Multicenter FK506 Liver Study Group. N Engl J Med 1994; 331(17): 1110.
- Jain AB, Fung JJ, Todo S, et al. Incidence and treatment of rejection episodes in primary orthotopic liver transplantation under FK 506. Transplant Proc 1991; 23(1 Pt 2): 928.
- Starzl TE, Donner A, Eliasziw M, et al. Randomised trialomania? The multicentre liver transplant trials of tacrolimus. Lancet 1995; 346(8986): 1346.
- Jain A, Reyes J, Kashyap R, et al. What have we learned about primary liver transplantation under tacrolimus immunosuppression? Long-term follow-up of the first 1000 patients. Ann Surg 1999; 230(3): 441.
- Kainz A, Harabacz I, Cowlrick IS, et al. Analysis of 100 pregnancy outcomes in women treated systemically with tacrolimus. Transpl Int 2000; 13(Suppl 1): S299.
- Armenti VT, Coscia LA, McGrory CH, et al. National Transplantation Pregnancy Registry looks at outcomes with Neoral and tacrolimus. Nephrol News Issues 2000; 14(9): S11.
- Prevot A, Martini S, Guignard JP. In utero exposure to immunosuppressive drugs. Biol Neonate 2002; 81(2): 73.
- Winkler ME, Niesert S, Ringe B, et al. Successful pregnancy in a patient after liver transplantation maintained on FK 506. Transplantation 1993; 56(6): 1589.
- Rayes N, Neuhaus R. David M, et al. Pregnancies following liver transplantation—how safe are they? A report of 19 cases under cyclosporine A and tacrolimus. Clin Transplant 1998; 12(5): 396.

- Wu A, Nashan B, Messner U, et al. Outcome of 22 successful pregnancies after liver transplantation. Clin Transplant 1998; 12(5): 454.
- Armenti VT, Ahlswede KM, Ahlswede BA, et al. National Transplantation Pregnancy Registry—outcomes of 154 pregnancies in cyclosporine-treated female kidney transplant recipients. Transplantation 1994; 57(4): 502.
- Armenti VT, Ahlswede KM, Ahlswede BA, et al. National Transplantation Pregnancy Registry: analysis of outcome/risks of 394 pregnancies in kidney transplant recipients. Transplant Proc 1994; 26(5): 2535.
- IV. 10 Pregnancy in renal transplant recipients. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2002; 17(Suppl 4): 50.
- Klintmalm G, Althoff P, Appleby G, et al. Renal function in a newborn baby delivered of a renal transplant patient taking cyclosporine. Transplantation 1984; 38(2): 198.
- Armenti VT, Ahlswede KM, Ahlswede BA, et al. Variables affecting birthweight and graft survival in 197 pregnancies in cyclosporinetreated female kidney transplant recipients. Transplantation 1995; 59(4): 476.
- Casele HL, Laifer SA. Association of pregnancy complications and choice of immunosuppressant in liver transplant patients. Transplantation 1998; 65(4): 581.

0041-1337/03/7605-832/0 TRANSPLANTATION Copyright © 2003 by Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Inc.

Vol. 76, 832–837, No. 5, September 15, 2003 Printed in U.S.A.

EFFECTS OF MIDDLE HEPATIC VEIN RECONSTRUCTION ON RIGHT LIVER GRAFT REGENERATION

Nobuhisa Akamatsu,¹ Yasuhiko Sugawara,^{1,2} Junichi Kaneko,¹ Keiji Sano,¹ Hiroshi Imamura,¹ Norihiro Kokudo,¹ and Masatoshi Makuuchi¹

Background. A right liver graft without the middle hepatic vein (MHV) trunk is now commonly used in living-donor liver transplantation for adult patients. The significance of MHV reconstruction on regeneration or functional recovery of right liver grafts after living-donor liver transplantation, however, remains unclear.

Methods. From 2000 to 2002 at the University of Tokyo Hospital in Tokyo, Japan, 56 adult patients received a right liver graft. The patients were divided into three groups by graft type: right liver graft without MHV trunk or MHV reconstruction (n=17); right liver graft without MHV trunk, but with MHV reconstruction (n=27); and extended right liver graft (n=12). Regeneration rate and postoperative liver function were compared among groups. Predictive factors associated with the graft regeneration were identified among clinical variables, including the graft type.

Results. The regeneration rate of the right paramedian sector and the whole graft was lowest in the right liver grafts without the MHV trunk or MHV reconstruction. The regeneration rate of the lateral sector was highest in this type of graft, but the difference was not statistically significant. The factors that significantly correlated with the regeneration rate were preoperative graft volume and graft type. There was no

¹ Artificial Organ and Transplantation Division, Department of Surgery, Graduate School of Medicine. University of Tokyo. Tokyo, Japan.

² Address correspondence to: Yasuhiko Sugawara, M.D., Artificial Organ and Transplantation Division, Department of Surgery, Graduate School of Medicine, University of Tokyo, 7–3-1 Hongo, Bunkyoku, Tokyo 113–3655, Japan, E-mail: yasusuga-tky@umin.ac.jp.

Received 17 April 2003. Revised 15 May 2003. Accepted 6 June 2003.

DOI: 10.1097/01.TP.0000085080.37235.81

significant difference among groups in any of the liver function parameters.

Conclusions. In the present series, satisfactory outcome was independent of the type of graft used, which indicates that MHV reconstruction should not be omitted routinely but should be performed in selected patients.

Living-donor liver transplantation (LDLT) is now widely performed to compensate for the critical cadaveric organ shortage for adult patients (1). An extended right liver graft (2), which includes the trunk of the middle hepatic vein (MHV), was devised to alleviate the problem of graft size disparity. This type of graft, however, increases the extent of the donor operation and is therefore an important ethical issue in LDLT (3).

A right liver graft without the MHV trunk is now commonly used. The graft includes the MHV and only its distal parts. Hepatic venous outflow of the right paramedian sector drains mainly into the MHV (4). The tributaries of the MHV consist of V8 and V5, which drains segments VIII and V, respectively, according to Couinaud's nomenclature for liver segmentation. Without caval anastomosis for drainage of V5 or V8, this type of graft can cause severe congestion of the right paramedian sector. Such congestion can lead to severe graft dysfunction and atrophy of the right paramedian sector (5).

MHV drainage into the recipient's venous system can be reconstructed using vein grafts (6), providing a functioning liver mass comparable to an extended right liver graft (7). Lee and colleagues (5) emphasized the necessity of aggressive reconstruction of the MHV under any circumstances. In contrast, most of the initial cases (8-10) seemed to achieve successful results without MHV reconstruction. Therefore it remains unknown whether all right liver grafts without the MHV trunk require MHV drainage.

Right liver graft for the patients was selected from the following three types: right liver graft without MHV trunk or

This work was supported by a Grant-In-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan, and a Grant-In-Aid for Research on Human Genome, Tissue Engineering, Food Biotechnology, and Health Sciences Research grants from the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare of Japan.