

## Outcome of human islet isolation and allotransplantation in 20 consecutive cases

C. Ricordi\*, P. Carroll\*, A. Tzakis\*, Y. Zeng\*, H.L.R. Rilo\*, R. Alejandro\*\*, R. Shapiro\*\*, J.J. Fung\*, A.J. Demetris\*, D.H. Mintz\*\* and T.E. Starzl\*

**ABSTRACT.** This report provides our initial experience on islet isolation and intrahepatic allotransplantation in 20 patients. In Group 1, 10 patients underwent combined liver-islet allotransplantation following upper-abdominal exenteration for cancer. One patient underwent pancreatic islet allograft after near total pancreatectomy for chronic pancreatitis. In Group 2, 3 Type I diabetic patients received a combined liver-islet allograft for cirrhosis and diabetes. In Group 3, 7 Type I diabetic patients received 8 combined cadaveric kidney-islet grafts (one retransplant) for end stage renal disease. The islets were separated by a modification of the automated method for human islet isolation and the preparations were infused into the portal vein. Immunosuppression was with FK-506 (Group 1) plus steroids (Groups 2 and 3). Six patients in Group 1 did not require insulin treatment for 5 to >16 mo. In Groups 2 and 3 none of the patients became insulin-independent, although ongoing C-peptide secretion, decreased insulin requirement and stabilization of diabetes were observed. Our results indicate that islet transplantation is most effective in pancreatectomy induced diabetes. However, rejection is still a major factor limiting the clinical application of islet transplantation in patients with Type I diabetes mellitus. Other factors such as steroid treatment may contribute to deteriorate islet engraftment and/or function.

### INTRODUCTION

Type I diabetes is an autoimmune disease with serious long-term complications including retinopathy that can lead to blindness, neuropathy, nephropathy, macrovascular disease and a limited life span (1-3). In patients with Type I diabetes mellitus, insulin production by the pancreatic islets progressively declines and finally disappears, as the  $\beta$ -cells within the islets are destroyed by an autoimmune process resulting from a complex interplay between genetic and unknown environmental factors (4). Replacement therapy with exogenous insulin is imperfect and has been ineffective in preventing the chronic complication of the disease. Thus, alternative methods for total endocrine replacement have been explored, including transplantation of isolated islets as free grafts (5). 1990 was a significant year for clinical islet transplantation, since after many attempts, reports of short-term (6) and prolonged (7-11) insulin-independence following human islet allotransplantation, indicated that it is possible to replace the endocrine function of the pancreas by an islet transplant in man. The development of improved procedures for islet isolation and purification from large animals (12-16) and human (17-22) pancreata have resulted in significant progress in both the number and purity of islets that

can be obtained from each pancreas. In addition, the use of more powerful immunosuppressive agents such as cyclosporine A (6,8,21) or FK-506 (7,11) resulted in prolonged human islet allograft survival in some cases. This report summarized our initial experience on islet isolation and intrahepatic allotransplantation in 21 patients.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### *Patients*

Twenty-one intrahepatic islet allografts were performed in 20 patients between 10 January, 1990 and 4 May, 1991 (11).

*Group 1:* Ten patients aged 8-58 yr underwent combined liver-islet allotransplantation following upper-abdominal exenteration for tumors too extensive to be removed with less drastic procedures (23,24). More

\*Transplant Institute, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, and

\*\*Diabetes Research Institute, University of Miami, Miami, Florida 33101, U.S.A.

Correspondence to: Camillo Ricordi, M.D., The Transplant Institute, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, 5C Falk Clinic, 3601 Fifth Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, U.S.A.

detailed results on nine of these patients have been reported previously (7). Liver, pancreas, spleen, stomach, duodenum, proximal jejunum, terminal ileum, ascending and transverse colon (three cases), and part of the right atrium (one case) was removed. A cadaveric orthotopic liver allograft was done (24) and the graft portal vein was anastomosed to the recipient superior mesenteric vein. Arterialization were from the recipient aorta or celiac axis. A 14G catheter with a heparin lock was placed in a superior mesenteric vein (7). Bowel continuity was reestablished and biliary drainage was via a choledocojejunostomy. One patient had near total pancreatectomy for pain relief due to chronic pancreatitis and had an autograft of islets obtained from the pancreas.

*Group 2:* Three Type I diabetic patients aged 22-56 yr received a combined liver-islet allograft. The indications for liver transplantation were cirrhosis secondary to hepatitis C, alcoholic cirrhosis and cryptogenic cirrhosis.

*Group 3:* Seven patients aged 28-42 yr received 8 combined cadaveric kidney-islet grafts (one retransplant) for end stage renal disease secondary to Type I diabetes mellitus. Immediately after renal transplantation, an upper midline incision was performed and a 16-18G catheter was placed in a jejunal vein for islet infusion. All patients had negative C-peptide in response to a Sustacal challenge test performed before islet transplantation.

#### *Organ procurement*

The cadaveric donor ABO types were the same as, or compatible with the recipient ABO types. HLA matching was random and the antigen match was 0 to 3. There were two positive cytotoxic cross-matches in Group 1 (Cluster-Islet) and two in Group 2 (Liver-Islet). The livers, kidneys and pancreases were obtained from multi-organ donors (23-25). *In situ* perfusion of the abdominal aorta was with 1500-2000 ml of University of Wisconsin solution (UWS). An additional 500-1000 ml of UWS were infused directly into the liver via the portal vein, which was encircled below the catheter tip to prevent retrograde leakage. Venous hypertension of the pancreas was avoided by venting the portal and/or splenic vein. The specimens were immersed in UWS and packed on ice. The pancreas of the liver or kidney donor was the source of the primary islet graft for all patients except one patient in Group 1 and one patient in Group 3 who received islets from a third party pancreas

donor. Four patients in Group 1 and two patients in Group 3 were given islets from 1-2 additional donors 1-5 days after the principal operation. One patient in Group 3 was retransplanted (Kidney-Islet) seven mo after the first combined graft because of irreversible kidney rejection.

#### *Islet preparation and administration*

Cold ischemia time of the 28 pancreases averaged 7.5 hr (range 4-12) with no statistically significant difference between groups. The human islets were obtained by a modification (15) of the automated method for human islet isolation (18). Briefly, after cannulation of the pancreatic duct 350 ml of Hanks solution containing 2 mg/ml collagenase solution (Boehringer-Mannheim, Type P) was injected through the duct. The pancreas was loaded into a stainless steel digestion chamber and islets were separated during a continuous digestion process that lasted 30-45 min. The main modifications of the isolation procedure compared to the previously described automated method (18) were the volume of the isolation chamber that is now of 475 ml with an outlet port diameter of 6 mm, and the pore size of the screen that was increased from 280 to 400  $\mu$ . The cooling system as well as the heating circuit bypass were eliminated, resulting in a simpler isolation apparatus (11). During the recirculation phase (flow rate 85 ml/min) intrachamber temperature was increased at a rate of 2°C/min by passage of the solution through a stainless steel coil immersed in a water bath (50°C). The chamber containing the distended pancreas was gently agitated and samples were taken every 2 min to monitor digestion. After approximately 20-30 min of recirculation the digestion was stopped by dilution (4°C Hanks, 400 ml/min flow rate) and cooling. The dilution phase lasted 15-20 min. Upon initiation of the dilution phase the chamber was connected to a shaker with oscillation amplitude of 10 cm and a variable rate of 0-320 oscillation/min. Eurocollins solution was used as vehicle for the Ficoll powder (Ficoll DL-400, Sigma, St. Louis MO). Eurocollins-Ficoll at densities of 1.108, 1.096, 1.037 was used in a three layer discontinuous gradient (10), in which the digested pancreatic tissue was bottom-loaded with the 1.108 layer. A cell separator (COBE 2991, Lakewood, CO) was used for centrifugation of the gradients (26,27). Determination of number, volume and purity of the human islets obtained after islet separation and purification was performed according to recently proposed

criteria (28). The final preparation was pelleted and suspended in 100 ml Hank's solution containing 10% human albumin and infused into the portal vein catheter over 20-30 min. Portal venous pressure was measured and in some cases the portal flow was assessed by color doppler ultrasonography. In patients who received more than one islet preparation, the portal vein catheter was flushed every 6 hr with 2 ml saline containing heparin (100 U/ml). The catheter was removed after completion of the last islet infusion.

#### *Immunosuppressive management*

In Group 1, immunosuppression with FK-506 began with intravenous doses of 0.075 mg/kg every 12 hr followed by 0.15 mg/kg orally every 12 hr. The dose was adjusted on clinical grounds and by monitoring plasma FK-506 levels. The patient with the autograft did not receive any immunosuppression. In Group 2, FK-506 was administered at a dose of 0.1 mg/kg i.v. over 24 hr, beginning immediately after transplantation. In addition, the patients received a 1000 mg i.v. bolus of methylprednisolone during the operation, followed by a maintenance dose of 20 mg prednisolone IV daily, until conversion to the oral route. The oral dose of FK-506 was 0.15 mg/kg every 12 hr (0.3 mg/kg per day), and 20 mg of prednisone per day were given. This dose was reduced and discontinued according to clinical criteria. In Group 3, FK-506 was given as in Group 2. Following the intraoperative i.v. bolus of 1000 mg methylprednisolone, a decreasing prednisone dose (from 200 to 20 mg/day) was administered over 6 days. When possible, the steroid dose was tapered over the first several wk and stopped. Supplementary steroids or OKT3 was given if rejection was suspected clinically or diagnosed by biopsy.

#### *Pre-transplant assessment of recipient islet function*

Basal and stimulated plasma C-peptide levels were measured in all recipients before the infusion of the islets. The provocative tests were 1 mg glucagon i.v. (Group 1) and a Sustacal (6 Kcal/kg) (29) or glucagon (Groups 2 and 3) challenges. All patients had absent C-peptide responses preoperatively except for the patient with the autograft.

#### *Post-transplant assessment of donor islet function*

After islet transplantation, plasma glucose and C-peptide levels were monitored. An intravenous glucose tolerance test (IVGTT), was used as provocative test of C-peptide secretion in patients in Group 1. IVGTT

was chosen to avoid interpretative problems in the evaluation of the results, since the patients of this group underwent significant gastrointestinal resections. In groups 2 and 3, a Sustacal tolerance test (STT) was selected as provocative test of C-peptide secretion. Glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA<sub>1c</sub>) was measured before and every 6 wk after transplantation, or when the patients were evaluated in follow-up clinics.

## RESULTS

#### *Islet isolation and purification*

Pancreas cold ischemia time (CIT) before the islet isolation and purification procedure was comparable in the three groups, ranging 4 to 12 hr. In Group 1, the 14 human islet preparations that were transplanted comprised an average of 392,100 islets, representing an average of 279,800 IEq with an endocrine volume of approximately 495  $\mu$ l. Purity in islets was 61% (range 25-80%). In Group 2, 3 islet preparations yielded an average of over 800,000 islets, representing 625,300 IEq. Average endocrine volume and purity in islets were 1.105  $\mu$ l and 67% respectively. In Group 3, 11 islet isolations resulted in an average of 644,600 islets (597,000 IEq) with an endocrine volume of 1,055  $\mu$ l. The average purity in islets was 72%. Patients in groups 2 and 3 received a number of islets that was significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) compared to the cluster-islet patients of Group 1. No significant difference was observed in the degree of purity in islets infused in the three groups, and in the number of islets transplanted in Groups 2 and 3.

#### *Patient survival*

Following our preliminary report on cluster-islet allotransplantation (7), two additional patients died from cancer recurrence 9 and 14 mo following transplantation, leaving 5 of 10 patients in Group 1 with follow-up of 16, 14, 13, 13, and 1 mo. In Group 2 ( $n=3$ ), one patient died 36 hr following combined liver-islet transplantation. The patient had a positive cross-match (100%) with her liver-islet donor and had primary hepatic non-function because of humoral (hyperacute) rejection. A second patient, who demonstrated significant islet function for the first 5 post-operative mo, died of hepatitis B and sepsis 6 mo after transplantation. In Group 3 ( $n=7$ ), one patient died of aspiration pneumonia 5 days following combined kidney-islet transplantation.

### Post-transplant islet function

In Group 1, six patients did not require insulin for 5 to over 16 mo. The first patient, who received the islet allograft on 10 January, 1990, is still insulin-independent over 18 mo post-operatively. Nevertheless, 9 mo after transplantation the average value of pre- and post-prandial blood glucose determinations progressively increased until the 14th postoperative mo, but has spontaneously improved during the last 120 days. It is of interest that this patient required over 3,000 and 2,000 U of intravenous insulin on her fourth and fifth post-operative day respectively. This is the most insulin we have used in any patient in the 3 groups. Two patients who recently died of tumor recurrence did not require insulin at the time of recurrence and expired with functioning islet grafts 9 and 14 mo after transplantation. In one patient (No. 6) who was insulin-dependent (7), the islet function progressively improved and insulin treatment was discontinued during the third post-operative mo. She did not require insulin for 5 mo. Insulin treatment was resumed 8 mo after islet allotransplantation (2.5-4.1 U/day, s.c.) for increased fasting plasma glucose levels (>120 mg/dl). The patient was converted to oral hypoglycemic agents (glibenclamide 5 mg/day) 14 mo after transplantation, since her insulin requirement was minimal. She once again does not require insulin. One patient (No. 8), did not require daytime insulin treatment, but was unable to discontinue night parenteral nutrition (10 U of insulin/night, i.v.). One patient (No. 9), did not require insulin until the 10th post-operative mo, when sudden development of symptomatic hyperglycemia in the absence of any evidence of liver rejection imposed reinstatement of exogenous insulin treatment. The patient with the autograft does not require exogenous insulin but pancreatectomy was not total.

In Group 2, one patient is alive 1 yr after transplantation. She had a 100% positive cytotoxic cross-match and a rejection episode during the first post-operative week. Approximately 80% decrease in her insulin requirement was observed over the first 6 post-operative mo (from 70 to 15 U of insulin per day) (11). It was evident that glycemic control was extremely stable compared to preoperative values and HbA<sub>1c</sub> has been within the normal range (<5.9%). In addition, Sustacal challenge tests 2, 3 and 6 mo after transplantation have shown progressive improvement of plasma C-peptide. A delay in C-peptide secretion and prolonged elevation during the challenge was ev-

ident in this patient, as previously reported in recipients of cluster islet grafts (7). The second patient, who died 6 mo after transplantation from hepatitis B and sepsis, also demonstrated significant islet function. His insulin requirement rapidly decreased during the first three post-operative wk. A rejection episode on wk 4 imposed a significant increment in the daily insulin dose, that never returned to pre-rejection levels. The islets were not completely rejected as documented by persistence of significant basal and stimulated C-peptide levels of 0.76 and 1.59 pM respectively (Sustacal challenge, 2 mo post-transplant).

In Group 3, no patients became insulin-independent. All patients had at least one rejection episode in the first post-operative mo. One patient lost the transplanted kidney due to rejection. Of interest in this patient was documentation of islet function with basal and stimulated C-peptide of 0.30 and 0.75 pM respectively, after the kidney was completely rejected. The patient received a second kidney-islet graft 6 mo after the first combined transplant, but never became insulin-independent despite receiving the highest number of islets (>2,000,000 IEq) in the study. C-peptide was measurable in all cases, although only three of six patients with a follow-up of more than one mo had significant basal and stimulated plasma C-peptide (basal = 1.62/0.36/0.38 and peak = 1.95/0.57/0.93 pM) following a Sustacal challenge test 4-8 wk post-operatively. Two patients had 48% and 70% reduction in insulin requirements following transplantation. It is of interest that basal and stimulated C-peptide levels in both cluster-islet and liver-islet groups were higher compared to kidney-islet recipients (11). Diabetes was stabilized in all patients, despite they all had at least one episode of rejection confirmed on biopsy.

### DISCUSSION

Several cases of intrahepatic human islet allografts have been recently reported (6-9) with transient (8) or prolonged (7-9) insulin independence. Two patients with Type I, insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (8,9) received islets from multiple donors (4 and 5 pancreases). One of these patients (9) was still insulin-independent one yr after islet allotransplantation. In the present report, prolonged (5 to >16 mo) insulin-independence was observed in 6 patients who underwent upper abdominal exenteration and liver-islet

replacement (7). Four of them received islets from two donors. The first patient of this series is still insulin-independent over 16 mo after the islet allograft and received islets from a single donor. In contrast, in our experience none of the Type I diabetic patients who received either a liver-islet or a kidney-islet allograft are insulin-independent. Although our best result in Type I diabetic patients was obtained in a case of positive cross-match (100%), we currently consider a positive cross-match as an absolute contraindication to human islet allotransplantation. Differences in islet isolation and/or purification techniques do not explain the inferior results obtained in the combined kidney-islet group, since the patients in the three groups represent consecutive cases in which the same separation and purification procedures were used for human islet isolation. Possible explanations for which there is experimental support include: 1) metabolic dysfunction and/or impaired vascular engraftment due to long standing diabetes mellitus (30,31); 2) steroid treatment, that may have a detrimental effect on islet engraftment and/or function (32), was not used in the cluster-islet patients, and was higher in the kidney-islet group than in liver-islet recipients; 3) the immune barrier to islet acceptance might be lowered by the presence of a liver from the same donor (33). Based on our data we favor the hypothesis of the protective effect of the simultaneous liver graft and/or the detrimental effect of steroid treatment. In addition, weight loss was observed during the first 2-3 post-operative mo in all patients receiving a cluster-islet graft. The nutritional problem associated to upper abdominal exenteration could also result in reduced insulin requirement in these patients. In addition, the native pancreas is removed in these patients who probably have less glucagon than the Type I diabetic patients.

In conclusion, our results indicate that pancreatectomy induced diabetes represents a favorable setting for long-term successful function of islet cell grafts. Rejection is still a major factor limiting the clinical application of islet transplantation in patients with Type I diabetes mellitus, although other factors such as steroid treatment may contribute to deteriorate islet engraftment and/or function.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Supported by Research Grant No. 1911421 from the Juvenile Diabetes Foundation International.

#### REFERENCES

- Harris M.I., Hanaman R.F.: *Diabetes in America*. NIH publication 85-1468. Bethesda, Maryland, 1985.
- Rifkin H., Porte D. Jr.: *Diabetes Mellitus Theory and Practice*. Elsevier, New York, 1990.
- Drash A.L.: Diabetes mellitus in the child and adolescent. In: *Diabetes mellitus*. Eli Lilly, Indianapolis, IN, 1990. pp. 200-211.
- Eisenbarth G.S.: Type I diabetes mellitus: A chronic autoimmune disease. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 314: 1360-1368, 1986.
- Dubernard J.M., Sutherland D.E.R.: Introduction and history of pancreatic transplantation. In: Dubernard J.M., Sutherland D.E.R. (Eds) *International handbook of pancreas transplantation*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1989.
- Scharp D.W., Lacy P.E., Santiago J.V., McCullough C.S., Weide L.G., Falqui L., Marchetti P., Gingerich R.L., Jaffe A.S., Cryes P.E., Anderson C.B., Flye M.W.: Insulin independence after islet transplantation into Type I diabetic patient. *Diabetes* 39: 515-518, 1990.
- Tzakis A.G., Ricordi C., Alejandro R., Zeng Y., Fung J.J., Toledo S., Demetris A.J., Mintz D.H., Starzl T.E.: Pancreatic islet transplantation after upper abdominal exenteration and liver replacement. *Lancet* II: 402-405 1990.
- Scharp D.W., Lacy P.E., Ricordi C., Boyle P., Santiago J., Cryer P., Gingerich R., Jaffe A., Anderson C., Flye W.: Human islet transplantation in patients with type I diabetes. *Transplant Proc.* 21: 2744-2745 1989.
- Warnock G.L., Kneteman N.M., Ryan E., Seelis R.E.A., Rabinovitch A., Rajotte R.V.: Normoglycemia after transplantation of freshly isolated and cryopreserved pancreatic islets in type I (insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus. *Diabetologia* 34: 55-58, 1991.
- Altman J.J., Cugnenc P.H., Tessier C., Capeau J., Adam R., Bismuth H., Faye A., Beaugrand M., Bethoux J.P.: Epiplotic flap: a new site for islet implantation in man. *Horm. Metab. Res. (Suppl.)* 25: 136-137, 1990.
- Ricordi C., Tzakis A.G., Carroll P.B., Zeng Y.J., Rilo H.L., Alejandro R., Shapiro A., Fung J.J., Demetris A.J., Mintz D.H., Starzl T.E.: Human islet isolation and allotransplantation in 22 consecutive cases. *Transplantation* 53: 407-414, 1992.
- Gray D.W.R., Morris P.J.: Developments in isolated pancreatic islet transplantation. *Transplantation* 43: 321-331, 1987.
- Gray D.W., Warnock G., Sutton R., Peters M., McShane P., Morris P.J.: Successful autotransplantation of isolated islets of Langerhans in the cynomolgus monkey. *Br. J. Surg.* 73: 850, 1986.
- Warnock G.L., Rajotte R.V.: Critical mass of purified islets that induce normoglycemia after implantation into dogs. *Diabetes* 37: 467-470, 1988.
- Ricordi C., Soggi C., Davalli A.M., Staudacher C., Baro P., Vertova A., Sassi I., Gavazzi F., Pozza G., Di Carlo V.: Isolation of the elusive pig islet. *Surgery* 107: 688-694, 1990.

16. Alejandro R., Curfield R.G., Scheinvald F.L., Polonsky K.S., Noel J., Olsen L., Billberger I., Miller J., Mintz D.H.: Natural history of intrahepatic canine islet cell autografts. *J. Clin. Invest.* 78: 1339-1348, 1986.
17. Gray D.W.R., McShane P., Grant A., Morris P.J.: A method for isolation of islets of Langerhans from the human pancreas. *Diabetes* 33: 1055-1061, 1984.
18. Ricordi C., Lacy P.E., Finke E.H., Olack B., Scharp D.W.: An automated method for the isolation of human pancreatic islets. *Diabetes* 37: 413-420, 1988.
19. Scharp D.W., Lacy P.E., Finke E., Olack B.J.: Low-temperature culture of human islets isolated by the distension method and purified with Ficoll or Percoll gradients. *Surgery* 102: 869-879, 1987.
20. Rajotte R.V., Warnock G.L., Evans M., Dawidson I.: Isolation of viable islets of Langerhans from collagenase-perfused canine and human pancreata. *Transplant Proc.* 19: 916, 1987.
21. Alejandro R., Mintz D.H., Noel J., Latif Z., Koh N., Russell E., Miller J.: Islet cell transplantation in type I diabetes mellitus. *Transplant Proc.* 19: 2359-2361, 1987.
22. Sutherland D.E.R.: Pancreas and islet transplantation II. clinical trials. *Diabetologia* 20: 435-450, 1981.
23. Starzl T.E., Todo S., Tzakis A., Podesta L., Miele L., Demetris A.J., Tepeiman I., Selby R.R., Stevenson W.C., Stieber A., Gordon R., Iwatsuki S.: Abdominal organ cluster transplantation for the treatment of upper abdominal malignancies. *Ann. Surg.* 210: 374-386, 1989.
24. Tzakis A., Todo S., Starzl T.E.: Upper abdominal exenteration with liver replacement: a modification of the cluster procedure. *Transplant. Proc.* 22: 273-274, 1990.
25. Starzl T.E., Miller C., Broznick B., Makowka L.: An improved technique for multiple organ harvesting. *Surg. Gynecol. Obstet.* 165: 343-348, 1987.
26. Lake S.P., Basset P.D., Larkins A., Revell J., Walczak K., Chamberlain J., Ronford G.M., London N.J., Veitch P.S., Bell P.R., James R.L.: Large-scale purification of human islets utilizing discontinuous albumin gradient on IBM 2991 cell separator. *Diabetes* 38 (Suppl.): 143-145, 1989.
27. Alejandro R., Strasser S., Zucker P.F., Mintz D.H.: Isolation of pancreatic islets from dogs. Semiautomated purification on albumin gradients. *Transplantation* 50: 207-210, 1990.
28. Ricordi C., Gray D.W.R., Hering B.J.: Islet isolation assessment in man and large animals. *Acta Diabetol. Lat.* 27: 185-195, 1990.
29. Goetz F.C.: Endocrine assessment of potential candidates for pancreas transplantation and post-transplant monitoring. In: Van Schilfgaarde R., Hardy M.A. (Eds). *Transplantation of the endocrine pancreas in diabetes mellitus*. Elsevier, New York, 1988, pp. 333-336.
30. Hayek A., Lopez A.D., Beattie G.M.: Decrease in the number of neonatal islets required for successful transplantation by strict metabolic control of diabetic rats. *Transplantation* 45: 940-942, 1988.
31. Hayek A., Lopez A.D., Beattie G.M.: Factors influencing islet transplantation: number, location and metabolic control. *Transplantation* 49: 224-225, 1990.
32. Kaufman D.B., Morel P., Condie R.: Beneficial and detrimental effects of RBC adsorbed antilymphocyte globulin and prednisone on purified canine islet autograft and allograft function. *Transplantation* 51: 37-42, 1991.
33. Morris N.: Combined liver and pancreatic islet transplantation in the rat. *Transplantation* 36: 230-231, 1983.