



EDITORIAL

Transplantation: The Realization of a Dream

Thomas E. Starzl

WHEN President John F. Kennedy was inaugurated on a frigid afternoon in January 1961, whole organ transplantation was a fantasy for the ignorant and an impossibility for the informed. In the *New England Journal of Medicine* (January 5, 1961), the Nobel Laureate, McFarland Burnet, had written: "Much thought has been given to ways by which tissues or organs not genetically and antigenically identical with the patient might be made to survive and function in the alien environment. On the whole, the present outlook is highly unfavorable to success. . . ."¹

On the day Kennedy died, November 22, 1963, I was in an experimental laboratory at the Denver Veterans Administration Hospital carrying out an orthotopic liver transplantation in a dog. In the 34 months of Kennedy's tenure, the feasibility of transplanting kidneys from other than twin donors had been established, and the prospects of extending this new technology to extrarenal organs including the liver and heart was explored. What had happened to raise these expectations? The reasons were straightforward. Progress already had been made or was soon to be made in the three major areas upon which organ transplantation depends.

Most important was an explicit understanding of rejection and the possibility of controlling this process. The fact that rejection was an immunologic phenomenon was established by Medawar during the Second World War.² As a corollary, agents known to reduce immunologic responsiveness were predicted to mitigate rejection and this was proved to be the case with adrenal cortical steroids,³ total body irradiation,^{4,5} and 6-mercaptopurine⁶⁻⁹ or its analogue, azathioprine.¹⁰ How-

ever, the effects were relatively minor and of no practical consequence as yet for clinical application.¹¹

In 1962 and 1963, combinations of these modalities were put together in therapeutic cocktails that allowed successful renal transplantation from other than twin relatives or even using nonrelated donors.¹²⁻¹⁵ Furthermore, a state of host-graft nonreactivity was often achieved in such cases which allowed the eventual reduction of chronic immunosuppressive therapy.¹² When this occurred, rehabilitation and return to a full life was achieved in kidney recipients on more than rare occasions.¹⁶ The gold standard for many years was azathioprine-steroid therapy,^{12,16} to which heterologous antilymphocyte globulin (ALG) could be added.¹⁷

However, good results with renal transplantation could be obtained predictably only with transplantation between consanguineous donors and recipients. In 1979 and 1980, this picture which had remained unaltered for more than 15 years was changed drastically with descriptions by Borel et al¹⁸ of cyclosporine and the first clinical trials of this drug, used alone¹⁹ or in combination with corticosteroids²⁰ and eventually other agents. Even without cyclosporine, attempts had been made and sometimes successfully to transplant extrarenal organs including the liver,²¹⁻²³ heart,^{24,25} lung,²⁶ and pancreas,²⁷ but these were highly experimental and dangerous undertakings. With pharmacologic cocktails that contained cyclosporine, the picture changed. The majority of all kinds of cadaver organs could be expected to function chronically.

At a somewhat slower pace, there were collateral developments in organ preservation that allowed an extension of graft viability for long enough to permit movement of organs from city to city and the establishment of organ sharing networks. The techniques used involved two principles. One was organ cooling with special infusates whose constituents were cytoprotective. Recent developments have allowed the reliable preservation of human livers for more than a day with simple refrigeration^{28,29} and there is ample

From the Department of Surgery, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine.

Address reprint requests to Thomas E. Starzl, MD, PhD, Professor of Surgery, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Department of Surgery, 3601 Fifth Ave, Pittsburgh, PA 15261.

© 1988 by Grune & Stratton, Inc.
0001-2998/88/1803-0002\$05.00/0

reason to think that the same or slightly modified techniques will allow improved "slush" preservation of all of the organs. The alternative was continuous perfusion with blood or with a fluid that did not contain a blood component.

Tissue matching is the third component of transplantation biology. Matching of antigens of the human histocompatibility complex located on chromosome 6 has proved to be impractical for cadaveric transplantation, largely because of the enormous complexity of the system. At a practical level, the most important application of tissue typing has been the "crossmatch" which attempts to identify with serologic techniques the presence of preformed antidonor antibodies in the recipient and to thereby avoid transplantation under such adverse circumstances. If present, such antibodies can cause an immediate or at least greatly accelerated rejection of kidney, liver, and heart grafts by a process known as hyperacute rejection.³⁰⁻³²

Developments in all three of the foregoing areas are not complete. The acquisition and application of further knowledge is certain to improve transplantation but by smaller increments. However, even now, what can be achieved is so substantial that transplantation has changed the basic philosophy of treatment of diseases of vital organ systems. Until recently, what could be done for diseases of specific vital organs was limited to dietary or drug management designed to extract the last moment of function from a failing kidney, liver, heart, or lung. Along the way, surgical procedures were sometimes used which ultimately were ineffective and worse, often illogically conceived and actually harmful.

When organ transplantation became a reality even in a limited sense, all of this was changed. Therapy including operations which jeopardized ultimate candidacy for organ replacement were reexamined. Surgical operations have been supplanted in many specific instances by the so-called interventional procedures carried out by radiologists and internists. In hepatology centers, patients with end stage liver disease and bleeding esophageal varices now have sclerotherapy performed in preference to portal-systemic shunts, and those with intrahepatic bile duct strictures often undergo transhepatic procedures by radiologists instead of open operations which ruin the

portal hilum for later dissection. About 20 years ago, the specialty of nephrology underwent a similar revolution, and the same readjustment is now occurring in cardiology within the limits imposed by the organ supply.

Fall-out dividends from transplantation have included a better understanding of the function and pathophysiology of different tissues and organs. Keener insight about the development of tumors and their potential regression under immune modulation has been made possible. However, the implications of transplantation have not been a matter solely for scientific and medical conferences. Adjustments have been made in the law to accommodate public need. Cottage industries concerned with transplantation have sprung up in ethics and in related philosophic fields. Discussions have covered such diverse subjects as new legislation, considerations of cost-effectiveness, and the appropriate allocation of both material and intellectual resources of these endeavors.

Radiologists have played a significant role at every step as the modern era of transplantation has developed. At the outset of this editorial, it was mentioned that one of the first forms of immunosuppression was with irradiation, and this therapeutic possibility has been kept alive by research with total lymphoid irradiation plus drug therapy and antigenic challenge for tolerance induction.^{33,34}

Diagnostic radiology has played such an important role that transplantation has become the most "radiology-intense" of all specialties.³⁵⁻³⁷ The function and anatomic integrity of transplanted organs has been studied with the rapidly evolving radiologic techniques of the last quarter century, including radionuclide scanning, the diagnostic and therapeutic procedures of interventional radiology, and particularly in the last 10 years with the mass utilization of the imaging techniques.

The suggestion that transplantation is the fulfillment of a dream imputes certain miraculous qualities to what has transpired. To see a patient who had been reduced by disease to a pitiful state, now restored to vibrant good health by transplantation of a kidney, liver, or heart does seem like a miracle. But, the way in which this happened can be explained easily by large and small developments in different disciplines, and

the deliberate application of these often unrelated advances into a coherent treatment plan. Radiologists have made their own contribution to this story and will continue to do so in the years ahead.

REFERENCES

1. Burnet FM: The new approach to immunology. *N Engl J Med* 264:24-34, 1961
2. Medawar PB: The behavior and fate of skin autografts and skin homografts in rabbits. *J Anat* 78:176-199, 1944
3. Billingham RE, Krohn PL, Medawar PB: Effect of cortisone on survival of skin homografts in rabbits. *Br Med J* 1:1157-1163, 1951
4. Dempster WJ, Lennox B, Boag JW: Prolongation of survival of skin homotransplants in the rabbit by irradiation of the host. *Br J Exp Pathol* 31:670-679, 1950
5. Lindsley DL, Odell TT Jr, Tausche FG: Implantation of functional erythropoietic elements following total-body irradiation. *Proc Soc Exp Biol* 90:512-515, 1955
6. Schwartz R, Dameshek W: Drug-induced immunological tolerance. *Nature* 183:1682-1683, 1959
7. Calne RY: The rejection of renal homografts, inhibition in dogs by 6-mercaptopurine. *Lancet* 1:417-418, 1960
8. Zukoski CF, Lee HM, Hume DM: The prolongation of functional survival of canine renal homografts by 6-mercaptopurine. *Surg Forum* 11:470-472, 1960
9. Pierce JC, Varco RL: Induction of tolerance to a canine renal homotransplant with 6-mercaptopurine. *Lancet* 1:781-782, 1962
10. Calne RY, Murray JE: Inhibition of the rejection of renal homografts in dogs by Burroughs Wellcome 57-322. *Surg Forum* 12:118, 1961
11. Groth CG: Landmarks in clinical renal transplantation. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 134:323-328, 1972
12. Starzl TE, Marchioro TL, Waddell WR: The reversal of rejection in human renal homografts with subsequent development of homograft tolerance. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 117:385-395, 1963
13. Hume DM, Magee JH, Kauffman HM Jr, et al: Renal homotransplantation in man in modified recipients. *Ann Surg* 158:608-644, 1963
14. Woodruff MFA, Robson JS, Nolan B, et al: Homotransplantation of kidney in patients treated by preoperative local irradiation and postoperative administration of an anti-metabolite (Imuran). *Lancet* 2:675-682, 1963
15. Murray JE, Merrill JP, Harrison JH, et al: Prolonged survival of human-kidney homografts by immunosuppression drug therapy. *N Engl J Med* 268:1315-1323, 1963
16. Starzl TE: Experience in Renal Transplantation. Philadelphia, Saunders, 1964, pp 1-383
17. Starzl TE, Marchioro TL, Porter KA, et al: The use of heterologous antilymphoid agents in canine renal and liver homotransplantation, and in human renal homotransplantation. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 124:301-318, 1967
18. Borel JF, Feurer C, Gubler HU, et al: Biological effects of cyclosporin A: A new antilymphocytic agent. *Agents Actions* 6:468-475, 1976
19. Calne RY, Rolles K, White DJG, et al: Cyclosporin A initially as the only immunosuppressant in 34 recipients in cadaveric organs: 32 kidneys, 2 pancreases, and 2 livers. *Lancet* 2:1033-1036, 1979
20. Starzl TE, Weil R III, Iwatsuki S, et al: The use of cyclosporin A and prednisone in cadaver kidney transplantation. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 151:17-26, 1980
21. Starzl TE, Marchioro TL, Von Kaulla KN, et al: Homotransplantation of the liver in humans. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 117:659-676, 1963
22. Starzl TE, Groth CT, Brettschneider L, et al: Orthotopic homotransplantation of the human liver. *Ann Surg* 168:392-415, 1968
23. Starzl TE (with the assistance of CW Putnam): Experience in Hepatic Transplantation. Philadelphia, Saunders, 1969, pp 1-553
24. Barnard CN: What we have learned about heart transplants. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 56:457-468, 1968
25. Stinson EB, Dong E Jr, Schroeder JS, et al: Initial clinical experience with heart transplantation. *Am J Cardiol* 22:791-803, 1968
26. Derom F, Barbier F, Ringoir S, et al: Ten-month survival after lung homotransplantation in man. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 61:835-846, 1971
27. Kelly WD, Lillehei RC, Merkel FK, et al: Allotransplantation of the pancreas and duodenum along with the kidney in diabetic nephropathy. *Surgery* 61:827-837, 1967
28. Jamieson NV, Sundberg R, Lindell S, et al: Successful 24- to 30-hour preservations of the canine liver: A preliminary report. *Transplant Proc* 20:945-947, 1988
29. Kalayoglu M, Stratter RJ, Hoffmann RM, et al: Extended preservation of the liver for clinical transplantation. *Lancet* 1:617-619, 1988
30. Terasaki PI, Marchioro TL, Starzl TE: Sero-typing of human lymphocyte antigens: Preliminary trials on long-term kidney homograft survivors, in Russell PS, Winn HJ, Amos DB, (eds): *Histocompatibility Testing 1965*. Washington DC, National Academy of Science, 1965, p 83
31. Kissmeyer-Nielsen F, Olsen S, Peterson VP, et al: Hyperacute rejection of kidney allografts, associated with preexisting humoral antibodies against donor cells. *Lancet* 2:662-665, 1966
32. Starzl TE, Tzakis A, Makowka L, et al: The definition of ABO factors in transplantation: Relation to other humoral antibody states. *Transplant Proc* 19:4492-4497, 1987
33. Strober S, Slavin S, Fuks Z, et al: Transplantation tolerance after total lymphoid irradiation. *Transplant Proc* 11:1032-1038, 1979
34. Najarian JS, Sutherland DER, Ferguson RM, et al: Fractional total lymphoid irradiation (TLI) as preparative immunosuppression in high risk renal transplantation. *Ann Surg* 196:442-452, 1982
35. Starzl TE, Vanhoutte JJ, Brown DW, et al: Radiology and organ transplantation. *Radiology* 95:3-18, 1970
36. Zajko AB, Bron KM, Campbell WL, et al: Percutaneous transhepatic cholangiography and biliary drainage after liver transplantation: A five year experience. *Gastrointest Radiol* 12:137-143, 1987
37. Wozney P, Zajko AB, Bron KM, et al: Vascular complications after liver transplantation: A 5-year experience. *Am J Radiol* 147:657-663, 1986