- Guillou, N., Auffrédic, J. P., Louër, M. & Louër, D. (1993). J. Solid State Chem. 106, 295-300.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Leclaire, A. (1979). J. Solid State Chem. 28, 235-244.
- Manek, E. & Meyer, G. (1993). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 619, 761–765.
 Meyer, P., Rimsky, A. & Chevalier, R. (1976). Acta Cryst. B32, 1143– 1146.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351–359.
- Pearson, W. B. (1966). A Handbook of Lattice Spacings and Structures of Metals and Alloys, Vol II, p. 80. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Wartchow, R. & Ludwig, W. (1995). Z. Kristallogr. 210, 879.

Acta Cryst. (1997). C53, 1751-1753

Eu₂Si₅N₈ and EuYbSi₄N₇. The First Nitridosilicates with a Divalent Rare Earth Metal

HUBERT HUPPERTZ AND WOLFGANG SCHNICK

Laboratorium für Anorganische Chemie, Universitätsstrasse 30, D-95440 Bayreuth, Germany. E-mail: wolfgang. schnick@uni-bayreuth.de

(Received 1 May 1997; accepted 13 June 1997)

Abstract

The crystal structures of dieuropium pentasiliconoctanitride, $Eu_2Si_5N_8$, and europium ytterbium tetrasiliconheptanitride, $EuYbSi_4N_7$, are based on threedimensional networks of corner-sharing SiN₄ tetrahedra. $Eu_2Si_5N_8$ is isotypic with the previously reported Sr and Ba analogues; $EuYbSi_4N_7$ is isotypic with SrYbSi₄N₇ and BaYbSi₄N₇.

Comment

Recently, we developed a novel synthetic approach to multinary nitridosilicates by reacting alkaline earth or rare earth metals with silicon diimide in a specially developed high-frequency furnace (Huppertz & Schnick, 1997b). These reactions may be interpreted as the dissolution of an electropositive metal in a nitrido-analogous polymeric acid accompanied by the evolution of hydrogen.

 SiO_4 and SiN_4 tetrahedra are characteristic structural elements in oxo- and nitridosilicates, respectively. These tetrahedra are commonly connected through corner shar-

ing to give network structures. Additionally, in nitridosilicates, edge sharing has been observed ($Ba_5Si_2N_6$; Yamane & DiSalvo, 1996) as well as vertex sharing together with edge sharing of SiN₄ tetrahedra ($BaSi_7N_{10}$; Huppertz & Schnick, 1997*a*). In contrast to oxygen in oxosilicates, nitrogen in nitridosilicates shows a greater flexibility. Whereas the structural chemistry of oxosilicates is limited to terminal O atoms and simple bridging O^[2] atoms, the nitridosilicates extend this range, exhibiting terminal N^[1] atoms, and N^[2], N^[3] and N^[4] atoms, connected to two, three and even four neighbouring Si tetrahedral centres, respectively. These structural variabilities in nitridosilicates provide a significant extension of the conventional silicate chemistry.

Until now nitridosilicates have only been obtained in combination with divalent alkaline earth metals (e.g. $Ca_2Si_5N_8$; Schlieper & Schnick, 1995), divalent transition metals (e.g. MnSiN₂; Maunaye, Marchand, Guyader, Laurent & Lang, 1971), or trivalent lanthanides (e.g. $Ce_3Si_6N_{11}$, BaYbSi₄N₇; Huppertz & Schnick, 1996a,b). The title compounds $Eu_2Si_5N_8$ and $EuYbSi_4N_7$ represent the first nitridosilicates containing a divalent rare earth metal.

The structure of $Eu_2Si_5N_8$ is based on a network of corner-sharing SiN_4 tetrahedra and is isotypic with $Sr_2Si_5N_8$ and $Ba_2Si_5N_8$ (Schlieper, Milius & Schnick, 1995). In this network half of the N atoms connect two, and the other half three, Si atoms. The N^[3] atoms are arranged in corrugated sheets perpendicular to [100] (Fig. 1). The Eu²⁺ ions, which are mainly coordinated by N^[2] atoms (Eu—N: 2.60–3.25 Å), are situated in channels along [100] formed by Si₆N₆ rings.

The Si-N network structure in EuYbSi₄N₇ is built up from star-shaped [N(SiN₃)₄] building blocks (Fig. 2),



Fig. 1. Crystal structure of Eu₂Si₅N₈, viewed along [100].

where the central N atoms simultaneously bridge four Si tetrahedral centres. By connecting these groups through common N^[2] atoms, a stacking variant of the wurtziteanalogous aluminium nitride structure is formed. Systematic elimination of tetrahedra from this arrangement along [100] leads to the formation of Si₆N₆-ring channels, containing Eu²⁺ and Yb³⁺ ions. The Eu²⁺ and Yb³⁺ ions show anticuboctahedral and octahedral coordination, respectively, by N atoms of the Si₄N₇ network. The Eu—N and Yb—N contact distances (2.93– 3.06 and 2.27–2.28 Å, respectively) approximately correspond to the sum of the ionic radii (Baur, 1987). The bond lengths to the N^[4] atoms are significantly longer (Si—N: 1.87–1.94 Å) than those to the N^[2] atoms (Si— N: 1.69–1.72 Å).



Fig. 2. Crystal structure of $EuYbSi_4N_7,$ viewed along [100]. The Eu^{2+} ions are shown as grey and the Yb^{3+} ions as black spheres.

The reaction of pure metals with silicon diimide $[Si(NH)_2]$ provides a straightforward preparative route to nitridosilicates. These compounds possess highly condensed network structures showing outstanding chemical, thermal and mechanical stabilities, which are a direct consequence of the high stability of the chemical bonding between the constituent elements.

Experimental

For the synthesis of $Eu_2Si_5N_8$, metallic europium (136.8 mg, 0.90 mmol) and silicon diimide (116.2 mg, 2 mmol) were mixed thoroughly under argon in a glove box and transferred to a tungsten crucible positioned in a high-frequency furnace. The reaction mixture was heated to 923 K and directly to 1923 K within 2 h, maintained at that temperature for 3 h, and then quenched to room temperature. This process led to the formation of $Eu_2Si_5N_8$ as a single-phase coarsely crystalline red solid.

For EuYbSi₄N₇, the metals Eu and Yb (1 mmol) were mixed with Si(NH)₂ (2 mmol) under inert gas atmosphere and transferred in a tungsten crucible to the high-frequency reactor. Under nitrogen atmosphere the reaction mixture was slowly heated up to 1923 K, maintained at that temperature for 48 h, and then quenched to room temperature.

Eu2Si5N8

Crystal data

 Eu₂Si₅N₈
 Mo Ka

 $M_r = 556.45$ $\lambda = 0.7$

 Orthorhombic
 Cell pa

 $Pmn2_1$ refle

 a = 5.7094 (4) Å
 $\theta = 5.1$

 b = 6.8207 (4) Å
 $\mu = 17$

 c = 9.3291 (6) Å
 T = 29

 V = 363.29 (4) Å³
 Prism

 Z = 2 0.10 ×

 $D_x = 5.087$ Mg m⁻³
 Red

Data collection Siemens P4 diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: empirical via ψ scans [XSCANS (Siemens, 1994) and SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1994)] $T_{min} = 0.218, T_{max} = 0.278$ 1811 measured reflections

1727 independent reflections

Refinement

 Refinement on F^2 Extinction

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.024$ SHELX

 $wR(F^2) = 0.047$ 1994)

 S = 1.122 Extinction

 1727 reflections
 0.0293

 83 parameters
 Scattering

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0168P)^2]$ Internation

 + 1.8337P] Crystal

 where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ Absolute

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$ Flack ($2/\sigma_{max} = 1.16 \text{ e } \text{ Å}^{-3}$
 $\Delta \rho_{min} = -1.11 \text{ e } \text{ Å}^{-3}$ = 0.17

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å Cell parameters from 33 reflections $\theta = 5.14-17.44^{\circ}$ $\mu = 17.896$ mm⁻¹ T = 293 (2) K Prism $0.10 \times 0.08 \times 0.08$ mm Red

1609 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.0101$ $\theta_{max} = 34.99^{\circ}$ $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$ $k = -11 \rightarrow 11$ $l = -15 \rightarrow 15$ 3 standard reflections every 97 reflections intensity decay: 0.89%

Extinction correction: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1994) Extinction coefficient: 0.0293 (7) Scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (Vol. C) Absolute configuration: Flack (1983) parameter = 0.17 (3)

Table 1. Selected geometric parameters (\mathring{A}, \circ) for Eu₂Si₅N₈

Eu1—N1 ⁱ	2.529 (8)	Sil—N6 ^{iv}	1.768 (6)
Eu1-N3 ⁱⁱ	2.724 (5)	Sil—N4 ⁱⁱⁱ	1.769 (4)
Eu1—N2	2.8931 (14)	Si2-N1 ⁱ	1.710 (10)

Eu1—N4 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.975 (4)	Si2-N2 ^{vii}	1.718 (9)
Eu1—N3 ⁱⁱⁱ	3.158 (6)	Si2—N3 ^{viii}	1.719 (4)
Eu1-N6 ^{iv}	3.246 (6)	Si3—N2	1.668 (8)
Eu2—N2 ⁱⁱ	2.554 (9)	Si3—N5 ^{ix}	1.735 (6)
Eu2—N3 ⁱⁱ	2.589 (5)	Si3—N4	1.765 (4)
Eu2-N1 ^{iv}	2.8902 (12)	Si4-N3	1.682 (3)
Eu2—N5 ^v	2.902 (6)	Si4—N5	1.752 (3)
Eu2N4 ^{vi}	3.235 (4)	Si4—N6	1.759 (4)
Sil—N1	1.672 (8)	Si4—N4	1.782 (4)
Si3—N4—Si1 ^x	126.2 (3)	Si4-N5-Si4 ^{xii}	110.4 (3)
Si3—N4—Si4	116.5 (2)	Si4—N6—Si4 ^{vin}	107.2 (3)
Si1 ^x -N4-Si4	117.3 (2)	Si4—N6—Si1 ^{xin}	117.5 (2)
Si3 ^{xi} —N5—Si4	124.0 (2)		

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y - 1, z; (ii) $\frac{5}{2} - x, -y, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) x - 1, y, z; (iv) $\frac{5}{2} - x, 1 - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (v) x - 1, y, z - 1; (vi) $x - \frac{1}{2}, 1 - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (vii) $\frac{5}{2} - x, -y, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (viii) 3 - x, y, z; (ix) $\frac{7}{2} - x, 1 - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (x) 1 + x, y, z; (xi) $\frac{7}{2} - x, 1 - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (xii) 4 - x, y, z; (xiii) $\frac{5}{2} - x, 1 - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$.

EuYbSi₄N₇

Crystal data

```
EuYbSi<sub>4</sub>N<sub>7</sub>

M_r = 535.43

Hexagonal

P6_3mc

a = 5.9822 (3) Å

c = 9.7455 (4) Å

V = 302.03 (2) Å<sup>3</sup>

Z = 2

D_x = 5.887 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>

D_m not measured
```

Data collection

Siemens P4 diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: empirical via ψ scans [XSCANS (Siemens, 1994) and SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1994)] $T_{min} = 0.56, T_{max} = 0.71$ 4996 measured reflections 1378 independent reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.021$ $wR(F^2) = 0.049$ S = 1.0681378 reflections 32 parameters $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0251P)^2 + 0.1496P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.002$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 1.69 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -4.21 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å Cell parameters from 62 reflections $\theta = 5.74-17.48^{\circ}$ $\mu = 26.406$ mm⁻¹ T = 293 (2) K Prism $0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$ mm Brown

1250 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.0439$ $\theta_{max} = 52.48^{\circ}$ $h = -12 \rightarrow 12$ $k = -13 \rightarrow 13$ $l = -21 \rightarrow 21$ 3 standard reflections every 97 reflections intensity decay: 1.36%

Extinction correction: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1994) Extinction coefficient: 0.0436 (13) Scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (Vol. C) Absolute configuration: Flack (1983) parameter = -0.013 (11)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for $EuYbSi_4N_7$

Yb1—N1	2.267 (3)	Si1—N2	1.694 (3)
Yb1—N2'	2.280(3)	Sil—N3"	1.865 (4)
Eul-NI	2.925 (3)	Si2—N2 ^v	1.713 (3)
Eu I — N2"	2.9947 (2)	Si2—N1	1.7189 (15)
EulNl ¹⁰	3.058 (3)	Si2—N3	1.941 (2)

Si2 ^{**} —N1—Si2	113.0(2)	Sil ^{vin} —N3—Si2	112.07(11)
Si1—N2—Si2 ^{vii}	116.9 (2)	Si2—N3—Si2 ^{IX}	106.75 (12)
Symmetry codes: (i	x, 1 + y, z; (ii)	$x - y, x, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (iii) y	$-x + y, \frac{1}{2} + z;$
(iv) $1 + x, y, z$; (v)	$1 - x, -y, \frac{1}{2} +$	z; (vi) $1 - y$, $1 + x - y$	y, z; (vii) 1 –
$x, -y, z - \frac{1}{2};$ (viii)	x - 1, y, z; (ix)	-y, x - y, z.	

The directions of the polar axes were determined using reflections of the sign ranges hkl for Eu₂Si₅N₈ and $h\bar{k}l$ for Eu₂Si₅N₈ and $h\bar{k}l$ for Eu₂Si₅N₈ and $\bar{k}k\bar{l}$ and $\bar{h}k\bar{l}$ for Eu₂Si₅N₈ and Eu₂Si₅N₈ and Eu₂Si₅N₇, respectively. The complete spheres implied by the index ranges in the tables were not recorded. The origins were fixed by the method of Flack & Schwarzenbach (1988).

Our experience in crystal structure determination of rare earth compounds indicates that a significant residual electron density may occur despite an absorption correction. Accordingly, the appreciable residual electron density located in the neighbourhood of some heavy atoms in $EuYbSi_4N_7$ is not unusual.

The displacement factors for N2 in both compounds are strongly anisotropic. This might be caused by disorder, residual absorption errors or actual marked anisotropy. However, in the absence of other evidence we cannot distinguish these possibilities. The light-atom U values are necessarily imprecise in the presence of heavy atoms.

For both compounds, data collection: XSCANS (Siemens, 1994); cell refinement: XSCANS; data reduction: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1994); program(s) used to solve structures: SHELXTL; program(s) used to refine structures: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: KPLOT (Hundt, 1979); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

Financial support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (project SCHN 377/6–1, 377/6–2 and Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz program) and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie is gratefully acknowledged.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: JZ1226). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Baur, W. H. (1987). Crystallogr. Rev. 1, 59-80.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Flack, H. D. & Schwarzenbach, D. (1988). Acta Cryst. A44, 499-506. Hundt, R. (1979). KPLOT. A Structure Visualizing Program. University of Bonn, Germany.
- Huppertz, H. & Schnick, W. (1996a). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 35, 1983–1984.
- Huppertz, H. & Schnick, W. (1996b). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 623, 212–217.
- Huppertz, H. & Schnick, W. (1997a). Chem. Eur. J. 3, 249-252.
- Huppertz, H. & Schnick, W. (1997b). Chem. Eur. J. 3, 679-683.
- Maunaye, M., Marchand, R., Guyader, J., Laurent, Y. & Lang, J. (1971). Bull. Soc. Fr. Mineral. Cristallogr. 94, 561-564.
- Schlieper, T., Milius, W. & Schnick, W. (1995). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 621, 1380–1384.
- Schlieper, T. & Schnick, W. (1995). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 621, 1037-1041.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1994). *SHELXTL*. Release 5.03. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Siemens (1994). XSCANS User's Manual. Version 2.1. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Yamane, H. & DiSalvo, F. J. (1996). J. Alloy. Compd. 240, 33-36.