PROCEEDINGS SERIES

RADIOIMMUNOASSAY AND RELATED PROCEDURES IN MEDICINE

1977

PROCEEDINGS OF AN INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON RADIOIMMUNOASSAY AND RELATED PROCEDURES IN MEDICINE HELD BY THE INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY IN CO-OPERATION WITH THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION IN BERLIN (WEST), 31 OCTOBER – 4 NOVEMBER 1977

In two volumes

VOL.II

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY VIENNA, 1978

CONTENTS OF VOLUME II

II. STANDARDIZATION AND QUALITY CONTROL (Session V and Session VI, Part 1)

Round-table discussion on assay design, standardization and within-laboratory quality control, including the following papers:	3
Basic concepts in quality control <i>R.P. Ekins</i> Quality control for RIA: recommendations for a minimal program <i>D. Rodbard</i>	
Quality control and assay design <i>R.P. Ekins</i>	
The use of quality control within a laboratory S.L. Jeffcoate	
External quality-control surveys of peptide hormone radioimmunoassays in the Federal Republic of Germany: the present status	
(IAEA-SM-220/17) H. Breuer, D. Jungbluth, I. Marschner, G. Röhle, P.C. Scriba, W.G. Wood	81
Discussion	90
Mise en place et premiers résultats d'un programme de contrôle de	
qualité national français en radioimmunologie (IAEA-SM-220/81) ChA. Bizollon, R. Cohen, D. Froget	91
Discussion	102
An elementary components of variance analysis for multi-centre	
quality control (IAEA-SM-220/59) P.J. Munson, D. Rodbard	105
Discussion	124
The need for standardization of methodology and components in commercial radioimmunoassay kits (IAEA-SM-220/19)	127
W.G. Wood, I. Marschner, P.C. Scriba	127
Performance of radioimmunoassays for digoxin as evaluated by a	137
group experiment (IAEA-SM-220/7)	141
Discussion	148

Quality control in RIA: a preliminary report on the results of the	
World Health Organization's programme for external quality control	
(IAEA-SM-220/115)	149
M.A. Cresswell, P.E. Hall, B.A.L. Hurn	
Discussion	158
Round-table discussion on external quality control	159

III. APPLICATIONS

III.1. Assays for vitamins (Session VI, Part 2)

A nover radioassay for the determination of rotate in serum and	
red cells and new observations on the stability of serum folate	
(IAEA-SM-220/32)	1
E.P.J. Lynch, K.C. Tovey, H. Guilford	
Discussion	6
Studies on folate binding and a radioassay for serum and whole-blood	
folate using goat milk as binding agent (IAEA-SM-220/45) 17'	7
R.D. Piyasena, D.A. Weerasekera, N. Hettiaratchi, T.W. Wikramanayake	
Discussion	2
Estimation of folate binding capacity (unsaturated and total) in normal	
human serum and in β -thalassaemia (IAEA-SM-220/52)	3
S. Moulopoulos, J. Mantzos, E. Gyftaki, M. Kesse-Elias,	
V. Alevizou-Terzaki, E. Souli-Tsimili	
Discussion	7
Assay of 25-OH vitamin D ₃ (IAEA-SM-220/56) 199	9
Ph. De Nayer, M. Thalasso, C. Beckers	
Discussion	8

III.2. Assays for steroids and other small molecules (Session VII)

Invited review paper

Recent advances in steroid radioimmunoassay (IAEA-SM-220/205)	213
S.L. Jeffcoate	
Discussion	222
Radioimmunoassay of steroids in homogenates and subcellular fractions	
of testicular tissue (IAEA-SM-220/39)	225
S. Campo, G. Nicolau, E. Pellizari, M.A. Rivarola	
Discussion	235

Sencillo método de dosificación de proteína transportadora de hormonas sexuales (PTHS) – sus valores en hombres, en mujeres	
y en el embarazo (IAEA-SM-220/100)	237
Discussion	243
A model for evaluating steroids acting at the hypothalamus-pituitary axis using radioimmunoassay and related procedures	
(IAEA-SM-220/41) J. Spona, Ch. Bieglmaver, R. Schroeder, E. Pöckl	245
Discussion	256
Determination of estradiol, estrone and progesterone in serum and human endometrium in correlation with the content of steroid recentors and 17β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase activity during the	
menstrual cycle (IAEA-SM-220/85) M. Schmidt-Gollwitzer, J. Eiletz, J. Pachaly, K. Pollow	257
Discussion	271
Specific bile acid radioimmunoassays for separate determinations of unconjugated cholic acid, conjugated cholic acid and conjugated deoxycholic acid in serum and their clinical application	
(IAEA-SM-220/4) S. Matern, W. Gerok	273
Discussion	283
Radioimmunoassay of primary and secondary bile acids in serum with specific antisera and ¹²⁵ I-labelled ligands (IAEA-SM-220/87)	285
O.A. Jänne, O.K. Mäentausta Discussion	293
The redicion for the interval of the formula (And formula the interval of the redicional de the interval of th	275
antidepressant (IAEA-SM-220/37)	295
Discussion	298
The specific radioimmunoassay in pharmacokinetics: its potency, requirements and development for routine use as illustrated by an	
assay for Pirenzepin (IAEA-SM-220/63) G. Bozler	299
Discussion	308
The radioimmunoassay of biologically active compounds in parotid fluid and plasma (IAEA-SM-220/35)	309
K.F. Walker, G.F. Read, D. Klad-Fahmy Discussion	315

III.3. Assays for thyroid-related hormones (Session VIII, Part 1)

Invited review paper

Pathophysiological aspects of recent advances in current thyroid	
function testing (IAEA-SM-220/206)	319
RD. Hesch	
Discussion	339
Thyroxine and thyrotrophin radioimmunoassays using dried blood samples on filter paper for screening of neonatal hypothyroidism	
(IAEA-SM-220/55)	341
C. Beckers, C. Cornette, B. François, A. Bouckaert, M. Lechat	
Le dosage radioimmunologique de la thyréostimuline hypophysaire à partir d'un échantillon de sang capillaire recueilli sur papier filtre:	
interet dans le depistage de l'hypothyroidie neonatale	240
(IAEA-SM-220/71)	349
J. Ingrand, M.A. Dugue, A.M. Mamarbachi, P. Bourdoux, F. Delange	• • •
Discussion	360
Control of treatment of differentiated thyroid carcinoma by measurement of thyroglobulin in serum (IAEA-SM-220/23)	363
J. Hagemann, C. Schneider	
Discussion	368
New concepts for the assay of unbound thyroxine (FT_4) and thyroxine	
binding globulin (TBG) (IAEA-SM-220/92)	369
G. Odstrchel, W. Hertl, F.B. Ward, K. Travis, R.E. Lindner,	
R.D. Mason	
Discussion	376
Development of a two-site radioimmunoassay for antithyroglobulin	
antibodies using ¹²⁵ I-thyroglobulin (IAEA-SM-220/54)	379
J.P. Léonard, F. Taymans, C. Beckers	
Discussion	387

III.4. Assays for peptides (Session VIII, Part 2 and Session IX)

A radioimmunoassay of plasma corticotrophin (IAEA-SM-220/38)	391
L. Hummer	
Discussion	402
Dosage radioimmunologique du fragment biologiquement actif de	
l'hormone parathyroïdienne humaine (IAEA-SM-220/74)	405
C. Desplan, A. Jullienne, D. Raulais, P. Rivaille, J.P. Barlet,	
M.S. Moukhtar, G. Milhaud	
Discussion	416

Calcitonin radioimmunoassay: clinical application (IAEA-SM-220/103) F. Raue, H. Minne, W. Streibl, R. Ziegler	419
Discussion	426
de type Let de type III (IAFA-SM-220/25)	427
G. Heynen, M. Broux, B. Nusgens, C.M. Lapière, J.A. Kanis, S. Gaspar, P. Franchimont	
Discussion	434
Invited review paper	
Tumour-associated antigens (IAEA-SM-220/207) K.D. Bagshawe	435
Discussion	466
A different approach to the radioimmunoassay of thyrotrophin-	
releasing hormone (IAEA-SM-220/90)	469
T.J. Visser, W. Klootwijk, R. Docter, G. Hennemann	470
Discussion	476
New immunogenic form for vasopressin: production of high-affinity	
(LAEA SM 220/82)	170
(IAEA-SM-220/82)	412
Discussion	486
Radioimmunoassay of arginine-vasopressin and clinical application	
(IAEA-SM-220/99)	489
H. Wagner, V. Maier, M. Häberle, H.E. Franz	
Discussion	493
Dosage radioimmunologique des enképhalines (IAEA-SM-220/67)	495
P. Pradelles, C. Gros, C. Rougeot, O. Bepoldin, F. Dray,	
C. Llorens-Cortes, H. Pollard, J.C. Schwartz, M.C. Fournie-Zaluski,	
G. Cracel, B.P. Roques	
Discussion	503
Dosage radioimmunologique du facteur thymique sérique (FTS)	
(IAEA-SM-220/68)	505
J.M. Pleau, D. Pasques, J.F. Bach, C. Gros, F. Dray	- 1 0
Discussion	510
Chairmen of Sessions	511
Secretariat of the Symposium	511
List of Participants	513
Author Index	537
Corrigenda to Vol.I	541

THE NEED FOR STANDARDIZATION OF METHODOLOGY AND COMPONENTS IN COMMERCIAL RADIOIMMUNOASSAY KITS*

W.G. WOOD, I. MARSCHNER, P.C. SCRIBA Medizinische Klinik Innenstadt der Universität München,
Munich, Federal Republic of Germany

Abstract

THE NEED FOR STANDARDIZATION OF METHODOLOGY AND COMPONENTS IN COMMERCIAL RADIOIMMUNOASSAY KITS.

The problems arising from the increasing use of commercial kits in radioimmunoassay (RIA) and related fields are discussed. These problems differ according to the substance under test. The quality of individual reagents is often good, but the methodology is often not optimal and may contain short-cuts which, although commercially attractive, can lead to erroneous values and poor sensitivity and precision. Minor modifications in the methodology often lead to big improvements in sensitivity and precision. This has been demonstrated in three digoxin kits employing antibody-coated tube techniques and in four kits for thyrotropin (TSH) using different techniques. It has also been noted that with many quality-control sera imported from the USA no values are ascribed to European kits for the components listed, thus reducing these sera to the function of precision control. The study underlines the need to standardize kit components and assay methods to enable the results obtained by different laboratories with different kits to be compared.

The decentralized nature of health care in the Federal Republic of Germany (FRG) has contributed to the rapid increase in the use of commercial kits in RIA and associated techniques. The problems arising from the extended use of kits are many and they are not only concerned with methodology. The differing results obtained for certain substances with kits from different manufacturers may lead to a false diagnosis when only the absolute values are compared without reference to the given normal range. This problem arises particularly with the proteohormones.

Table I is an excerpt from the values ascribed to digoxin, TSH and insulin in different kits of commercial quality-control sera. For each component the control sera are at three concentrations with the aim of controlling low, middle and high ranges of the standard curve. For the drug digoxin, which is not normally present in serum, it can be seen from Table I that the measured values

^{*} Supported by the Bundesministerium für Forschung und Technologie.

TABLE I. VALUES ASCRIBED TO DIFFERENT KITS FOR DIGOXIN, TSH AND INSULIN IN COMMERCIAL QUALITY-CONTROL SERA

(Taken from manufacturers' data)

Test (units)	Kit	Level 1 mean ± 2 SD	Level 2 mean ± 2 SD	Level 3 mean ± 2 SD
Digoxin (ng/ml)				
	Abbott	0.6 ± 0.16	· 1.7 ± 0.28	3.0 ± 0.54
	Amersham/Searle	0.7 ± 0.04	1.8 ± 0.12	3.0 ± 0.24
	Beckman	0.9 ± 0.12	1.9 ± 0.16	3.1 ± 0.38
	Burroughs Wellcome	0.8 ± 0.10	1.8 ± 0.12	3.1 ± 0.32
	Clinical Assays	0.8 ± 0.16	2.0 ± 0.24	3.0 ± 0.22
	Corning	0.8 ± 0.12	1.9 ± 0.26	2.9 ± 0.40
	Curtis	1.0 ± 0.26	2.0 ± 0.36	3.2 ± 0.28
	Kallestad ³ H	0.8 ± 0.24	1.8 ± 0.50	2.8 ± 0.54
	Kallestad ¹²⁵ I	0.8 ± 0.06	1.8 ± 0.22	3.0 ± 0.24
	Micromedic	0.8 ± 0.16	1.9 ± 0.24	3.1 ± 0.48
	Dade	0.8 ± 0.08	2.0 ± 0.16	3.2 ± 0.20
	NEN ¹²⁵ I	0.8 ± 0.18	1.8 ± 0.12	3.0 ± 0.20
	NEN ¹²⁵ I Solid Phase	0.7 ± 0.08	1.7 ± 0.12	3.0 ± 0.30
	Radioassay Systems	0.6 ± 0.14	1.6 ± 0.20	3.0 ± 0.46
	Schwarz-Mann	0.8 ± 0.10	2.0 ± 0.28	3.4 ± 0.60
	Squibb	0.8 ± 0.20	1.6 ± 0.20	2.5 ± 0.40

Test (units)	Kit	Level 1 mean ± 2 SD	Level 2 mean ± 2 SD	Level 3 mean ± 2 SD
TSH (µU/ml)				
	Abbott	5.3 ± 1.28	15.2 ± 3.10	47.3 ± 16.20
	Diagnostic Products	8.7 ± 0.76	19.6 ± 1.20	38.6 ± 2.82
	Nichols Institute	4.6 ± 0.75	15.7 ± 1.64	32.3 ± 2.90
	Radioassay Systems	4.4 ± 1.86	13.6 ± 1.62	29.9 ± 1.96
	Beckman	6.1 ± 0.72	15.5 ± 3.84	38.1 ± 13.24
Insulin (µU/ml)				
	Amersham/Searle	15 ± 3.1	39 ± 3.5	101 ± 11.1
	Corning	17 ± 4.4	38 ± 8.0	112 ± 12.3
	Curtis	6 ± 0.6	32 ± 8.0	87 ± 11.7
	Schwarz-Mann	13 ± 2.2	34 ± 4.1	105 ± 21.7
	Pharmacia	24 ± 7.5	50 ± 8.5	115 ± 27.1

TABLE I (cont.)

IAEA-SM-220/19

129

Test (units)	Kit	Level 1 mean ± 2 SD	Level 2 mean ± 2 SD	Level 3 mean ± 2 SD
T ₃ RIA (ng/dl)				
	Beckmann	61.1 ± 11.54	163.6 ± 26.56	390.0 ± 80.46
	Abbott	107.0 ± 32.00	250.0 ± 72.00	530.0 ± 190.0
	Ames	85.4 ± 13.72	196.0 ± 21.48	428.3 ± 59.74
	Amersham/Searle	65.0 ± 8.00	170.0 ± 22.0	444.0 ± 46.00
	Corning	45.5 ± 7.84	147.6 ± 28.52	328.9 ± 60.94
	Curtis	72.4 ± 30.62	195.3 ± 31.76	384.4 ± 35.72
	Diagnostic Products	78.1 ± 8.44	165.5 ± 11.60	356.6 ± 42.16
	Maloy Laboratories	101.6 ± 10.16	245.6 ± 41.30	536.6 ± 53.40
	Nichols Institute	71.1 ± 10.58	173.8 ± 20.84	402.9 ± 34.01
	Radioassay Systems	43.9 ± 17.46	147.9 ± 20.82	349.8 ± 29.34
Cortisol (µg/dl)				
	Amersham/Searle	6.4 ± 0.60	24.3 ± 3.38	> 41
	Clinical Assays ³ H	4.6 ± 1.88	21.4 ± 5.16	57.8 ± 14.12
• •	Clinical Assays ¹²⁵ I	5.3 ± 1.48	21.6 ± 6.96	51.7 ± 16.76
	Diagnostic Products	5.6 ± 0.62	23.4 ± 2.74	52.1 ± 4.80
	NEN	5.0 ± 0.82	21.5 ± 4.38	51.3 ± 7.32
	Micromedic Autopak	5.2 ± 0.84	18.0 ± 1.34	34.9 ± 3.22
	Micromedic	5.4 ± 1.02	20.6 ± 2.28	40.7 ± 9.04
	Radioass. Syst.	4.8 ± 1.32	17.8 ± 3.00	51.6 ± 6.89
	Schwarz-Mann	4.7 ± 1.46	17.3 ± 5.48	39.5 ± 11.00
$T_4 (\mu g/dl)$				
•	Dade CPB	4.2 ± 1.08	9.3 ± 1.54	16.1 ± 1.68
	Abbott CPB	2.9 ± 1.31	10.2 ± 1.35	20.7 ± 3.70
	Abbott RIA	3.4 ± 0.60	8.3 ± 0.74	15.5 ± 2.32
	Abbott RIA-PEG	3.6 ± 0.54	8.3 ± 1.02	15.3 ± 2.32
	Ames CPB	3.6 ± 0.84	8.5 ± 1.22	16.5 ± 1.22
	Amersham/Searle CPB	4.1 ± 0.34	11.3 ± 1.04	>19.2
	Amersham/Searle RIA	3.8 ± 0.82	9.3 ± 0.96	17.7 ± 1.56

TABLE II. VALUES ASCRIBED TO DIFFERENT KITS FOR T_3 , CORTISOL AND T_4 IN COMMERCIAL QUALITY-CONTROL SERA (Taken from manufacturers' data)

Test (units)	Kit	Level 1 mean ± 2 SD	Level 2 mean ± 2 SD	Level 3 mean ± 2 SD
	Curtis CPB	4.0 ± 1.12	8.4 ± 1.46	14.7 ± 1.48
	Curtis RIA	4.4 ± 0.64	8.7 ± 1.08	14.9 ± 2.24
	Curtis RIALIQUA	5.5 ± 0.92	10.4 ± 0.90	16.1 ± 1.38
	Oxford STAT 4	2.9 ± 0.96	5.4 ± 0.62	10.0 ± 0.84
	Oxford Column	2.2 ± 0.36	5.2 ± 0.92	9.0 ± 2.30

TABLE II (cont.)

are relatively constant, especially at higher concentrations. Other small molecules such as T_3 , T_4 and cortisol, which occur naturally in serum together with metabolites of similar structure and must first be separated from a binding protein before assay, give a different range of values, as shown in Table II. Here, the values from different kits are not so constant; in some cases the highest value is more than twice that of the lowest one for the same component in the same serum. Another point that is brought out here is that the results and precision of different kits produced by the same manufacturer for the same component may differ markedly.

Larger proteohormones show even more anomalous results, as can be seen in Table I. These anomalies are due to the assay systems used as well as to the reference preparation against which the standards are calibrated.

Many kits are delivered with methodological weaknesses which give rise to far from optimal performance. Often minor changes in the protocol give rise to major improvements in performance. Table III shows the results of such modifications in three antibody-coated tube assays for digoxin. The danger of such assays is the poor precision at low concentrations because of non-specific binding sites. Non-specific binding can be minimized by pre-incubation before labelled tracer is added. In fact, the procedure for the Clinical Assays kit includes such a pre-incubation step and good precision is achieved over the entire range of the standard curve. When this step is omitted, precision and sensitivity are poor. The Schwarz-Mann AbTRAC kit provides not only antibody-coated tubes but also lyophilised tracer already in the tubes. Here, the protocol states that first serum and then buffer should be added to each tube in turn. If, however, buffer is added to all the tubes followed by serum, then precision is achieved at lower concentrations. The Boehringer-Mannheim kit combines the tracer and buffer, thus obviating the need for pre-incubation. If, however, the tracer and buffer from the Clinical Assays kit are used with the Boehringer tubes and standards, and a pre-incubation step is included, the precision improves markedly

Kit		Sensit	ivity*	50%**		Precision				
		%B ₀	ng/ml	intercept	No.	Mean	SD			
1.	Clinica	l Assays		ng/ml						
	(a)	89.8	0.24	1.09	30 30	1.40 3.62	0.06 0.29	4.93 7.97		
	(b)	85.6	0.41	1.76	19 19	1.30 3.90	0.21 0.16	16.0 4.00		
2.	Schwar	z-Mann A	bTRAC							
	(a)	98.0	0.05	2.23	20 20	0.81 3.44	0.09 0.11	10.6 3.17		
	(c)	95.2	0.06	1.28	22 22	0.78 3.83	0.06 0.28	7.27 7.28		
3.	Boehrin	nger-Man	nheim							
	(a)	99.2	0.02	2.06	20 20	0.84 4.05	0.23 0.20	28.5 4.96		
	(d)	96.6	0.14	1.69	20 20	0.93 4.24	0.06 0.17	6.92 4.15		
	(e)	90.4	0.40	2.64	14 14	0.72 3.93	0.12 0.14	16.5 3.63		

TABLE III. RESULTS FROM ORIGINAL AND MODIFIED METHODS FOR THREE DIGOXIN ANTIBODY-COATED TUBE KITS

* Sensitivity is defined here and in Tables IV, V and VI as the value on the standard curve, 3 standard deviations from the zero standard.

** All data here and in Tables IV, V and VI were obtained from standard curves plotted using a spline function.

- (a) Assay performed according to protocol.
- (b) Without pre-incubation.
- (c) Buffer added before serum.
- (d) Boehringer Ab-coated tubes and standards, Clinical Assays buffer and label, with pre-incubation.
- (e) As for (d) but without pre-incubation.

A further Boehringer-Mannheim digoxin kit of a different batch has been tested and has been found to give the following results under the conditions in (a). Sensitivity $\%B_0 = 97.0$ or 0.16 ng/ml, 50% intercept = 1.80 ng/ml, CV (1) n = 19, mean = 0.91 ng/ml, SD = 0.05 ng/ml, CV = 5.56%; (2) n = 14, mean = 2.89 ng/ml, SD = 0.23 ng/ml, CV = 8.03\%.

_			Kits		
Standard (ng/ml)	Abbott	Schwarz-Mann	Squibb	Boehringer- Mannheim	Corning
0	0.05	0.08	0	0.05	0.10
0.5	0.70	0.58	0.44	0.50	0.45
1.0	1.14	1.14	0.85	1.00	0.94
1.5	-	1.60	-	_	
2.0	2.14	2.04	1.74	2.04	-
2.5	-	-	-	_	2.35
3.0	_	2.79	2.89	3.14	-
4.0	4.80	_	-	_	-
5.0	- •	5.14	4.70	5.29	5.00

TABLE IV. DIGOXIN STANDARDS FROM FIVE KITS MEASURED IN THE CLINICAL ASSAYS KIT

at low concentrations. Omission of the pre-incubation step immediately lowers the precision. Pre-incubation has little or no effect at higher digoxin levels. This is to be expected because of the excess of unlabelled digoxin present. Preincubation increases the slope of the standard curve, as seen in the reduced values of the 50% intercept. The results in Table III are taken from the assay in which intra-assay precision was measured.

The reagents of the kits are often of very high quality, as shown in Table IV: the standards from five different digoxin kits were measured by the Clinical Assays kit (standard curve range 0-8 ng/ml). The question of quality is further highlighted by the results from the Boehringer kit in which the coated tubes were tested with the Clinical Assays reagents. The problems arising from proteohormone assays, which usually require a longer pre-incubation period before labelled tracer is added to increase sensitivity, concern methodology and kit components to a greater extent than in the digoxin kits. The use of standards in hormone-free serum instead of buffer for derivation of a standard curve has been described elsewhere [1, 2]. Such a curve is more realistic and gives better results, especially at lower hormone concentrations.

Four TSH kits were tested and modified to check their performance; the results are given in Tables V and VI. Table V gives the incubation details, the assay sensitivity and the 50% intercept together with the medium in which the standards were dissolved. The performance of the Kabi kit could have been improved greatly by pre-incubation before addition of the label, as shown by the sensitivity and 50% intercept values. The Schwarz-Mann kit was improved by

TABLE V. EFFECTS OF INCUBATION PROCEDURES ON SENSITIVITY AND 50% INTERCEPT IN FOUR TSH KITS

Kit	Pre-inc.	Temp.	mp. Main inc.	Temp. (°C)	2nd Ab	Temp.	Sen	sitivity	50%-intercept	Kit standards
	(h)	(°C)	(h)		(h)	(°C)	(%B ₀)	$(\mu U/ml)$	$(\mu U/ml)$	
Kabi*	·····									
(a ₁)	_	_	96	4	24	4	92.9	2.89	20.9	Buffer
(b ₁)	72	4	24	4	24	4	92.8	0.66	5.18	(A)**
Schwarz-Mann										
(a ₁)	2	37	3	37	1.5	37	95.1	0.77	9.35	Buffer
(b ₁)	18	RT	3	RT	1.0	RT	92.0	0.59	4.61	(A)
Diagnostic Products										
(a ₁)	3	37	2	37	0.25	37	92.4	2.58	26.1	Protein
(b ₁)	3	37	2	RT	0.75	RT	92.8	2.85	25.9	based
(b ₂)	18	RT	2	RT	0.75	RT	95.9	0.96	13.7	(B)
Henning										
(a ₁)	2	37	2.5	37	0.17	37	86.2	3.06	14.6	In TSH-free
(a ₂)	18	RT	2	RT	0.50	RT	92.9	0.81	6.92	serum (A)

* (a_x) = Original method given with kit

** (A) = Calibrated against MRC 68/38 Standard
 (B) = Calibrated against WHO 1st IRP 1974

 $(b_v) = Modified methods$

RT = Room temperature

Pre-incubation = Incubation without labelled hormone

Main incubation = After addition of labelled hormone

2nd Ab = Incubation after addition of precipitating antiserum

TABLE VI. PERFORMANCE OF TSH KITS WITH COMMERCIAL CONTROL SERA, PATIENT SERA AND MRC 68/38 IN TSH-FREE SERUM

(Assay notation is as in Table V)

		Kit								
Quality control	Kabi assay		Schwarz-Mann assay		Diagnostic Products assay			Henning assay		
sera	a ₁	bı	a ₁	b ₁	a ₁	bı	b ₂	a ₁	a ₂	
RIACON 1 (7.48*)	7.48	6.27	8.39	10.27	4.59	4.46	4.79	5.72	6.51	
2 (41.0)	32.0	30.1	42.4	45.3	23.5	23.6	25.7	26.6	20.5	
Patient sera										
801 – 0 min TRH test	2.89	2.09	3.36	3.34	4.13	4.24	3.97	3.06	2.00	
801 – 30 min	13.9	14.4	23.2	27.7	17.3	15.3	16.0	12.8	12.7	
815 – 0 min TRH test	< 2.89	0.65	0.77	1.09	< 2.58	< 2.85	< 0.96	< 3.06	< 0.81	
815 – 30 min	< 2.89	0.64	0.93	0.59	< 2.58	< 2.85	< 0.96	< 3.06	< 0.81	
TSH Standard MRC 68/38										
0.39 µU/ml	< 2.89	0.73	1.66	1.63	3.56	3.45	2.49	< 3.06	0.84	
0.78	< 2.89	1.01	1.81	2.07	4.65	3.19	3.26	< 3.06	0.98	
1.56	2.83	1.65	3.11	2.94	5.81	3.89	3.76	3.52	1.74	
3.12	4.57	3.05	4.17	4.94	6.99	5.70	5.19	3.66	3.04	
6.25	6.91	6.43	8.85	10.1	9.05	9.19	7.97	5.44	6.08	
12.5	11.72	13.3	18.2	19.2	14.5	15.5	13.2	11.0	12.6	
12.5	11.72	13.3	18.2	19.2	14.5	15.5	13.2	11.0		

TABLE	VI	(cont.)	
-------	----	---------	--

	Kit								
Quality control	Kabi assay		Schwarz-Mann assay		Diagnostic Products assay			Henning assay	
sera	a ₁	b ₁	aı	b ₁	a ₁	b ₁	b ₂	a1	a2
25.0	23.83	28.7	29.7	29.2	26.4	29.1	24.5	24.0	22.2
50.0	61.29	51.2	> 50	> 50	65.9	61.0	55.8	47.2	48.0
Normal range given by kit manufacturer mean ± 2 SD	1.5-8.0		0.	.4–9.2		3.1-9.6		0.5	5-4.0

* Mean of 4 kits listed by manufacturer (Dr. Molter, Heidelberg, FRG). All values in μ U/ml. overnight incubation at room termperature instead of for a shorter time at 37°C, as were the Diagnostic Products and Henning kits.

The values obtained from the patient sera, control sera and MRC Standard 68/38 dissolved in TSH-free serum differ only at lower concentrations when the sensitivity for each kit is improved. The introduction of "Quick-Tests" for TSH that require a total incubation time of only 5 or 6 hours are a commercial innovation with no clinical advantages. Although the incubation time is short and the protocol states that the results are ready the same day, the realistic assay time is somewhat different. In addition to the assay time, the patients must be attended to, the TRH tests performed and the assay tubes and kit components prepared. In addition, the tubes must be counted, the assay data analysed and the results written up. This is only possible when all the patients present themselves very early in the morning and there are enough staff on hand to perform all the required tests! With an overnight pre-incubation a hectic morning is avoided and the results are available within a few hours, with better sensitivity and precision.

The different absolute values obtained from different TSH kits often make it difficult for laboratories to compare results, and these comparisons are necessary when a patient transfers from one clinical practice to another. In such cases, false diagnoses can arise when the results are not compared with the normal range given for each method.

A final observation is that with many commercial quality-control sera imported from the United States of America, values for the components listed are ascribed to American kits but none are ascribed to European kits. This means, at best, that such sera, which take up the largest part of the market for RIA in the Federal Republic of Germany, can only be used in precision control.

The clear need for standardization of reagents and methods in kits has been stressed because of the importance of achieving inter-laboratory comparisons similar to those already achieved by the Deutsche Gesellschaft für Klinische Chemie (German Society for Clinical Chemistry) for other routine clinical chemical parameters.

REFERENCES

- ERHARDT, F.W., MARSCHNER, I., PICKARDT, R.C., SCRIBA, P.C., J. Clin. Chem. Clin. Biochem. 11 (1973) 381.
- [2] MARSCHNER, I., ERHARDT, F.W., SCRIBA, P.C., J. Clin. Chem. Clin. Biochem. 14 (1976) 345.

DISCUSSION

D. FULD: Don't you think that making recoveries on each sample would be better than extracting the standards, as you suggest?

W.G. WOOD: This would be better in the case of big laboratories.

D. RODBARD: I was interested in the patient sample resulting in $155 \ \% B/B_0$. This increase in binding could be due to the presence of endogenous antibodies to TSH (thyrotropin). At the 1967 meeting of the American Thyroid Association and subsequently in the Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, M. Hays et al.¹ reported that injection of bovine TSH into human subjects could result in antibody formation with some biological neutralizing properties. Therefore, I would like to ask whether any of your subjects had previously received bovine TSH.

I would also point out that even in the case of a double-antibody radioimmunoassay, the presence of endogenous antibodies to an antigen may result in either parallel displacement and a false positive or a false negative (and elevated $\%B/B_0$), depending on the specificity of the second antiserum used (cf. PEETERS et al. Endocrinology (1977)²).

W.G. WOOD: This point is at present under investigation in our laboratory as well as in laboratories in Sweden and the USA. Our view is the same as yours, and until we hear anything to the contrary, we think that the "rogue factor" is either an antibody to bovine or perhaps human TSH.

As the sera were pooled from more than 100 patients according to TSH content, and not according to names, we have unfortunately no data as to whether any patient had undergone prior treatment with bovine TSH.

Your comment on the possibility of false values with the double-antibody assays in this case is very important and should be borne in mind when such cases arise. As we have not yet fully analysed the results of the TSH qualitycontrol survey in which these two sera were assayed, we have not yet been able to assess whether the different methods or kits using double-antibody methods give different results, which would support your theory!

K. PAINTER: One can see that your results on coated-tube digoxin assays would lead you to believe that the pre-incubation step is necessary in coated-tube assays. However, you are seeing an effect, rather than a cause, of the problem. There are coated-tube digoxin assays on the market which do not behave in this way. The problem lies in the chemical structure of the iodinated digoxin derivative and in components added to the tracer buffer. The relevant studies of structure-function relationship will be published shortly. They contradict your conclusion that the reagents are good but the methodology is not.

¹ HAYS, M.T., SOLOMON, D.H., BEALL, G.N., Suppression of human thyroid function by antibodies to bovine thyrotropin, J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. **27** (1967) 1540.

² PEETERS, S., FRIESEN, H.G., RODBARD, D., Growth hormone binding factor in serum of pregnant mice, Endocrinology **101** (1977) 1164.

W.G. WOOD: I can only reiterate that our experience with coated-tube assays, not only for digoxin, but for digitoxin, T_4 , cortisol and phenytoin, shows the same effect of pre-incubation on precision at low dose levels. Therefore I must stick to the results we have obtained and the logical explanation which we have derived from them. I should add that the coated tubes tested came from several different producers.