# SIXTH SYMPOSIUM ON MICRODOSIMETRY

Brussels, Belgium, May 22-26, 1978

## Edited by J. Booz and H. G. Ebert

Commission of the European Communities Directorate-General for Research, Science and Education Biology, Radiation Protection and Medical Research

Volume I



	VII	
OPENING SESSION	Chairman : W.K. SINCLAIR	
G. SCHUSTER	Address of Welcome	1
L.E.FEINENDEGEN	Biological damage from radioactive nuclei incorporated into DNA of cells; implications for radiation biology and radiation protection (invited paper)	3
SESSION 1	Chairman : A. WAMBERSIE	
J.R.K. SAVAGE	Some thoughts on the nature of chromosomal aberrations and their use as a quantitative end-point for radiobiological studies (invited paper)	39
G.W. BARENDSEN	RBE-LET relations for induction of reproductive death and chromosome aberrations in mammalian cells	55
SESSION 2	Chairman : J.A. DENNIS	
V.P. BOND	RBE of <sup>3</sup> H beta rays and other low-LET radiations at low doses and/or dose rates (invited paper)	69
D.E. CHARLTON J. BOOZ J. FIDORRA Th. SMIT L.E. FEINENDEGEN	Microdosimetry of radioactive nuclei incorporated into the DNA of mammalian cells	91
G. TISLJAR-LENTULIS P. HENNEBERG Th. MIELKE L.E. FEINENDEGEN	DNA strand breaks induced by <sup>125</sup> I in cultured <sub>h</sub> uman kidney cells and their repair	111
SESSION 3	Chairman : N. PARMENTIER	
D. FRANKENBERG M. FRANKENBERG-SCHWAGER W. GRUNDLER E.H. KRUGER H.G. PARETZKE W. POHLIT G. RIMPL	Radiation studies at the physical, molecular, cellular and macrocolony level	121
B. FERTIL P. DESCHAVANNE B. LACHET E.P. MALAISE	Survival curves of neoplastic and non transformed human cell lines : statistical analysis using different models	145
SESSION 4	Chairman : S. WAGNER	
J.L. MAGEE A. CHATTERJEE	Theory of electron tracks with explicit consideration of track-end effects	157
M. TERRISSOL J.P. PATAU T. EUDALDO	Application à la microdosimétrie et à la radiobiologie de la simulation du transport des électrons de basse énergie dans l'eau à l'état liquide	169

sESSION 4 (cont.) R.N. HAMM Spatial correlation of energy deposition events H.A. WRIGHT in irradiated liquid water 179 J.E. TURNER R.H. RITCHIE Chairman : R.V. RECHENMANN SESSION 5 T. BUDD Low pressure cloud chamber studies -M. MARSHALL I - Methods of analysis of ionisation distributions 187 c.s. kwok Low pressure cloud chamber studies -M. MARSHALL II - The determination of a high resolution tissueequivalent gas for the study of  $\alpha$ -particle tracks 197 B.J. FORSBERG Relations of secondary electron emission to T.E. BURLIN microdosimetry and applications to two-target theory 207 R. BLOHM Physical interactions of low-energy electrons in D. HARDER single and twin targets 217 SESSION 6 Chairman : T.E. BURLIN M.N. VARMA Microdosimetric results obtained by proportional J.W. BAUM counter and ionization chamber methods : a comparison P. KLIAUGA 227 W.E. WILSON Calculation of energy deposition spectra in small gaseous sites and its applicability to condensed L.H. TOBUREN H.G. PARETZKE phase 239 L.A. BRABY Direct measurements of f(z) for fast electrons 251 W.C. ROESCH B. FORSBERG Determinations of the dose mean of specific energy M. JENSEN for conventional X-rays by variance-measurements 261 L. LINDBORG G. SAMUELSON SESSION 7 Chairman : M. TERRISSOL POSTER SESSION I: Particle track structure and slowing down Diamètre des traces révélées dans quelques A. CHAMBAUDET détecteurs polymériques et parcours "efficace" des Ph. ROMARY H. ROULET 273 rayons δ A. BERNAS A. CHATTERJEE Relationship of the track structure of heavy particles J.L. MAGEE to the physical distribution and chemical effects of radicals 283 D. COMBECHER Energy spectra of degraded electrons in water 295 J. KOLLERBAUR vapour and in carbon G. LEUTHOLD H.G. PARETZKE G. BURGER B. GROSSWENDT Determination of backscattering coefficients for E. WAIBEL 311 low energy electrons in different gases

#### VIII

SESSION 7 (cont.)

G.S. HURST S.D. KRAMER C.E. BEMIS, Jr. J.P. YOUNG	Detection of single atoms in particle tracks	321
A. JANSSENS G. EGGERMONT R. JACOBS	Experimental study of the cavity theory, using a high pressure ionization chamber	331
R.H. RITCHIE R.N. HAMM J.E. TURNER H.A. WRIGHT	The interaction of swift electrons with liquid water	345
M. SCHNEIDER J. BÖHM K. HOHLFELD H. REICH	Eine Apparatur zur Messung des Verlaufs der Ionendosis an Grenzschichten	355
B. SENGER E. WITTENDORP R.V. RECHENMANN	δ-ray production along medium energy α particles crossing tissue-like media	361
J.E. TURNER R.N. HAMM H.A. WRIGHT R.H. RITCHIE R. KATZ	Calculated electron slowing-down spectra for liquid water irradiated by X and gamma rays - Implications for photon RBE	375
E. WITTENDORP B. SENGER J.B. SANDERS R.V. RECHENMANN	Heavy secondaries ejected by primary medium energy α particles crossing dense tissue-like media	383
R. KATZ	Report on poster Session I	401
SESSION 8	Chairman : E. HALL	
A.M. KELLERER	Radiation carcinogenesis at low doses (invited paper)	405
R. KATZ	High LET constraints on low LET survival	423
SESSION 9	Chairman : R. GRILLMAIER	
POSTER SESSION II: Appli	cation of microdosimetry to radiation therapy	
H.I. AMOLS J.F. DICELLO M. ZAIDER	The RBE at various positions in and near a large negative pion beam	433
L.S. AUGUST R.B. THEUS P. SHAPIRO	Gamma measurements with a non-hydrogenous Rossi counter in a mixed field	441
G. BURGER E. MAIER A. MORHART	Radiation quality and its relevancy in neutron radiotherapy	451

SESSION 9 (cont.)

M. ZAIDER

J. B00Z

B. HOGEWEG

A.M. SAYED

J. GIROUX

J.F. DICELLO Investigation of the microdosimetric characteristics of broad, therapeutic beams of negative pions at LAMPF J. FIDORRA The local distribution of radiation quality of a collimated fast neutron beam from 15 MeV deuterons on Beryllium R.M. HENKELMAN Prediction of biological effect of pion irradiation G.K.Y. LAM using the star distribution to determine the high LET dose R.b.e. for cell survival at different positions in J. ZOETELIEF collimated neutron beams in relation to differences J.J. BROERSE in lineal energy spectra M.A. KENAWY Neutron absorbed dose measurements in phantom from <sup>252</sup>cf source J.B. LEROUX Spectres de dépôts d'énergie pour les rayonnements Y. HERBAUT de faible TLE - discrimination neutron gamma dans J. ROUILLON un champ mixte n -γ

M. MAKAREWICZ The calculated mean energy deposition pattern in S. PSZONA an ion chamber for neutrons 549 H.G. MENZEL Experimental microdosimetry at high-LET radiation

création et de son transport

Calcul des caractéristiques qualitatives (TEL, F.Q.,

LET in dose distributions, from spectral measurements

negative pions in biologically significant materials

équivalent de dose) d'un faisceau de photons de

freinage à usage médical, par simulation de sa

of charged particles emitted at the capture of

Recoil spectra in neutron irradiated tissues and

therapy beams

TE-materials

H. SCHUHMACHER H. BLATTMANN

J.P. PATAU C.E. VERNES M. TERRISSOL M. MALBERT

A.G. PERRIS D.R. PERRY F.A. SMITH

G. PFISTER P. STILLER G. HEHN

J. ZOETELIEF Radiation quality and absorbed dose at different B. HOGEWEG positions in the primary beam and around the J.J. BROERSE shielding of a neutron generator 615

J.J. BROERSE Report on poster Session II 629

SESSION 10	Chairman : A. ALLISY	
(jointly organized by I	CRU and EURATOM)	
M.J. BERGER	Planned compilation of stopping power and range data	639
H.H. ANDERSEN	Higher-order Z <sub>1</sub> corrections to the Bethe stopping- power formula	651
J.A. DENNIS D. POWERS	The dependence of stopping-power on physical and chemical states	661

469

483

497

507

517

535

563

579

589

SESSION 10 (cont.)		
M. INOKUTI J.E. TURNER	Mean excitation energies for stopping-power as derived from oscillator-strength distributions	675
SESSION 11	Chairman : M.J. BERGER	
POSTER SESSION III: Stop	ping power and related subjects	
J. CASANOVAS R. GROB G. BRUNET R. SABATTIER J.P. GUELFUCCI D. BLANC	Détermination du potentiel d'ionisation de certains hydrocarbures en phase liquide	689
J.J. COYNE L.J. GOODMAN	$ar{W}$ , computed from recent nuclear data and $ar{W}$ measurements	699
P. GOUARD M. CHEMTOB V.D. NGUYEN N. PARMENTIER	Une approche possible de l'étude du ralentissement des particules chargées lourdes	707
A.K.M.M. HAQUE M.J. GEARY	The stopping power and straggling for alpha particles in liquids	725
R.B.J. PALMER A. AKHAVAN-REZAYAT	Range-energy relations and stopping power of water, water vapour and tissue equivalent liquid for α particles over the energy range 0.5 to 8 MeV	739
H.G. PARETZKE M.J. BERGER	Stopping power and energy degradation for electrons in water vapor	749
B.G.R. SMITH J. BOOZ	Experimental results on W-values and transmission of low energy electrons in gases	759
D.I. THWAITES D.E. WATT	Phase effects in stopping power for low-energy heavy charged particles	777
T.K. YEUNG D.E. WATT	Multiple scattering corrections to measurements of stopping power for slow ions	793
D.E. WATT	Report on poster Session III	813
SESSION 12	Chairman : W. POHLIT	
D.T. GOODHEAD J. THACKER R. COX	The conflict between the biological effects of ultrasoft X-rays and microdosimetric measurements and application	829
P.D. HOLT	Micro-dosimetry and nano-dosimetry	845
D. CHMELEVSKY A.M. KELLERER H.H. ROSSI	Concepts and quantities relevant to the evaluation of charged particle tracks	855

XI

	XII	
SESSION 13	Chairman : H.H. ROSSI	
R.P. VIRSIK D. HARDER	Chromosome aberrations in human lymphocytes induced by photon and electron radiations, and the sublesion interaction model	869
C.R. GEARD	Microdosimetry and chromosomal aberrations for monoenergetic neutrons	883
A. WAMBERSIE J. VAN DAM G. LAUBLIN	RBE as a function of depth in a 650 MeV He <sup>++</sup> beam determined for growth delay in vicia faba and for induction of chromosome aberrations in allium cepa	895
SESSION 14	Chairman : G.E. ADAMS	
E.M. FIELDEN O. SAPORA P.S. LOVEROCK	The relation between survival and the initial yield of DNA strand breaks for E coli B/r	905
S. APELGOT J.P. ADLOFF	Transmutation effects of $^{ m 32}$ P and $^{ m 33}$ P incorporated in DNA	915
H.G. PARETZKE	On limitations of classical microdosimetry and advantages of track structure analysis for radiation biology	925
H.H. ROSSI R. BIRD R.D. COLVETT A.M. KELLERER N. ROHRIG Y-M.P. LAM	The molecular ion experiment	937
SESSION 15	Chairman : D. HARDER	
POSTER SESSION IV: Inter	pretation of biological data	
H.M.A. AL-SHAIBANI D.E. WATT	Physical processes in the inactivation of enzymes by slow heavy ions	949
M. COPPOLA M. MARTINELLI L. TOMMASINO	Cell survival and radiation quality	961
R. FACIUS H. BUCKER G. REIŢZ M. SCHAFER	Radial dependence of biological response of spores of Bacillus subtilis around tracks of heavy ions	977
R.E. GRILLMAIER L. BIHY H.G. MENZEL H. SCHUHMACHER	Chromosome aberration studies and microdosimetry with radiations of varying quality	987
R. KATZ E.C. PENNINGTON	Radiobiological aspects of supralinear photographic emulsions	1001
H.P. LEENHOUTS K.H. CHADWICK M.J. SIJSMA	The influence of radiation stimulated repair processes on the shape of dose-effect curves	1011

SESSION 15 (cont.)

J.W. PURDIE

E.R. INHABER

N.V. KLASSEN

R.A. ROTH

н. вüскег R. FACIUS G. HORNECK G. REITZ G. KRAFT

R. KATZ M. SCHAFER Increased sensitivity of anoxic mammalian cells irradiated with single pulses at very high dose rates Heavy ion beam model for radiobiology

High LET-irradiation of Bacillus subtilis spores

1023

1033

1043

1123

F. SCHÖPFER The inactivation of cells by accelerated heavy 1051 J. KIEFER ions of very high LET E. SCHNEIDER G. KRAFT

- A. TALLENTIRE The interrelationship between pulse length and dose-rate within the pulse in the enhancement of D.J.W. BARBER anoxic damage in wet Bacillus megaterium spores 1061 irradiated with pulses of electrons
- K.J. WEBER Intracellular measurement of the inactivation of ribosomal RNA genes in diploid yeast cells after J. KIEFER exposure to <sup>60</sup>Co-Y-rays, 85 kV X-rays and 241-Americium- $\alpha$ -particles 1071
- J. KIEFER Report on poster Session IV 1081 \*

SESSION 16 Chairman : A.M. KELLERER

H.H. ROSSI Radiation quality and quality factor (invited paper) 1087 J.A. DENNIS Dose limits and quality factors: the Devil's 1099

Chairman : J.J. BROERSE SESSION 17

view

C.L. GREENSTOCK Chemical mechanisms of the interaction between R.H. WIEBE radiation and chemical carcinogens 1109 K.H. CHADWICK The implications for radiological protection of H.P. LEENHOUTS the synergistic interaction between radiation and

other DNA damaging agents

Chairman : K.H. CHADWICK SESSION 18

J. KIEFER The molecular and cellular basis of the oxygen 1137 H. KOCH effect K. KOESTER

G. MOCK

E. SCHNEIDER

K. WEBER

XIII

K.F. BAVERSTOCK The oxygen in the track hypothesis: Microdosimetric W.G. BURNS implications 1151 R. MAY SESSION 19 Chairman : H. DE CHOUDENS R.S. CASWELL Energy deposition spectra for neutrons based on J.J. COYNE recent cross section evaluations 1159 Microdosimetry of 400 MeV/AMU  $^{12}\mathrm{C}$  and 450 MeV/AMU  $^{40}\mathrm{Ar}$  beams P. KLIAUGA R.D. COLVETT 1173 L.J. GOODMAN Y.M. LAM A.K.M.M. HAQUE Microdosimetric study with cylindrical walled and S.A. SAQ'AN wall-less proportional counters 1185 SESSION 20 Chairman : J. BOOZ C. HERSKIND Measurements in internal microdosimetry with a K.A. JESSEN wall-less proportional counter 1203 w.C. ROESCH Internal microdosimetry 1213 J. B00Z Closing of the Symposium 1225 APPENDIX 1 \*Personal impressions and reviews of discussions 1233 G.E. ADAMS Some discussion comments on the mechanisms of sensitizers 1235 J. 8007 Summary of the discussions concerning radiation mechanisms of external radiations 1239 Impressions of the 6th Microdosimetry Symposium J.A. DENNIS and its relevance to radiological protection 1243 L. LINDBORG Summary of discussions on evaluation of microdosimetry data 1245 M. TERRISSOL Summary of the discussions concerning electron track structure and microdosimetry 1247 G. TISLJAR-LENTULIS Summary of the discussions concerning incorporated radioisotopes 1251 APPENDIX 2 List of participants 1255

xiv

SESSION 18 (cont.)

### RADIATION CARCINOGENESIS AT LOW DOSES

### A.M.Kellerer

Institut für Med.Strahlenkunde der Universität Würzburg, Versbacher Str.5 D-8700 Würzburg

ABSTRACT: Radiation carcinogenesis is the major contributor to the risk of small doses of ionizing radiations. Risk estimates are usually based on the assumption of a linear dose-effect relation at small and even at intermediate doses up to about 100 rad. The postulate that such linearity should generally apply is, however, in conflict with microdosimetric arguments, and experimental findings are here considered that exemplify nonlinear dose dependence even at small doses.

It is pointed out that dose-effect relations are always based on a somewhat arbitrary choice of the biological endpoint, and that a well-designed experiment must therefore be aimed at more complete information. The relevant quantities in radiation carcinogenesis are the tumour rate r(t), the integral tumour rate R(t), or the cumulative prevalence I(t).

The mathematical analysis can often be simplified if RBE is directly determined as a function of absorbed dose. This requires the comparison of the tumour incidence or prevalence in pairs of experimental groups. The largely unsolved problem of the construction of suitable statistical tests for this purpose is discussed.

Work supported by Euratom Contract 208-76-7B10 D.

### Introduction

The development of nuclear technology and the widening medical applications of ionizing radiations have brought questions of the risk of small doses to large populations into the center of public interest. It is currently believed that late somatic effects, i.e., essentially radiation induced tumours, contribute at least as much to the risk of small doses as hereditary effects. ICRP in its recent recommendations (1) bases risk estimates on the assumption of proportionality to dose equivalent. The total risk of radiation carcinogenesis is assumed to be  $10^{-4}$  per rem as the average for both sexes and all ages. The total probability to die from cancer is in the United States roughly 0.17 (see (2)); according to the ICRP number this probability is increased by 0.3 % if an individual receives a dose equivalent of 5 rem. Small as this increment may seem, it is substantial enough that the permissible limits may have to be reconsidered if the ICRP values should turn out to be realistic.

For comparison it may be noted that ICRP estimates the risk for hereditary effects, expressed in the first two generations, as  $4 \cdot 10^{-5}$  per rem. However, if all subsequent generations are included, the risk is believed to be approximately equal to that for radiation induced cancer. ICRP states, that the risk estimates are probably conservative. Other scientific bodies have made similar statements concerning their risk estimates (3,4). However, this is often disregarded.

There are also occasional claims that actual risks are much higher than any of the current estimates. A few years ago it was stated (5) that hot spots of  $PuO_2$  particles have a vastly higher effectiveness in producing lung cancer, compared to the same activity of  $\alpha$ -particles evenly dispersed in the lung. It was in fact stated that the limits for inhaled activity were more than 100 000 times too low. These statements were based on a misinterpretation of certain experimental data for the skin (6) that were then simply applied to the lung. A heated controversy was nevertheless created, and various assessments (see for example (7-9)) of the problem of hot spots in the lung have been attempted. The general conclusion has been that activity in particulate form is usually less effective than activity in dispersed form. The reason is, that a given activity irradiates a much smaller number of cells, if it is concentrated in hot spots (10). Experiments in various laboratories, and especially those of Lafuma et al.(11,12), have supported

the conclusion that hot spots have a reduced effectiveness in the production of lung cancer. The experiments are still in progress. Their analysis poses intriguing statistical problems that will be considered in the last part of this article.

A more recent sensational claim of unexpectedly large risk factors is made in reports of Mancuso, Stewart, and Kneale (13,14). These reports deal with radiation workers in Hanford. It is stated that workers dead of cancer in Hanford had received doses that are substantially above the average for all workers. The authors estimate from their analysis that a single dose of sparsely ionizing radiation of 0.8 rad doubles the incidence of bone marrow cancer, and that 7 and 6 rad double the chance to die of pancreas or of lung cancer.

In judging these assertions one must note that the statements refer only to certain cancer types and to very few cases, and that it is difficult to ascertain that these were not random fluctuations. However, even if all proper corrections, including age corrections, are applied, and if a correlation between cancer incidence and radiation exposure could actually be established among the Hanford workers, this need not be proof for a causal relation. For example, lung cancer rates have been increasing in recent years in the United States. At the same time the total doses accumulated by workers in Hanford must have been increasing and must now be higher than those of people dying earlier after a shorter employment in Hanford. Lung cancer rate and average doses have accordingly both been higher in workers dying recently. This is an example of a spurious correlation without causal connection. Various other spurious correlations may well play a role, and the Hanford study must therefore be examined very critically. Doubtlessly it contains all the difficulties of an assessment of the effects of low doses on human populations. Nevertheless studies of human data are of greatest importance. They have to be performed, even if it is often exceedingly difficult to estimate doses. Perhaps the most important set of observations is that made on the Japanese atomic bomb survivors. H.H.Rossi has, as others have done, analysed these data and in particular the leukemia data. Unlike others he has stressed the fact that the observations, in agreement with general biophysical considerations, contradict the proportionality to dose for both sparsely and densily ionizing radiations (15). He has also made the important point that the RBE-dose relation is more fundamental than doseeffect relations. These matters are being discussed in this symposium by

Rossi (16) and particular emphasis is given to the human data. These are, therefore, not considered in the present article. Animal experiments are less relevant to risk estimates. However, they will remain the basis of any attempt to understand the biophysical basis of radiation carcinogenesis, and they will here be considered under this aspect.

### Arguments for the Linear Hypothesis

Because radiation energy is transferred in discrete events, all radiation effects must ultimately be linear at small doses. At small doses most cells will receive no energy; the number of cells subject to an event will be proportional to dose. If radiation carcinogenesis were due solely to the transformation of individual cells one would therefore have to find a linear dose-effect relation at doses of fractions of a rad for sparsely ionizing radiations and at considerably larger doses for neutrons and other densely ionizing radiations.

In vitro experiments indicate, in fact, that a linear component exists. It exists for cell inactivation and it exists for chromosome aberrations, and it is often surprisingly large. Brown (17) has recently made a strong point for the general existence of a linear component up to about 100 rad. He argues well for the postulate of the linear-quadratic dose-effect relation that is based on biophysical considerations and on microdosimetric data, and he concludes that extrapolations from high doses and high dose rates are conservative. However, he also states that the safety factor is probably not larger than roughly 2. He concludes this principally from a survey of chromosome aberration data that indicate a value of  $\alpha_1/\alpha_2$  in the linear-quadratic dose relation of about 100 rad.

Brown's arguments are well taken, but, they rest, as he states, on the assumption that carcinogenesis reflects the action of radiation on individual cells. It is difficult to establish that this should be so.

It is obvious that the yield of tumours is not equal to the yield of transformed cells. In experiments of Borek and Hall (18) on the *in vitro* transformation of mammalian cells the transformation rate is larger than  $10^{-5}$ per rad for x-rays. Even if one were to assume that only a minor fraction of the tissues in the human body is sensitive, one would still obtain several transformed cells every minute due to background radiation alone if the same or similar transformation rates were to apply *in vivo*. Obviously a transformed cell must have a rather infinitesimal chance to produce a cancer.

One can nevertheless argue that each transformed cell may have a small but finite probability to produce a tumour. The number of radiation induced tumours would then be proportional to the number of transformed cells. But even in those cases where a mono-clonal origin of a tumour is established this will not necessarily imply a linear dose relation. The probability of a transformed cell to grow into a macroscopic tumour may well depend on immunological factors or on the mutual influence between damaged cells (19). Although their nature is not, at present, determined, it is known that intercellular factors exist which can lead to non-linear dose relations at small doses. This has been demonstrated for the induction of mammary tumours in the Sprague-Dawley rat.

### Induction of Mammary Tumours in the Rat; An Example for Infra-Linearity at Small Doses of Densily Ionizing Radiations

There are cases of radiation induced tumours that are non-linear in dose even at low doses of densily ionizing radiations. Marshall and Groer (20) have found a quadratic dose dependence for the induction of osteosarcomas by  $\alpha$ -rays. Mammary tumours produced in the Sprague-Dawley rat are an opposite example. In this case the dose-dependence is neither linear nor supralinear (dose exponent >1) but instead it is infra-linear (dose exponent <1). The tumours are mostly adeno-fibromas and fibro-adenomas and they occur in the older animal with large spontaneous frequency. It is therefore often stated that this is a somewhat abnormal experimental system; on the other hand, an incidence steeply rising with age is typical for various tumours.

Vogel and Zaldivar (21) and Shellabarger et al. (22) have earlier reported a dose dependence of mammary tumours in the Sprague-Dawley rat that is infralinear for neutrons. In the meantime additional work has been performed and is still in progress. Broerse et al. (23) have presented an interim analysis of a large experiment that includes different strains of rats and that is also concerned with hormonal influences on the radiation response. The complete results of a large experiment by Shellabarger et al. will soon be published. This experiment has been specifically designed towards the determination of the effects of very small neutron doses. Some of the essential results will here be summarized, and they will then be used to indicate fundamental aspects of the mathematical assessment of tumour induction by ionizing radiations.

In the experiment rats were exposed to single doses either of 430 keV neutrons or of x-rays at age 60 days. Mammary tumours were then observed and surgically removed as they occurred. In this way several tumours could be observed in individual animals. In the conventional actuarian way a mortality corrected cumulative tumour incidence is obtained as a function of time for each irradiated group. Fig.l gives an example, namely the curve for 0.4 rad of neutrons compared to the control group. These curves represent fibro-adenomas plus adeno-fibromas. The curves for the irradiated and the control group are nearly parallel and the same seems to apply at other doses. One can occordingly state that the effect of the irradiation is a shift forward in time of the tumour incidence.

### Fig.1

The integral tumour rate, R(t) for adeno-fibromas and fibro-adenomas as a function of time after irradiation in the experiment of Shellabarger et al. on Sprague-Dawley rats. The upper curve applies to a dose of 0.4 rad of 430 keV neutrons (89 animals) the lower curve to the control group (167 animals). The shaded areas give the standard deviations.



There are, in fact, many ways to construct dose-effect relations from the totality of the data obtained in the experiment. The determination of a time shift is one possibility (Fig.2a). Another possibility is the specification of the excess incidence at a selected time (Fig.2b). Still another possibility is the determination of a quantity that is perhaps more meaningful as a measure of detriment; this is the *loss of tumour-free life span*, i.e. the expected tumour-free life span of the animals in the control group minus the tumour-free life span in the irradiated group (Fig.2c). One can not a priori expect that the various dose-effect relations are of equal or even of similar shape, and it follows that statements on the linearity or non-linearity of dose-effect relations have little absolute meaning.

- a) Forward shift in time of the occurrence of mammary tumours for different neutron and x-ray doses.
- b) Excess mammary tumours per animal in the experiment of Shellabarger et al. at 800 days after irradiation.

c) Reduction of tumour-free life span in the experiment of Shellabarger et al.



### Fig.2

Various dose-effect relations for the induction of mammary tumours in the Sprague-Dawley rat.

The dose scales for neutrons and x-rays differ by a factor of 10.

However, in the present case it appears that the various dose-effect relations depicted in Fig.2 are generally of the same shape. In agreement with earlier results one finds a nearly parabolic dependence on neutron dose at doses that are so small that most cells have no energy deposition in their nucleus. The possibility of such dose relations is of obvious relevance to the problems of radiation protection. It is also important that very high RBE values of 100 or more are reached at low doses. However, these matters will not be further considered in this article. Instead the experiment will be used to exemplify basic quantities relevant to the assessment of radiation carcinogenesis. A dose-effect relation contains, as seen in the example of the mammary tumours, only limited information. The actual quantity of interest is the *tumour rate* as a function of age or of time after irradiation. The tumour rate, r(t), is the probability per individual per unit time to develop a tumour. The estimate of the tumour rate for the controls in the experiment of Shellabarger et al. is given in Fig.3. It is a function that increases steeply with age for these particular tumours.



It is often difficult to estimate tumour rates, since in most experiments an insufficient number of tumours is observed per time interval. It is then more practical to determine the *integral tumour rate*, R(t). This quantity is equal to the time integral of the tumour rate up to time t, and it is also equal to the mean number of tumours per surviving animal, if multiple tumours can be scored and if mortality is unrelated to tumour incidence. The estimate of the integral tumour rate and of its standard deviation is given by the relation:

$$\widehat{R}(t) = \sum_{\substack{t_i < t \ N(t_i)}} \frac{1}{t_i < t \ N(t_i)} \pm \sqrt{\sum_{\substack{i < t \ N(t_i)^2}} \frac{1}{t_i < t \ N(t_i)^2}}$$

 $t_i$  are the times of incidence of the individual tumours up to time t, and  $N(t_i)$  are the numbers of animals still at risk at these times. If multiple tumours can not be observed, the integral tumour rate is obtained by the same formula. The only change is that animals are considered at risk only until they incur their first tumour.

R(t) has been termed 'mean number of tumours' in Fig.1. However, in general, the term integral tumour rate is more suitable, R(t) has no direct meaning if multiple tumours can not be observed, but it is closely related to the probability of an animal to have at least one tumour. The latter quantity, I(t), defined by the equation in Fig.3 has been called *cumulative prevalence* by Broerse et al. (23); this appears to be a suitable term that could be generally adopted.

Determination of r(t), R(t), or I(t) should ideally be the aim of a well designed experiment. However, one may frequently be unable to use sufficiently large experimental groups. Then simpler quantities and approximative concepts are desirable. It is still very common that quantities such as fraction of animals with at least one tumour or mean number of tumours per animal are quoted with reference either to total life time or to a specified observation period but without any mortality correction. In fact, these may still be the most commonly quoted quantities. The mortality correction is of no importance at low doses. However, low dose studies will always be performed in the context of experiments that include also higher doses where mortality corrections are essential. The uncorrected quantities are therefore of limited usefulness, and may, in fact, be misleading.

Another simplified concept that is commonly applied, although it is not always meaningful, is the so called *latent period*. It would be difficult to define this concept rigorously, but in practice it is most often equated with the time to the appearance of the first tumour in an experimental group. Obviously this is unsatisfactory since the result will depend on the size of the experimental group. For example, the incidence of the mammary tumours increases steeply with age, and this suggests that the concept of a latent period may indeed apply. The true 'latent period' according to the estimate for the controls in Fig.3 is about 100 days after the beginning of the observation, However, the time to the first tumour will generally be considerably larger than the theoretical value; this is the case even for extremely large experimental groups. In Fig.4 the median of the time to the first tumour is plotted as a function of the size of the experimental group and it is apparent that no realistic estimate of the true value is possible. The latent period is therefore a somewhat misleading concept; it should be used with great caution, and whenever possible it should be substituted by other, modified parameters.

### Fig.4

The median (and the 50 % range) for the time from the beginning of the observation (age 60 days) to the occurrence of the first tumour in groups containing different numbers of animals. The dot represents the actual occurrence of the first tumour in the control groups of the experiment by Shellabarger et al.



It has been stated earlier that the determination of RBE is often more fundamental than the determination of the dose dependence of various biological endpoints. It is then not necessary to establish dose-effect relations, and it is sufficient to perform simple comparisons between irradiated groups. Accordingly one needs a suitable statistical test that permits the comparison of the tumour incidence in two groups, such as a group of animal exposed to an x-ray dose and a group of animals exposed to a neutron dose. If such a test is available one can determine the RBE dose dependence in the direct non-parametric way which has been described earlier (24).

Purpose of the statistical test is the examination of the null-hypothesis, i.e. the assumption that the tumour rates are equal in the two groups that are to be compared. In order to obtain a test with sufficient power one will avoid an approximate treatment where the observation period is subdivided into several finite intervals, and where then the  $\chi^2$ -test or the Fisher exact probability test is applied. Instead a test is desirable that is based on the full experimental observations. The Mann-Whitney rank order test could be used if all, or nearly all, animals survived until they developed a tumour. Animals could then be ranked according to their tumour free life time and application of the Mann-Whitney test would be straightforward.

However, in all experimental groups there is a substantial number of animals that die without developing a mammary tumour. The Mann-Whitney test is therefore not applicable.

However, a non-parametric test has been developed that has nearly the same power-function as the Mann-Whitney test (25) while it is applicable even if loss of elements from the two observed groups occurs. The test has earlier been applied in order to obtain the RBE dose dependence for the neutrons in the experiment of Shellabarger et al. (22). Its details need not be discussed in the present context; however, it is felt that reference to its existence is useful, since the test may be suitable in various experimental situations where radiation carcinogenesis in two groups is to be compared.

### Ovary Tumours in the Mouse; An Example of a Quadratic Dose Dependence for Sparsely Ionizing Radiation

Yunas has reported (26) a large experiment on the radiation induction of ovary tumours in the mouse. The tumours are in most cases lethal and are therefore readily discovered. Groups of 200 mice each were exposed to various doses of  $\gamma$ -rays at various durations T of the exposure. The data are represented in Fig.5. The spontanous incidence which is quite small is sub-



a: raw data

Fig.5

Cumulative prevalence of mouse ovary tumours (=control value) versus absorbet dose at various durations of exposure to  $\gamma$ -rays.

b: theoretical fit

tracted. Yuhas has concluded from these data that the dose dependence is nearly quadratic. Lam and Rossi (27) have, more recently, reanalysed the results. They have applied an intriguing analysis, that assumes that the cumulative prevalence follows an equation:

$$I(t) = f(\alpha \cdot D + q(T) \cdot D^{2})$$

where the form of the function f(..) is left open and where a recovery of the quadratic component is assumed and accounted for by the reduction factor q(T). On the basis of recovery factors that correspond to an exponential decay of sublesions a decay time of 15 hours has been obtained for an optimal fit to the data. Furthermore the value  $\alpha$ =5 rad has been deduced. This is a much smaller linear component than the value of roughly 100 rad that has been postulated for human data in general, and that is implicitly utilized in the deduction of various risk factors. The result is therefore of importance and deserves further consideration.

It is of particular interest whether the somewhat arbitrary assumption of an exponential decay of sublesions is critical for the magnitude of the linear component that is obtained in the analysis. To answer this question one must ask simultaneously for the optimal function f(...), the optimal value  $\alpha$ , and the optimal repair function q(T). There are numerical proce-



Fig.6

 a) Cumulative prevalence of mouse ovary tumours (-control value) vs. absorbed dose times dose reduction factor



b) Dose reduction factor as a function of exposure time.
 The reduction due to loss of sensitivity at increasing age (26) plays only a minor role, as indicated by the upper curve.

dures that can provide the results with suitable constraints that ensure smooth functions f(..) and q(T). No analytical expression are invoked, i.e. the functions are represented by arrays of numerical values. The mathematical technique is the non-linear optimization by the method of the general-ized reduced gradient (28).

Fig.5b represents the result. The optimal value of  $\alpha$  is zero, with a dependence of the sum of least squares on  $\alpha$  that appears to exclude values of  $\alpha$  larger than roughly 4 rad. The curves in Fig.5b are shifted parallel to the axis in accordance with the dose reduction factors. For a clearer comparison of the data and the theoretical curves a plot of the cumulative incidence versus the 'effective dose'  $q(T)^{0.5} \cdot D$  is given in Fig.6a. This brings all theoretical curves into coincidence and bunches the observed incidences around the theoretical curve. In view of the expected fluctuations of observed tumours in the low dose range the agreement between observations and theoretical curve is excellent. The essential result is, that no linear component is found and that simple proportionality between incidence and the quadratic component results over a wide dose-range. In Fig.6b the time factors, i.e. the dose modifying factors are given as a function of duration of exposure T. It is of interest to note how precise-ly recovery can be determined in a well designed experiment.

The two examples of the ovary and the mammary tumours make it quite obvious that no universally valid dose dependence can be expected for radiation induced tumours. It is therefore required that detailed and rigorously analysed studies be performed on as many experimental systems as possible, both with sparsely and with densily ionizing radiations. Such studies are relatively simple in those cases where tumours are readily discovered, and a direct estimate of tumour incidence is therefore possible. In other experimental systems where tumours are not readily discovered the statistical problems are much more serious. One is then forced to estimate prevalence instead of incidence. This will be considered by reference to recent studies of Lafuma et al.

### Statistical Problems in the Determination of Tumour Prevalence

Inhalation studies with different  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -emitters in various chemical forms and applied in various temporal patterns have in recent years been performed in a number of laboratories. These studies pose various dif-

ficult statistical problems, as the radiation induced lung tumours are even in their malign forms usually not lethal. The tumours can therefore only be observed when the animals die for other causes or are sacrificed. An example from studies by Lafuma et al. may serve to illustrate the situation.

Fig.7 refers to studies with inhaled radon. These studies do not involve low doses; however, they are aimed at a clarification of the effects of low doses that can not be directly analysed. Studies with high doses are relevant because the microscopic pattern of energy deposition by  $\alpha$ -particles or by  $\alpha$ -emitting hot spots is the same for high and for low doses. However, difficulties arise due to radiation induced mortality. It is obviously meaningless to compare different modes of irradiation on the basis of tumour prevalence at the death of the animals because a higher dose or a more effective temporal pattern of the application of the activity may shorten the life span of the animals considerably. Tumour prevalence in the dead animals may be reduced significantly because animals die too early. Methods are therefore required to compare tumour prevalences in a way that is independent of radiation induced mortality.

Fig.7 refers to two groups of mice that have been exposed to radon at the level of 7000 WLM (Working Level Months) and 1470 WLM. While lung tumours are classified into various stages, only animals with malignancies are, for the purpose of this analysis, discriminated from the other animals. The observed data are indicated by the two panels. The upper dots in these panels symbolize animals dying with malignancies; the lower dots symbolize animals dying without malignancies. The animals in group 1 are dying considerable earlier than the animals in group 2. It is therefore difficult to judge how strongly prevalence is increased in group 1 in comparison to group 2.

No general solution of the problem has apparently been developed; however, a relatively simple method will be described to estimate a time shift in tumour prevalence between the two groups.

The method is based on the notion of *inversions*. The term inversion applies to a pair of animals not in the same group. The pair forms an inversion if the animal that dies earlier has a malignancy, while the animal that dies later has none. By considering all pairs of animals one can determine the total number of inversions. If the number of inversions is high this may



Fig.7

Comparison of two groups of radon exposed rats from experiments by Lafuma et al.

The dots in the two panels represent deaths of animals at the indicated times. Upper dots: animals with malignancies; lower dots: animal without malignancies; small dots: 1 animal; intermediate dots: 5 animals; large dots: 15 animals.

The estimate of the relative time shift of prevalence is obtained from the location of the minimum of the number of inversions (see text).

be an indication that tumours occur earlier in one of the two groups. The number of inversions for the two groups represented in Fig.6 is 365.

One may now consider the possibility that the prevalence is shifted forward by a time  $\Delta t$  in group 1 compared to group 2. It will be readily seen that a reverse shift by  $\Delta t$  of the observed patterns leads to a configuration with a decreased number of inversions. Fig.7 represents the number of inversions for different time shifts. The minimum number of inversions is obtained when group 1 is shifted with respect to group 2 by roughly 230 days. One can prove that this provides a suitable estimate of the time shift of prevalence. The methods is readily applied, and can be utilized even with small groups. However, it poses a number of intricate statistical problems which will require further study.

Whenever an experiment involves large number of animals and a considerable number of experimental groups, one can obtain an actual estimate of the age dependence of prevalence. To this purpose the maximum likelihood method is combined with the non-linear optimization method, that has been mentioned earlier in the context of the ovary tumours. Fig.8 represents the result for the radon experiment. One common prevalence curve is here obtained for all groups. But the curve is shifted in time in the various groups which have been subjected to different levels of radon inhalation. It is of interest to note that for the two groups considered in Fig.7 the shift obtained by the two methods is the same.



Certain solutions of the problem of estimation of incidence or prevalence of radiation induced tumours have been indicated in this article. However,

there is an obvious need for further development of suitable statistical concepts and methods. A coherent conceptual framework and improved statistical methods will be required in any comprehensive effort to arrive at better risk estimates and ultimately at a deeper understanding of radiation carcinogenesis.

### References

- 1) Annals of the ICRP. Publ.26. International Commission on Radiological Protection. Pergamon Press, 1977
- 2) National Safety Council. Accident Facts, ISBN 0-87912-003-7, Chicago, 1974
- UNSCEAR-Report: Sources and Effects of Ionizing Radiation. United Nations, New-York, 1977
- 4) National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council. The Effects on Populations of Exposure to Low Levels of Ionizing Radiation. Washington, D.C., 1972
- 5) Tamplin,A.R., Cochran,T.B. Radiation Standards for Hot Particles. Natural Resources Defense Council, Washington, 1974
- 6) Albert, R.E., Burns, F.J., Heimbach, R.D. Radiat. Res. 30, 515-524, 1967
- 7) NCRP Report 46. Alpha-Emitting Particles in Lungs. National Council on Radiat.Protection and Measurements, Washington, D.C., 1975
- 8) Commission on Radiation Protection, FRG, Rad.and Environm.Biophys, 15, 1-11, 1978
- 9) Dolphin,G.W., Smith,H., Popplewell,D.S., Stather,J.W., Adams,N., Spoor,N.L., Brightwell,J., Bulman,R.A. Radiological problems in the protection of persons exposed to plutonium. Rep.NRPB R 29, Nat.Radiat. Protection Board. Harwell,England, 1974
- 10) Kellerer, A.M. Rad.and Environm.Biophys.15, 13-19, 1978
- 11) Lafuma, J., Nenot, J.C., Morin, M., Masse, R., Metivier, H., Nobile, D., Skupinski, W. In: Experimental lung cancer (Karbe, E., Park, J.F., Eds.) Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer, 1974
- 12) Lafuma, J., Nenot, J.C., Morin, M., Masse, R., Metivier, H., Nobile, D. Paper H 1,3. European IRPA Congress, Amsterdam, 1975
- 13) Mancuso, Th.F., Stewart, A., Kneale, G. Health Physics, 33, 369-385, 1977
- 14) Kneale,G., Stewart,A., Mancuso,Th.F. Reanalysis of Data Relating to the Hanford Study of the Cancer Risks of Radiation Workers. In: Late Biological Effects of Ionizing radiations, IAEA, Vienna, in print
- 15) Rossi, H.H. Radiat.Res.71, 1-8, 1977
- 16) Rossi,H.H. Radiation Quality and Quality Factors, this Symposium
- 17) Brown, J.M. Radiat. Res. 71, 34-50, 1977

- 18) Borek, C., Hall, E.J. Nature (Lond.) 244, 450-453, 1973
- 19) Barendsen,G.W. 5<sup>th</sup> Symp.on Microdosimetry (J.Booz et al., Eds.) Euratom, 5452 d-e-f, 557-569, Luxembourg, 1976
- 20) Marshall, J.H., Groer, P.G. Radiat. Res. 71, 149-192, 1977
- 21) Vogel, H.H., Zaldivar, R. Experimental Mammary Neoplasms: A Comparison of Effectiveness between Neutrons, X-and Gamma-Radiation, USAEC Symp. Oak Ridge, Tenn. CONF-691106, 207-229, 1969
- 22) Shellabarger, C.J., Brown, R.D., Rao, A.R., Shanley, J.P., Bond, V.P., Kellerer, A.M., Rossi, H.H., Goodman, L.J., Mills, R.E. In: Biological effects of neutron irradiation, IAEA-SM-179/26 Vienna, 391-401, 1974
- 23) Broerse, J.J., Knaan, S., van Bekkum, D.W. In: Late Biological Effects of Ionizing radiations, IAEA, Vienna, in print
- 24) Kellerer, A.M., Brenot, J. Radiat.Res. 56, 28-39, 1973
- 25) Kellerer, A.M. In: Annual Report on Research Project, Rad.Res.Lab. Columbia University, 214-222, USAEC - C00-3243-2, 1973
- 26) Yuhas, J.M. Radiat. Res. 60, 321-332, 1974
- 27) Lam,Y.-M.P., Rossi,H.H. In: Annual Report on Research Project, Rad.Res. Lab.Columbia University, 102-110, USAEC - C00-3243-6, 1977
- 28) Abadie, J., Guigou, J. Gradient Réduit Généralisé, Electricité de France, Internal Report HI 069/02 April 1969