Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on the Structure of Surfaces

# THE STRUCTURE OF SURFACES IV

Shanghai, China

August 16 - 19, 1993

Editors

Í

Xide Xie S. Y. Tong M. A. Van Hove

Shanghai, Milwaukee, Berkeley



# CONTENTS

Introduction	v
Preface	vii
Acknowledgement	viii
Part I Techniques	1
Photoelectron Diffraction and Holography: Some New Directions C. S. Fadley	3
Imaging of Surface Structure with Energy-Dependent Photoelectron Diffraction J. G. Tobin, G. D. Waddill, H. Li and S. Y. Tong	29
Theory of Scanning Tunneling Microscope as a Probe for Local Electronic States of Surfaces M. Tsukada, S. Watanabe, T. Schimizu and K. Hirose	39
Quantum Chemical Description of Tunnelling Experiments P. Badziag and W. S. Verwoerd	64
New Vision on Surface Diffusion XD. Xiao, Y. L. Xie and Y. R. Shen	70
An Ab Initio Photon-Stimulated-Desorption Theory N. L. Liu and B. H. Choi	75
Surface Structure Effects on the Angular Anisotropy of Secondary Electrons Yield S. Valeri, A. Di Bona, M. G. Lancellotti and E. Nava	81
Phase Transitions of Surfaces and Adsorbates Studied with Local Probes R. Fink, G. Krausch and G. Schatz	87

	٩		ſ
	4		
	٠		ľ

Coverage Dependent Adsorption Structures as Retrieved by Conventional, Direct and Holographic DLEED Analysis K. Heinz	93
Anisotropic Temperature Factors in the Calculation of Low-Energy Electron Diffraction Intensities W. Moritz and H. Over	103
A New Approach to the Automatic Solid Structure Determination S. Nagano	113
Relaxations and Reconstructions Derived from SEXAFS Spectra of Adsorbates on Single Crystal Surfaces N. Binsted and D. Norman	118
Theoretical Analysis of Carbon K-Edge NEXAFS Spectra of Graphitelike Film and Related Material Y. Zou, X. S. Feng and J.C. Tang	124
A Fast Simulation Program for a Full Analysis of LEIS Data G. Dorenbos and D. O. Boerma	130
The Effects of Loss on Surface Electron Spectroscopy: Theoretical Study T. Fujikawa	138
Part II Clean Metals	145
Mechanisms and Energetics of Surface Atomic Processes T. T. Tsong	147
<ul> <li>Thermal Phase Behavior of Metal Surfaces: X-Ray Scattering Studies of Pt(001)</li> <li>D. L. Abernathy, D. Gibbs, G. Grübel, S. G. J. Mochrie, G. M. Watson, M. Yoon and D. M. Zehner</li> </ul>	157
Surface Energy Anisotropy and Equilibrium Crystal Shape of Metals S. Q. Wei and M. Y. Chou	165
STM Study of Vicinal Structures on Au(100) Y. Samson, S. Rousset, S. Gauthier, J. C. Girard and J. Klein	171

Thermal Studies of Bulk Copper Surface by Extended Fine Auger Structure Spectroscopy L. Lozzi, M. Passacantando, P. Picozzi, S. Santucci and M. De Crescenzi	177
<ul><li>Stress-Induced Microfacetted Reconstructions of the Pb(110)</li><li>Surface</li><li>M. S. Altman, S. Chiang, P. Statiris, T. Gustafsson and E. Bauer</li></ul>	183
Part III Metals with Adsorbates	193
Initial Stage of Diamond Film Formation on Platinum(111) Surface K. A. Feng and Z. D. Lin	195
Dynamical LEED Studies of Ethylidyne on Pt(111) and Rh(111): Comparison of Molecular Distortions and Substrate Relaxations A. Barbieri, M. A. Van Hove and G. A. Somorjai	201
Ambiguities in Adsorbate Site Assignment from Vibrational Frequencies Revealed by LEED L. D. Mapledoram, A. Wander, P. Hu and D. A. King	207
The Structures of $H_2O$ and $D_2O$ Adsorbed on $Ru(001)$ G. Held and D. Menzel	213
CO Adsorption on Ni <sub>3</sub> Ti(001) and Ti-Oxidized Ni <sub>3</sub> Ti(001) L. J. Yao, G. X. Xiong, D. Z. Wang, X. M. Wei and X. X. Guo	219
<ul> <li>The Structural and Dynamical Properties of Molecularly Adsorbed O<sub>2</sub></li> <li>on Cu(100)</li> <li>T. Yokoyama, D. Arvanitis, T. Lederer, G. Comelli, M. Tischer,</li> <li>L. Tröger and K. Baberschke</li> </ul>	225
Multiple Scattering Studies of the C-H Resonances in X-Ray Absorption Fine Structure of HCOO-Cu(110) J. C. Tang, X. S. Feng and Y. Zou	231
The Local Adsorption Structure of Acetylene on Cu(111) S. Bao, KM. Schindler, Ph. Hofmann, A. M. Bradshaw and D. P. Woodruff	237

xi

Multiple-Scattering Approach to K-Edge NEXAFS of Cyclopentadienyl on Pt(111) Surface <i>M. Yimagawa and T. Fujikawa</i>	242
A Structure Transition of Ethanol on Silver Surface Studied by Laser Spectroscopy Photoacoustic A. R. Zhu, C. W. Hu and Z. Y. Wang	248
The Geometry of CH <sub>3</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> Adsorbed on the Cu(111) Surface X. S. Zhang and Z. Du	255
Adsorption and Decomposition of Methanol on Clean and Oxygen Preadsorbed Pd(100) C. L. Su, Z. J. Qu, X. J. Ding, S. K. Shen, X. M. Wei, K. Wu, Y. M. Cao and D. Z. Wang	261
Multiple-Scattering Analysis of Propargyl Alcohol and Propargyloxy Adsorbed on Ag(110) Surface X. S. Feng, Y. Zou and J. C. Tang	267
The Effect of Ti Intermediate Layer Made by IBAD on the Cu Surface Film of E-gun Evaporation J. Yang, C. Wang, K. Tao and Y. D. Fan	273
H <sub>2</sub> Dissociative Adsorption on the Imperfect Ni(100) Surface J. J. Xie, P. Jiang and K. M. Zhang	279
Charge-Exchange Scattering of Alkali Ions/Atoms from Metal Surfaces L. M. Pan, Y. S. Wang, F. Y. Huang, D. F. Fang, J. Y. Tang and F. J. Yang	285
Time-Dependent Quantum Dynamics Treatment of Dissociation Chemisorption of H <sub>2</sub> on W(110) Surface X. Zhao and D. Guan	301
Compound Formation and Ultrathin Amorphous Layers at In/Pd-Interfaces Observed by Local and Non-Local Techniques R. Fink, T. Koch, G. Krausch, J. Marien, A. Plewnia,	305

B.-U. Runge, G. Schatz, A. Siber and P. Ziemann

Relationship between Molar Heat of Adsorption and Microscopic Properties of the Adsorbed Molecule C. H. Yang	311
Scaling in the Growth of Pb Islands on Cu(001) G. Vidali, O. Biham, H. Zeng, JS. Lin and W. Li	316
The Structure of the Domain-Wall Lattice in Pb/Cu(110) System: Surface X-Ray Diffraction Studies CH. Lee and K. S. Liang	322
Microscopic Aspects of Faceting Induced by Ultrathin Metal Films: a Comparison of Pd/W(111) and Pd/Mo(111) CZ. Dong, J. Guan, R. A. Campbell and T. E. Madey	328
Observation of a New Class of Reconstructions: An Adsorbate Stabilised Vacancy Structure for $c(2 \times 2)X$ -W(100) A. Wander, P. Hu, M. P. Bessent and D. A. King	339
Part IV Chemisorbed Semiconductors	345
Scanning Tunneling Microscopy (STM) of Si/Ge-Layers on Si(100) and Subsequent Photoluminescence (PL) of Si/Ge Quantum Wells Grown by Si-Molecular Beam Epitaxy <i>R. Butz, A. Hartmann and H. Lüth</i>	347
Azimuthal Variation of the Step Distribution on Vicinal Si(001) Surfaces J. Waßerfall and W. Ranke	353
Theoretical Analyses of Thermal Desorption Spectra of Alkali Adsorbed Si(100) Surface K. Kakitani, JL. Wang and A. Yoshimori	359
Fine Structure in the $\Delta \phi(\theta)$ Plot of the Na/Si(111)-7 × 7 System R. S. Li, K. Kojima, T. Yasue and T. Koshikawa	365
RHEED-TRAXS Study of Surface Structure and Thermal Desorption of Cu on Si(111) Surface Z. H. Zhang, S. Hasegawa and S. Ino	371

xiii

## xiv

Correlation between Atomic-Scale Structures and Macroscopic Electrical Properties of Metal-Covered Si(111) Surfaces Investigated by <i>in-situ</i> Measurements in UHV S. Hasegawa and S. Ino	377
In-Plane Structure of Si(111): As-1 × 1 Surface Studied Using Grazing-Angle X-Ray Standing Waves O. Sakata and H. Hashizume	383
<ul> <li>Annealing Study of Au/GaAs(110) Interface Using Low Energy</li> <li>Positron Beam</li> <li>H. M. Weng, J. H. Xu, S. J. Sun, R. D. Han, C. C. Ling, Y. Y. Shan,</li> <li>T. C. Lee, S. Fung and C. D. Beling</li> </ul>	389
Restructuring of the Si(111)7 $\times$ 7 Surface upon Adsorption of Ag A. Shibata and K. Takayanagi	395
Study of Atomic Depth Distribution and Growth Modes During Epitaxial Growth of Sn on Si(111)- $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ -Ag by RHEED-TRAXS (Total Reflection Angle X-Ray Spectroscopy) S. Ino and T. Yamanaka	402
Structure Analysis of Si(111)- $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ -Ag by RHEED A. Ichimiya, M. Ando, S. Kohmoto and Y. Horio	408
A Comparative Study of the Structures of Ag/Si(111) $-\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ and Ag/Ge/Si(111) $-\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ -Ag Surfaces T. Abukawa, N. Nakamura, T. Goto and S. Kono	414
<ul> <li>STM and Synchrotron Radiation Studies of the Surface Structures of Ag/Ge(111)</li> <li>M. Gothelid, M. Hammar, U. O. Karlsson, S. A. Flodstrom,</li> <li>L. Seehofer, T. Buslaps, R. L. Johnson, E. Findeisen,</li> <li>R. Feidenhans'l, M. Nielsen, V. Yu. Aristov and G. Le Lay</li> </ul>	421
LEED Analysis of Ge(111) ( $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ ) R30°-Ag and I(V) Comparisons H. Zhao, D. M. Hobday and S. P. Tear	427
Influence of Surface Termination on Visible-Light Emission of Porous Silicon: A Comprehensive Luminescence Model J. Wang, WC. Wang, JB. Zheng, ZM. Zhang, FL. Zhang, XY. Hou and X. Wang	433

An Explanation of the Temperature Variation of Atomic Structure on Si(113) Surface JA. Wu, JP. Zhang and YR. Xing	438
Adsorption Structures and Dissociation Properties of PH <sub>3</sub> on Si(100)-2 × 1 and Si(111)7 × 7 P. L. Cao, J. J. Dai and R. H. Zhou	441
The Effect of Oxidation on Surface Structure of Silicon Observed with Scanning Tunneling Microscopy Q. Cai, C. W. Hu, S. Z. Dong, Y. F. Zhang and A. R. Zhu	447
LEED I(V) Analysis of the Si(111)-Pb System and Study of the Si/Pb/Si(111) System H. Zhao, A. H. Jones and S. P. Tear	453
Theoretical Study of a Iron Monolayer on $\beta$ -SiC(100) Surfaces W. C. Lu, K. M. Zhang and X. D. Xie	460
InP(100) Surface Structural Changes Induced by Ion Sputtering (Ar <sup>+</sup> ) and Adsorbate(Sb) Deposition Probed by Photoemission EXAFS <i>P. S. Mangat, Y. Huttel, B. Gruzza, A. Porte, Z. Hurych and</i> <i>P. Soukiassian</i>	466
A Study of Adsorption of Rb and K Atoms on InP(100) Surface with DV- $X_{\alpha}$ Method P. S. Xu, S. H. Xu, J. S. Zhu, X. M. Liu, M. S. Ma, Y. H. Zhang and Z. J. Xu	471
The Study of Low Temperature Growth InSb on Tilted GaAs Substrates L. Zhang, H. Chen, N. Luo, Q. Huang, J. M. Zhou and F. H. Li	477
Structure and Composition of Sulfur Passivated GaAs Surface X. Wong, XY. Hou, Z. S. Li, W. Z. Cai and G. S. Dong	482
Assessing Surface Reconstructions by Angle-Scanned X-Ray Photoelectron Diffraction M. Seelmann-Eggebert, R. Klauser, G. P. Carey, E. C. Larkins, P. Meisen and H. J. Richter	492

xv

Growth Steps in the Interface of Short Period AlAs/GaAs Superlattices H. Chen, Y. Huang, J. M. Zhou and F. H. Li	499
Effect of Surface Condition on the Electronic and Optical Properties of Silicon Nanostructures H. X. Fu, L. Ye and X. D. Xie	504
Part V Oxides and Alloys	509
Experimental Study on the Melting Transition at Interface between Ice Crystal and Substrate	511
Y. Furukawa, T. Ishizaki, I. Ishikawa and M. Maruyama	
Commensurate Structures of CO on MgO(100) Explored by High Resolution LEED J. Suzanne, V. Panella and M. Sidoumou	517
Calculation of Commensurate Monolayer Structures: CO Adsorbed on NaCl(100) and MgO(100) C. Girardet and P. N. M. Hoang	525
A Special Case of an Overlayer Structure: the Ni-0.8% Au(110) Alloy Surface	531
G. Dorendos, D. O. Boerma, I. M. Buck and G. II. Wheattey	
The Atomic Structure of Cobalt Deposited on the Pt(111) Surface A. Atrei, U. Bardi, M. Galeotti, G. Rovida, M. Torrini and E. Zanazzi	539
Molecular Dynamics Study of Surface Melting on Ice Crystal H. Nada and Y. Furukawa	543
Effect of Surface Structure on Diffusion of Ag in High Temperature Superconductor YBa <sub>2</sub> Cu <sub>3</sub> O <sub>7-δ</sub> P. P. Gorbik, I. V. Dubrovin, V. V. Dyakin, G. N. Kashin and V. I. Makhnjuk	548
Coadsorption of Oxygen and Carbon Monoxide on Ordered Alloy Surface Pd{001}c(2 × 2)-Mn Studied by HREELS Jia, K. Wu, SH. Lu, RGF. Zhao, D. Z. Wang and SC. Wu	5:54

xvi

Study of the Adsorption and the Diffusion of Hydrogen on the Surface of TiAl Alloy by AP-FIM D. G. Ren and M. Z. Cao	560
The HREELS and ARUPS Investigation of CO Adsorption on Fe/Cu(110) Bimetallic Surface C. Y. Fan, S. N. Bao, H. Y. Li and Y. B. Xu	571
Niobium Oxide Crystallites Epitaxially Grown on Pt(111) L. Xie, D. Z. Wang, C. M. Zhong, X. X. Guo, T. Ushikubo and K. Wada	577
Multiphase Surface Morphologies of Homoepitaxial LPCVD Diamond Films Using Scanning Probe Microscopies H. G. Maguire	583
Outermost Atomic Layer on Antifluoritic Li <sub>2</sub> O(111) W. P. Ellis, R. Bastasz and I. Shindo	593
Theoretical and Experimental Study of the Surface Structure of MgO(001) J. B. Zhou, Y. Li, H. C. Lu, D. Langreth, T. Gustafsson, P. Häberle and M. R. Pederson	599
Part VI Growth and Epitaxy	611
Local Structural Study of Ultrathin Metal Films on TiO <sub>2</sub> (110) Using ARXPS and MEED JM. Pan, B. L. Maschhoff, U. Diebold and T. E. Madey	613
Modes in Homoepitaxial Growth on Cu(111), Ag(111) and Pt(111) M. Henzler, T. Schmidt and E. Z. Luo	619
Growth of Thin Au Films on Ni(001) P. Statiris and T. Gustafsson	625

Index of Contributors

xvii

### Anisotropic temperature factors in the calculation of low-energy electron diffraction intensities

W. Moritz and H. Over\*

Institut für Kristallographie und Mineralogie, Universität München, Theresienstr. 41, 80333 München, Federal Republic of Germany \*Fritz-Haber-Institut der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft, Faradayweg 4-6, 14195 Berlin, Federal Republic of Germany

#### Abstract

A theory is presented to introduce anisotropic and anharmonic vibrations into the multiple scattering formalism based on a spherical wave expansion of the probability density of the atoms. This results in atomic t - matrices with off-diagonal elements instead of the usual diagonal matrices valid for spherical symmetric vibrations. The atomic t - matrices can be inserted in the conventional multiple scattering formalism. The importance of anisotropic vibrations in a LEED I/V analysis is demonstrated with a simplified calculation where anisotropic vibrations are approximated by split positions. This concept has been applied to CO/Ru(001)-( $\sqrt{3}x\sqrt{3}$ ) where a considereable improvement of the structure refinement could be obtained with an anisotropic vibration amplitude attributed to a bending mode of the CO molecule.

#### Introduction

Thermal vibrations are of fundamental interest in understanding the physical and chemical properties of surfaces. Vibration amplitudes are expected to be considerably larger on surfaces than in the bulk and it can be safely assumed that anharmonic terms in the thermal vibrations are also larger than in the bulk. It would be highly desirable to have a reliable method which allows the quantitative determination of the anisotropy of thermal vibration amplitudes. Surface diffusion plays an important role in surface reactions and the measurement of the anharmonicity would be largely of interest as well. Unfortunately there exist only few methods to determine quantitatively the vibration amplitudes and their spatial anisotropy. LEED, like X-ray diffraction, would be well capable to study thermal

vibrations but with very few exceptions only isotropic vibrations have been considered in the multiple scattering theory until now.

In the multiple scattering theory thermal vibrations are usually treated in the Debye approximation. This approximation has been introduced into the commonly used formulation of the multiple scattering theory in a spherical wave representation. It requires spherical symmetric thermal vibrations and the Einstein model of independent vibrations. This treatment of thermal vibrations usually works well in the case that only the static structure is of interest. as it has often been found that there is little influence of the thermal parameters on the structural result. Therefore the optimisation of thermal vibrations is often not performed and the vibration amplitudes derived from the Debye model are, in turn, usually not very reliable. It is also well recognised that the Debye model and the muffin tin approximation for the crystal potential is limiting the accuracy of the LEED I/V analysis.

It arises the question whether the quantitative determination of vibration amplitudes with LEED is at all possible or not. The shortcomings of the multiple scattering theory may outweigh the influence of the approximations made with the thermal vibrations. The other main approximations made in the conventional multiple scattering calculations are the muffin tin approximation for the crystal potential and the isotropic damping model for the inelastic scattering. Only a few attempts have been tried to include non muffin tin corrections in the multiple scattering theory [1,2]. It can be expected that details of the potential are important at low energies. The low energy range, on the other hand, is certainly not appropriate to study thermal effects because of the low sensitivity at small momentum transfer. In the higher energy range, lets say above 100 - 150 eV, the errors in the crystal potential can be considered of minor influence and thermal vibrations will be dominant. We can therefore expect that the effects of anisotropic potentials and anisotropic vibrations, which are certainly strongly correlated, could be separated in a LEED I/V analysis at least when temperature dependent measurements are included.

To gain insight into the importance of thermal vibrations in the LEED analysis it is useful to compare LEED with X-ray diffraction where the kinematical approximation can be applied successfully. Here the use of isotropic vibrations usually leads to a fairly good structural result but a poor R-factor. The position parameters change in most cases only slightly after including anisotropic vibrations but the R-factor, or the GOF (goodness of fit), drops frequently considerably. It is also not uncommon that different structural models can only be distinguished after considering anisotropic vibrations. Though the quantitative determination of vibration amplitudes by X-ray diffraction is often of severely hindered by absorption effects it is usually not possible to neglect anisotropic vibrations. We certainly cannot expect that anisotropic vibrations play a minor role in the case of LEED than in the case of X-ray diffraction.

To investigate how much anisotropic vibrations would influence the LEED I/V curves we have applied the concept of split positions to the system CO/Ru(001) [3]. This system has been subject of a previous LEED structure analysis [4]. We chose this system because of the quality of the data and because large anisotropic effects can be expected here. Furthermore, the bending mode of the CO molecule has been studied by ESDIAD measurements [5-7] and we can compare the LEED results with independent measurements. The results are promising and show that the inclusion of anisotropic vibrations should lead to a significant improvement of the LEED I/V analysis.

#### Theory

The diffracted intensity can be written using the T-matrix formalism as

$$I(\underline{k},\underline{k}') = \sum_{im,i'm'} (-1)^m Y_{i-m}(\vartheta_k,\varphi_k) \cdot \sum_{\nu} T_{\nu}(\underline{k}) e^{-i(\underline{k}-\underline{k}')} \underline{d}_{\nu} \cdot Y_{i'm'}(\vartheta_{k'},\varphi_{k'})$$
(1)

where the T-matrices are given by the solution of a self-consistent equation

$$T_{\nu}(\underline{k}) = t_{\nu}(E) + t_{\nu}(E) \cdot \sum_{\mu} G_{\nu\mu}(\underline{k}, \underline{P} + \underline{d}_{\mu} - \underline{d}_{\nu}) T_{\mu}(\underline{k})$$
(2)

The definition of the matrices T for the multiple scattering process, t for the single scattering process and the electron propagator functions  $G(\underline{k},\underline{P})$  can be found in the book by Pendry [8].  $\underline{k}$  and  $\underline{k}'$  are the wave vectors of the incoming and outgoing wave and  $Y_{lm}$  are the spherical harmonics. Introducing thermal vibrations the diffracted intensity is given by

$$I(\underline{k},\underline{k}') = \sum_{lm,lm'} (-1)^m Y_{l-m}(\vartheta_k,\varphi_k) \cdot \langle \sum_{\nu} T_{\nu}(\underline{k},\underline{d}_{\nu} + \Delta \underline{d}_{\nu}) e^{-i(\underline{k}-\underline{k}')(\underline{d}_{\nu} + \Delta \underline{d}_{\nu})} \rangle_T \cdot Y_{l'm'}(\vartheta_{k'},\varphi_{k'})$$
(3)

where the average indicated by  $\langle \rangle_T$  is taken over the thermal distribution of displacements  $\Delta d_{v}$ . The individual T-matrices at each moment with displacements  $\Delta r_v$  are given by

$$T_{\nu}(\underline{k}, \Delta \underline{d}_{\nu}) = t_{\nu}(E) + t_{\nu}(E) \cdot \sum_{\mu} G_{\nu\mu}(\underline{k}, \underline{d}_{\mu} - \underline{d}_{\nu} - \Delta \underline{d}_{\nu^{+}} \Delta \underline{d}_{\mu}) \cdot T_{\mu}(\underline{k}, \Delta \underline{d}_{\mu})$$
(4)

To solve this equation the thermal average has to be introduced. We define a temperature dependent T matrix which is a function of the distribution of the displacements

$$T_{\nu}(\underline{k},T) = \langle T_{\nu}(\underline{k},\underline{d}_{\nu} + \Delta \underline{d}_{\nu})e^{-i(\underline{k} - \underline{k}')\Delta \underline{d}_{\nu}} \rangle_{T}$$
(5)

and likewise for the single scattering amplitude

$$t_{\star}(E,T) = \langle t(E) \cdot e^{i(\Delta \underline{k} \cdot \Delta \underline{d})} \rangle_{T}$$
(6)

Correlations between atomic displacements as well as correlations in the multiple scattering series are neglected here. It has been shown first by Duke and Laramore [9], Holland [10] and by Pendry [8] that in the harmonic approximation and assuming isotropic vibrations equ. 4 can be simplified to

$$T_{\nu}(\underline{k},T) = t_{\nu}(E,T) + t_{\nu}(E,T) \cdot \sum_{\mu} G_{\nu\mu}(\underline{k},\underline{d}_{\mu} - \underline{d}_{\nu}) \cdot T_{\mu}(\underline{k},T)$$
(7)

where  $t_{\nu}(E,T)$  can be put in a diagonal form defining complex, temperature dependent phase shifts. To include anharmonic and anisotropic vibrations one has to go back to eqs. 4 and 5 and to introduce the appropriate averages. This is best done introducing a probability density for the atomic displacements. It means that the electron is scattered by a spherical symmetric potential which is displaced. It is not necessary nor convenient to describe anisotropic vibrations by non-muffin-tin corrections to the atomic potential which have been introduced into the multiple scattering theory by Nagano and Tong [1]. The average over atomic displacements is not restricted to harmonic vibrations and allows to include anharmonic terms as well. We define a probability p(r) of finding an atom at position r by

$$p(r) = \sum_{n,m,l} R_n(r) \cdot c_{nlm} \cdot Y_{lm}(\vartheta_r, \varphi_r)$$
(8)

where  $c_{nlm}$  are appropriate anisotropy factors which can be determined from the experimental data in a fit procedure. For  $R_n(r)$  usually a Gaussian distribution can be taken and only one value of n is required. However, in the general case a combination of

106

several distribution functions becomes necessary. The number of free parameters is limited as in most cases only quadrupole or octupole terms need to be considered which limits l to 2 or 3. Further reduction of the number of free parameters is obtained by symmetry relations. This approach differs from an earlier attempt to include anisotropic vibrations in the multiple scattering formalism by Ulehla and Davis [11] where the anisotropy between parallel and normal components was treated in rectangular coordinates and led to large computational effort. The temperature dependent atomic scattering factor for single scattering is now given by

$$t(T,\underline{k},\underline{k}') = t(0,\underline{k},\underline{k}') \cdot \int p(\Delta \underline{r}) \cdot e^{i(\underline{k}-\underline{k}')\Delta \underline{r}} d\Delta \underline{r}$$
(9)

The atomic scattering factor at T=0 can be written as a diagonal matrix

$$t(0,k,k') = \frac{-8\pi^2}{k} \sum_{lm,l'm'} e^{i\eta_l} \cdot \sin(\eta_l) \cdot \delta_{ll'} \cdot \delta_{mm'} \cdot Y_{lm}(\vartheta_k,\varphi_k) \cdot Y_{l'-m'}(\vartheta_{k'},\varphi_{k'}) \cdot (-1)^{m'}$$
(10)

and for the phase factors a spherical wave expansion can be used.

$$e^{i(\underline{k}\cdot\underline{r})} = \sum_{lm} 4\pi \cdot i^{l} \cdot j_{l}(kr) \cdot Y_{lm}(\vartheta_{r},\varphi_{r}) \cdot Y_{l-m}(\vartheta_{k},\varphi_{k}) \cdot (-1)^{m}$$
(11)

Utilising the properties of the spherical harmonics we obtain

$$\int p(\Delta \underline{r}) \cdot e^{i\Delta \underline{k} \cdot \Delta \underline{r}} \cdot dr = \sum_{L,M} \sum_{L',M'} W_{LM,L'M'} \cdot Y_{LM}(\mathcal{G}_k, \varphi_k) \cdot Y_{L'-M'}(\mathcal{G}_{k'}, \varphi_{k'}) \cdot (-1)^{M'}$$
(12)

with

$$W_{LM,L'M'} = 16\pi^{2} \cdot \sum_{n,lm} B_{LM,L'M',lm'} \cdot c_{n,lm}$$

$$\cdot \int R_{n}(\Delta r)(i)^{L+L'} \cdot j_{L}(k \cdot \Delta r) \cdot j_{L'}(k' \cdot \Delta r) \cdot (\Delta r)^{2} \cdot d\Delta r$$
(13)

Defining temperature dependent expansion coefficients of the scattering factors and following the derivation given by Pendry [8]

$$l(T,\underline{k},\underline{k}') = 8\pi^2 \sum_{LM} \sum_{L'M'} t_{LM,L'M'}(T) \cdot Y_{L,M}(\vartheta_k,\varphi_k) \cdot Y_{L',-M'}(\vartheta_{k'},\varphi_{k'}) \cdot (-1)^{M'}$$
(14)

we obtain

$$t_{\mathcal{LM},\mathcal{L}'\mathcal{M}'}(T) = W_{\mathcal{LM},\mathcal{L}'\mathcal{M}} \cdot D_{\mathcal{LM},\mathcal{L}'\mathcal{M}}$$
(15)

where

$$D_{LM,L'M'} = -\frac{2\pi}{k} \sum_{lm} \sum_{l'm'} \sum_{l^*m^*} e^{i\eta_l} \sin \eta_l \cdot B_{LM,l-m,l'm'} \cdot B_{L'M',l,m,l^*-m^*}$$
(16)

are temperature independent factors and

$$B_{LM, l'm', lm} = \int Y_{LM}(\Omega) \cdot Y_{l'-m'}(\Omega) \cdot Y_{lm}(\Omega) \cdot d\Omega$$
(17).

For spherical symmetric vibrations all coefficients  $c_{n,lm}$  vanish unless l=0 leading to a diagonal form of the matrices  $t_{LML'M'}$  as it should be. In the case of anisotropic vibrations the matrices contain off-diagonal elements. Their calculation is not time consuming. The integral in equ. 13 can be solved numerically where the parameters  $c_{nlm}$  and the parameters of the radial distribution function are subject of an optimisation procedure.

#### 'Split'-positions

A complete description of the theory outlined above and further applications will be given in a forthcoming publication. Here we describe only an approximation where the average in equ. 5 is replaced by a sum over discrete displacements  $\Delta r$ . This serves as a check of the importance of anisotropic thermal vibrations in the LEED I/V analysis. Partially occupied positions are usually applied in X-ray structure analysis in cases where certain atoms occupy discrete positions in a statistical distribution and are conventionally called split positions. In the kinematic theory split positions cannot be distinguished from anisotropic vibrations as long as the displacements are much smaller than an atomic diameter and the momentum transfer is small. At high momentum transfer the damping of the reflection intensities by the temperature factor are not correctly described by split positions. It means that the average

$$\langle e^{i\Delta \underline{k}\cdot\Delta \underline{r}}\rangle_{T} \approx e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle (\Delta \underline{k}\cdot\Delta \underline{r})^{2}\rangle_{T}}$$
(18)

108

is replaced by a discrete sum with a small number of positions  $r_{\alpha}$ 

$$\langle e^{i\Delta\underline{k}\cdot\Delta\underline{r}}\rangle_{\tau} = \sum_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} \cdot e^{i\Delta\underline{k}\cdot\Delta\underline{r}\alpha}$$
(19)

which is justified as long as the exponential is small. Split positions can be easily introduced into the conventional multiple scattering calculation. Care has to be taken to exclude multiple scattering paths between split positions which cannot be occupied simultaneously. Each position corresponds to an independent atom in a composite layer and the whole calculation becomes fairly lengthy such that is it not possible to introduce a realistic probability density for the displacements. The advantage is that full use can be made of the symmetry of the average structure.

An alternative approach is to neglect the average in the propagator matrices in equ. 4 and to solve equ. 2 instead for a displaced atom and to average the diffracted amplitudes. It has the advantage that a distribution density can be introduced and the amplitudes A(k,k') can be calculated by TLEED as proposed by Pendry and Heinz [12]. The disadvantage here is that the symmetry is broken and that the intra-layer multiple scattering is calculated for a rigid lattice. The diffracted intensity is then given by

$$I(k,k') = \left| \int p(\Delta r) \cdot A(k,k',\Delta r) d\Delta r \right|^2$$
<sup>(20)</sup>

The integral can be replaced by a sum over a sufficient number of discrete positions. The method will work well in cases where intra-layer multiple scattering is small. In the present case of CO/Ru(001)-( $\sqrt{3}x\sqrt{3}$ ) it leads to nearly identical results with the split positions which is expected because of the wide spacing between the CO molecules and the normal incidence. In the kinematic limit both methods are identical.

# Application to CO/Ru(001)-( $\sqrt{3x}\sqrt{3}$ )

We apply the concept of split positions to study the thermal vibrations of the CO molecule on Ru(001). Before applying split positions to the O and C atoms the structure analysis has been redone to ensure that all static parameters were at optimum values because in the previous analysis [4] the relaxation in the Ru-layer could not be considered. The analysis included lateral and vertical relaxation in the 2 uppermost substrate layers and resulted in a small buckling in the top Ru layer.



Fig. 1 Structure model of the  $CO(Ru(001)-(\sqrt{3x}\sqrt{3}))$  phase

The Ru atoms co-ordinated to the C atom are displaced outward by about 0.07 Å. No lateral displacements could be detected within the error limits. The R-factors decreased significantly, Rp dropped from 0.51 to 0.34 and  $R_{DE}$ , which corresponds to  $R_1$  dropped from 0.44 to 0.32. Fig. 1 shows the structure model and the resulting parameters.

split positions of oxygen



Fig. 2. Illustration of the split positions of the O atom. 6 partially occupied positions and a rotation of the O positions by 30° have been tried as well leading to the same result.

We then introduced split positions for both the O and the C atom. The split positions for O are shown in fig. 2. We tried three split positions as well as 6. Both giving nearly identical results.



Fig. 3. Average R-factor  $R_P$  and  $R_{DE}$  as a function of the O displacement. The dashed line corresponds to the calculation according to equ. 20.

Fig. 3 shows the R-factors as a function of the O split position. There is a clear minimum visible for about 0.3 to 0.4 Å shift of the oxygen atom. A lateral shift of the C atom has been tried as well and resulted in a flat minimum at about 0.04 Å. In these calculations a Debye temperature of 400 K has been applied to both O and C which takes account of an isotropic contribution and corresponds to a mean square displacement of 0.04 Å.

The average tilt amounts to about  $15^{\circ}$  if we identify the displacement of 0.3 Å with a mean square displacement. We can compare this result with previous ESDIAD studies on this system by Riedl and Menzel [5,6]. They found a mean square deviation of about 0.1 Å corresponding to about 5° for the average tilt angle. A considerable larger value found earlier by Madey [7] also with ESDIAD measurements can probably be explained by inappropriate corrections to the ion trajectories due to the static electric field [6]. There remains therefore a factor of 3 between the ESDIAD measurements and the present LEED analysis. This fact should not be overestimated. The average displacement of 0.3 - 0.4 Å for O is certainly above the limit of the applicability of split positions because the exponential in equ. 19 is not small for higher energies and high index beams. The tendency of the split positions is then to overestimate the displacement.

analysis are therefore large. On the other hand, the interpretation of the ESDIAD measurements is not quite straight forward either and there is an influence of static displacements and defects which has been not been considered in the LEED analysis. Further investigations with temperature dependent measurements and an analysis of the probability density function are in progress.

In summary, we have shown that there is a clear sensitivity of the LEED I/V curves to anisotropic vibrations and the magnitude of amplitude obtained with the simple aproximation with split positions seems to be reasonable though still not quantitatively comparable with other measurements. We can expect that a more realistic calculation of anisotropic thermal vibrations as outlined above offers a convenient and experimentally relative simple way to determine thermal vibrations at surfaces.

#### Acknowledgement

We thank Dr. H. Bludau, M. Gierer and T. Hertel for stimulating discussions and Prof. Dr. D. Menzel for providing the LEED data and useful discussions concerning the comparison with ESDIAD measurements.

#### References

- [1] S. Nagano and S.Y. Tong, Phys. Rev. B 32, 6562 (1985)
- [2] Y. Joly, Phys. Rev. :Letters 68, 950 (1992)
- [3] H. Over, W. Moritz, and G. Ertl, Phys. Rev. Letters, 70, 315 (1993)
- [4] G. Michalk, W. Moritz, H. Pfnür, and D. Menzel, Surf. Sci. 129, 92 (1983)
- [5] W. Riedl and D. Menzel, Surf. Sci. 163, 39 (1985)
- [6] W. Riedl and D. Menzel, Surf. Sci. 207, 494 (1989)
- [7] T.E. Madey, Surf. Sci. 79, 575 (1979)
- [8] J.B. Pendry, Low energy electron diffraction, Academic Press 1974
- [9] C.B. Duke and G.E. Laramore, Phys. Rev. B 2, 4765 (1970)
- [10] B.W. Holland, Surf. Sci. 28, 258 (1971)
- [11] M. Ulehla and H.L.Davis, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. 15, 642 (1978)
- [12] J.B. Pendry and K. Heinz, Surf. Sci. 230, 137 (1990)