# ADDITION REACTIONS OF THE TRICHLOROCYCLOPROPENYLIUM ION WITH ALKENES: a novel access to cyclopropene and cyclopropenone derivatives ${ }^{1}$ 

Klaus Musigmann and Herbert Mayr*<br>Institut für Chemie, Medizinische Universität zu Lübeck, Ratzeburger Nllee 160, D-2400 Lübeck 1, Federal Republic of Germany<br>Armin de Meijere*<br>Fachbereich Chemie der Universität Hamburg, Martin-Luther-King-Platz 6, D-2000 Hamburg 13, Federal Republic of Germany

Summary: Trichlorocyclopropenylium tetrachloroaluminate $1^{+\boldsymbol{+}} \mathrm{AlCl}_{4}^{-}$reacts with alkenes in nitromethane at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give the $1: 1$ addition products $2^{+}-\mathrm{AlCl} 1^{-}$, which can be converted into the 2 -chlorocyclopropenones 5 or the $\alpha, \beta$-acetylenic carboxylates 6 .

Tetrachlorocyclopropene 1, which is readily accessible from sodium trichloroacetate and trichloroethylene [2], reacts with typical alkenes under thermal conditions to give 1 -chloro-1-(trichlorovinyl)-cyclopropane derivatives [3]. These products are formed via initial ring cleavage of $\mathbf{1}$ and successive [2+1] cycloaddition of the intermediate perchlorovinylcarbene [3]. Electrophilic additions of 1 only happen with bicyclopropylidene [4], telraethoxyethylene, and alkenes of comparable high nucleophilicity [5]. In dichloromethane, the trichlorocyclopropenylium salts $1^{+}-\mathrm{AlCl}_{4}^{-}$or $1^{+}-\mathrm{SbCl}_{6}^{-}$, which are obtainable from 1 [6], give only $2: 1$ or $3: 1$ products with trichloroethylene, isobutene, ?-hutene, or tetramethylethylene [5-8]. We now report on the selective formation of $1: 1$ adducts from $\mathbf{1}^{+}$ and alkenes.
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The addition of $1 \rightleftharpoons 1^{+}$to 4 initially yields the $1: 1$ addition products $2 \rightleftharpoons 2^{\prime}$. Now the products $2 \rightleftharpoons 2^{+}$and the reactants $1 \rightleftharpoons 1^{+}$will compete for the alkene 4 , and the yield of 1:1 producto will depend on the relative reactivity of thesc two couplcs. From a goneral analysis of such systems [9] one can derive that in this case the formation of the 1:1 products should be favoured, if an at least equivalent amount of a completely ionising Lewis acid is employed. Under such conditions, the carbenium ion $1^{+\boldsymbol{+}}$ can be expected to be more reactive than $2^{+}$which is stabilized by an alkyl group.

However, only the $2: 1$ product $3 e^{+}$was formed, when $0.5-1$ equivalents of $4 e$ were added to a suspension of $1^{+-A l C 1-}$ in dichloromethane. Like the results of Weiss [7], this observation can be explained by the low solubility of $\left.1^{+}-\mathrm{AlCl}\right]_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, which reduces the chance of $1^{+}$to react with 4 . Consequently, the better soluble $2 e^{+}$reacts preferentially so that $3 \mathrm{e}^{+}$is formed.

Under homogeneous conditions, the expected results are obtained, however. When 0.5 equivalents of the alkenes $4 a-g$ are added dropwise to solutions of $\mathbf{1}^{+\boldsymbol{A}} \mathrm{AlCl}_{\mathbf{4}}$ in nitromethane at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the salts $2^{+}-\mathrm{AlCl}_{4}^{-}$are formed exclusively. Addition of solid LiCl at ambient temperature yields the trichlorocyclopropenes $2 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{g}$, which can be extracted from the nitromethane solution with hexane (Tab. 1).

Table 1. 1,3,3-Trichlorocyclopropenes $2 \mathbf{a - g}$ via $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ Initiated Addition of Tetrachlorocyclopropene 1 towards the Alkenes $4 \mathbf{a - g}$.

| Alkene | Product <br> (\% Yield) | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{4}$ | IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMP ( $\delta$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | C-1 | C-2 | c-3 |
| I 4a | 2a(41) | H | H | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1800 | 126.35 | 129.14 | 60.88 |
| $=10$ | 2b (51) | H | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1820 | 126.46 | 129.43 | 65.14 |
| $\curvearrowright_{4 c}$ | 2c (59) | H | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | 1816 | 126.41 | 129.48 | 61.15 |
|  | 2d (60) | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1805 | 125.41 | 132.94 | 61.16 |
|  | 2e (56) | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1802 | 124.15 | 135.89 | 61.33 |
|  | 2f(28) | H | H | - ${ }^{\text {C }}$ |  | 1802 |  |  |  |
| $\Longrightarrow 4 g$ | 2g (53) | H | -( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1809 | 125.14 | 132.25 | 61.12 |

Compound 2 e was obtained in similar yield from 1 and 4 e in nitromethane, when $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ was replaced by equimolar amounts of $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}, \mathrm{BCl}_{3}$, or $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$. Its yield decreased, however, when only catalytic amounts of these Lewis acids or when catalytic or equimolar amounts of the weak Lewis acid $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ were employed.

Stirring of the cyclopropenes 2 with aqueous bicarbonate solution gave the cyclopropenones 5, which are characterized by IR absorptions at $1840-1862$ and $1630-1635 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR absorptions at $\delta 165-168$ (s) and $137-151$ (2 s) [10].

On the other hand, ring opening between $C^{2}$ and $C^{3}$ and successive elimination of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$takes place when the trichlorocyclopropenes 2 are treated with a suspension of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ in methanol. The acetylene carboxylic esters 6 thus formed show characteristic infrared bands at 2220-2235 and $1710-1720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ [11].


The formal insertion of an alkene molecule into a vinylic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond of tetrachlorocyclopropene, which can easily be achieved by the procedure described in this letter, opens new perspectives for the use of 1 as a $C_{3}$ building block [12]. Scope and limitations of this reaction are currently investigated.
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