ADDITION AND CYCLOADDITION REACTIONS OF ALIENYL CATIONS WITH VARIOUS CYCLOALKA-1,3-DIENES
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Abstract: Allenyl cations $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$, generated in situ from propargyl chlorides and zinc chloride give monocyclic adducts or [3+4] and [2+4] cycloaddition products with various cycloalka-1,3-dienes. The mode of addition depends on $R$ and the ring size of the 1,3 -dienes.

Recently, we reported the formation of [3.2.1] and [2.2.1] bicyclic compounds via [3+4] and $[2+4]$ cycloaddition reactions of allenyl cations with cyclopentadiene (eq 1). 1,2


We have now investigated the corresponding addition reactions of allenyl cations to higher cyclic 1,3-dienes. As described previously, ${ }^{2}$ the reactions were carried out by adding approximately equimolar mixtures of dienes and propargyl chlorides 1 to solutions of zinc chloride ether in dichloromethane at low temperatures.

As shown in Table 1, [3+4]cycloaddition reactions yielding vinyl chlorides $\underline{\underline{4}}$, can only be observed when allenyl cations $\underset{\equiv}{1}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{alkyl})$ are combined with cyclopentadiene. ${ }^{2}$ Cyclohexadiene and cycloheptadiene afford $[2+4]$ cycloaddition products 3 exclusively; for $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, 1: 1$ mixtures of (E)- and (Z)-isomers are obtained, while for $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}$ only (E)-vinyl chlorides $\underline{\underline{3}}$ can be detected. Cyclooctadiene reacts more slowly than the other dienes yielding 3-alkynyl-8-chlono-cyclooctenes $\underline{\underline{2 d}}$ (mixture of isomers). Treatment of allyl chlorides $\underline{\underline{I}}$ d with zinc chloride at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ does not yield bicyclic compounds but results in $\mathrm{HCl}-\mathrm{elimination}$ affording 5-alkynyl-1,3-cyclooctadienes.

Norbormenes $\underset{\underline{D}}{ } \underline{b}-\mathrm{Ph}$ and $3 \underline{c}-\mathrm{Ph}$ are identified by spectral comparison with the previously assigned
 at $\delta 2.10-2.23$, in accord with the structural element $=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$; for the $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ isomers

tural evidence cones from catalytic ( $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ) hydrogenation of $3 \underline{\underline{b}}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, yielding $2-(\alpha$-chloro-ethylidene)-3,3-dimethyl-bicyclo [2.2.2] octane, which affords 3,3-dimethylbicyclo [2.2.2] octan-2-one ${ }^{3}$ on ozonolysis.
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| $n=1$ | $n=2$ | $n=3$ | $n=4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 a-8 a$ | $2 b-8 b$ | $2 c-8 c$ | $2 d-8 d$ |

 different addition products obtained from various dienes, we estimated the relative energies of carbenium ions $\underline{\underline{6}}-\underline{\underline{8}}$ (Table 2). Force field calculations ${ }^{4}$ provide heats of formation of hydrocarbons $\underline{\underline{9}}-\underline{1} \underline{1}$, which are assumed to reflect the relative energies of $\underline{\underline{2}}-\underline{\underline{4}}$, respectively. Solvolysis rates of model compounds are used to estimate the energy difference between $\underline{\underline{2}}-\underline{\underline{4}}$ and the corresponding carbenium ions $\underline{\underline{6}-\underline{8}}$ (Table 2, Fig. 1).

Table 1: Products of $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ Catalysed Reactions of Propargyl Chlorides 1 with Cycloalka-1,3dienes ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| n | R | Conditions ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Product ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Yield ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{mp} \cdot\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{bp} \cdot\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, Torr $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $3 \mathrm{~h},-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $4 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $36 \%$ | 37/0.05 |
| 1 | Ph | 0.5h, $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | (E) $-\underline{3} \underline{\underline{a}}$ - $-\mathrm{Ph}_{1}$ | 65\% | 79-80 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $4 \mathrm{~h},-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 22\% | 65-70/0.03 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| 2 | Ph | 0.5h, $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | (E) $-\underline{3} \underline{D}-\mathrm{Ph}$ | 54\% | 67-68.5 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $7 \mathrm{~h},-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | (E) , (Z) $-3 \underline{\underline{3} \underline{c}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}}$ | 22\% | 80-85/0.05 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| 3 | Ph | $2 \mathrm{~h},-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | (E) $-3 \underline{\underline{3}} \mathbf{-}$-Ph | 50\% | 43-44 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $f$ | $2 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 10\% | 90-95/0.1 |
| 4 | Ph | $14 \mathrm{~d},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\underline{\underline{2 d}} \mathbf{=}-\mathrm{Ph}$ | 29\% ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ | $h$ |

a Reaction products confimed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}, \mathrm{TR}, \mathrm{MS}$ and CH analyses; ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Catalyst concentration see ref. 2; ${ }^{c}$ Lacking material is polymeric; ${ }^{d}$ Isolated yield of pure material; ${ }^{e}$ Bath tempenature; ${ }^{f} 12 \mathrm{~d}-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, warmed up to $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ within $12 \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{~g}^{\mathrm{g}}$ In one experiment $1 \%$ of an impure
product, probably ${ }^{3}=\mathbf{i d}-\mathrm{Ph}$ was isolated in addition; ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ Oil, purified by colum chromatography. As discussed previously, ${ }^{1,2}$ propargyl halides 1 dissociate in the presence of $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ giving allenyl cations $\underline{\underline{5}}$. Attack of 1,3 -dienes at the $s p^{2}$ carbon of $\underline{\underline{5}}$ affords cycloalkenyl cations $\underline{\underline{6}}$, which either combine with chloride ions to give $\underline{\underline{2}}$ or undergo $\pi$-cyclisation yielding $\underline{\underline{7}}$ and旦.

 relative to $\underset{\underline{Z}}{\underline{2}}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, even though the vinyl cation fragnent in $\underline{\underline{8}}$ cannot achieve the ideal linear geometry. ${ }^{5}$ A phenyl group, however, stabilises $?$ so effectively that the higher strain in the [2.2.1]bicyclic system is overcompensated, and $7 \underline{\underline{a}}-\mathrm{Ph}$ is more stable than 8ae-Ph: allenyl cations with $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}$ and $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ undergo different types of cycloaddition with cyclopentadiene (Fig. 1a). ${ }^{2}$
 (Table 2). Therefore, carbenium ions $\underset{\underline{D}}{\underline{D}} \subseteq$, with the linear vinyl cation fragment are more stable than the endocyclic vinyl cations $8 \underline{\underline{D}}, \underline{\varrho},{ }^{6}$ independent of the nature of R: both cyclohexadiene and cycloheptadiene undergo [2+4] cycloadditions exclusively (Fig. 1b).

If allyl halides $\underline{\underline{2} a-c}$ are formed as intermediates, they will redissociate and successively yield vinyl cations $\underline{\underline{7}}$ and $\underline{\underline{8}}$, which are irreversibly trapped by chloride ions. ${ }^{7}$ According to Table 2, 2d dissociates very slowly ( $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ ); a high activation barrier for the rearrangement
 cyclooctadiene.


Fig. 1: Schematic energy diagrams for the Lewis acid catalysed additions of propargyl chlorides $\underline{\underline{I}}$ to cyclopentadiene (a) and cycloheptadiene (b).

Table 2: Computation of Relative Energies ( $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) of Carbenium Ions $\underline{\underline{6}}_{\underline{8^{a}}}$
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|  |  | ${ }_{(\underline{\underline{g}})^{\Delta H_{f}^{\circ}} \mathrm{b}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger} \\ \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~d} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rel.E } \\ & \text { (互) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{O}} \\ & \left.(1 \mathrm{O})^{\mathrm{b}}\right)^{\mathrm{b}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger} \\ \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{rel} . \mathrm{E} \\ & \left(\underline{\left.\underline{\mathrm{~T}}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)}\right. \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta G^{\ddagger} \\ c, f \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{rel} . \mathrm{E} \\ & (\underline{\underline{(7}-\mathrm{Ph})} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{O}} \\ (\underline{\underline{D}})^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta G^{\ddagger} \\ c \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { rel.E } \\ (\underline{\text { (8) }} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | 1 | 60.7 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 41.5 | 41.1 | 5.8 | 35.8 | 0.5 | 32.5 | 47.4 | 3.1 |
| b | 2 | 51.8 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 28.3 | 41.1 | -2.4 | 35.8 | -7.7 | 29.3 | $42.3{ }^{\text {h }}$ | -0.2 |
| ¢ | 3 | 51.7 | 19.7 | 0.0 | 21.8 | '1.1 | -8.5 | 35.8 | -13.8 | 25.4 | $12.3{ }^{\text {h }}$ | -3.7 |
| d | 4 | 48.0 | 22.6 | 0.0 | 16.9 | 41.1 | -12.6 | 35.8 | -17.9 | 24.5 | $42.3{ }^{\text {h }}$ | -3.8 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ See text for procedure; ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{D}}$ calculated with Allinger's force field MM1 (ref. 4); ${ }^{c} \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}$ corresponds to solvolysis reactions of allyl and vinyl bromides in $80 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$; a correction factor $k_{O T f} / k_{B r}=6 \cdot 10^{7}$ was applied, if solvolysis constants of triflates were used: ref. 8; ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of 3 -bromocycloalkenes: ref. $9 ;{ }^{e} \Delta G^{\ddagger}\left(100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right){ }_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COTf}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (12 $)$ : ref. 10 ; f $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}\left(120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Br})-\mathrm{Ph}$ : ref. 11; ${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ From relative solvolysis rates of 1 -cyclohexenyl triflate (ref. 5) and $1 \underline{\underline{2}}$; $^{h}$ From relative solvolysis rates of 1 -cycloheptenyl triflate (ref. 5) and 12 .

## References and Notes

(1) H. Mayr and B. Grubniller, Angew. Chem., 90, 129 (1978); Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 17, 130 (1978).
(2) H. Mayr and I. K. Halberstadt, Angew. Chem., $9 \underline{\underline{2}}$, 840 (1980); Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 릉, 814 (1980).
(3) J. B. Stothers and C. T. Tan, Can. J. Chem., $5 \underline{\underline{54}}, 917$ (1976).
(4) N. L. Allinger, Adv. Phys. Org. Chem., $13=1$ (1976); QCPE Program No. 318.
(5) W. D. Pfeiffer, C. A. Bahn, P. v. R. Schleyer, S. Bocher, C. E. Harding, K. Hummel, M. Hanack, and P. J. Stang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 9 93, 1513 (1971).
(6) See also: L. G. Kozar, R. D. Clark, and C. H. Heathcock, J. Ong. Chem., 42, 1386 (1977).
(7) $2 \underline{2}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}$ instantaneously rearranges to $4 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}$ under reaction conditions: H. Mayr, Habilitationsschrift, Erlangen, 1980.
(8) $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{OTf}} / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{Br}}$ obtained from data in C. A. Grob and G. Cseh, Helv. Chim. Acta, 47 , 194 (1964) and P. J. Stang, R. J. Hargrove, and T. E. Dueber, J. C. S. Perkin Trans. 2, 1977, 1486.
(9) P. V. R. Schleyer and W. F. Sliwinski, unpublished results.
(10) R. H. Sumnerville, C. A. Senkler, P. v. R. Schleyer, T. E. Dueber, and P. Stang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., $9 \underline{\underline{0}}, 1100$ (1974).
(11) J.-L. Derocque, F.-B. Sundermann, N. Youssif, and M. Hanack, Liebigs Ann. Chem., $19 \underline{\underline{I}} \underline{\underline{3}}, 419$.

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie. We thank Professor P. v. R. Schleyer for communicating solvolysis data of cycloalkenyl bromides to us prior to publication.
(Received in Germany 11 December 1980)

