Chemoprevention of aberrant crypt foci in the colon of rats by dietary onion

Sylviane Taché, Aélis Ladam, Denis E. Corpet

UMR Xénobiotiques, Institut National Recherche Agronomique, Ecole Nationale Vétérinaire de Toulouse, BP-87614, 23 ch. des Capelles, 31076 Toulouse, France

<u>Abstract</u>

Onion intake might reduce the risk of colorectal cancer, according to epidemiology. However, Femia showed in 2003 that diets with a 20% onion intake increase carcinogenesis in rats. We speculated this dose was too high. Prevention of initiation was thus tested in 60 rats given a 5% dried onion diet or AIN76 diet, and initiated 12 days later with azoxymethane (AOM, 1 · 20 mg/kg i.p.), 2-amino-3-methylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoline (IQ, 2 · 200 mg/ kg p.o.), or N-nitroso–N–methylurea ($2 \cdot 50 \text{ mg/g p.o.}$). Prevention of promotion was tested in 38 rats given AOM, then randomised to: AIN76 diet; 5% onion diet; phytochemicals diet (supplemented with propyl-disulfide, quercetine-glycosides and oligofructose); 1% pluronic F68 diet (a potent chemopreventive PEG-like block-polymer, used as a positive control). Aberrant crypt foci (ACF) were scored 30 days (initiation) or 100 days (promotion) after carcinogen injection. The onion diet given during initiation reduced the number of AOM induced ACF (60 versus 86, p = 0.03), and the size of IQ-induced ACF (1.33 versus 1.97, p = 0.02). Given post-initiation, the onion diet reduced the number of ACF (34 versus 59, p = 0.008) and of large ACF (6 versus 15, p = 0.02). Phytochemicals diet and pluronic diet reduced ACF growth similarly. Data show that a 5% onion diet reduced carcinogenesis during initiation and promotion stages, and suggest this chemoprevention is due to known phytochemicals.

<u>Keywords</u>

Colon cancer - Chemoprevention - Aberrant crypt foci - Onion - Phytochemicals - Rats - Quercetin - Sulfides - Allium

Introduction

Smart choices for better foods might prevent three colorectal cancers out of four.1 The main advice for a healthy diet is to eat more fruits and vegetables,2 but published intervention trials do not support this message yet.3,4 One possible reason for the trials null effect is that all plants do not provide similar protection: volunteers may have increased their intake of non-protective vegetables instead of choosing the right ones. Allium vegetables including garlic and onion are amongst the most frequently cited as protective in case-control and cohort studies: Bianchini and Vainio5 report eight case-control studies and three cohort studies dealing with garlic and/or onion and intestinal cancer: Out of those eleven studies, five studies report odds ratios < 0.4 associated ith onion or garlic intake, but only one reports an odds ratio > 1. Onions and garlic contain a wide variety of phytochemicals and microconstituents such as trace elements, vitamins, fructans, flavonoids and sulphur compounds, which may have protective effects against cancer. Several studies show the protective potential of garlic components.6–8 Onion also contains alk(en)yl polysulphides and glycosides of flavonoids, which can modulate hepatic drug-metabolising enzymes in rats, and reduce the carcinogenicity of environmental chemicals.6,9 We thus speculated that onion intake before

and during initiation with a carcinogen may decrease carcinogenesis, if the agent needs metabolic activation. In spite of these evidences that onion intake may protect against intestinal cancer, a recent study by Femia and colleagues suggests that onion intake may be detrimental.10 In their study, rats fed 20% onion-based diets, with low or high quercetin-glycoside content, surprisingly showed an increase in number, multiplicity, and 'large' azoxymethane-induced aberrant crypt foci (ACF) compared to the control group. The ACF assay in which azoxymethane (AOM)-induced rats are fed with different experimental diets, has been widely used to test potentially chemopreventive agents, and the potency of most compounds to prevent ACF is correlated with the potency to prevent colon cancer. This study was designed to test the three following hypotheses: (i) A realistic amount of onion intake may decrease ACF initiation by indirect carcinogens; (ii) Post-initiation prevention by onion can be mimicked by a mixture of its known chemopre ventive phytochemicals.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals, onions, chemicals, and diets

Ninety-eight female F344 rats were obtained from Iffa Credo (Lyon, France) at 5 weeks of age. Rats were housed by pair in stainless steel wire drop-bottom cages. The light cycle consisted of 12 h each of light and dark. The animal room was maintained at 22 ± 2 C. Powdered AIN 76 diet (UAR, Ville-moisson, France)12 and drinking water were provided ad libitum. Body weights, food and water intake were monitored weekly throughout the study. Dried onion was obtained from'Coop d'Or - STL' (Auxonne, France). This powder was prepared from long-day yellow onions (Allium cepa) Auxor strain, Auxonne type, 15% dry matter, grown in the plain of Dijon (France). In a large-scale industrial plant, onions were washed and sliced before dehydrating through a conventional hot-air oven (temperature gradient from 85 to 45 C) until the product retained less than 6% moisture. This dried onion was analysed for carbohy- drates, flavonoids and sulphur compounds by associated laboratories. Non-structural carbohydrates were predominantly fructans (65% w/w, degree of polymerisation from DP3 to DP6), associated with sucrose (21%), fructose (8%) and glucose (5%).13 This dried onion contained 12.0 g/kg flavonoids, including quercetin 3,40diglucoside 5.0 g and quercetin 40- mono-glucoside 6.1 g.9 Approximately 3 g/kg of sulphur com- pounds were found in this dried onion, the major ones being dipropyl disulphide, methyl 1-propenyl trisulphide and propyl 1-propenyl trisulphide, a pattern which is different from that of fresh onion.9 Quercetin-glycosides were purified from the above-cited dried onion by P. Goupy and M.J. Amiot (INRA, Avignon, France). Final product contained 53% quercetinmonoglyco-side, and 42% quercetin-diglycoside, plus some free quercetin and isorhamnetin. This quercetin-glycosides mixture was included in the 'phytochemicals' diet given to rats post-initiation, as explained below. Most chemicals were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St Louis, MO), notably azoxymethane (AOM), N-nitro-so-N-methylurea (MNU), and pluronic F68. 2-amino-3-methylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoline (IQ) came from ICN (Orsay, France), Raftilose, a fructo-oligosaccharide chain of 2 to 7 fructoses, from Orafti (Tirlemont, Belgium), propyl-disulfide from Aldrich (Lyon, France). Diets were based on the standard AIN 76 composition, 12 and described below with the experimental procedures. To the stable AIN 76 core provided by UAR (Villemoisson, France), specific components were added to make the experimental diets (Table 1). Diet batches were mixed every 3-4 weeks, and kept in plastic bags at 4 °C, except the 'phytochemicals' diet that was made every week and kept at -20 °C. No analysis was done to double-check the dietary constituents at the end of the study: it is thus possible that some changes occurred in spite of the dry and cold storage.

Table 1 – Composition of experimental diets (g/kg of diet)								
Study	Initia	tion	Post-initiation					
Diet	Control	Onion	Control	Onion	Phytochemicals	Pluronic		
Dried onion ^a		50		50				
Raftilose ^b					30			
Quercetin-glucosides ^c					0.63			
Propyl-disulfide ^d					0.17			
Pluronic F68 ^e						10		
Sucrose			40		10	40		
Cellulose			10		10	10		
AIN-76 diet ^f	1000	950	950	950	950	940		

a Dried onion preparation and composition described in Section 2. The 'Onion' diets thus contained approximately 27 g/kg fructans, 0.6 g/kg

quercetin-glucosides and 0.15 g/kg sulphur compounds.

b Raftilose, degree of polymerisation between 2 and 7, a fructan from Orafti (Tirlemont, Belgium).

c Quercetin-glucosides were purified from the dried onion, and contained 53% quercetin 40monoglycoside, 42% quercetin 3,40-diglucoside,

plus some free quercetin and isorhamnetin.

d Propyl disulfide from Aldrich (Lyon, France).

e Pluronic F68, a block-polymer similar to polyethylene-glycol also called poloxamer 188, from Sigma Chemical Co. (St Louis, MO).

f Standard AIN-76 diet composition is (g/kg) sucrose, 500; purified casein, 200; corn starch, 150; alpha-cellulose, 50; corn oil, 50; AIN-76 mineral

mix, 35; AIN-76 vitamin mix, 10; D-L methionine, 3; choline bitartrate, 2. This standard diet was provided by UAR (Villemoisson, France).

2.2. Experimental procedure: onion effect on initiation by three carcinogens

After seven days of acclimatisation, 60 rats were randomly allocated to two groups of 30 rats. Control group was given the standard AIN 76. Experimental group was given the same diet supplemented with 5% dried onion w/w for 14 or 17 days. Twelve days after starting the diets, all rats were given a carcinogen injection. Ten control rats and ten onion fed rats were given one AOM i.p. injection (20 mg/kg body weight in saline). Ten controls and ten onion-fed rats were given two intra-gastric gavages with the heterocyclic amine IQ (200 mg/kg on days 12 and 15, diluted in ethanol/saline 37:63). Similarly, ten controls and ten onion-fed rats were given two MNU gavages (50 mg/kg, on days 12 and 15, diluted in citric acid 1% pH 3). Experimental diets were maintained for 2 days after the last carcinogen injection. All rats were then given the control diet for 26–28 days, and sacrificed by carbon dioxide asphyxiation 30 days after the first carcinogen injection.

2.3. Experimental procedure: onion effect post-initiation by azoxymethane

After 7 days of acclimatisation, 38 rats were given one AOM i.p. injection (20 mg/kg in saline). Seven days later, the rats were randomly allocated to four groups (10, 10, 10 and 8 rats) and given diets as described in Table 1. The control group was given the standard AIN 76 diet supplemented with 4% sucrose w/w and 1% cellulose (to mimic carbohydrates from

onion). The 'onion' group was given the AIN 76 diet supplemented with 5% dried onion. The 'phytochemicals' group was given the AIN 76 diet supplemented with three major onion phyto chemicals (fructans, flavonoids and sulphides), at concentrations mimicking the onion content (see Table 1, note a). This diet thus contained (g/kg diet): raftilose (30), quercetin-glycosides (0.630) and propyl-disulfide (0.166), to which 1% sucrose and 1% cellulose were added (Table 1). A fourth group of 8 rats was given the same diet as the control group, supplemented with 1% pluronic F68, a very potent PEG-like block-polymer chemopreventive agent, also called poloxamer 188 and used here as a positive control.14 One hundred days after the AOM injection all rats were sacrificed by carbon dioxide asphyxiation (experimental dietswere thus given for 93 days).

2.4. ACF scoring

The colons were evaluated for ACF by Bird's procedure.15 They were excised and flushed with Kreb's Ringer solution, then opened longitudinally and fixed flat between coded filter papers in 10% buffered formalin. The colons were stained with methylene blue (0.05%) for 6 min, and then the mucosal side was observed at $40 \cdot$ magnification. ACF were distinguished from surrounding non-involved crypts by their slit-like opening, increased staining, size and pericryptal zone. ACF size may relate more closely to the tumour end-point than ACF number. Thus, multiplicity (no. of crypts per ACF) was recorded for each ACF in each colon. All colons were scored blindly by a single observer. Large ACF were arbitrarily defined as containing 4 crypts or more (>3 crypts/ACF), so that all rats in the post-initiation study would bear at least one large ACF. Data tables report mean number of ACF (and of large ACF) per rat, and mean number of crypts per ACF.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of post-initiation data set was done first by a one-way ANOVA. Pairwise comparisons were done using Student's t-test or Welch's t-test. P values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. Onion effect on initiation by three carcinogens

The onion diet did not change the final body weight of rats, compared with control diet. At the end of the experiment, rats given IQ gavages were significantly heavier than rats given AOM or MNU (176 ± 7 g versus 164 ± 7 g or 160 ± 7 g, respectively, p < 0.001). Following MNU gavages, rats lost some weight: they lost 10 g between day 12 and 19. Two IQ and two MNU injected rats had to be euthanised before the scheduled date because they were anaemic and prostrated (three of them given the onion diet). The effect of onion diet given before and during AOM, IQ or MNU injections, on number and multiplicity of colonic ACF is shown in Table 2. Onion-fed rats had fewer ACF and fewer large ACF than control rats, but chemoprevention was significant only against AOM-induced ACF. As already known, IQ gavages induced a small number of ACF compared to AOM and MNU: 10 rats/18 had no detectable ACF. Consequently, although onion-fed rats had 2.5 times fewer ACF than controls, the reduction was not significant. However, the IQ-initiated ACF contained significantly fewer crypts in the colon of onion-fed rats than in control rats. Onion diet did not change the number or size of MNU-induced ACF.

Table 2 – Aberrant crypt foci (ACF) in the colon of rats fed a 5% dried onion-based diet for 2 weeks before and during initiation by azoxymethane (AOM), 2-amino-3-methylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoline (IQ), or N-nitroso-N-methylurea (MNU)

Treatment: diet + carcinogen	Rats with ACF/total no. of rats	ACF/colon	Large ACF/colon (>3 crypts)	Crypts/ACF
Control diet + AOM	10/10	86 ± 28*	3.4 ± 2.3	1.71 ± 0.12
Onion diet + AOM	10/10	60 ± 20 ^b	2.5 ± 2.0	1.85 ± 0.17
Control diet + IQ	5/9	1.67 ± 1.9	0.11 ± 0.33	1.97 ± 0.42
Onion diet + IQ	3/9	0.67 ± 1.1	0.0	1.33 ± 0.58^{b}
Control diet + MNU	10/10	127 ± 60	2.3 ± 1.8	1.60 ± 0.13
Onion diet + MNU	8/8	120 ± 55	1.5 ± 1.4	1.66 ± 0.15

a Values of mean \pm SD.

b Significantly different from control diet by Student's t-test (P < 0.03).

Table 3 - Aberrant crypt foci (ACF) in the colon of rats given an azoxymethane (AOM) injection, and then fed, for 93 days, an
AIN76 diet containing 5% dried onion, a phytochemicals mix, or 1% pluronic F68

Treatment: carcinogen and diet	No. of rats	ACF/colon	Large ACF/colon (>3 crypts)	Crypts/ACF
AOM then control diet	9 *	59 ± 20 ^b	15 ± 9	2.7 ± 0.4
AOM then onion diet	10	34 ± 15°	6 ± 4^d	2.4 ± 0.3
AOM then phytoch. diet ^f	10	40 ± 19*	7 ± 5 ^d	2.6 ± 0.3
AOM then pluronic diet	8	41 ± 13*	4 ± 2^{c}	$2.2 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$

a One colon was damaged and could not be scored properly.

b Values of mean \pm SD.

c Significantly different from control diet by Student's or Welch's test (P < 0.01).

d Significantly different from control diet by Welch's test (P < 0.05).

e Marginally different from control diet by Student's t test (P = 0.052).

f Phytochemicals mix (g/kg diet): Raftilose (30), quercetin-glycosides (0.63) and propyldisulfide (0.17).

3.2. Onion effect post-initiation by azoxymethane

Control rats had a slightly smaller body weight than treated rats at the end of the experiment (controls, 180 ± 7 g versus 187 ± 11 , 191 ± 8 and 190 ± 12 g, onion, phytochemicals and pluronic treated rats, respectively; ANOVA p = 0.06). The effect of onion, phytochemicals and pluronic diets given 1 week after AOM injection, on number and multiplicity of colonic ACF is shown in Table 3. Onion-fed rats had fewer ACF (p = 0.008), fewer large ACF (p = 0.02), and slightly less crypts per ACF (p = 0.07) than control rats. A diet containing three major onion phytochemicals also reduced significantly the number of ACF (p = 0.05) and of large ACF (p = 0.04). The magnitude of the chemopreventive effect of onion and phytochemicals was similar to the protection afforded by 1% pluronic. However, in contrast with onion-fed rats, pluronicfed rats had smaller ACF than controls (p = 0.005).

4. Discussion

In this study, dietary onion significantly inhibited chemically-induced ACF formation in the colon of female F344 rats. Chemoprevention was significant against AOM- and IQ-induced ACF, when onion was given during the initiation phase of carcinogenesis. In contrast, no chemopreventive effect was observed at this stage against MNU-induced ACF. Because MNU is a direct-acting carcinogen, but AOM and IQ need to be activated before reaching DNA, we think that the onion effect was due to inhibition of activating enzymes, as suggested by Wargovich and colleagues.7 Indeed, Teyssier and colleagues showed that the dried onion that was used in this study induces CYP 1A and CYP 2B activities in the liver, while decreasing CYP 2E1 activity. Dried onion also doubles UDP-glucuronosyltransferase activity and slightly increases glutathione S-transferase activity.9 That onion-based diet could inhibit IQ-induced carcinogenesis seems particularly relevant, because fried meat that brings

heterocyclic amine to consumers is often eaten with onions. Chemoprevention was also significant against the formation and/or the growth of AOM-induced ACF, when onion was given during the post-initiation phase of carcinogenesis. Post-initiation chemoprevention cannot be explained by the inhibition of AOM-metabolising enzymes, since onion diet started 1 week after AOM injection. We speculated that post-initiation effect of onion might be mimicked by a mixture of its known chemopreventive components. Indeed, rats given dried onion and rats given a mixture of fructan, flavonoids and sulphur compounds had similar numbers of large ACF. This does not prove but strongly suggests that these compounds alone can explain the onion chemopreventive effect. However, this study does not tell us which agent is active post-initiation, or if the mixture ismandatory to decrease ACF formation. It is surprising that Femia and colleagues, using a protocol similar to this one, obtained very different results.10 In their study, onion-fed rats had twice more ACF and three times more 'large ACF' than control rats. However, they included 20% dried onion in the diet, versus 5% in this study. This very high onion dose was chosen to reach a quercetin level previously shown to prevent carcinogenesis. Indeed, guercetin levels were similar in Femia's study and this one (0.6-0.7 g/ kg). Diet containing more than 20% dried onion can be toxic, and induces haemolysis and anaemia in cattle and in dogs. To quote Femia: 'We cannot rule out the possibility that a lower onion supplementation may have had a beneficial effect'.10 Other differences between this protocol and Femia's one are low-fat diet versus high-fat diet, single AOM injection versus two AOM injections, female versus male rats, and sacrifice 30 and 100 days after AOM versus 49 days after AOM. We do not think, however, that these differences could account for the discrepancy between study results. In contrast, we think that an agent may be beneficial at a low level, but 'too much of a good thing' may be detrimental, as suspected also for beta-carotene and folic acid.16,17 How does the prevention efficacy of onion compare with other chemopreventive agents? To answer this question, we used the online Chemoprevention Database that reports all studies of agents with a significant chemopreventive effect.11,18 Its May 2006 update contained 339 ACF studies (median efficacy, 1.9). In this study, onion-based diets reduced the number of ACF by 1.4, 2.5, and 1.7 (1.4 = 86/60, 2.5 = 1.67/0.67, Table 2; 1.7 = 59/34, Table 3). These values correspond to the 88th, 195th and 300th agent in the ranked list with the most potent agent on top. In addition, the onion effect here was similar to the effect of a sub-optimal dose of pluronic F68 (1% instead of 5%). Onion thus appears to possess average chemoprevention potency. In addition, would it be possible for a human consumer to eat as much onion as was given to these rats? Rats weighing 185 g were eating 0.5 g/day of dried onion. Extrapolation of this intake on metabolic weight basis suggests that a 60 kg human volunteer should eat 30 g of dried onion per day. This is clearly a high intake, but a possible one. In conclusion, this study shows that a 5% onion-based diet inhibited the chemical initiation of preneoplastic lesions in the colon of rats, and reduced their post-initiation growth. Provided the daily amount is not too high, onion may thus be a useful component of a cancer-preventive diet.

Conflict of interest statement

None declared.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Re'my Kahane (Coop d'Or - STL, Auxonne, France) for giving dried onion, and Pascale Goupy (INRA, Avignon, France), for analysing onion flavonoids and giving us purified quercetine-glucosides. This work was supported by a grant of the French Ministere de la Recherche (no. 98 P0470).

<u>REFERENCES</u>

1. Cummings JH, Bingham SA. Fortnightly review - diet and the prevention of cancer. British Medical Journal 1998;317:1636–40.

2. WCRF and AICR. Food, nutrition and the prevention of cancer: a global perspective. WCRF and American Institute for Cancer Research, Washington DC 1997, 1-670.

3. Schatzkin A, Lanza E, Corle D, et al. Lack of effect of a low-fat, high-fiber diet on the recurrence of colorectal adenomas. New England Journal of Medicine 2000;342:1149–55

4. Beresford SA, Johnson KC, Ritenbaugh C, et al. Low-fat dietary pattern and risk of colorectal cancer: the Women's Health Initiative Randomized Controlled Dietary Modification Trial. Jama 2006;295:643–54.

5. Bianchini F, Vainio H. Allium vegetables and organosulfur compounds: do they help prevent cancer? Environmental Health Perspectives 2001;109:893–902.

6. Fukushima S, Takada N, Hori T, Wanibuchi H. Cancer prevention by organosulfur compounds from garlic and onion. Journal of Cellular Biochemistry 1997:100–5.

7. Wargovich MJ, Uda N, Woods C, Velasco M, Mckee K. Allium vegetables: their role in the prevention of cancer. Biochemical Society Transactions 1996;24:811–4.

8. Ross SA, Finley JW, Milner JA. Allyl sulfur compounds from garlic modulate aberrant crypt formation. J Nutr 2006;136:8528–4S.

9. Teyssier C, Amiot MJ, Mondy N, et al. Effect of onion consumption by rats on hepatic drug-metabolizing enzymes. Food and Chemical Toxicology 2001;39:981–7.

10. Femia AP, Caderni G, Ianni M, et al. Effect of diets fortified with tomatoes or onions with variable quercetin-glycoside content on azoxymethane-induced aberrant crypt foci in the colon of rats. Eur J Nutr 2003;42:346–52.

11. Corpet DE, Tache S. Most effective colon cancer chemopreventive agents in rats: a systematic review of aberrant crypt foci and tumor data, ranked by potency. Nutrition and Cancer 2002;43:1–21.

12. AIN 76. Report of the American Institute of Nutrition Ad Hoc Committee on standards for nutritional studies. J Nutr 1977; 107: 1340-1348.

13. Kahane R, Vialle-Guerin E, Boukema II, et al. Changes in non-structural carbohydrate composition during bulbing in sweet and high-solid onions in field experiments. Environ Exp Bot 2001;45:73–83.

14. Parnaud G, Tache S, Peiffer G, Corpet DE. Pluronic F68 block polymer, a very potent suppressor of carcinogenesis in the colon of rats and mice. British Journal of Cancer 2001;84:90–3.

15. Bird RP. Observation and quantification of aberrant crypts in murine colon treated with a colon carcinogen: preliminary findings. Cancer Lett 1987;37:147–51.

16. Ulrich CM, Potter JD. Folate supplementation: too much of a good thing? Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2006;15:189–93.

17. Raju J, Swamy MV, Cooma I, et al. Low doses of beta-carotene and lutein inhibit AOMinduced rat colonic ACF formation but high doses augment ACF incidence. Int J Cancer 2005;113:798–802.

18. Corpet DE, Tache S, Pierre F. The Chemoprevention Database: Experimental colon cancer prevention studies in carcinogen induced rats, in Min mice, and in human volunteers. http://www.inra.fr/reseau-nacre/sci-memb/corpet/indexan.html 2002–2006, May 2006.