DEVELOPMENT OF HYBRID ANTIBACTERIAL MEMBRANE BY INCORPORATING SILVER PARTICLE WITH 2,4,6-TRIAMINOPYRIMIDINE AS COMPATIBILIZER

HATIJAH BINTI BASRI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Chemical Engineering)

> Faculty of Chemical Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to develop and characterize the polyethersulfone (PES) incorporated with silver (Ag) as an antibacterial membrane which can remove and disinfect bacteria in a single step for environmental application. The PES-Ag membrane was developed from PES, silver nitrate as an antibacterial agent and 2,4,6-triaminopyrimidine (TAP) as compatibilizer. The influence of AgNO₃ loading, molecular weights (MW) of polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) as dispersant and type of compatibilizer have been investigated. The resulting membranes were characterized based on their thermal, tensile and structural properties which were used in correlation with the membrane antibacterial properties. The incorporation of Ag in PES membrane has increased the tensile strength doubled as compared to the unmodified PES. Furthermore, it was observed that the highest AgNO₃ loading (2 wt%) and the highest MW (360,000) of PVP as dispersant has led to higher silver content on membrane surfaces. This is evidenced from energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). These properties have induced a better antibacterial activity in a disc-diffusion test against Escherichia coli (E.coli) and Staphylococcus aureus (S.aureus). The structural characterization by field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) revealed that by incorporating TAP as compatibilizer, smaller Ag particles size with improved distribution and average pore size of 0.174 µm was obtained. In addition, the silver residue during fabrication monitored by inductive coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) was significantly reduced (62.6%). These parameters have led to E.coli removal of log reduction value (LRV) 3.59 and 100% growth inhibition tested on *E.coli* suspension of 1×10^6 colony forming unit (CFU/mL). From the adhesion test, this membrane exhibited the least E.coli adherence which in turn evidenced its antiadhesion property. In conclusion, the PES-Ag membrane with TAP as compatibilizer produced was potential in bacteria removal and disinfection below the CFU maximum range for water and waste water treatment.

ABSTRAK

Objektif kajian ini ialah untuk membangun dan mencirikan selaput (membran) poliethersulfona (PES) yang digabungkan dengan perak (argentum) sebagai selaput anti-bakteria yang akan dapat menyingkir dan menyahjangkit bakteria dalam satu langkah untuk aplikasi alam sekitar. Membran PES-Ag dibangunkan daripada PES, garam nitrat perak (AgNO₃) sebagai agen anti-bakteria dan 2,4,6-triaminopyrimidine (TAP) sebagai bahan bantu serasi. Pengaruh muatan AgNO₃, berat molekul polivinilpirolidone (PVP) yang bertindak sebagai bahan bantu serak (dispersant) dan jenis bahan bantu serasi (compatibilizer) juga telah dikaji. Membran yang terhasil dicirikan bagi menilai sifat terma, kekuatan tegangan (tensil) dan struktur yang kemudiannya dikorelasi kepada sifat anti-bakteria membran tersebut. Penggabungan Ag ke dalam membran PES telah meningkatkan kekuatan tegangan membran dua kali ganda berbanding membran PES tidak terubahsuai. Muatan AgNO₃ tertinggi (2 wt%) dan PVP pada berat molekul tertinggi (360,000 Da) didapati telah berjaya menghasilkan kandungan Ag yang lebih tinggi. Ciri ini telah dibuktikan melalui analisis yang menggunakan kaedah spektroskopi penyebar tenaga sinar-X (EDX) dan kaedah spektroskopi elektron-foto sinar-X (XPS). Sifatsifat ini seterusnya telah mencetuskan sifat anti-bakteria yang lebih baik, dibuktikan melalui ujian pembauran-cakera (disc-diffusion) terhadap bakteria Escherichia coli (E.coli) dan Staphylococcus aureus (S.aureus). Pencirian struktur dengan menggunakan mikroskopi imbasan elektron pemancaran medan (FESEM) telah memberi maklumat bahawa dengan menggunakan TAP sebagai bahan bantu serasi, partikel Ag yang lebih kecil dengan taburan yang lebih baik pada saiz liang purata 0.174 µm telah dperolehi. Di samping itu, sisa Ag yang terlarut resap (leach) semasa pembuatan membran yang dikawal dengan menggunakan spektrometri jisimberganding plasma teraruh (ICP-MS) didapati menurun dengan nyata sebanyak 62.6%. Keseluruhan parameter yang dikaji telah menunjukkan bahawa penyingkiran E.coli adalah pada nilai penurunan log (LRV) 3.59 dan 100% perencatan pertumbuhan apabila diuji pada 1×10^6 unit koloni terbentuk per mL (CFU/mL). Membran ini juga didapati menunjukkan lekatan bakteria (bacterial adherence) yang terkecil dalam ujian lekatan terhadap E.coli sekaligus membuktikan sifat antilekatan. Kesimpulan daripada kajian ialah membran PES-Ag dengan TAP sebagai bahan bantu serasi adalah sangat berpotensi dalam penyingkiran dan perencatan bakteria di bawah julat CFU untuk air dan rawatan air.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER		TITLE	PAGE
	DECLA	ARATION	ii
	ACKN	OWLEDGEMENT	iii
	ABSTR	RACT	iv
	ABSTR	RAK	V
	TABLE	E OF CONTENTS	vi
	LIST C	DF TABLES	xi
	LIST C	DF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST C	DF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
	LIST C	DF SYMBOLS	xix
	LIST C	DF APPENDICES	XX
1	INTRO	DUCTION	1
	1.1	Research Background	1
	1.2	Problem statements	3
	1.3	Objectives of the study	4
	1.4	Research scopes	4
	1.5	Research significance	5
	1.6	Organization of the thesis	6
2	ANTIB	ACTERIAL MEMBRANE FOR BACTERIA	
	REMO	VAL: A REVIEW	7
	2.1	Introduction	7
	2.2	Disinfection in water and wastewater treatment	10
	2.3	Membrane technology in bacteria removal	14

2.4	Physicocher	nical interaction between bacteria	
	and surface		16
2.5	Bacteria rete	ention in porous media	18
	2.5.1 Bact	eria retention via straining	19
	2.5.2 Bact	eria retention via adsorption	20
2.6	Bacterial ad	hesion and biofouling	22
2.7	Advantages	of antibacterial membrane over the	
	other bacteria	a removal method	24
2.8	Silver incorp	oration in polymer composites	25
ME	THODOLOG	Y	27
3.1	Operational	framework	27
3.2	Material sel	ection	27
3.3	Membrane f	abrication	31
	3.3.1 Dope	e preparation	31
	3.3.2 Cast	ing of asymmetric membrane	32
3.4	Membrane c	characterization	33
	3.4.1 Atter	nuated total reflection-Fourier	
	trans	form infra-red	33
	3.4.2 Misc	bility analysis	33
	3.4.3 Field	l emission scanning electron	
	mic	roscope (FESEM) and atomic force	
	mic	roscopy (AFM)	34
	3.4.4 Ener	gy dispersive x-ray (EDX) and	
	induc	tive coupled plasma-mass	
	spect	rometry (ICP-MS)	35
	3.4.5 Tens	ile strength analysis	35
	3.4.6 X-ra	y photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS)	36
	3.4.7 Surfa	ace porosity and pore size analysis	36
	3.4.8 Con	tact angle measurement	37
3.5	Performance	e testing	38
	3.5.1 Pure	water permeation (PWP)	38
	3.5.2 Anti	bacterial tests	39

	3.5.2.1 Disc diffusion method	39
	3.5.2.2 Filtration of <i>E.coli</i> suspension	4(
	3.5.2.3 Filtration of environmental	
	sample	41
	3.5.2.4 Anti-adhesion test	42
THE	EFFECT OF SILVER LOADING IN	
	POLYETHERSULFONE (PES)	
	ULTRAFILTRATION (UF) MEMBRANES FOR	
	BACTERIA REMOVAL	43
4.1	Silver loaded-PES UF membranes for bacteria	
Ren	noval	43
4.2	Experimental	44
	4.2.1 Fabrication of PES-UF membranes	
	loaded with silver nitrate	44
	4.2.2 Membrane characterization	45
	4.2.3 Performance testing of membranes	40
4.3	Results and discussion	4′
	4.3.1 Effects of Ag-loading in thermal	
	properties of membrane	47
	4.3.2 Effects of Ag-loading in membranes	
	morphology and silver loss	49
	4.3.3 Pure water permeability (PWP) and pore	
	sizes of PES and PES-AgNO ₃ membranes	52
	4.3.4 Contact angle and mechanical strength	
	of PES membranes	54
	4.3.5 Antibacterial properties of membrane	57
4.4	Conclusion	60

MEMBRANE: EFFECT OF PVP MOLECULAR WEIGHT ON MORPHOLOGY AND ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY

5.1	PES-s	silver antibacterial membrane: Effect of	
	PVP	addition	61
5.2	Prepa	ration of PES-Ag with PVP	63
5.3	Chara	acterization of PES-silver membranes	64
5.4	Resul	Its and discussion	67
	5.4.1	Miscibility analysis	67
	5.4.2	ATR-FTIR analyses	69
	5.4.3	Morphology analyses using FESEM	71
	5.4.4	Contact angle	74
	5.4.5	X-Ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS)	76
	5.4.6	Pure water permeation (PWP) and	
		antibacterial property of membrane	78
	5.4.7	Silver loss in membrane fabrication	81
5.5	Conc	lusion	82
SIL	VER-FI	LLED POLYETHERSULFONE	
ME	MBRAN	IES FOR ANTIBACTERIAL	
APP	LICAT	IONS – EFFECT OF	
CON	MPATIF	BILIZER	83
6.1	Silver	r-filled PES membranes: Effect of	83
	Com	patibilizer	
6.2	Expe	riment	85

61

85

86

87

88

Materials and membrane preparation 6.2.1 Compatibility analysis 6.2.2

6

- 6.2.3 Analysis of silver loss
 - Morphological properties 6.2.4
 - Antibacterial activity 6.2.5 88 6.2.6 Anti-adhesion 90
- 6.3 Results and discussion 90 6.3.1 Compatibility analysis 90 Analysis of silver-loss 6.3.2 93
 - Binding energy (BE) of silver atoms 6.3.3 94

	6.3.4	Membrane morphology	98
	6.3.5	AFM analysis	101
	6.3.6	Antibacterial activity	103
6.4	Conc	lusion	109

7	GENERAL CONCLUSION AND		
	REC	110	
	7.1	General conclusion	110
	7.2	Recommendations for future works	111
REFEREN	CES		113
Apendices A	-J		133-151

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Available treatment methods in tackling the spread of	
	pathogenic microorganisms	8
2.2	The difference between bacteria, viruses and	
	protozoa.	11
2.3	Comparison of commonly used disinfectants in water	
	reclamation	12
2.4	Factors affecting bacterial attachment and	
	Transport	18
3.1	List of chemical used in this study	29
3.2	Chemical structure of the chemicals used in this	
	study	30
4.1	Dope composition	44
4.2	Silver leaching and Ag-particle sizes of	
	PES-AgNO ₃ membranes	51
4.3	Results of pore size analysis using filtration velocity	
	method	54
4.4	Membrane tensile strength and contact	
	angle values	55
5.1	Composition of casting solutions	64
5.2	Analysis from FE-SEM micrographs	73
5.3	Contact angle and pore structure parameters of PES-	
	Ag membranes	74
5.4	The expansion of membrane inhibition zone diameter	81
5.5	Ag content before and after flushing of 1L of pure	
	water	82

6.1	Composition of membrane formulation	86
6.2	Ag-loss during fabrication	94
6.3	Averaged membrane surface roughness via AFM	102
C1	Weights of wet and dried membrane, membrane	
	thickness and porosity for pore size analysis	138
C2	The mean pore size of prepared membranes	140
E1	Fluxes data of prepared membranes at TMP (1-6) bar	142
E2	Detailed data for flux calculations	143
I1	OD ₆₀₀ values for feed and permeates in the E.coli	
	filtration onto prepared membranes	
	using vacuum filtration cell	148
I2	CFU/mL values for feed and permeates in the E.coli	
	filtration onto prepared membranes using cross-flow	
	rig-system	149

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.

TITLE

PAGE

2.1	Pressure driven membrane processes classified	
	principally by average pore diameter	14
2.2	Simplified concept schematic of membrane	
	separation. A desired component (water) is allowed to	
	pass through while non-desired component (bacteria)	
	is retained.	15
2.3	Schematic of the physicochemistry between bacteria-	
	nanoparticles and membrane matrices.	17
2.4	Stages of biofilm formation and the two-stages	
	bacterial adsorption	21
2.5	Total interaction energy between a bacterial cell and a	
	surface depending on ionic strength	22
3.1	Schematic diagram of the research framework	28
3.2	Experimental setting for dope preparation	31
3.3	(a) Nitrogen gas tank; (b) solution dope reservoir; (c)	
	air compressor; (d) pneumatic system; (e) trolley and	
	glass plate; (f) gear pump; (g) casting knife; (h)	
	evaporation chamber; (i) coagulant bath	32
3.4	Schematic diagram of the cross-flow permeation	
	testing system.	39
3.5	Experimental set-up for the antibacterial test $-E.coli$	
	filtration.	40
3.6	Environmental waste samples were kept in low	
	temperature before analysis	41
4.1	PES dope in yellowish transparent color becoming	
	chrome after AgNO ₃ addition.	47

4.2	Thermogravimetric curves of PES and	
	PES-AgNO ₃ membranes	48
4.3	DSC curves of PES and PES-AgNO ₃ membranes	49
4.4	FESEM micrographs of surfaces (left) and cross	
	sectional structure (right) of (a) PES, (b) PES-	
	0.5AgNO ₃ and (c) PES-2.0AgNO ₃ membranes	50
4.5	EDX analyses of (a) PES- 0.5 AgNO ₃ and (b) PES-	
	2.0AgNO ₃ membranes according to the FESEM	
	images	52
4.6	Pure water permeability (Lm ⁻² hr ⁻¹) for PES and PES-	
	AgNO ₃ membranes against pressure (bar).	54
4.7	The scheme of interactions between PES as polymer	
	and $AgNO_3$ as additive.	56
4.8	Various mechanisms of antibacterial activities exerted	
	by Ag^+	58
4.9	Images of PES-0.5AgNO ₃ (a) and (c), PES-2.0AgNO ₃	
	(b) and (d) showing inhibition zone against <i>E.coli</i> (a),	
	(b) and <i>S.aureus</i> (c), (d). The red arrows are pointing	
	at the inhibition ring possessed around membrane	
	circular disc.	59
5.1	Thermo gram of (a) PES-Ag and (b) PES-Ag-P10,	
	(c) PES-Ag-P40, (d) PES-Ag-P360 membranes	68
5.2	ATR-FTIR spectra of (a) PES-Ag-P10, (b) PES-Ag-	
	P40, (c) PES-Ag-P360, (d) PES, (e) PES-Ag	70
5.3	FE-SEM surface and cross section images of (a) PES-	
	Ag, (b) PES-Ag-P10, (c) PES-AgP40 and (d) PES-	
	Ag-P360 membranes	72
5.4	Illustration of Ag in filling membrane surface pores	
	with representative of FESEM micrographs of cross-	
	section (a) PES-Ag-P40, (b) PES-Ag-P360	75
5.5	Stacked XPS-spectra for all prepared membranes	77
5.6	Pure water permeation of prepared membranes	78
5.7	Antibacterial results of prepared membranes	80

6.1	Chemical structure of (a) polyvinyl pyrrolidone,	
	(b) 2,4,6 triaminopyrimidine	85
6.2	X-Ray diffraction patterns of (a) PES, (b) PES-	
	AgNO ₃ , (c) PES-PVP-AgNO ₃ and (d) PES-TAP-	
	AgNO ₃ membranes	91
6.3	T_g curves of PES and PES-modified membranes	92
6.4	XPS spectra of PES-modified membranes	96
6.5	Possible interactions between PVP-Ag ⁺ ,	
	TAP-Ag $^+$ and PES-TAP.	97
6.6	FE-SEM cross-section and surface images of	
	membranes	99
6.7	EDX spectra of PES-modified membranes (a) PES-	
	AgNO ₃ , (b) PES-PVP-AgNO ₃ (c) PES-TAP-AgNO	100
6.8	3-D AFM images of (a) PES, (b) PES-Ag, (c) PES-	
	Ag-PVP, (d) PES-Ag-TAP membranes	102
6.9	Results of the antibacterial activity by using	
	agar diffusion method	105
6.10	Agar plates of feed and permeate from the filtration of	
	E.coli suspension. Images on left are feed and images	
	on the right are permeate for (a) PES-Ag (LRV3.25),	
	(b) PES-Ag with PVP (LRV2.93) and (c) PES-Ag	
	with TAP (LRV3.59)	106
6.11	Results of the antibacterial activity on the E.coli	
	filtration (a) PES (b) PES-AgNO ₃ , (c) PES-PVP-	
	AgNO ₃ (d) PES-TAP-AgNO ₃	107
6.12	Agar plates of feed and permeate of environmental	
	waste filtration	108
B1	Rigaku X-Ray diffractometer	136
B2	Atomic Force Microscopy	136
B3	X-Ray photoelectron spectroscopy	137
B4	Contact angle goniometer	137
D1	The whole set-up for PWP and bacteria removal test	141
D2	Membrane test cell	141

G1	Vacuum filtration cell set-up for <i>E.coli</i> filtration	145
I1	Agar plates inoculated with feed (left) and permeates	
	(right) in the environmental waste analysis	147
J1	Schematic structure of <i>E.coli</i> bacterium	150
J2	The difference in cell wall of bacteria gram positive	
	and bacteria gram negative	151

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

MF	-	Microfiltration
DBPs	-	Disinfection by-products
DOM	-	Dissolved organic matter
UF	-	Ultrafiltration
LRV	-	Log-reduction value
UV	-	Ultra-violet
E.coli	-	Escherichia coli
S.aureus	-	Staphylococcus aureus
DNA	-	Deoxyribonucleic acid
TGA	-	Thermogravimetric analysis
DSC	-	Differential scanning calorimetry
XRD	-	X-ray diffraction
XPS	-	X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy
EDX	-	Energy dispersive X-ray
ICP-MS	-	Inductive coupled plasma-mass spectrometer
CFU	-	Colony forming unit
NOM	-	Natural organic matter
DOC	-	Dissolved organic carbon
SS	-	Suspended solids
TDS	-	Total dissolved solid
pН	-	$-\log[H^+]$
WHO	-	World of Health Organization
DLVO	-	Deryaguin-Landau and Vervey-Overbeck
MWCO	-	Molecular weight cut-off
Da	-	Dalton
AFM	-	Atomic force microscopy
ATR-	-	Attenuated total reflection-Fourier transform
FTIR		infra-red spectroscopy
FESEM	-	Field emission scanning electron microscope
ppt	-	Part per trillion
ppm	-	Part per million
PWP	-	Pure water permeation
TOC	-	Total organic carbon
MW	-	Molecular weight
NA	-	Nutrient agar
OD	-	Optical density
MD	-	Minimal Davis
rpm	-	Revolution per minute
v/v	-	Volume per volume
Fig.	-	Figure
MTS	-	Mechanical testing system

Wt.	-	Weight
Eq.	-	Equation
SD	-	Standard deviation
DMFC	-	Direct methanol fuel cell
cps	-	Count per second
BE	-	Binding energy

LIST OF SYMBOLS

-	Percent
-	Degree Celcius
-	hour
-	miliampere
-	Kilo Volt
-	degree
-	theta
-	Micro molar
-	Weight percent
-	Diameter
-	Pure water permeation (Flux)
-	Mean pore diameter (µm)
-	Porosity (%)
-	Volume of permeate per unit
	time $(m^3 s^{-1})$
-	Membrane surface area (m ²)
-	Permeation time (s)
-	Micrometer
-	Nanometer
-	Concentration of permeate
	(ppm)
-	Concentration of feed (ppm)
-	Miligrams per litre
-	Milimeter per minute
-	Repulsive energy
-	Attractive energy
-	Total energy
-	Glass transition temperature

LIST OF APENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
А	List of publications	140-142
В	The instruments used in the characterization	143-144
С	Pore size measurement by using Guerout-Elford-Ferry	
	equation	145-147
D	Experimental set-up for flux and bacteria removal	
	measurement	148
E	Pure water permeation in asymmetric membrane	149
F	Preparation of agar plates for antibacterial test	151
G	Experimental set-up for bacteria removal and colony	
	forming unit (CFU/mL) enumeration	152
Н	UTM map showing environmental spot	153
Ι	Enumeration of colony forming unit (CFU) for agar	
	plates	154-156
J	Structure of <i>E.coli</i> bacterium and the difference of cell	
	wall structure between gram positive and gram	
	negative	157-158

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Microbiological contamination of water sources has long been a concern to the public. According to some authors, there were numbers of various bacterial species available (ranging from 10^2 to 10^4 mL⁻¹) in raw water as well as sewage effluents (Bonnélye *et al.*, 2008; Goldman *et al.*, 2009) tend to adhere to surfaces and grow mainly at the expense of nutrients accumulated from the water phase. Microbiological contamination in any sources should be avoided at any cost since in the production of potable water, only a limited number of bacteria (depends on the type of bacteria) are acceptable. The process for the removal of contaminants depends not only on the nature of the microorganisms but also on the desired levels of purity.

The use of membrane filtration in water treatment has greatly contributed to greener technology. For example, microfiltration (MF) membrane has been widely applied in water purification process due to its capability to remove microorganisms and to treat harmful pollutants as well as dissolved organic matters (DOM) (Ghayeni *et al.*, 1996; Oh *et al.*, 2007). Laine *et al.* reported that ultrafiltration (UF) applications represent 74% of the total installed low-pressure membrane full-scale plants (identified world-wide) in water industry in order to meet more stringent regulations in producing drinking water (Laine *et al.*, 2000).

It was reported in the open literature that membrane technology is one of the disinfection technique where microorganisms are retained without any chemicals

engagement. However, the problem of biofouling aroused when membrane is applied, due to the accumulation of microorganisms on membrane surfaces. In addition, the current practice of membrane filtration required additional step addressed as disinfection step via techniques such as chlorination (the most common one), ozonation and UV. There were many and thorough discussion available in the use of chlorination recently. The use of chlorination may lead to the disinfection byproducts (DBPs) release which in turn exposed consumers to potential carcinogenic compounds such as the derivatives of chloramines.

Many studies have been conducted to overcome/meet the restrictions as well as to resolve membrane fouling problems due to the uncontrolled accumulations of micro-species. In handling biofouling problems, membrane modification, low-flux operation and chemical cleaning are areas to be explored (Chang *et al.*, 2002; Khor *et al.*, 2007). The effective prevention of microbial growth in a membrane system can only be achieved when continuous and sufficiently high chlorine concentration is maintained. However, due to stricter legislative regulation on chlorine usage, other effective and environmental-friendly alternative is needed.

In membrane modification, the research in combining inorganics into polymer matrices has been expanding since 1990-s. The inorganics chosen were tailored with the application such as catalysis, biochemistry, separation and sensing. In gas separation, the inorganic fillers namely zeolite, carbon molecular sieve, silica and metal oxides has contributed to enhance membrane separation performance specifically in addressing flux decline and selectivity (Rafizah *et al.*, 2008; Kusworo *et al.*, 2008; Ismail *et al.*, 2009; Mataram *et al.*, 2010). The combination of inorganics in polymer matrices or well-known as mixed-matrix provides the solution for highly cost-maintenance and brittleness of inorganic membranes. The inorganic fillers in mixed matrix membrane act to create preferential permeation pathways for selective permeability while posing a barrier for undesired permeation in order to improve the separation performance (Goh et al., 2011).

In water application, attempts were made in addressing flux decline due to the accumulation of (micro- or macro-)species onto membrane surfaces which in turn affecting the separation performance. The important issue in membrane manufacturing is to develop membrane with suitable pore size in order to attain various sizes of contaminants. In addition, membrane must also show sufficient resistance towards the feed components as well as the operating condition. In antibacterial application, a number of researches have been conducted in exploring silver-incorporation to polymeric materials such as cellulose acetate (CA), polacrylonitrile (PAN), polysulfone (PSf) and chitosan for the application of water treatment, nano-fibre and food-packaging (Chou *et. al.*, 2005; Wang *et. al.*, 2005; Ma *et. al.*, 2008, Zodrow *et. al.*, 2009). Silver was found to leach in the reported articles and to date; attempts on overcoming this problem are still not published in the open literature.

1.2 Problem statements

The conventional UF membrane in water and waste water treatment established in bacteria removal has achieved a promising rejection value of >99% or to be specific, a log reduction value (LRV) of >3. However, after the membrane filtration process, it is necessary to perform an extra step which is disinfection as a secondary bacteria control barrier and distribution system protection (Ghayeni et al., 1996; Zio et al., 2005). The options available for disinfection are: UV, ozonation and chlorination. The most commonly used method is chlorination due to the easyhandling process and cost effectiveness. However, the major drawbacks of this method is when greater number of bacteria present, higher concentration of chlorine is needed, hence more disinfection by-products (DBPs) will be released in the water distribution system. Current waste water treatment with microbial burden is facing the problem of biofouling due to the accumulation of microorganisms over operation time. In order to address the issues, current research is conducted to explore the possibility and effectiveness of using a UF membrane incorporated with an antibacterial agent in removing bacteria as well as to investigate its potential in behaving anti-biofouling properties.

1.3 Objectives of the study

Based on the existing problem statements, the current study has been performed with the following objectives:

i. To develop an antibacterial membrane by incorporating silver (Ag) as an antibacterial agent without sacrificing membrane fluxes and removal abilities and to characterize the membrane in terms of mechanical, morphological, water permeation, hydrophilicity and pore sizes.

ii. To study the effect of incorporating a compatibilizer, PVP of different molecular weights in membrane properties and performances.

iii. To evaluate the effect of incorporating different compatibilizers in membrane properties and performances.

iv. To evaluate the fluxes of prepared membranes using pure water permeation test on the custom-made test-rig.

v. To evaluate the antibacterial performance of membrane using disc diffusion method, filtration of bacterial suspension and anti-biofouling tests.

1.4 Research scopes

In order to achieve the above mentioned objectives, the following scopes of study were drawn.

- i. Preparation of dope using PES as polymeric material, NMP as solvent and AgNO₃ as an additive or precursor of antibacterial agent, silver (Ag).
- ii. Selection of AgNO₃-loading and compatibilizer based on the evaluation in the miscibility and antibacterial tests.
- iii. Fabrication of PES-AgNO₃ asymmetric membrane using phase inversion technique and characterization of membranes in terms of mechanical strength, hydrophilicity, overall porosity, pore sizes and water permeation.
- iv. Evaluation of Ag-entrapment in prepared membranes by using ICP-MS, EDX and XPS techniques.
- v. Membrane fluxes measurement was carried out by using custom-made test rig at pressure range 1-6 bar.

- vi. Performance measurement of prepared membranes was conducted in terms of antibacterial activity by using disc diffusion method and bacteria removal via the filtration of bacterial suspension.
- vii. Performance measurement of prepared membranes was conducted in terms of anti-biofouling properties through an anti-adhesion test.
- viii. Comparison of PES pristine membrane with PES antibacterial membrane in all characterization and antibacterial tests.

1.5 Research significance

This study is of significance to the research of water treatment which involves disinfection steps. The antibacterial membrane extends the multi-steps options for water treatment to a stand-alone removal and disinfection of bacteria. The results obtained in the study also provide the information in bacteria-removal and bacteria-killing mechanisms which lead to the most effective options in treating polluted water. Furthermore, the information on silver entrapment obtained in this study would be beneficial to the other related fields such as in medicinal and electrical field where silver is optimized in wound dressings and conducting material.

1.6 Organization of the thesis

The thesis is divided into six chapters. The first chapter presents the research background as well as the problem statement. The research objectives, scopes and significance are also highlighted in first chapter. Chapter two provides the literature review on bacteria removal which includes the theories of the whole process and the options available for bacteria removal. The advantages of antibacterial membrane, current status and future direction of the technology are also discussed in this chapter. Chapter three is dedicated to the detailed description of the research methodology. The material selection for dope preparation, membrane fabrication and performance testing conducted in this work are explained in this chapter. In chapter four, the effect of silver content on the properties and performance of fabricated membranes are explored and discussed. Subsequently, Chapter five describes the effect of polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) of various molecular weights on antibacterial properties of the resulting membranes. Chapter six discusses the significant contribution of different compatibilizers in membrane antibacterial activities. Other improved properties and the comprehensive discussions on the anti-adhesion properties of resultant membranes are also included. Finally in Chapter seven, conclusion of the research is drawn and the potential future works are proposed.

CHAPTER 2

ANTIBACTERIAL MEMBRANE FOR BACTERIA REMOVAL: A REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

In conventional treatment of contaminated water sources, several methods have been applied to provide multiple barriers to the spread of pathogenic microorganisms and have minimize the spread of waterborne disease. Table 2.1 lists each treatment which can either be used individually or couple with other methods in a multi- step process.

Amongst the processes listed, sedimentation is considered as an easy and widely accepted technique due to its natural principle which uses earth gravitational force to settle down particles/suspended solids (SS) from a suspension. The process consumes low energy therefore reduce the processing cost. However, some drawbacks quoted by Guazzelli (1984) was the low loading rates which is only 1- 2 m³m⁻²hr⁻¹ plus large space needed, hence higher construction cost will be required. Other than the natural force, sedimentation applies coagulants as an aid to cater high sludge loading. The efficient sweep coagulation can only be achieved at higher coagulant dose. Excessive slime bacteria which result in filter clogging are another drawback in sedimentation (Horan and Mara, 2003).

Treatment	Processes	Effectiveness	References
method			
Coagulation	 Coagulation or coagulation-flocculation is a conventional technique to remove organic and inorganic suspension, colloids and other natural organic matter (NOM). This process uses chemicals addressed as coagulants and coagulant aids. Examples of common coagulants are Al₂(SO₄)₃ (alum), Fe₂(SO₄)₃ (copperas), FeSO₄ and FeCl₃ while examples of the aids are bentonite, (Al₂O₃)4SiO₂.2H₂O, sodium silicate, Na₂SiO₃, lime, Ca(OH)₂ and calcium carbonate, CaCO₃. Primary coagulants neutralizes the electrical charges of particles in the water which cause the particles to clump together, while the aids are generally used to reduce flocculation time and specifically used for clear water with very low turbidity that does not coagulate well with usual 	 Removes Turbidity at ~ 99% NOM (in terms of dissolved organic carbon, DOC (up to 59.5%) Bacteria at >3 log reduction value, LRV 	(Konieczny et al., 2009) (<u>www.thewatertrea</u> <u>tments.com</u>) (Qin <i>et al.</i> , 2006)
Sedimentation	procedures. Sedimentation is a physical water treatment process to settle suspended solid that has been deposited by natural processes in water under the influence of gravity. The settling rate of sedimentation can be dramatically improved by the addition of small dosages of polymeric flocculants. The higher the solid concentration, the faster the flocculation occurs and hence larger flogs will be produced.	 <i>E.coli</i> removal of >50% (depending on turbidity and the characteristics of particular sediment). For 50 mL of <i>E.coli</i> suspension, population was reduced to 1/120, 1/100,000 and 1/1,400,000 after 24, 48 and 72 h respectively. 	(Gutbai and Gregory, 1991) (Milne <i>et al.</i> , 1986) (Kawabata & Tanabe, 2005)

Table 2.1: Available treatment methods in tackling the spread of pathogenic microorganisms

Filtration	Filtration is a process to separate matters from fluid by passing the mixture through a porous media that entraps the solids in its matrix or retains them on its surface. The amount of removal is a function of the filtering media. The removal was subject to several factors including mechanisms (straining or adsorption), the grain size of porous media, organic matter content, bacteria species and etc.	 The median reduction is 10⁴. Soil-filtration has reduced total bacteria up to 99.94%. Filtration of <i>E.coli</i> suspension on an antibacterial membrane has resulted in 100% growth inhibition. 	(Sterik <i>et al.</i> , 2004) (Vanderbroucke <i>et al</i> , 1995) (Gilbert <i>et al.</i> , 1976) (Basri <i>et al.</i> , 2010)
Disinfection	Disinfection is considered as a primary mechanism for the inactivation of pathogenic organisms to prevent the spread of waterborne disease to downstream users and the environment. Disinfection is performed via physical or chemical techniques. Radiation, filtration and heating are some examples of physical disinfection while chlorination and ozonation are chemical disinfecting method.	By UV disinfection, the $\log_{10} \frac{N_0}{N}$: • <i>E.coli</i> 3.8 • Total coliform 3.3	(Madaeni, 1999) (Olanczuk-Neyman <i>et al.</i> , 2001)
		Enterococci 3.3	

Sedimentation and further flocculation were needed to produce good quality water while the use of powder activated carbon (PAC) was possibly needed to remove taste and odor (Hagen, 1998). In order to complement the conventional water treatment processes, disinfection was performed by using chemical disinfectants or physical methods. The objective of disinfection is to render an object or field free from infection in which the infection may represent a risk to persons or environment (Gilbert and Brown, 1995).

2.2 Disinfection in water and wastewater treatment

Diseases caused by pathogenic bacteria, viruses, protozoa or helminthes are the most common and wide-spread health risk associated with drinking water. For this reason, the World of Health Organization (WHO) has placed the greatest importance on the microbiological quality of drinking water emphasized the potential consequences of microbial contamination are such that its control must never be compromised (Gorchev, 1996). To the least, water sources must be protected from contamination by human and animal wastes which contain a variety of bacterial, viral, protozoa and helminthes pathogens which are the sources of the waterborne disease. The characteristics of the main waterborne disease source are listed in Table 2.3.

Waterborne disease is disease resulted from improper sanitary disposal of human feces. The feces of healthy persons contain 1 to 1000 million per gram of each of the following groups of bacteria: enterobacteria (e.g *E.coli*), enterococci, lactobacilli, clostridia, bacteriodas, bifidobacteria and eubacteria (Hammer and Hammer Jr, 2008).

In order to meet the stringent regulations by WHO, disinfection methods (chemical and/or physical) has been adopted in water and wastewater treatment. The commonly used disinfectants are listed in Table 2.2 with their risks, advantages and efficiency against different contaminants for general comparison. Several studies have shown that the efficiency of UV as a disinfection method is highly dependent on the concentration of SS (Narkis *et al.*, 1995; Hurst, 1996; Blume *et al.*, 2002).

This is due to the fact that SS can protect the bacteria through a 'sheltering' flogs which prevented the UV-light from penetrating and destroyed by the disinfectants (LeChevallier, 1988). The UV-light cannot penetrate large particles from ~50 μ m in diameter, thus the required energy will be raised drastically (Neis and Blume, 2003).

(Widdigan et ut., 2000).				
Organism	Size	Description	Examples (waterborne)	
Viruses	20-120 nm	Biological agents consisting of molecules of nucleic acids and protein envelope.	Enterovirus, coxsackievirus, echovirus, rotavirus, hepatitis A & B.	
Bacteria	1-6 µm	Unicellular and organism with nucleus	E.coli, Salmonella sp., Shigella sp.	
Protozoa	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> <i>sp.</i> (4-6 μm) <i>Giardia sp.</i> (8-12 length) × (7-10 μm width)	Protozoa are single- celled eukaryotes (organisms whose cells have nuclei) that show some characteristics usually associated with animals, most notably mobility and heterotrophy	<i>Giardia duodenalis,</i> <i>Cryptosporidium sp.,</i> Entamoeba	

Table 2.2:The difference between bacteria, viruses and protozoa
(Madigan *et al.*, 2000).

			<u>(11)</u>		T TX 7 1' 4'
Characteristics	Chlorine	Socium	Chlorine	ozone	UV radiation
D 1 1 1 1 1	gas	nypochlorite	dioxide	TT' 1	<u>ب</u>
Deodorizing ability	High	Moderate	High	High	na*
Interaction with organic matters	Oxidizes organic matter	Oxidizes organic matter	Oxidizes organic matter	Oxidizes organic matter	Absorbance of UV irradiation
	matter	matter	matter	matter	intudiation
Corrosiveness	Highly corrosive	Corrosive	Highly corrosive	Highly corrosive	na*
Toxic to higher forms of life	Highly toxic	Highly toxic	Toxic	Toxic	Toxic
Penetration of particles	High	High	High	High	Moderate
Safety concern	High	Moderate to Low	High	Moderate	Low
Solubility	Moderate	High	High	High	na*
Stability	Stable	Slightly unstable	Unstable	Unstable	na*
Effectiveness as disir	nfectant				
Bacteria	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Good
Protozoa	Fair to poor	Fair to poor	Good	Good	Excellent
Viruses	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Good
Byproduct formation	THMs and HAAs	THMs and HAAs	Chlorite and chlorate	Bromate	None known in measurable concentrations
Increases TDS	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Use as a disinfectant	Common	Common	Occasional	Occasional	Increasing rapidly

Table 2.3:Comparison of commonly used disinfectants in water
reclamation (Asano *et al.*, 2007)

*na = not applicable

The recent development in the area of disinfection has been discovered to reflect a great diversity and complexity of product. As an example, for chlorination, chlorine in its free form may react with a group of organic acid available in water and result in trihalomethanes (THM) or other DBPs formation (Asano *et al.*, 2007).

Basically when chlorine in gas form is added to water, hydrolysis molecules will occur and hypochlorous acid (HOCl) will be formed (Smethurst, 1988):

$$Cl_2 + H_2O \rightarrow HOCl + H^+ + Cl^-$$
 (2.1)

Because hypochlorous acid is a very active oxidizing agent, it may also react with nitrogen derivatives for an example ammonia and form chloramines;

$$NH_3 + HOCl \rightarrow NH_2Cl \text{ (monochloramine)} + H_2O$$
 (2.2)

$$NH_2Cl + HOCl \rightarrow NHCl_2 (dichloramine) + H_2O$$
 (2.3)

$$\text{NHCl}_2 + \text{HOCl} \rightarrow \text{NCl}_3 \text{ (trichloramine)} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$$
 (2.4)

The equations (2.1-2.4) are the pathways that show the DBPs formation resulted from chlorination. The action of bacteria-killing by chlorine was due to the direct toxic action not only by chlorine in its free form but also by hypochlorous acids and chloramines (Smethurst, 1988). Other than the DBPs formation, Scholz (2006) also highlighted the disadvantage of chlorination which is the 'chlorine taste' of water and the need of extra care of storage and handling.

Effective prevention of microbial growth in water treatment systems can only be achieved when a continuous and sufficiently high chlorine concentration is maintained. However, this condition cannot be viewed as an ultimate solution, considering growing environmental concerns and stricter legislative regulations regarding the discharge of chlorinated brines. Therefore, membrane materials with reduced bacterial affinity have been actively researched (Flemming, 1997). The investigation by Hagen (1998) revealed that the present disinfection and filtration methods should be replaced by a more suitable membrane filtration process.



Figure 2.1: Pressure driven membrane processes classified principally by average pore diameter (Eykamp, 1995).

2.3 Membrane technology in bacteria removal

In general, membrane is a barrier that separates two phases and restricts the transport of various species in a specific manner when a driving force is applied. In other words, when driving force is applied, the membrane placed in a fluid system will retain one component by sieving or size-exclusion mechanism and produce purified solution. Pressure-driven membrane processes which are reverse osmosis, nano-, ultra- and microfiltration are now being extensively used for the purification of natural and waste waters. Figure 2.1 illustrates the pore size range of pressure driven membrane processes that are used to separate particles of various size range.

Ultrafiltration (UF) is a pressure driven membrane process whose nature lies between nanofiltration and microfiltration (MF). MF is typically known and used for turbidity reduction and removal of suspended solids within the approximately size of 1-30 μ m (Li *et al.*, 2003) meanwhile UF membranes are commonly used to remove some viruses, color, odor, and some colloidal natural organic matter. Both processes require low transmembrane pressure (1- 30 psi) to operate, and both are now being used as pretreatment in desalination processes such as reverse osmosis, nanofiltration, and electrodialysis. As a pressure driven membrane process, UF membrane normally possesses asymmetric structure with thin but relatively dense top layer (thickness 0.1-1.0 μ m), supported by a porous substructure (thickness \approx 50-150 μ m) in which suspended colloids and particles in the approximate size range of 10-1000 Å are retained. An illustration in Figure 2.2 simplified the separation concept in membrane. Although UF has been commonly used in current market, the improvement on the available system is still necessary.

Transportation of molecules or particles via membrane occurs due to the driving forces applied. This driving force can be chemical potential gradient, e.g concentration gradient or pH gradient; pressure difference; electrical potential difference or combination of these (Mulder, 1991). In bacteria removal, bacteria transport is generalized to occur by advection, diffusion (for small bacteria) and chemotaxis (Corapcioglu, 1996). Chemotaxis is the preferential movement of bacteria in response to chemical gradients such as areas of higher nutrient concentrations.



Figure 2.2: Simplified concept schematic of membrane separation. A desired component (water) is allowed to pass through while non-desired component (bacteria) is retained.

When membrane with smaller pore size is used or bigger solute species need to be retained, the higher pressure has to be applied in the operating system. The proportional relationship between the membrane fluxes and the driving force is:

Flux = Proportionality factor × Driving force

$$J = A \times X$$
 (2.5)

where *A* is the proportionality factor determines how fast the components or particles is transported through the membrane. In other words, *A* is a resistance measurement exerted by membrane as a diffusion medium when force is applied to the components or particles.

According to Song and Elimetech (1995), the net velocity of bacterial cell normal to the membrane surface is largely determined by normal convection with small contributions by tangential correction and Brownian diffusion. The interaction force profile suggested that aggregation were enhanced in acidic medium even though the bacterial deposition rate is lower. The model studied also suggested that the increment of permeation velocity resulted in higher bacterial deposition rate.

2.4 Physicochemical interaction between bacteria and surface

The physicochemical interaction between bacteria and surfaces has been highlighted. The schematic in Figure 2.3 illustrates the interaction among bacterial cell, inorganic particles and the surface of porous media. Removal of bacteria from the flowing liquid phase generally occurs by filtration and adsorption or cell death (Corapcioglu, 1996). The various bacterial attachment and detachment mechanisms are affected by one or more factors as listed in Table 2.4. According to Yuehuei and Friedman (2000), bacteria surface hydrophobicity is an important physical factor for adhesion. Generally, hydrophobic bacteria prefer materials with hydrophobic surfaces while hydrophilic characteristics prefer hydrophilic surfaces. However, hydrophobic bacteria adhere to a greater extent than hydrophilic bacteria.



Figure 2.3: Schematic of the physicochemistry between bacteriananoparticles and membrane matrices. (Yuehei and Friedman, 2000)

When approaching the entrance of a pore, Leblue *et al.* (2009) explained that bacteria are submitted to the shear and drag forces created by the trans-membrane pressure (TMP) applied during the filtration step. Such stress may lead to bacteria volume reduction and surface deformation (governed by the cell wall Young Modulus value) which would allow the cell to penetrate into the membrane pore. Whether the cell membrane is disrupted or not, the bacteria still have the possibility to pass the pore. If the bacteria penetrate the membrane and retain its integrity, these bacteria will keep their pathogenicity and hence risk consumers. To address the problem, the inorganic antimicrobial agent attached on the membrane surfaces and in membrane pores will act and perform biocidal action. The system which combined membrane technology with inorganic antimicrobial is efficient in such a way that the metal ion is bound within a delivery system that stabilizes them and then releases them through a process of ion exchange at the surface (Peinemann and Nunes, 2010).

Factor	Effect on transport or attachment
рН	An attachment favors low pHs.
Ionic strength	Attachment increases with higher ionic strength due to the 'particle double-layer' size reduction.
Clay-content	Attachment increases with high clay content due to larger specific area for adsorption.
Oxygen limitations	Oxygen-limited biofilms exhibit lower shear removal rates but higher sloughing.
Change on media	Attachment of negative bacteria will be high in positive charges media.
Flow rate	Higher flow rates reduce bacterial attachment.
Nutrient	Bacterial size reduced in higher nutrient concentrations.
Bacterial size	Smaller bacteria may interact with media less and may not be removed by filtration as easy as bigger bacteria. On the other hand, larger bacteria have been shown to move faster than small bacteria.
Cell concentration	At low cell density, attachment is favored. Bacteria tend to move from high concentration areas to low concentration areas by a tumbling diffusive flux.
Bacterial motility	Motile bacteria may migrate faster than non-motile bacteria through chemotaxis.
Water content	Bacteria moves faster through unsaturated soil at higher water content.

 Table 2.4:
 Factors affecting bacterial attachment and transport

 (Correspondent 1006)

2.5 Bacteria retention in porous media

According to Dunne Jr., (2002) the process of bacterial adhesion is dictated by the variables including the species of bacteria, surface composition, environmental factors and the essential gene products. From an evolutionary standpoint, the selective advantage of bacterial adhesion was postulated to favor a nutritional and non-hostile environment and provide a level of protection. Frimmel *et al.* (2007) discusses the two types of deposition mechanism namely straining and interception. Straining is generally about retaining big agglomerates while interception is about retaining small aggregates on porous surface after collision. The interception mechanism which is dominated by physicochemical interactions between the cell surface and the porous medium has been reported to govern the adhesion of cells. In order to understand the process of bacterial adhesion, the two mechanisms in bacteria removal are discussed.

2.5.1 Bacteria retention via straining

According to Stevik *et al.* (2004) straining mechanism depends on the grain size of the porous media. Generally, the extent to which the bacteria are retained by straining is inversely proportional to the size of the filter media particles. In other words, the smaller the filter media size, the more bacteria will be retained via straining. By considering filter-media factors, straining will become a dominant mechanism when the average cell size of the bacteria is greater than the size of 5% of the grains that compose the porous material (Stevik *et al.*, 2004). The presence of macropores in filtration has been found to result in poor volume utilization and allow a more rapid and distant bacteria in a system on saturated flow (e.g waste water treatment) is found to favorably take place in the smaller pores. Generally, larger cells will be more efficiently removed by filtration.

Weiss *et al.* (1995) studied the effect of bacterial cell shape on the transport in porous media and suggested a preferential removal of long, rod-shaped cells during transport. Bacterial straining can also be influenced by flow rates and hydraulic loading. A high flow-rate may increase the average water suction in an unsaturated filter medium. As a result, greater transport may occur via larger pores which in turn decrease the effect of bacterial straining by porous material. Ausland *et al.* (2002) observed a higher removal of fecal coliform bacteria in filtration systems using uniform pressure distribution as compared to gravity dosing. Another factor to be considered in bacteria removal via straining is clogging (Vandevivere and Baveye, 1992). Clogging occurs due to the biomass growth in the porous media. Bacteria removal is more efficient in clogged filtration system due to the hydraulic disfunction which diminishes the purification of wastewater (Bouwer, 1974; Gannon *et al.*, 1991).

2.5.2 Bacteria retention via adsorption

In contrast to straining mechanism, adsorption is the dominant mechanism in bacteria retention when media pores sizes are larger than that of bacteria (Sharma *et al.*, 1985). The bacterial adsorption on solid surfaces involves two stages mechanisms that conform to the classical Derjaguin-Landau and Verwey-Overbeek (DLVO) theory which has been first suggested on charged colloidal particles. The stages were illustrated in Figure 2.4. The first stage is a reversible mechanism controlled by electrostatic interactions between the cell surface and the adsorbent (porous media). Weak interaction is present between the bacterium and porous material. During this stage, reversibly attached bacteria can detach from the surface of a particle and return to the water phase, depending on the conductance and chemical properties of the fluid or aqueous solution.

In second stage, bacterial adsorption forms a much more persistent bond between adsorbent (porous media) and adsorbate (bacterium). This mechanism is irreversible and sometimes referred as adhesion (Olson *et al.*, 1991). According to the classical DLVO theory, the energy of interaction (V_T) between a bacterium and solid surface is (Derjaguin and Landau, 1941):

$$V_T = V_R + V_A \tag{2.6}$$

 V_R = repulsive energy resulting from the overlapping of the electrical double layer of cell and substratum (generally repulsive), V_A = attractive energy resulting from van der Waals interactions (generally attractive).



Figure 2.4: Stages of biofilm formation and the two-stages bacterial adsorption (Katsikogianni and Missirlis, 2004; Houdt and Michiels, 2005).

According to Hermansson, who extended the classical DLVO theory, V_A (Hermansson, 1999):

$$V_A = -\frac{Ar}{6d} \tag{2.7}$$

where A is the Hamaker constant, d is the separation distance between the cell and the solid surface and r is the cell radius (assuming cells are spherical). The DLVO theory states that the distance of separation between colloidal adsorbents (porous media) and adsorbates (bacterium) is the distance at which the repulsive (V_R) and attractive (V_A) energy are balanced.

Hori and Matsumoto (2010) explained the two steps mechanism in DLVO theory by relating them to the ionic strength as illustrated in Figure 2.3. At low ionic strength, the energy barrier prevents the bacterium from approaching solid surfaces via Brownian motion. When the energy barrier becomes higher and further from the solid surface (at lower ionic strengths), the bacterial cells is found unable to adhere on the surface. In contrast, at high ionic strength, the energy disappears and bacterial cells can easily and rapidly attain irreversible adhesion.



Figure 2.5: Total interaction energy between a bacterial cell and a surface depending on ionic strength (Hori and Matsumoto, 2010).

The retention of bacteria via adsorption mechanism is influenced by porous media designation (Huysman and Verstraete, 1993). The smaller the particle sizes, the larger the surface area, hence more adhesion sites will be provided (DeFlaun and Mayer, 1983; McDowell-Boyer, 1986). The surface roughness of the porous media may increase the adsorption (as a result of reduced sheer forces) and lower desorption rates, thus increase the media surface area (Donlan, 2002).

2.6 Bacterial adhesion and biofouling

Water filtration based on membrane technology is frequently coupled with an undesired decline in flux which is caused by membrane fouling. Fouling is generally defined as a reduction in water transport per unit area of membrane caused by the accumulation of substances including microorganisms, inorganic, particulates, colloidal and organic matter on or in the membrane (Lee *et al.*, 2010). Biofouling during bacteria removal may cause significant effect to osmotic pressure and hence require frequent chemical cleaning which eventually shorten membrane life.

The adhesion/interaction between particles, including both inorganic colloidal particles and bacterial cells has led to biofilm formation. The particles adhered on or in the membrane form biofilm and reduce the flow through the membrane, which in turn result in great reduction in the filtration efficiency and working lifetime of the membranes (Hilal *et al.*, 2009). Biofilms that composed primarily of microorganisms and extracellular polymeric substances is the major hindrance in membrane filtration and cause severe loss of performance.

A study of Lee *et al.* (2010) which explored the PES UF membrane (350 Da) and polyamide (PA) NF membrane performances on *Staphylococcus epidermis* (*S.epidermis*) (0.5 μ m, gram positive, sphere), *Flavobacterium lutescens* (*F.lutescens*) (2.5 μ m × 0.3 μ m, gram negative, rod) and *Escherichia coli* (*E.coli*) (1.5 μ m × 0.5 μ m, gram negative, rod) has resulted in few conclusions:

- i) In terms of particles retention (under a high ionic strength condition), the PA NF membrane exhibited a much lower fouling than that of PES UF membrane.
- PES UF membrane which is rougher and more hydrophilic surface showed lower retention time in which the lower the retention time, the lower propensity for membrane biofouling.
- iii) Bacteria retention on membrane surfaces were longer in KCl solution (stronger ionic) compared to deionized water.
- iv) Among the three bacteria sp., *E.coli* and *F.lutescens* exhibited the highest potential of fouling for both membranes.

Kochkodan *et al.* (2008) studied the adhesion of different microorganisms to polymeric membrane of various chemical natures. Results revealed that membranes deposited with TiO₂ particles reduced the number of cells in colony forming unit per mL (CFU/mL) about 98.1 % under uv-irradiation for six hours. The mechanism of bactericidal action of TiO₂ under black uv-irradiation is based on the formation of OH, O_2^- and HO₂ radicals in aqueous system (Salih, 2002). It was also found that microorganisms adhered more on hydrophobic PES or PSf than on hydrophilic cellulose membrane. Hydrophilic *E.coli* was found to adhere less compared to hydrophobic *P.putida*. In addition, the fluxes of membrane deposited with microorganisms was found to decrease with time and TiO_2 particles presence has provided a strong photo bactericidal under black uv-irradiation.

According to Hori and Matsumoto (2010), the bacterial adhesion can be controlled by antimicrobial agents' addition, surface modifications or electroclassical methods.

2.7 Advantages of antibacterial membrane over the other bacteria removal method

Conventional membranes have optimized pore sizes and other membrane properties such as hydrophilicity to remove bacteria. The key advantage of an antibacterial membrane is the enhanced antibacterial action by the incorporated antibacterial agent. Permeability on the inner and outer antibacterial membranes will lead to the release of antibacterial agent and ultimately disrupt the bacterial cell wall membrane. Therefore, instead of bacteria removal via retaining them on membrane surfaces, an antibacterial membrane offers removal (via suitable pore size ranges) and disinfection in a standalone system.

As a result, biofilm formation can be substantially hindered and biofouling can be obstructed. The formation of smoother and anti-adherence membrane surfaces is another value added which then extend an antibacterial membrane as a promising candidate in bacteria removal for wastewater treatment. It has been proposed that the interaction energy between a colloidal particle and a rough membrane surface has considerable lateral variations thus particles will have greater tendency to accumulate (Rizwan and Bhattacharjee, 2007). In contrast, a smooth surface reduces or eliminates any non-contacting areas thus increases the repulsive interaction energy barrier between a colloidal particle and membranes surfaces (Hoek *et al.*, 2003).

REFERENCES

- Ah, U., D. Wirz, U. Pieles and A.U. Daniels, (2008), Effects of silver nitrate and a silver nanoparticle biomaterial additive on *E. coli* growth determined by isothermal micro-nano calorimetry (IMNC), *Eur. Cells Mater.*, 16: 9.
- Antonelli, M., S. Rossi, V. Mezzanotte and C. Nurizzo, (2006), Secondary effluent disinfection: PAA long term efficiency, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 40: 4771– 4775.
- Arenas, M. F. and V. L. Acoff, (2004), Contact angle measurements of Sn-Ag and Sn-Cu lead-free solders on copper substrates, *J. Electronic Mater.*, 33(12): 1452-1458.
- Arthaneeswaran, G., P. Thanikaivelan, K. Srinivasn, D. Mohan and M. Rajendran, (2004), Synthesis, characterization and thermal studies on cellulose acetate membranes with additive, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 40: 2153-2159.
- Asano, T., F. L. Burton, H. L. Leverenz, R. Tsuchihashi and G. Tchobanoglous, Water reuse: Issues, technologies and applications, Metcalf&Eddy Inc., USA: McGraw-Hills Professional, 602-617; 2007.
- Atiyeh, S. B., M. Costagliola, S. N. Hayek and S. A. Dibo, (2007), Effect of silver on <u>burn wound infection control and healing: Review of the literatur</u>e, *Burns*, 33: 139-148.
- Atterbury, R. J., M. A. P. Van Bergen, F. Ortiz, M. A. Lovell, J. A. Harris, A. De Boer, J. A. Wagenaar, V. M. Allen and P. A. Barrow, (2007), Bacteriophage therapy to reduce Salmonella colonization of broiler chickens, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 73: 4543–4549.

Ausland, G., T. K. Stevik, J. F. Hanssen, J. C. Kohler and P. D. Jenssen, (2002),

Intermittent filtration of wastewater – removal of fecal coliforms and fecal streptococci, *Water Res.*, 26: 3507-3516.

- Baker, C., A. Pradhan, L. Pakstis, D. J. Pochan and S. I. Shah, (2005), Synthesis and antibacterial properties of silver nanoparticles, *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.*, 5 : 244–249.
- Barth, C., M. C. Goncalves, A.T. N. Pires, J. Roeder and B. A. Wolf, (2000), Asymmetric polysulfone and polyethersulfone membranes: effects of themodynamic conditions during formation on their performance, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 169(2): 287–299.
- Basri, H., A.F. Ismail, M. Aziz, K. Nagai, T. Matsuura, M.S. Abdullah and B.C. Ng, (2010), Silver-filled Polyethersulfone Membranes for Antibacterial Applications -Effect of PVP and TAP addition on silver dispersion, *Desalination*, 261(3): 264-271.
- Bing, P., W. Jia, C. Li-Yuan, M. Ai-Li, W. Yum-Yan, (2008), Preparation of Nano-Ag/TiO₂ thin film, *Trans Non-ferrous Met. Soc. China*, 18: 986-994.
- Blanco, J. F., Q. T. Nguyen and P. Schaetzel, (2001), Novel hydrophilic membrane materials: sulfonated polyethersulfone Cardo, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 186(2): 267-279.
- Blume, T., I. Martinez and U. Neis, Wastewater disinfection using ultrasound and UV light, TU Hamburg-Harburg Reports on Sanitary Engineering, 35, 2002: 117-128.
- Bonnélye, V., L. Gueya and J. Del Castillo, (2008), UF/MF as RO pre-treatment: the real benefit, *Desalination*, 222: 59–65.
- Bouwer, H., (1974), Design and operation of land treatment systems for minimum contamination of ground water, *Ground Water*, 12(3): 26-30.
- Cao, K., B-G. Li and Z-R. Pan, (1999), Micron-size uniform poly(methylmethacrylate) particles by dispersion polymerization in polar media, IV Monomer partition and locus of polymerization, *Colloids Surf. A*, 153: 179–187.
- Chakrabarty, B., A. K. Ghoshal and M. K. Purkait, (2008), Effect of molecular weight of PEG on membrane morphology and transport properties, J. *Membr. Sci.*, 309: 209–221.
- Chandler, D. S., I. Farran and J. A. Craven, (1981), Persistence and Distribution of

Pollution Indicator Bacteria on Land Used for Disposal of Piggery Effluent, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 42(3): 453-460.

- Chang, I-S., P. L. Clech, B. Jefferson and S. Judd, (2002), Membrane Fouling in Membrane Bioreactors for Wastewater Treatment, J. Environ. Eng., 128(11): 1018–1029.
- Chatterjee, U., S. K. Jewrajka and S. Guha, (2009), Dispersion of functionalized silver nanoparticles in polymer matrices: stability, characterization and physical properties, *Polym. Compos.*, 30(6): 827-834.
- Chen, Z., M. Deng, Y. Chen, G. He, M. Wu and J. Wang, (2004), Preparation and performance of cellulose acetate/polyethyleneimine blend microfiltration membranes and their applications, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 235: 73–86.
- Choi, B.-H., H.-H. Lee, S. Jin, S. Chun and S.-H. Kim, (2007), Characterization of the optical properties of silver nanoparticle films, *Nanotechnology*, 18: 1-5.
- Choi, O., K. K. Deng, N.-J. Kim, L. Jr. Ross and R.Y. Surampalli, (2008), The inhibitory effects of silver nanoparticles, silver ions and silver chloride colloids on microbial growth, *Water Res.*, 42(12): 3066-3074.
- Chou, K.-S. and Y.-S. Lai, (2004), Effect of polyvinyl pyrrolidone molecular weights on the formation of nanosized silver colloids, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 83: 82-88.
- Chou,W.-L., D.-G. Yu and M.-C. Yang, (2005), The preparation and characterization of silver-loading cellulose acetate hollow fiber membrane for water treatment, *Polym. Adv. Technol.*, 16: 600–607.
- Clement, J. L. and P. S. Jarrett, (1994), Antibacterial Silver, *Met.-Based Drugs*, 1: 467–482.
- Corapcioglu, M.Y., (1996), *Advances in porous media*, The Netherlands: Elsevier Science B.V., Vol. 3, 65-66.
- Costerton, J. W., P. S. Stewart and E. P. Greenberg, (1999), Bacteria biofilms: A common cause of persistent infections, *Science*, 284(5418): 1318-1322.
- Curtin, J. J. and R. M. Donlan, (2006), Using bacteriophages to reduce formation of catheter-associated biofilms by Staphylococcus epidermidis, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 50: 1268–1275.
- Damm, C and H, Munstedt, (2008), Kinetic aspects of the silver ion release from

antimicrobial polyamide/silver nanocomposite, Appl. Phys. A, 91(3): 479-486.

- Dastjerdi, R. and M. Montazer, (2010), A review on the application of inorganic nano-structured materials in the modification of textiles: Focus on antimicrobial properties, *Colloid Surf. B: Biointerfaces*, 79: 5-18.
- DeFlaun, M. F. and L. M. Mayer, (1983), Relationships between bacteria and grain surfaces in intertidal sediments, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 28(5): 873-888.
- Deng, Y., G. Dang, H. Zhou, X. Rao and C. Chen, (2008), Preparation and characterization of polyimide membranes containing Ag nanoparticles in pores distributing on one side, *Mater. Lett.*, 62: 1143-1146.
- Deng, B., J. Li, Z. Hou, S. Yao, L. Shi, G. Liang and K. Sheng, (2008),
 Microfiltration membranes prepared from polyethersulfone powder grafted with acrylic acid by simultaneous irradiation and their pH dependence, *Radiat. Phys. Chem.*, 77: 898-906.
- Derjaguin, B. and L. Landau, (1941), Theory of the stability of strongly charged lyophobic sols and the adhesion of strongly charged particles in solution of electrolytes, *Acta Physicochim.*, 14: 633-662.
- Donlan, R. M., (2002), Biofilms: Microbial life on surfaces, *Emerg. Infect. Dis.*, 8(9): 1-14.
- Donovan, D. M., (2007), Bacteriophage and peptidoglycan degrading enzymes with antimicrobial applications, *Recent Pat. Biotechnol.*, 1: 113–122.
- Dunkelberg, H. and F. Fleitmann-Glende, (2006), Measurement of the microbial barrier effectiveness of sterilization containers in terms of the log reduction value for prevention of nasocomial infections, Am. J. Infection Control, 34(5): 285-289.
- Dunn, K. and V. E. Jones, (2004), The role of ActicoatTM with nanocrystalline silver in the management of burns, *Burns*, 30(1): S1-S9.
- Dunne Jr., W. M., (2002), Bacterial adhesion: Seen any good adhesion lately? Clin. Microbiol. Rev., 15(2): 155-166.
- Evens, R. P., *Drug and biological development from molecule to product and beyond*, USA: Springer, 292-305; 2007.
- Eykamp, W., Chapter 1: Microfiltration and ultrafiltration in R. D. Noble and S. A. Stern, *Membrane separations technology, Principles and applications*, USA: Elsevier Science B.V., 2; 1995.

- Fraser, J. F., J. Bodman, R. Sturgess, J. Faoagali and R. M. Kimble, (2004), An in vitro study of the anti-microbial efficacy of a 1% silver sulphadiazine and 0.2% chlorhexidine digluconate cream, 1% silver sulphadiazine cream and silver coated dressing, *Burns*, 30(1): 35-41.
- Freger, V., J. Gilron and S. Belfer, (2002), TFC polyamide membranes modified by grafting of hydrophilic polymers: an FT-IR/AFM/TEM study, J. *Membr. Sci.*, 209: 283–292.
- Frimmel, F. H., F. Van der Kammer and H.-C. Flemming, *Colloidal transport in porous media*, New York: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 131-140; 2007.
- Gannon, J., Y. Tan, P. Baveye and M. Alexander, (1991), Effect of sodium chloride on transport of bacteria in a saturated aquifer material, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 57(9): 2497-2501.
- Gao, X.-Y., S.-Y. Wang, J. Li, Y.-X. Zheng, R.-J. Zhang, P. Zhou, Y.-M. Yang and L.-Y. Chen, (2004), Study of structure and optical properties of silver oxide films by ellipsometry, XRD and XPS methods, *Thin Solid Films*, 455-456: 438-442.
- Gasaymeh, S. S., S. Radimon, L. Y. Heng, E. Saion and G. H. Mohamed Saeed,
 (2010), Synthesis and characterization of silver/polyvinilpirrolidone
 (Ag/PVP) nanoparticles using gamma irradiation techniques, *American J. Appl. Sci.*, 7: 892-901.
- Ghayeni, S. S. B., S. S. Madaeni, A. G. Fane and R. P. Schneider, (1996), Aspects of microfiltration and reverse osmosis in municipal wastewater reuse, *Desalination*, 106: 25–29.
- Gilbert, P. and M. R. W. Brown, (1995), Some perspective on preservation and disinfection in the present day, *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.*, 219-226.
- Gilbert, R. G., C. P. Gerba, R. C. Rice, H. Bouwer, C.Wallis and J. L. Melnick, (1976), Virus and bacteria removal from wastewater by land treatment, *Water Res.*, 32(3): 333-338.
- Gino, E., Prevention and rehabilitation of clogged wells by physicochemical and biological treatment as a function of chemical and biological composition of ground water. Technion-Israel Institute of Technology. Ph.D. thesis, 2003.

- Godet, T., C. Vaxelaire, C. Michel, A. Milet and P. Belmont, (2007), Silver versus gold catalysis in tandem reactions of carbonyl functions onto alkynes: a versatile access to furoquinoline and pyranoquinoline cores, *Chemistry*, 13(19): 5632-5641.
- Goldman, G., J. Starosvetsky and R. Armon, (2009), Inhibition of biofilm formation on UF membrane by use of specific bacteriophages, J. Membr. Sci., 342(1-2): 145–152.
- Gomez H. E. and S. W. Lin, 2003, Development of hydrophilic ultrafiltration membrane from polysulfone-polyvinylpyrrolidone, J. Mex. Chem. Soc., 47: 52–57.
- Goosen M. F. A., S. S. Sablani, H. Ai-Hinai, S. Ai-Obeidani, R. Al-Belushi and D. Jackson, (2004), Fouling of reverse osmosis and ultrafiltration membranes: a critical review, *Sep. Sci. Technol.*, 39: 2261–2297.
- Gorchev, H.G., (1996), Chlorine in water disinfection, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 68(9): 1731-1735.
- Gorski, A. J. Borysowski, R. Miedzybrodzki and B. Weber-Dabrwoska,
 Bacteriophages in medicine in S. Mc Grath and D. Van Sinderen (Eds.), *Bacteriophage*, UK: Caister Academic Press, Wymondham, 125–158; 2007.
- Guazzelli, E., (2006), Sedimentation of small particles: How can such a simple problem be so difficult?, Comptes Rendus Mecanique, 334(8-9), 539-544.
- Gulrajani, M. L., D. Gupta, S. Periyasamy and S. G. Muthu, (2008), Preparation and application of silver nanoparticles on silk for imparting antimicrobial properties, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 108: 614-623.
- Gupta, P., M. Bajpai and S. K. Bajpai, (2008), Textile Technology : Investigation of antibacterial properties of silver nanoparticle-loaded poly(acrylamide-co-itaconic acid)-grafted cotton fabric, *J. Cotton Sci.*, 12: 280-286.
- Gutbai, L. and J. Gregory, (1991), Flocculation and sedimentation off high turbidity water, *Water Res.*, 25(9): 1137-1143.
- Hagen, K., (1998), Removal of particles, bacteria and parasites with ultrafiltration for drinking water treatment, *Desalination*, 119:85-91.
- Hagens, S. and M. J. Loessner, (2007), Application of bacteriophages for detection and control of foodborne pathogens, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 76: 513– 519.

- Hammer, M. J. and M. J. Hammer Jr, *Water and wastewater technology*, 6th edition, Amazon: Pearson Prentice Hall, 58-59; 2008.
- Han, L., R. Wang, D. Yuan, B. Wu, B. Lou and M. Hong, (2005), Hierarchical assembly of a novel luminescent silver coordination framework with 4-(4pyridylthiomethyl)benzoic acid, *J. Mol. Struct.*,737: 55-59.
- Han, M.-J. and S.-T. Nam, (2002), Thermodynamic and rheological variation in polysulfone solution by PVP and its effect in the preparation of phase inversion membrane, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 202: 55–61.
- Hermansson, M., (1999), The DLVO theory in microbial adhesion, *Colloids Surf.B: Biointerfaces*, 14: 105-119.
- Hilal, N., W. R. Bowen, D. Johnson and H. Yin, Chapter 5. AFM and Development of (Bio)Fouling-Resistant Membranes in *Atomic Force Microscopy in Process Engineering, An introduction to AFM for improved processes and products*, Butterworth Heinemann Publictions: Elsevier Ltd., 139-150; 2009.
- Hirano, S., Y. Wakasa, A. Saka, S. Yoshizawa, Y. Oya-Seimiya, Y. Hishinuma, A.
 Nishimura, A. Matsumoto and H. Kumakura, (2003), Preparation of Bi-2223
 bulk composed with silver-alloy wire, *Physica C*, 392–396: 458–462.
- Hoek, E. M. V., S. Bhattacharjee and M. Elimelech, (2003), Membrane surface roughness on colloid-Membrane DLVO interactions, *Langmuir*, 19: 4836-4847.
- Horan, N. J. and D. Mara, Part 3: Microbiology of wastewater treatment: Introduction to microbiological wastewater treatment in *Handbook of water and wastewater microbiology*, Academic Press, Great Britain: Elsevier, 321; 2003.
- Hori, K., S. Matsumoto, (2010), Bacterial adhesion: From mechanism to control, *Biochemical. Eng. J.*, 48: 424-434.

http://www.saltlakemetals.com/silver_Antibacterial.htm http://www.tdshealthcare.co.uk/

- Hurst, C.J., *Modelling disease transmission and its prevention by disinfection*, Great Britain: Cambridge University Press, 357-359; 1996.
- Huysman, F. and W. Verstraete, (1993), Effect of cell surface characteristics on the adhesion of bacteria to soil particles, *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 16: 21-26.

- Idris, A., N. M. Zain and M. Y. Noordin, (2007), Synthesis, characterization and performance of asymmetric polyethersulfone (PES) ultrafiltration membranes with polyethylene glycol of different molecular weights as additives, *Desalination*, 207: 324-339.
- Ismail, A. F. and A. R. Hassan, (2007), Effect of additive contents on the performances and structural properties of asymmetric polyethersulfone (PES) nanofiltration membranes, *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, 55: 98–109.
- Ismail, A. F., B. C. Ng and W.A. W. Abdul Rahman, (2003), Effects of shear rate and forced convection residence time on asymmetric polysulfone membranes structure and gas separation performance, *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, 33(3): 255-272.
- Jaafar, J., A. F. Ismail and T. Matsuura, (2009), Preparation and barrier properties of SPEEK/Cloisite 15A/TAP nanocomposite membrane for direct methanol fuel cell application, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 345: 119–127.
- Johnson, J., (2003), A Novel Polyethersulphone microporous membrane, Feature Article Membrane Technology, 5–10.
- Jones, J. B., L. E. Jackson, B. Balogh, A. Obradovic, F. B. Iriarte and M. T. Momol, (2007), Bacteriophages for plant disease control, *Ann. Rev. Phytopathol.*, 45: 245–262.
- Kahn, L., J. Hulls and P. Aschwanden, Chapter 3- The Soil in *The septic system owner's manual*, Revised ed., USA: Shelter Publication, Inc., 28-32; 2007.
- Kang, S.W., J. H. Kim, K. Char and Y. S. Kang, (2005), Long-term separation performance of phthalate polymer/silver salt complex membranes for olefin/paraffin separation, *Macromolecular Res.*, 13(2): 162-166.
- Kawahara, K., K. Tsuruda, M. Morishita and M. Uchida, (2000), Antibacterial effect of silver–zeolite on oral bacteria under anaerobic conditions, *Dent. Mater.*, 16: 452–455.
- Kawabata, N. and E. Tanabe, (2005), Removal of water-borne bacteria by coagulation and sedimentation using sawdust coated with an equimolar copolymer of N-benzyl-4-vinylpyridinium chloride with styrene, *React. Funct. Polym.*, 65: 293-299.
- Khanna P. K., N. Singh, S. Charan, V.V.V.S. Subbarao, R. Gokhale and U. P. Mulik, (2005), Synthesis and characterization of Ag/PVA anocomposite by chemical reduction method, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 93: 117–121.

- Khanna, P. K., N. Singh, S. Charan and A. K. Viswanath, (2005), Synthesis of Ag/polyaniline nanocomposite via an in situ photo-redox mechanism, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 92: 214–219.
- Khanna, P. K., N. Singh, D. Kulkarni, S. Deshmukh, S. Charan and P. V. Adhyapak, (2007), Water based simple synthesis of re-dispersible silver nano-particles, *Mater. Lett.*, 61: 3366-3370.
- Khanna, P. K., N. Singh, S. Charan, V. V. V. S. Subbarao, R. Gokhale and U. P. Mulik, (2005), Synthesis and characterization of Ag/PVA nanocomposite by chemical reduction method, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 93: 117–121.
- Khayet, M., C. Y. Feng, K. C. Khulbe and T. Matsuura, (2002), Study on the effect of a non-solvent additive on the morphology and performance of ultrafiltration hollow-fiber membrane, *Desalination*, 148: 321-327.
- Khayet, M., C.Y. Feng and T. Matsuura, (2003), Morphological study of fluorinated asymmetric polyetherimide ultrafiltration membranes by surface modifying macromolecules, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 213: 159-180.
- Khor, S. L., D. D. Sun, Y. Liu and J. O. Leckie, (2007), Biofouling development and rejection enhancement in long SRT MF membrane bioreactor, *Process Biochem.*, 42: 1641–1648.
- Khulbe, K. C., T. Matsuura, C. Y. Feng, G. Lamarche and A.-M. Lamarche, (2002), Characterization of ultrafiltration membrane prepared from PES by using electron spin resonance technique, *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, 29: 15-22.
- Kim, H. S., S. J. Park, D. Q. Nguyen, J. Y. Bae, H. W. Bae, H. Lee, S. D. Lee and D. K. Choi, (2007), Multifunctional zwitterionic compounds as new membrane materials for separating olefin-paraffin mixtures, *Green Chem.*, 9: 599-604.
- Kim, J. H., M. S. Kang and C. K. Kim, (2005), Fabrication of membranes for the liquid separation Part I Ultrafiltration membranes prepared form novel miscible blends of polysulfone and poly(1-vinylpyrrolidone-co-acrylonitrile) copolymers, J. Membr. Sci., 265: 167–175.
- Kim, J. S., E. Kuk, K. N. Yu, J.-H. Kim, S. J. Park, H. J. Lee, S. H. Kim, Y. K. Park, Y. H. Park, C.-Y. Hwang Y.-K. Kim, Y.-S. Lee, D. H. Jeong and M.-H. Cho, (2007), Antimicrobial effects of silver nanoparticles, *Nanomed. Nanotechnol. Biol. Med.*, 3: 95-101.

- Kim, N., C-S. Kim and Y-T. Lee, (2008), Preparation and characterization of polyethersulfone membranes with *p*-toluenesulfonic acid and polyvinylpyrrolidone additives, *Desalination*, 233: 218–226.
- Kistemann, T., T. Classen, C. Koch, F. Dangendorf, R. Fischeder, J. Gebel, V. Vacata and M. Exner, (2002), Microbial load of drinking water reservoir tributaries during extreme rainfall and runoff, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 68: 2188–2197.
- Kochkodan, V., S. Tsarenko, N. Potapchenko, V. Kosinova and V. Gocharuk, (2008), Adhesion of microorganisms to polymer membranes: a Photobactericidal effect of surface treatment with TiO₂, *Desalination*, 220: 380-385.
- Kohler, J. and M.-H. Whangbo, (2008), Electronic structure study of the [Ag-Ag]⁴⁻, [Au-Au]⁴⁻ and [Hg-Hg]²⁻ zintl anions in the intermetallic compounds Yb₃Ag₂, Ca₅Au₄ and Ca₃Hg₂: Transition metal anions as *p*-metal elements, *Chem. Mater.*, 20: 2751-2756.
- Kong, H. and J. Jang, (2006), One-step fabrication of silver nanoparticle embedded polymer nanofibers by radical-mediated dispersion polymerization, *Chem. Commun.*, 3010-3012.
- Konieczny, K., D. Sakol and M. Bodzek, (2006), Efficiency of the hybrid coagulation-ultrafiltration water treatment process with the use of intensed hollow-fiber membranes, *Desalination*, 198: 102-110.
- Kora, A. J., R. Manjusha and J. Arunachalam, (2009), Superior bactericidal activity of SDS capped silver nanoparticles: Synthesis and characterization, *Mater. Sci. Eng. C*, 29: 2104-2109.
- Kumar R. and H. Münstedt, (2005), Silver ion release from antimicrobial polyamide/silver composites, *Biomed. Mater.*, 26: 2081–2088.
- Kusworo, T. D., A. F. Ismail, A. Mustafa and T. Matsuura, (2008), Dependence of membrane morphology and performance on preparation conditions: The shear rate effect in composite casting, *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, 61: 249–257.
- Lafreniere, L.Y., F. D. F. Talbot, T. Matsuura and S. Sourirajan, (1987), Effect of PVP additive on the performance of polyethersulfone ultrafiltration membranes, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 26: 2385–2389.
- Laine, J.-M., D. Vial and P. Moulart, (2000), Status after 10 years of operationoverview of UF technology today, *Desalination*, 131: 17–25.

- LeChevallier, M.W., C. D. Cawthon and R. G. Lee, (1988), Factors promoting survival of bacteria in chlorinated water supplies, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 54(3): 649-654.
- Lee, T.-H., Silver nanocluster single molecule opto-electronics and its applications, Georgia Institute of Technology, PhD Thesis; 2004.
- Lee, E., H. K. Shon and J. Cho, (2010), Biofouling characteristics using flow fieldflow fractionation: Effect of bacteria and membrane properties, *Bioresour*. *Technol.*, 101: 1487-1493.
- Lee, K. H., S. C. Rah and S-G. Kim, (2008), Formation of monodisperse silver nanoparticles in poly(vinylpyrrolidone) matrix using spray pyrolysis, J. Sol-Gel Sci. Technol., 45: 187–193.
- Li, H., A. G. Fane, H.G.L. Coster and S. Vigneswaran, (2003), Observation of deposition and removal behavior of submicron bacteria on the membrane surface during crossflow microfiltration, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 217: 29-41.
- Li, J.-F., Z.-L. Xu, H. Yang, C.-D. Feng and J.-H. Shi, (2008), Hydrophilic microporous PES membranes prepared by PES/PEG/DMAc casting solutions, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 107: 4100-4108.
- Li, J.-F., Z-L. Xu, H. Yang, Y. Li, Y. Yu and M. Liu, (2009), Effects of TiO₂ nanoparticles on the surface morphology and performance of microporous PES membrane, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 255: 4725–4732.
- Li, Q., S. Mahendra, D. Y. Lyon, L. Brunet, M.V. Liga, D. Li and P. J. J. Alvarej,
 (2008), Antimicrobial nanomaterials for water disinfection and microbial control: Potential applications and implications, *Water Res.*, 42: 4591-4602.
- Li, Z., H. Huang, T. Shang, F. Yang, W. Zheng, C. Wang and S. K. Manohar, (2006), Facile synthesis of single-crystal and controllable sized silver nanoparticles on the surface of polyacrylonitrile nanofibres, *Nanotechnol.*, 17: 917-920.
- Lin, H.W., W. H. Hwu and M. D. Ger, (2008), The dispersion of silver nanoparticles with physical dispersal procedures, *J. Mater. Process Technol.*, 206(1-3): 56-61.
- Liu, C. X., D. R. Zhang, Yi He, X. S. Zhao and R. Bai, (2010), Modification of membrane surface for anti-biofouling performance: Effect of anti-adhesion and anti-bacteria approaches, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 346: 121–130.

- Liu, Q.-L. and J. Xiao, (2004), Silicate-filled poly(siloxone imide) membranes for removal of VOCs from water by pervaporation, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 230: 121– 129.
- Liu, S. X., J.-T. Kim, and S. Kim, (2008), Effect of polymer surface modification on polymer-protein interaction via hydrophilic polymer grafting, *J. Food Sci. E: Food Engineering and Physical Properties*, 73(3): E143-E150.
- Liu, X., X. Cai, J. F. Mao, C. Jifu, (2001), ZnS/Ag/ZnS nano-multilayer films for transparent electrodes in flat display application, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 183(1-2):103-110.
- Liu, Y., S. Hashimoto, K. Yasumoto, K. Takei, M. Mori, Y. Funahashi, Y. Fijishiro, A. Hirano, Y. Takeda, (2009), Preparation and application of nano-dispersed Ag in La0.6Sr0.4CoxFe1-xO3-δ perovskites for intermediate temperature solid oxide fuel cell, *Current Appl. Phys.*, 9(1): S51-S53.
- Ma, X., Y. Su, Q. Sun, Y. Wang and Z. Jiang, (2007), Enhancing the antifouling property of polyethersulfone ultrafiltration membranes through surface adsorption-crosslinking of poly(vinyl alcohol), *J. Membr. Sci.*, 300: 71–78.
- Ma, Y., T. Zhou and C. Zhao, (2008), Preparation of chitosan–nylon-6 blended membranes containing silver ions as antibacterial materials, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 343(2): 230-237.
- Madaeni S. S., (1999), The application of membrane technology for water disinfection, Review Paper, *Water Res.*, 33: 301-308.
- Madigan, M. T., J. M. Martinko and J. Parker, Chapter 3: Overview of cell structure and the significance of smallness in *Brock Biology of Microorganisms*, 9th edition, Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 58-59; 2000.
- Malaisamy, R., D. R. Mohan and M. Rajendran, (2002), Polyurethane and sulfonated polysulfone blend ultrafiltration membranes (I. Preparation and characterization studies), *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 254: 129-140.
- Martinez-Castanon, G.A., N. Nino-Martinez, F. Martinez-Gutierrez, J.R. Martinez-Mendoza and F. Ruiz, (2008), Synthesis and antibacterial activity of silver nanoparticles with different sizes, J. Nanopart. Res. (Brief Comm.), 10: 1343-1348.
- McDowell-Boyer, L.M., J. R. Hunt and N. Sitar, (1986), Particle transport through porous media, *Water. Resour. Res.*, 22(13): 1901-1921.

- Mimi Sakinah, A. M., A. F. Ismail, R. M. Illias and O. Hassan, (2007), Fouling characteristics and autopsy of a PES ultrafiltration membrane in cyclodextrins separation, *Desalination*, 207: 227-242.
- Milne, D. P., J. C. Curran and L. Wilson, (1986), Effects of sedimentation on removal of fecal coliform bacteria from effluents in estuarine water, *Water Res.*, 20(12): 1493-1496.
- Mohd Norddin, M. N. A., A. F. Ismail, D. Rana, T. Matsuura, A. Mustafa and A.
 Tabe-Mohammadi, (2008), Characterization and performance of proton exchange membranes for direct methanol fuel cell: Blending of sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone) with charged surface modifying macromolecule, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 323: 404-413.
- Monteiro, D.R., L. F. Gorup, A. S. Takamiya, A. C. Ruvolla, E. R. de Camargo, D.
 B. Barbosa, (2009), The growing importance of materials that prevent microbial adhesion- Antimicrobial effect of medical devices containing silver, *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents*, 34: 103-110.
- Mu, L.-J., K. S. Kim, K.H. Lee, K. Cho and C. E. Park, (2002), Surface modification of polysulfone ultrafiltration membrane by oxygen plasma treatment, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 199: 133–145.
- Mulder, M., *Basic Principles of Membrane Technology*, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publisher; 1991.
- Narkis, N., R. Armon, R.Offer, F. Orsnansky and E. Friedland, (1995), Effect of suspended solids on wastewater disinfection efficiency by chlorine dioxide, *Water Res.*, 29(1): 227-236.
- Nasef, M. M., H. Saidi and K. Z. Mohd. Dahlan, (2009), Single-step radiation induced grafting for preparation of proton exchange membranes for fuel cell, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 339(1–2): 115–119.
- Neis, U. and T. Blume, (2003), Ultrasonic disinfection of wastewater effluents for high-quality reuse, *Water Sci. Technol.*: *Water Supply*, 3(4):261-267.
- Nielsen, P. H., B. Frolund and K. Keiding, (1996), Changes in the composition of extracellular polymeric substances in activated sludge during anaerobic storage, *App. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 44: 823–830.
- Oh, H. K., S. Takizawaa, S. Ohgaki, H. Katayama, K. Oguma and M. J. Yu, (2007), Removal of organics and viruses using hybrid ceramic MF system without draining PAC, *Desalination*, 202: 191–198.

- Olanczuk-Neyman, K., H.Stosik-Fleszar and S.Mikolajski, (2001), Evaluation of indicator bacteria removal in wastewater treatment process, *Pol. J. Environ. Stud.*, 10(6): 457-461.
- Olson, B. H., R. McCleary and J. Meeker, Chapter 12: Background and models for bacterial biofilm formation and function in water distribution system in C.
 J. Hurst, *Modelling the environmental fate of microorganisms*, Washington DC: ASM Press, 358-363; 1991.
- Oron, G., R. Armon, R. Mandelbaum, Y. Manor, C. Campos, L. Gllierman, M. Salgot, C. Gerba, I. Klein and C. Enriquez, (2001), Secondary wastewater disposal for crop irrigation with minimal risks, *Water Sci. Technol.* (Wastewater Reclamation, Recycling and Reuse), 43: 139–146.
- Ortiz-Ibarra, H., N. Casillas, V. Soto, M. Barcena-Soto, R. Torres-Vitela, W. de la Cruz and S. Gomez-Salazar, (2007), Surface characterization of electrodeposited silver on activated carbon for bactericidal purposes, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 314(2): 562-571.
- Park, K. J., D. S. Seo and J. K. Lee, (2008), Conductivity of silver paste prepared from nanoparticles, *Colloids Surf. A: Physicochem. Eng. Aspects*, 313-314: 351-354.
- Peitzman, S. J. and Felix d'Herelle, (1969), Bacteriophage therapy, *Trans. Stud. Coll. Physicians Phila.*, 37: 115–123.
- Pihlajamaki, A., P. Vaisuren and M. Nystom, (1998), Characterization of clean and fouled polymeric ultrafiltration membranes by fourier transform IR spectroscopy–attenuated total reflection, *Colloids Surf. A: Physicochemical* and Engineering Aspects, 138: 323-333.
- Qin, J. and T. S. Chung, (1999), Effect of dope flow rate on the morphology, separation performance, thermal and mechanical properties of ultrafiltration hollow fibre membranes, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 157: 35-51.
- Qin, J.-J., M. H. Oo, K. A. Kekre, F. Knops and P. Miller, (2006), Reservoir water treatment using hybrid coagulation-ultrafiltration, *Desalination*, 193: 344-349.
- Qingwen, S., L. Yi, X. Jianwei, J. Y. Hu and M. Yuen, (2007), Thermal stability of composite phase change material microcapsules incorporated with silver nano-particles., *Polymer*, 48: 3317-3323.

- Rafizah, W. A. W. and A. F. Ismail, (2008), Effect of carbon molecular sieve sizing with poly(vinyl pyrrolidone) K-15 on carbon molecular sieve–polysulfone mixed matrix membrane, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 307: 53–61.
- Rahimpour, A., S. S. Madaeni and S. Mehdipour-Ataei, (2008), Synthesis of a novel poly(amide-imide)(PAI) and preparation and characterization of PAI blended polyethersulfone (PES) membranes, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 311: 349-359.
- Rajniak, P., S. C. Tsinontides, D. Pham, W. A. Hunke, S. D. Reynolds and R. T. Chern, (2008), Sterelizing filtration-Principles and practice for successful scale-up to manufacturing, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 325: 223–237.
- Raju, K. S., (1994), Bonding characteristics in silver vanadate: an XPS study, Mater. Lett., 19: 65-68.
- Remize, P. J., C. Guigui and C. Cabassud, (2006), From a new method to consider backwash efficiency to the definition of remaining fouling, *Desalination*, 199: 86–88.
- Reddy, A. S., C.-Y. Chen, S. C. Baker, C.-C. Chen, J.-S. Jean, C.-W. Fan, H.-R. Chen and J.-C. Wang, (2009), Synthesis of silver nanoparticles using surfactin: A biosurfactant as stabilizing agent, *Mater. Lett.* 63: 1227–1230.
- Ridgway, H. F. and H.-C. Flemming, *Biofouling of membranes in Membrane Processes for water treatment*, New York: McGraw Hill; 1996.
- Rizwan, T. and S. Bhattacharjee, (2007), Initial deposition of colloidal particles on a rough nanofiltration membrane, *Can. J. Chem Eng.*, 85(5): 570-579.
- Salih, F.M., (2002), Enhancement of solar inactivation of *Escherichia coli* by titanium dioxide photocatalytic oxidation, *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, 92: 923.
- Saljoughi E. and T. Mohammadi, (2009), Cellulose acetate (CA) polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) blend asymmetric membrane-Preparation, morphology and performance, *Desalination*, 249: 850–854.
- Sambhy, V., M. M. Macbride and B. R. Peterson, (2006), Silver bromide nanoparticles/polymer composites: dual action tunable antimicrobial materials, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 128: 9798-9808.
- Sarkar, S., A. D. Jana, S. K. Samanta and G. Mostafa, (2007), Facile synthesis of silver nano particles with highly efficient anti-microbial property, *Polyhedron*, 6(15): 4419-4426.
- Scholz, M., Wetland systems to control urban runoff, The Netherlands: Elsevier, 159-162; 2006.

- Seo, D., W. Yoon, S. Park, J. Kim and J. Kim, (2007), the preparation of hydrophobic silver nanoparticles via solvent exchange method, *Colloids* and Surf. A: Physicochem. Eng. Aspects, 313-314: 158-161.
- Sharma, M. M., Y. I. Chang and T. F. Yen, (1985), Reversible and irreversible surface charge modification of bacteria for facilitating transport through porous media, *Colloids and Surf.*, 16: 193-206.
- Shephard, J. J., D. M. Savory, P. J., Bremer and A. J. McQuillan, (2010), Salt Modulates bacterial hyrophobicity and charge properties influencing adhesion of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (PA01) in aqueous suspensions, *Langmuir*, 26(11): 8659-8665.
- Shigenobu, M., R. Mohammad, K. Masayuki, H. Hiroshi, U. Takako, A. Kazue, U. Junpei, S. Shingo and I. Shosuke, (2006), Bacteriophage therapy- A short review, *Recent Res. Develop. Virol.*, 7: 1–11.
- Singh, N. and P. K. Khanna, (2007), *In situ* synthesis of silver nano-particles in polymethylmethacrylate, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 104: 367-372.
- Singh, R., *Hybrid membrane systems for water purification- Technology, System, Design and Operation*, Oxford, UK: Elsevier Ltd, 94; 2006.
- Slistan-Grijalva, A., R., Herrera-Urbina, J. F. Rivas-Silva, M. Avalos-Borja, F. F. Castillon Barraza and A. Posada-Amarillas, (2008), Synthesis of silver nanoparticles in a polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) paste and their optical properties in a film and in ethylene glycol, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 43: 90–96.
- Smethurst, G., *Basic water treatment for application world-wide*, 2nd edition, London: Thomas Telford Ltd., 137-138; 1988.
- Soffer, Y., A. Adin and J. Gilron, (2004), Threshold flux in fouling of UF membranes by colloidal iron, *Desalination*, 161: 207–221.
- Son, W. K., J. H. Youk and W. H. Park, (2006), Antimicrobial cellulose acetate nanofibers containing silver nanoparticles, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 65: 430-434.
- Song, I. F. and M. Elimetech, (1995), Particle deposition onto a permeable surface in laminar-flow, J. Colloid Interf. Sci., 173: 165-180.
- Songping, W., (2007), Preparation of micron size flake silver powders for conductive thick films, *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron*, 18: 447–452.
- Stamm, M., Chapter 4: X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy in Polymer surfaces and interfaces: characterization, modification and application, Verlag Berlin Heidelberg: Springer, 76-79; 2008.

- Steen, M. L. L. Hymas, E. D. Havey, N. E. Capps, D. G. Castner and E. R. Fisher, (2001), Low temperature plasma treatment of asymmetric polysulfone membranes for permanent hydrophilic surface modification, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 188: 97–114.
- Stenstrom, T.A., (1989), Bacterial hydrophobicity, an overall parameter for the measurement of adhesion potential to soil particles, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 55(1): 142-147.
- Stevik, T.K., K. Aa, G. Ausland and J.F. Hanssen, (2004), Retention and removal of pathogenic bacteria in wastewater percolating through porous media: A review, *Water Res.*, 38: 1355-1367.
- Su, C., K. Kuraoka and T. Yazawa, (2002), Increasing the stability of silver(I) ions in inorganic-organic hybrid membranes for C₂H₄/C₂H₆ separation by using weakly self-coordinating anions of the silver salts, *J. Mater. Sci. Lett.*, 21: 525-527.
- Suk, D. C., G. Chowdhury and T. Matsuura, (2002), Study in the kinetics of surface migration of surface modifying macromolecules in membrane preparation, *Macromolecules*, 35: 3017-3021.
- Tan, K. and S. K. Obendorf, (2007), Development of a microbial microporous polyurethane composite, J. Membr. Sci., 289: 199-209.
- Taurozzi, S. J., H. Arul, V. Z. Bosak, A. F. Burban, T. C. Voice, M. L. Bruening and V.V. Tarabara, (2008), Effect of filler incorporation route on the properties of polysulfone–silver nanocomposite membranes of different porosities, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 325: 58–68.
- Tenover, F. C., (2006), Mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance in bacteria, Am. J. *Med.*, 119(6-1): S3–S10.
- Thomas, J. A., J. A. Soddell and D. I. Kurtboke, (2002), Fighting foam with phages? *Water Sci. Technol.*, 46: 511–518.
- Torrestiana-Sanchez, B., R. I. Ortiz-Basurto and E. Brito-De La Fuente, (1999), Effect of nonsolvent on properties of spinning solutions and polyethersulfone hollow fiber ultrafiltration membranes, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 152: 19–28.
- Valette, G., (1982), Hydrophilicity of metal surfaces: silver, gold and copper electrodes, *J.Electroanal.Chem. Interfacial Electrochem.*,139(2):285-301.

- Vanderbroncke-Grauls, C.M., K.B. Teeuw, K. Ballemans, C. Lavooij, P.B. Cornelisse and J. Verhoef, (1995), Bacterial and viral removal efficiency, heat and moisture exchange properties of four filtration devices, *J. Hosp. Infect.*, 29(1): 45-46.
- Vandivevivere, P. and P. Baveye, (1992), Relationship between transport of bacteria and their clogging efficiency in sand columns, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 58(8): 2523-2530.
- Virender, K. S., A. Y. Ria and L. Yekaterina, (2009), Silver nanoparticles : Green synthesis and their antimicrobial activities, *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 145: 83-96.
- Wakida, S-I. and Y. Ujihira, (1986), A new silver ion sensor with silver-7,7,8,8-Tetra cyanoquinodimethane film coupled to a conventional field effect transistor, *Anal. Sci.*, 2: 231-233.
- Waldor, M. K., D. I. Friedman and S. Adhya, Chapter 22: Use of phages in therapy and bacterial detection in M. McKinstry and R. Edgar, *Phages: Their role in bacterial pathogenesis and biotechnology*, Washington, DC: American Society for Microbiology: ASM Press, 430–440; 2005.
- Wan, L.-S., Z.-K., Xu, X.-J., Huang, A.-F., Che and Z.-G., Wang, (2006), A novel process for the post-treatment of polyacrylonitrile-based membranes:
 Performance improvement and possible mechanism, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 277(1-2): 157-164.
- Wang, H., X. Qiao, J. Chen, X. Wang and S. Ding, (2005), Mechanisms of PVP in the preparation of silver nanoparticles (Review), *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 94: 449–453.
- Wang, Y., Q. Yang, G. Shan, C. Wang, J. Du, S. Wang, Y. Li, X. Chen, X. Jing and Y. Wei, (2005), Preparation of silver nanoparticles dispersed in polyacrylonitrile nanofiber film spun by electrospinning, *Mat. Lett.*, 59: 3046-3049.
- Watts, J. F. and J. Wolstenholme, *An introduction to Surface Analysis by XPS and AES*, England: John Wiley and Sons Ltd.; 2003.
- Xu, Z.-L. and F. A. Qusay, (2004), Effect of polyethylene glycol molecular weights and concentrations on polyethersulfone hollow fiber ultrafiltration membranes, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 91(5): 3398-3407.

- Xu, Z.-L. and F. A. Qusay, (2004), Polyethersulfone (PES) hollow fiber ultrafiltration membranes prepared by PES/non-solvent/NMP solution, J. *Membr. Sci.*, 233(1-2): 101-111.
- Xu, Z.-L., T.-S. Chung and Y. Huang, (1999), Effect of polyvinyl pyrrolidone molecular weights on morphology, oil/water separation, mechanical and thermal properties of polyetherimide/polyvinylpyrrolidone hollow fiber membrane, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 74(9): 2220-2223.
- Yao, C., X. Li, K. G. Neoh, Z. Shib and E. T. Kang, (2008), Surface modification and antibacterial activity of electrospun polyurethane fibrous membranes with quaternary ammonium moieties, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 320: 259-267.
- Yong, H., H. H. C. Park, Y. S. Kang, J. Won and W. N. Kim, (2001), Zeolite-filled polyimide membrane containing 2,4,6-triaminopyrimidine, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 188: 151–163.
- Yoo, S. H., J. H. Kim, J. Y. Jho, J. Won and Y. S. Kang, (2004), Influence of the addition of PVP on the morphology of asymmetric polyimide phase inversion membranes: Effect of PVP molecular weight, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 236: 203–207.
- Yu, D.-G., M.-Y. Teng, W.-L. Chou and M.-C. Yang, (2003), Characterization and inhibitory effect of antibacterial PAN-based hollow fiber loaded with ilver nitrate, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 225: 115-123.
- Yu, H., X. Xu, X. Chen, T. Lu, P. Zhang and X. Jing, (2007), Preparation and antibacterial effects of PVA-PVP hydrogels containing silver nanoparticles, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 103(1): 125-135.
- Yuehuei, H. A. and R. J. Friedman, *Handbook of bacterial adhesion: Principles, methods and application*, USA: Humana Press, 84-87; 2000.
- Yuqing, X. L., L. Liming, L. Weigang, Y. Dequan and D. Daoan, (2001), Atomic force Microscopy and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy study on nanostructured silver thin films irradiated by atomic oxygen, *Mater. Sci. Eng.*, B79: 68-70.
- Zhang, Z., B. Zhao and L. Hu, (1996), PVP protective mechanism of ultrafine silver powder synthesized by chemical reduction processes, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 121: 361-371.
- Zhao, Y., J. S. Wang, C. Zhao and D. Xia, (2009), Synthesis and electrochemical performance of LiCoPO₄ micron-rods by dispersant-aided hydrothermal method for lithium ion batteries, *Rare Met.*, (28-2): 117–121.

- Zheng, M., M. Gu, Y. Jin and G. Jin, (2001), Optical properties of silver-dispersed PVP thin film, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 36: 853–859.
- Zheng, R., J. Ozisik and R.W. Siegel, (2005), Disruption of self-assembly and altered mechanical behavior in polyurethane/zinc oxide nanocomposites, *Polymer*, 46: 10873-10882.
- Zio, A. D., M. Prisciandaro, and D. Barba, (2005), Disinfection of surface waters with UF membranes, *Desalination*, 179: 297-305.
- Zodrow, K., L. Brunet, S. Mahendra, D. Li, A. Zhang, Q. Li and P. J. J. Alvarez, (2009), Polysulfone ultrafiltration membranes impregnated with silver nanoparticles show improved biofouling resistance and virus removal, *Water Res.*, 43: 715-723.
- Zou, J., Y. Xu, B. Hou, D. Wu and Y. Sun, (2007), Controlled growth of silver nanoparticles in a hydrothermal process, *China Particuology*, 5: 206-212.