# MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF SOFT CLAY STABILIZED WITH CEMENT-RICE HUSKS (RH)

## MARDIHA BINTI MOKHTAR

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirement for the award of the Degree of Master of Engineering (Civil-Geotechnics)

Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

**SEPTEMBER 2011** 

### ABSTRACT

Chemical stabilization has been extensively used for the improvement of soft clay soils, in enhancing the shear strength and limiting the deformation behaviours. Cement is widely used as a stabilizing material for soils, but the increasing price is causing economic concerns among practitioners and clients alike. The quest for alternative cheaper stabilizing agents is therefore more urgent than before. Rice husk is a major agricultural waste in Malaysia and the common disposal method of open burning has notoriously contributed to environmental pollution. The possibility of admixing rice husks with cement for stabilizing soft soils could be a solution to both problems. This study was aimed at assessing the usefulness of cement-rice husks as an effective soil stabilizer for improving the mechanical properties of clay soils. Laboratory experiments were carried out on a stabilized soft clay to study the inter-relationships between shear wave velocity, one-dimensional compressibility and unconfined compressive strength. Bulk clay samples were collected from the Research Centre for Soft Soils (RECESS) of UTHM. The stabilized specimens were prepared with the clay admixed with 5 % and 10 % cement and various quantities of rice husks, then compacted into cylindrical specimens measuring 38 mm in diameter and 76 mm high. The specimens were then left to cure for different periods up to a month. The stabilized specimens were observed to undergo increase in stiffness and strength, as well as significant reduction in compressibility, highlighting the great potential of cement-rice husk as an alternative soft soil stabilizer.

Keywords: Clay soil stabilization, cement, rice husk, shear wave velocity, onedimensional compressibility, unconfined compressive strength

### ABSTRAK

Penstabilan secara kimia telah digunakan secara meluas dalam penstabilan tanah, untuk menaikkan nilai kekuatan ricih tanah dan menghadkan ciri-ciri pemendapan tanah. Simen telah lama digunakan sebagai bahan penstabil tanah, tetapi kenaikan harganya merisaukan ekonomi pengguna yang terbabit. Oleh itu, permintaan terhadap agen penstabil yang lebih murah sangatlah diutamakan. Sekam padi merupakan antara bahan buangan utama daripada sektor pertanian di Malaysia dan kaedah pelupusannya iaitu secara pembakaran terbuka telah menyumbang kepada berlakunya pencemaran udara. Kecenderungan untuk mencampurkan sekam padi dengan simen dalam penstabilan tanah lembut mungkin merupakan jalan penyelesaian terhadap kedua-dua masalah tersebut. Matlamat kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji kegunaan simen-sekam padi sebagai bahan penstabil tanah yang berkesan dalam memperbaiki ciri-ciri mekanikal tanah liat. Ujikaji-ujikaji makmal dijalankan terhadap tanah liat terstabil untuk mengkaji hubungan antara halaju gelombang ricih, pemampatan satu dimensi dan kekuatan mampatan tak terkurung. Sampel tanah liat diambil dari Pusat Penyelidikan Tanah Lembut (RECESS), UTHM. Spesimen vang terstabil disediakan dengan mencampurkan tanah liat dengan 5 % dan 10 % simen dan pelbagai kuantiti sekam padi. Kemudiannya, dimampatkan menjadi spesimen silinder berukuran 38 mm dan ketinggian 76 mm. Spesimen-spesimen tersebut kemudiannya diawet pada tempoh berlainan sehingga sebulan. Spesimen-spesimen tersebut dipantau bagi memastikan terdapatnya kenaikan nilai-nilai kekukuhan dan kekuatannya, serta penurunan mendapan yang efektif, yang menunjukkan terdapatnya potensi untuk simen-sekam padi sebagai bahan penstabil alternatif untuk tanah liat.

Kata kunci: penstabilan tanah liat, simen, sekam padi, halaju gelombang ricih, pemampatan satu dimensi dan kekuatan mampatan tak terkurung.

## CONTENTS

|    | TITLE                   | i    |
|----|-------------------------|------|
|    | DECLARATION             | ii   |
|    | DEDICATION              | iii  |
|    | ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS        | iv   |
|    | ABSTRACT                | v    |
|    | ABSTRAK                 | vii  |
|    | CONTENTS                | vii  |
|    | LIST OF TABLES          | xi   |
|    | LIST OF FIGURES         | xiii |
|    | LIST OF SYMBOLS         | xvi  |
|    | LIST OF APPENDICES      | XX   |
|    |                         |      |
| CF | IAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION   |      |
|    | 1.1 Research Background | 1    |

| 1.1 | Research Dackground | 1 |
|-----|---------------------|---|
| 1.2 | Problem Statement   | 4 |
| 1.3 | Research Objectives | 5 |
| 1.4 | Scope of Research   | 5 |
| 1.5 | Important of Study  | 6 |
| 1.6 | Structure of Thesis | 6 |

| C | НАРТ | ER II   | LITERATURE REVIEW  | 7  |
|---|------|---------|--|----|
|   | 2.1  | Soft S  | loil   | 7  |
|   | 2.2  | Soft S  | oil Stabilization with Deep Mixing                       | 8  |
|   |      | 2.2.1   | The Mixing Process                                       | 8  |
|   | 2.3  | Stabil  | izing Agent  | 10 |
|   |      | 2.3.1   | Ordinary Portland Cement                                 | 10 |
|   |      | 2.3.2   | Rice Husk  | 12 |
|   |      |         | 2.3.2.1 Properties and Pozzolanic Effect of Rice<br>Husk | 13 |
|   | 2.4  | Measu   | urement of Stiffness using Seismic Method                | 14 |
|   | 2.5  | Meası   | urement of v <sub>s</sub> for Stabilized Soil            | 15 |
|   | 2.6  | Bende   | er Element (BE) Test                                     | 16 |
|   |      | 2.6.1   | BE Test in Geotechnical Testing                          | 17 |
|   |      | 2.6.2   | Determination of Shear Wave Travel Time                  | 18 |
|   |      | 2.6.3   | Methods for Determining Travel Time                      | 20 |
|   |      | 2.6.4   | Near Field Effect  | 21 |
|   |      | 2.6.5   | Bender Element (BE) Test in Stabilized Soils             | 21 |
|   | 2.7  | Uncor   | nfined Compressive Strength (UCS)                        | 22 |
|   |      | 2.7.1   | UCS Test in Stabilized Soil                              | 23 |
|   | 2.8  | Correl  | lation between Shear Wave Velocity and UCS Test          | 24 |
|   | 2.9  | Comp    | ressibility Studies                                      | 25 |
|   |      | 2.9.1   | Compressibility Behaviour on Stabilized Soil             | 26 |
| C | НАРТ | TER III | MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY                                | 48 |
|   | 3.1  | Introd  | uction   | 48 |
|   | 3.2  | Prepar  | ration of Raw Materials                                  | 49 |
|   |      | 3.2.1   | Clay Soil  | 49 |
|   |      | 3.2.2   | Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC)                           | 49 |

|       | 3.2.3  | Rice Husk (RH)   | 50 |
|-------|--|--|----|
|       | 3.2.4  | Calculation for the Quantities of Cement and RH        | 51 |
| 3.3   | .3 X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) Analysis           |  |    |
| 3.4   | Uncon  | ifined Tests   | 53 |
|       | 3.4.1  | Specimen Preparation                                   | 53 |
|       | 3.4.2  | Bender Element (BE) Tests                              | 54 |
|       |  | 3.4.2.1 Effects of Stacking and Trigger Types on $v_s$ | 55 |
|       | 3.4.3  | Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Test             | 55 |
| 3.5   | One-d  | imensional Compressibility (Oedometer) Test            | 56 |
|       | 3.5.1  | Preparation of Specimens                               | 56 |
|       | 3.5.2  | Oedometer Tests  | 57 |
|       |  |  |    |
| СНАРТ | FER IV   | <b>RESULTS: ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSIONS</b>               | 73 |
| 4.1   | 4.1 Introduction                               |  |    |
| 4.2   | 2 Physical Properties for Stabilized Specimens |  |    |
| 4.3   | Uncor  | fined Tests  | 75 |
|       | 4.3.1  | Shear Wave Velocity                                    | 75 |
|       |  | 4.3.1.1 Effect of RH Size Fractions on v <sub>s</sub>  | 76 |
|       | 4.3.2  | Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS)                  | 77 |
|       |  | 4.3.2.1 Stress-strain Behaviour                        | 77 |
|       |  | 4.3.2.2 Deformation Modulus                            | 78 |
|       |  | 4.3.2.3 4.3.2.3 Relationship between $E_o - G_o$       | 79 |
|       | 4.3.3  | Factors Governing the Improved Properties              | 80 |
|       |  | 4.3.3.1 Cement and RH Content Effect                   | 80 |
|       |  | 4.3.3.2 Curing Period Effect                           | 81 |
|       | 4.3.4  | Correlation between $q_u$ , $v_s$ and $G_o$            | 82 |
| 4.4   | One-d  | imensional Compressibility Tests                       | 83 |
|       | 4.4.1  | Nominal 'zero' of Vertical Stress                      | 83 |
|       | 4.4.2  | Effective Yield Stress for Stabilized Specimens        | 84 |
|       | 4.4.3  | Compressibility of the Stabilized Specimens            | 85 |

|              | 4.4.4 Consolidation Behaviour |           | 85   |     |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------|--|-----|
|              |                               | 4.4.4.1   | Coefficient of Consolidation (c <sub>v</sub> ) | 86  |
|              |                               | 4.4.4.2   | Coefficient of Volume Compressibility $(m_v)$  | 87  |
|              |                               |           |  |     |
| CHAPT        | FER V                         | CONCL     | USIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS                     | 110 |
| 5.1          | Introd                        | uction    |  | 110 |
| 5.2 Findings |                               | 110       |  |     |
| 5.3          | Recon                         | nmendatio | ons  | 112 |
|              |                               |           |  |     |
| REI          | FEREN                         | CES       |  | 114 |
| APF          | PENDIX                        | X         |  | 123 |

## LIST OF TABLES

| 2.1 | World production rate for rice paddy and rice husk (million metrictons) by Mehta (1992)    | 27 |
|-----|--|----|
| 2.2 | Micro analysis of the external surface of the rice husk<br>(Jauberthie, et al., 2000)      | 27 |
| 2.3 | Micro analysis of the interior of the rice husk (Jauberthie, et al., 2000)                 | 28 |
| 2.4 | Micro analysis of the internal surface of the rice husk<br>(Jauberthie, et al., 2000)      | 28 |
| 2.5 | The seismic methods employed in determination of stiffness-depth profiles                  | 29 |
| 2.6 | Shear strength of clays (Head, 1982)   | 30 |
| 2.7 | Preconsolidation pressures and curing times of the oedometer specimens (Feng et al., 2001) | 31 |
| 3.1 | Properties of clay soil  | 57 |
| 3.2 | Chemical compositions for clay, cement and rice husk                                       | 58 |
| 3.3 | Bender element test specimens  | 59 |
| 3.4 | Specimens for unconfined compressive strength and oedometer tests                          | 59 |
| 3.5 | Sequence of stress application for the oedometer test                                      | 60 |
| 4.1 | The differences of travel time (t) determined by first major                               | 88 |

| peak-to-peak | (p-p) | and | trough-to- | -trougl | h ( | t-t) | ) |
|--------------|-------|-----|------------|---------|-----|------|---|
|--------------|-------|-----|------------|---------|-----|------|---|

| 4.2 | The differences shear wave velocities (vs) between the            | 89 |
|-----|---|----|
|     | travel time determined by first major peak-to-peak (p-p) and      |    |
|     | trough-to-trough (t-t)  |    |
| 4.3 | The difference of maximum shear modulus (G <sub>o</sub> ) derived | 90 |
|     | from $_{vp-p}$ and $v_{t-t}$                                      |    |
| 4.4 | The $E_0$ (experimental) and $E_0$ (theoretical) results          | 91 |
| 4.5 | The oedometer test results (settlement) for 7-day specimens       | 91 |
|     | cured   |    |
| 4.6 | $c_v$ values for stabilized specimen and unstabilized clay (7     | 92 |
|     | days)   |    |
| 4.7 | $m_v$ values for stabilized specimen and unstabilized clay (7     | 92 |
|     | days)   |    |

## LIST OF FIGURES

| 2.1  | Soil map of Batu Pahat, Johor (adapted from the Soil Map of Malaya, 1962)  | 32 |
|------|--|----|
| 2.2  | Stabilized columns mechanically interact with the unstabilized soil  | 33 |
| 2.3  | The mixing process in dry deep mixing (Larsson, 2003)  | 34 |
| 2.4  | P-wave and S-wave (GDS, 2005)  | 34 |
| 2.5  | The influence of compaction moisture content $(w)$ on the dynamic response of stabilized specimens (Hoyos et al., 2004)  | 35 |
| 2.6  | The $G_0$ values evaluated with the bender elements against those from the cyclic triaxial tests (Sahaphol and Miura, 2005).   | 35 |
| 2.7  | Variations of shear wave velocity with penetration positions (a) varying the penetration rate, (b) varying the sample size, (c) varying the consolidation pressure (Chan et al., 2010) | 36 |
| 2.8  | An example of the received trace when square wave is used (Jovičić et al., 1996)   | 37 |
| 2.9  | The comparison of the travel times from the different methods<br>associated with three different values of effective consolidation<br>pressures (Kumar and Madhusudhan, 2010)          | 38 |
| 2.10 | The methods for determining travel time (a) first time of arrival (to) and first peak to peak time $(t_{pp})$ , (b) cross-correlation method (Kumar and Madhusudhan, 2010)             | 39 |
| 2.11 | An initial downward deflection of a trace, corresponding to low values of $R_d$ , before the actual arrival of the shear wave  | 40 |

(Sanches-Salinero et al., 1986)

| 2.12 | Procedure of bender element test at construction site (Asaka<br>and Abe, 2009)   | 40 |
|------|--|----|
| 2.13 | Principle of unconfined compressive strength test  | 41 |
| 2.14 | The relationship between the unconfined compressive strength<br>and fiber content after curing for 28 days (Tang et al., 2006)   | 41 |
| 2.15 | The relationship between normalized strength at 28 days with<br>the normalized strengths at other time intervals (Tan et al.,<br>2002)   | 42 |
| 2.16 | Influence of curing temperature on $q_u$ (Kitazume and Nishimura, 2009)  | 42 |
| 2.17 | Empirical correlations between $q_u$ and $v_s$ for lime-cement<br>stabilized expansive clays (a) Site1 (b) Site 2 (Madhyannapu et<br>al., 2010)  | 43 |
| 2.18 | Comparison of predicted and calculated strengths for quality assessment (Madhyannapu et al., 2010)   | 44 |
| 2.19 | The variation in shear wave velocity, evaluated from bender<br>element and the resonant column free-free tests (RCff), with the<br>strengths from UCS tests (Åhnberg and Holmén, 2009) | 44 |
| 2.20 | The relationship between $q_u$ and $v_s$ compared to other investigations (Åhnberg and Holmén, 2009)   | 45 |
| 2.21 | The time-compression diagram   | 45 |
| 2.22 | The compression curves of mud-cement specimens (Feng et al., 2001)   | 46 |
| 2.23 | Consolidation curves for unstabilized and 1 % lime stabilized soils (Sakr and Shahin, 2009)  | 46 |

| 2.24   | Effect of curing time on preconsolidation pressure or yield   | 47 |
|--------|---|----|
|        | stress at 1 % lime (Sakr and Shahin, 2009)  |    |
| 3.1(a) | Methodology flow chart (physical tests)   | 61 |
| 3.1(b) | Methodology flow chart (mechanical tests)   | 62 |
| 3.2    | Clay soil   | 63 |
| 3.3    | Clay samples retrieve at RECESS using motorized auger   | 63 |
| 3.4    | Particle size distribution of clay soil   | 64 |
| 3.5    | Ordinary portland cement  | 64 |
| 3.6    | Rice husk ( > 425 $\mu$ m)  | 65 |
| 3.7    | Rice husk (< 425 μm)  | 65 |
| 3.8    | Particle size distribution of RH  | 66 |
| 3.9    | Physical quantities of various percentages of cement and RH   | 67 |
| 3.10   | The compaction mould and tools for the preparation of unconfined specimens  | 68 |
| 3.11   | GDS Bender Element Test Systems   | 69 |
| 3.12   | The S-wave travel time determined using the first major peak-<br>to-peak (p-p) and trough-to-trough (t-t) methods | 69 |
| 3.13   | The wizard screen of time domain stacking and trigger type  | 70 |
| 3.14   | Manual acceptance with manual trigger   | 70 |
| 3.15   | Automatic stacking with manual trigger  | 71 |
| 3.16   | Automatic stacking with software trigger  | 71 |
| 3.17   | Unconfined compression test machine (Geocomp LoadTrac II)   | 72 |

| 4.1  | The cement and rice husk content effect on the water content  | 93  |
|------|---|-----|
| 4.2  | Bulk density - curing time  | 93  |
| 4.3  | Relationship between vs determined from p-p and t-t   | 94  |
| 4.4  | Example of good signal  | 94  |
| 4.5  | Example of bad signal   | 95  |
| 4.6  | $v_{\rm s}$ for specimens stabilized with RH only for 3 days  | 95  |
| 4.7  | $v_{s}\ \text{for specimens stabilized with RH only for 7 days}$  | 96  |
| 4.8  | $v_{s}$ for specimens stabilized with RH only for 14 days   | 96  |
| 4.9  | $v_{s}\xspace$ for specimens stabilized with RH only for 28 days  | 97  |
| 4.10 | vs for specimens stabilized with 5 % cement admixed with RH for 3 days  | 97  |
| 4.11 | vs for specimens stabilized with 5 % cement admixed with RH for 7 days  | 98  |
| 4.12 | vs for specimens stabilized with 5 % cement admixed with RH for 14 days   | 98  |
| 4.13 | vs for specimens stabilized with 5 % cement admixed with RH for 28 days   | 99  |
| 4.14 | The stress-strain curves obtained from UCS tests for cemented clay after curing for 28 days                     | 99  |
| 4.15 | The stress-strain curves obtained from UCS tests for for RH admixed with cemented clay after curing for 28 days | 100 |
| 4.16 | The deviation of $E_p$ , $E_{50}$ and $E_o$ from stress-strain curve  | 100 |
| 4.17 | Relationship of $E - q_u$   | 101 |

| 4.18 | The correlation between $E_{o(theoretical)}$ and $E_{o(experimental)}$                                 | 101 |
|------|--|-----|
| 4.19 | The effect of cement and RH contents on qu for the stabilized specimens after 28 days of curing period | 102 |
| 4.20 | The effect of cement and RH contents on Go for the stabilized specimens after 28 days of curing period | 102 |
| 4.21 | The ratio gain in q <sub>u</sub>   | 103 |
| 4.22 | The ratio gain in Go   | 103 |
| 4.23 | Relationship of $q_u$ - $v_s$  | 104 |
| 4.24 | Relationship of q <sub>u</sub> -G <sub>o</sub>   | 104 |
| 4.25 | Comparison of $q_u$ - $v_s$ between the present study with other researchers                           | 105 |
| 4.26 | $\sigma_{y}$ ' obtained using the RL fitting method  | 105 |
| 4.27 | $\sigma_{y}$ ' against curing time   | 106 |
| 4.28 | Relationship of $\sigma_{y}' - q_{u}$  | 106 |
| 4.29 | The compressibility results for the oedometer test (7 days)  | 107 |
| 4.30 | The compression curve for 5C2RH at 7 and 28 days   | 107 |
| 4.31 | The compression curve for 5C3.5RH at 7 and 28 days   | 108 |
| 4.32 | The compression curve for 5C5RH at 7 and 28 days   | 108 |
| 4.33 | Determination of pre and post yield region   | 109 |

## LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

| (τ)                             | - | time shift                   |
|---------------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| А                               | - | cross section area           |
| Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>  | - | Alumina                      |
| BE                              | - | Bender element               |
| С                               | - | cement                       |
| $C_2S$                          | - | Dicalcium silicate           |
| $C_2SH_x$ , $C_3S_2H_x$         | - | hydrated calcium silicates   |
| C <sub>3</sub> A                | - | Tricalcium aluminate         |
| $C_3AH_x$ , $C_4AH_x$           | - | hydrated calcium aluminates  |
| C <sub>3</sub> S                | - | Tricalcium silicate          |
| C <sub>4</sub> AF               | - | Tetracalcium alumino-ferrite |
| Ca(OH) <sub>2</sub>             | - | hydrated lime                |
| Ca <sup>2+</sup>                | - | Calcium ion                  |
| CaO                             | - | Calcium Oxide                |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{\mathrm{xy}}(t),$ | - | cross-correlation function   |
| CO <sub>2</sub>                 | - | Carbon dioxide               |
| C-S-H                           | - | calcium silicate hydrate     |
| c <sub>u</sub>                  | - | undrained shear strength     |

| c <sub>v</sub>                 | - | coefficient of consolidation  |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| DMM                            | - | Deep Mixing Method  |
| DSM                            | - | deep soil mixing  |
| е                              | - | void ratio  |
| e.g.                           | - | for example   |
| E <sub>50</sub>                | - | secant modulus at 50 % of peak stress                                   |
| Eo                             | - | initial tangent modulus   |
| E <sub>p</sub>                 | - | peak stress   |
| f                              | - | frequency of the transmitted signal                                     |
| Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> | - | Iron Oxide  |
| Gs                             | - | Specific gravity  |
| Go                             | - | Maximum shear modulus   |
| i.e.                           | - | in other words  |
| $K^+$                          | - | Potassium ion   |
| K <sub>2</sub> O               | - | Potassium Oxide   |
| kHz                            | - | kilohertz   |
| L                              | - | effective distance traveled by the shear wave through the soil specimen |
| l                              | - | distance traveled   |
| m                              | - | meter   |
| $Mg^{2+}$                      | - | Magnesium Oxide   |

| MgO                   | - | Magnesium Oxide                       |
|-----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| mm                    | - | milimeter                             |
| m <sub>v</sub>        | - | coefficient of volume compressibility |
| Na <sub>2</sub> O     | - | Sodium Oxide                          |
| NCL                   | - | normal consolidation line             |
| NDT                   | - | Nondestructive test                   |
| OPC                   | - | Ordinary Portland cement              |
| Р                     | - | compressive force                     |
| P <sub>c</sub>        | - | preconsolidation pressure             |
| РОС                   | - | palm oil clinker                      |
| <b>q</b> <sub>u</sub> | - | unconfined compressive strength       |
| $R^2$                 | - | coefficient of correlation            |
| RCff                  | - | column free-free tests                |
| R <sub>d</sub>        | - | near field effect                     |
| RECESS                | - | Research Centre for Soft Soils        |
| RH                    | - | Rice husk                             |
| RHA                   | - | rice husk ash                         |
| RL                    | - | regression line                       |
| SASW                  | - | Spectral analysis surface wave        |
| SiO <sub>2</sub>      | - | Silica                                |

| $SO_3$            | - | Sulphur Trioxide                             |
|-------------------|---|--|
| ${\rm SO_4}^{2+}$ | - | Sulfate ion                                  |
| t                 | - | tonne  |
| t                 | - | travel time                                  |
| TiO <sub>2</sub>  | - | Titanium Oxide                               |
| to                | - | first time of arrival                        |
| t <sub>pp</sub>   | - | first peak to peak time                      |
| UCS               | - | unconfined compressive strength test         |
| UTHM              | - | Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia          |
| ν                 | - | Poisson's ratio                              |
| Vs                | - | shear wave velocity                          |
| W                 | - | moisture content                             |
| Ws                | - | dry weight                                   |
| $W_w$             | - | wet weight                                   |
| X(T)              | - | degree of correlation of the received signal |
| XRF               | - | X-ray Fluorescence                           |
| Y(T)              | - | transmitted signal                           |
| μm                | - | micro meter                                  |
| ρ                 | - | density                                      |
| $\sigma_{y}'$     | - | yield stress                                 |

## LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE  | PAGE |
|----------|--|------|
| А        | Shear wave velocities for 5C specimens                       | 123  |
| В        | Shear wave velocities for 10C specimens                      | 125  |
| С        | Shear wave velocities for 5C2RH specimens                    | 127  |
| D        | Shear wave velocities for 5C3.5RH specimens                  | 129  |
| Е        | Shear wave velocities for 5C5RH specimens                    | 131  |
| F        | Examples of specimens after the unconfined compression tests | 133  |
| G        | The results of XRF test                                      | 138  |

## **CHAPTER II**

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

## 2.1 Soft Soil

Generally, the term soft soils include soft clay soils, soils with large fractions of fine particles such as silts, clay soils which have high moisture content, peat foundations, and loose sand deposits near or under the water table (Kamon and Bergado, 1992).

From the soil map of the study area, Parit Raja, Batu Pahat shown in Figure 2.1, it can be seen that the site sits on low humic gley soils, which is poorly drained soils deposited over coastal plains and in the valleys and flood plains of the larger rivers, of very variable fertility (Soil Map of Malaya, 1962).

Soft soils pose high moisture content, low shear strength and exhibits high compressibility. Utilizing such materials as a foundation material is almost impossible without some means of improving the adverse properties. Although several methods, such as prefabricated vertical drains, geotextile reinforcing, cement and lime stabilization, have been successfully implemented to treat such soils, there always remains the motivation for further improvement of the methods, especially in terms of efficiency and economics (Amer and Indra, 2007).

### 2.2 Soft Soil Stabilization with Deep Mixing

The research and development of current Deep Mixing Method (DMM) began in the late 1960s using lime as a stabilizing agent. DMM was put into practice in Japan and Nordic countries in the middle of the 1970s, and then spread to China and South East Asia. More than two decades of practice have seen the equipment improved, stabilizing agents changed, and the applications diversified (CDIT, 2002).

According to EuroSoilStab (2002), deep stabilization is a method to stabilize soft soils by adding dry or wet binders in order to reduce settlements and/or to improve the stability. The soil can be stabilized either by forming columns of stabilized soil (column stabilization) or by stabilizing the upper soil layers of organic soils (mass stabilization).

Columns with a very high strength giving high strength elements can be made. Such columns are brittle with a very low strain at failure and acts as bearing elements. The columns can also be made semi-hard with an appropriate strength level and not as brittle as the high strength columns (Holm, 1999). This means that the semi-hard columns can interact with the unstabilized soil between the columns, as schematically shown in Figure 2.2.

#### 2.2.1 The Mixing Process

The most important factor in the success for application of DMM is ensuring that the injection and mixing processes of the stabilizing agents with the soil are thorough and effective, so that homogeneous, well-mixed, stabilized columns of soil are produced. The effectiveness of the mixing process relies on the use of augers consisting of appropriate and adequate cutting and mixing sections (Al-Tabba et al., 1999).

According to Larsson et al. (2005), there are three steps in the mixing process for the dry mixing method including the penetration of the mixing device, the dispersion of binders into the soil and molecular diffusion as shown in Figure 2.3.

The first part of the mixing process is the rotation and the penetration of the mixing device through the column. At this part, the soil structure is broken up. The second stage is the dispersion of stabilizing agent into the soft soil. The stages in the dispersion process are as follows:

- Incorporation of dry stabilizing agent particles: The dry stabilizing agent is distributed in the soft soil by compressed air. The stabilizing agent is forced into the soil through a hole in the kelly rod just above the mixing device.
- ii. Wetting:

The air in the powder, agglomerates or aggregates is replaced when the dry stabilizing agent is incorporated with the soil as water is drawn from the surrounding soil. The air can also be replaced mechanically by the compaction device.

iii. Breakdown of agglomerates and aggregates:When the stabilizing agent is wetted, the remaining agglomerates and aggregates must be broken up, to avoid lumps. This can be achieved by shearing the soil or by direct impact.

After installation of the columns, the mixing process continues as molecular diffusion. Different types of stabilizing agents have different properties with respect to molecular reaction.

## 2.3 Stabilizing Agent

According to CDIT (2002), the stabilizing agents used in practice are, in the majority of cases, Portland cement and limes, but dozens of stabilizing agents are now available on the market. Some of these newly developed special stabilizing agents are designed for the improvement of clay soils with high water contents or organic soils, for which ordinary cement or lime is not very effective. Some other stabilizing agents are designed for cases where the rate of strength increase has to be controlled for the convenience of the construction. These stabilizing agents react slowly with soil and exhibit smaller strength in the short term, but result in sufficiently high strength in the long term in comparison with ordinary cement.

## 2.3.1 Ordinary Portland Cement

Cement stabilization has been widely used to improve the engineering properties of the clayey soils (e.g. Broms 1999, Feng et al. 2001, Lorenzo and Bergado 2006, Xiao and Lee 2008).

Cement is a hydraulic type stabilizing agent. According to Bergado et al. (1996), there are two major chemical reactions which are induced by the addition of cement to clay and govern the soil cement stabilization process: the primary hydration reaction of the cement and water, and the secondary pozzolanic reactions between the limes released by the cement and the clay minerals. The primary hydration products are hydrated calcium silicates ( $C_2SH_x$ ,  $C_3S_2H_x$ ), hydrated calcium aluminates ( $C_3AH_x$ ,  $C_4AH_x$ ) and hydrated lime Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>. The first two of the hydrated lime is deposited as a separate crystalline solid phase. These cement particles bind the adjacent cement grains together during hardening and form a hardened skeleton matrix, which encloses unaltered soil particles.

The soil silica and alumina, which are inherently acidic, will then be dissolved by the strong bases of cement compounds from the clay minerals and amorphous materials on the surface of the clay particle, which is similar to the reaction between a weak acid and a strong base. The hydrous silica and alumina will then gradually react with the calcium ions liberated from the hydrolysis of cement to form anew insoluble compound (secondary cementing product), which hardens when cured and thereby stabilize the soil. The transformation of Portland cement with addition of water into cement paste can be chemically illustrated as follows:

$$2(3CaO.SiO_2) + 6H_2O = 3CaO.2SiO_2.3H_2O + 3Ca(OH)_2$$
(2.1)  
(Tricalcium (Water) (Tobermorite gel) (Calcium Silicate) hydroxide)

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 2(2\text{CaO.SiO}_2) &+& 4\text{H}_2\text{O} &=& 3\text{CaO.2SiO}_2.3\text{H}_2\text{O} &+& \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \\ (\text{Bicalcium} & (\text{Water}) & (\text{Tobermorite gel}) & (\text{Calcium} \\ \text{Silicate}) & & \text{hydroxide}) \end{array}$$
(2.2)

Bergado et al. (1996) further explained that the reactions which take place in soil-cement stabilization can be represented in the equation below. The reactions given are for tricalcium silicate ( $C_3S$ ) only, because they are the most important constituents of Portland cement:

$$C_3S + H_2O \longrightarrow C_3S_2H_x + Ca(OH)_2$$
 (2.6)  
(Primary Cementitious  
Products)

$$Ca(OH)_2 \longrightarrow Ca^{++} + 2(OH)^-$$
 (2.7)

$$Ca^{++} + 2(OH)^{-} + SiO_2 (soil silica) \longrightarrow CSH$$
 (2.8)  
(Secondary Cementitious  
Products)

$$Ca^{++} + 2(OH)^{-} + Al_2O_3$$
 (soil alumina)  $\longrightarrow CAH$  (2.9)  
(Secondary Cementitious  
Products)

#### 2.3.2 Rice Husk

According to FAO (2009), global rice paddy production in 2009 was approximately 668 million tones and Malaysia's contribution was 2.45 million tones. Rice husk (RH) is the outer covering of the rice grain and is obtained during the milling process.

Commercially, RH produced in Malaysia is ground with broken rice to be used as animal feed. A large part of this agricultural by-product is burnt as fuel during rice processing and the resulting ash is sold as fertilizer. Other applications of RH are as silicon carbide whiskers, and as aggregates and fillers for concrete and board production. However, most RH is disposed of openly or in landfills, risking potential pollution and contamination, leading to further environmental problems (Johnson and Yunus, 2009).

Mehta (1992) stated that about 20 % of a dried rice paddy is made up of the rice husks. The current world production of rice paddy is around 500 million tons and hence 100 million rice husk are produced, as shown in Table 2.1. According to Lee et al. (2007), the amount of rice husk generated annually by the local rice mills in Malaysia is estimated to be 3.41 million cubic meter.

#### 2.3.2.1 Properties and Pozzolanic Effect of Rice Husk

Pozzolanic materials are natural materials or by-products of industry, when mixed with water and alkali or alkaline earth hydroxide activators, react to form cementitious compounds (Marian and Raymond, 1999). Dwivedi et al. (2006) stated that when certain pozzolanic materials containing amorphous silica are added during the hydration of Portland cement, the reaction with lime produces additional amount of calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H), the main cementing component.

Hypothetically, the high silica content of rice husks could contribute to the pozzolanic reaction of cement during hydration and enhance the bonding strength of the soil mass. In their work on mortar admixed with rice husks and cement, Jauberthie et al. (2000) observed that the pozzolanic role of rice husk was due to the existence of silica in amorphous and fine grained quartz in the mixture (Tables 2.2 to 2.4). Pozzolanic effect exhibits cementitious properties that increase the rate at which the material gains strength.

According to Sing et al. (2007), the chemical reaction between silica from rice husk with cement and soil is represented by Equations 2.10 and 2.11. Like a reaction between a week acid and a strong base, the strong base eventually dissolves the silica within the rice husk and clay soil to form hydrous silica. The hydrous silica will gradually react with the calcium ions produced from the cement hydrolysis to generate insoluble and cementitous materials known as secondary silicate. That means the solution of silica actually generates additional bonding forces in the

cemented soil. Upon curing, the secondary cementitous products will harden and provide secondary bondage strength to the stabilized soil. This reaction is referred to as secondary pozzolanic reaction.

$$Ca(OH)_2 \longrightarrow Ca^+ + 2(OH)^-$$
 (2.10)

$$Ca^+$$
 + 2(OH)<sup>-</sup> + SiO<sub>2</sub>  $\longrightarrow$  CaO.SiO<sub>2</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O (2.11)  
Silica from rice Secondary calcium  
husk and clay soil silicate hydrate

### 2.4 Measurement of Stiffness using Seismic Method

There are two categories of seismic wave: body waves, comprising compression (P) and shear (S) waves, and surface waves, which include Rayleigh (R) waves (Ortigao, 2007).

P-wave (often referred to as 'Pressure' wave) is a longitudinal wave in which the direction of motion of the particles is in the direction of propagation as shown in Figure 2.4 (a). On the other hand, S-wave (also referred to as 'Shear' wave), as shown in Figure 2.4 (b), is a transverse wave in which the direction of motion of the particles is perpendicular to the direction of propagation where the shear distortion is applied (GDS, 2005).

It should be noted that in saturated uncemented soils, the propagation of Pwave will represent a short term undrained loading. In such case the compressibility of the pore water will tend to dominate the compressibility behaviour of the soil. The result is that the measured P-wave velocity is likely to be close to that of water (i.e. 1500 m/s) since most of the energy will travel through the pore water and will not reflect the true undrained stiffness of the soil. Thus for stiffness measurements in soils, only shear wave velocities should be used since these are not affected by the compressibility of the pore fluid (Ortigao, 2007). Geotechnical engineers generally require stiffness measurements to be made at different depths in order to determine a stiffness-depth profile. Ortigao (2007) had summarized the seismic methods employed in determination of stiffness-depth profiles, as shown in Table 2.5.

#### 2.5 Measurement of v<sub>s</sub> for Stabilized Soil

Measurements of  $v_s$  in various soils have attracted increased attention over the last decades (e.g Heineck et al. 2005, Leong et al. 2005, Karl et al. 2006 and Zhou and Chen 2007). However, comparatively few investigations on stabilized soils have been reported (Åhnberg and Holmén, 2009).

Hoyos et al. (2004) carried out a series of resonant column tests on chemically stabilized specimens of a sulfate-rich expansive clay and tested for the effects of different stabilizer types, stabilizer dosages, compaction moisture contents and confining pressures. Three stabilizers were experimented with: sulfate resistant type V cement, low calcium class F fly ash and lime mixed with polypropylene fibers.

Figure 2.5 shows the influence of compaction moisture content (w) on the dynamic response of stabilized specimens. The maximum shear modulus (G<sub>o</sub>) from all stabilized specimens were highly susceptible to even slight changes in w, with the most dramatic cases observed in the cement-stabilized specimens. This can be attributed to the fact that stiffness enhancement in stabilized soils being highly dependent on the cementitious nature of each stabilizer. It can be noted that highly cementitious stabilizers, such as type V cement, yielded the highest G<sub>o</sub> at higher levels of hydration. On the other hand, fly ash-based stabilization was not found to make significant contribution to G<sub>o</sub>. Similar observation was made of the 5 % cement-and lime/fibers-based treatment. This shows that foreign elements with negligible or zero cementitious properties will not react chemically with the soil, hence resulting in marginal stiffness increase by their addition to soils.

Madhyannapu et al. (2010) reviewed the process and results of a quality management program performed during and immediately after the construction of two deep soil mixing (DSM) test sections. The SASW test method was performed to evaluate the degree of improvement achieved through the measurement of shear wave velocities of the columns and surrounding soils. From the test, the S-wave velocities recorded were 1.3 and 1.5 times of those recorded in untreated site, evidence of considerable improvement in stiffness in and around the DSM columns compared to original soil site.

#### 2.6 Bender Element (BE) Test

Bender elements are piezoelectric ceramic devices used in geotechnical laboratory dynamic testing. The use of bender elements to measure shear wave velocity in soils was first attempted by Shirley and Hampton (1977). Bender elements work as cantilevers which flex or bend when excited by the voltage differential of an electric signal and vice versa, i.e., they bend when excited by a voltage input, and generate a voltage differential when forced to bend. The transducers are used in pairs, where one operates as the transmitter and the other the receiver.

The bender elements work by having the transmitter transform an input electric signal into a mechanical motion, which in turn disturbs the medium in which it is embedded. This disturbance propagates through the medium in the form of mechanical waves and is detected by the receiver. The receiver is then forced to bend, and consequently generating an output electric signal due to its piezoelectric properties mentioned above. The input voltage or transmitted signal, and the received signal are both recorded on a timescale, allowing the travel time of the shear waves to be determined.

The primary objective of the BE test is to measure the travel time of a wave propagating through a soil specimen from the transmitting to the receiving transducers (Shirley and Hampton, 1977). The shear wave velocity,  $v_s$ , can be determined by

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{s}} = L/t \tag{2.12}$$

where *L* is the effective distance traveled by the shear wave through the soil specimen, which equals the tip to tip distance between the bender elements and *t* is the travel time of the shear wave. Accordingly,  $G_o$  can be calculated from the shear wave velocity as follows

$$G_o = \rho v_s^2 \tag{2.13}$$

where  $\rho$  is the density of soil.

#### 2.6.1 BE Test in Geotechnical Testing

According to Puppala et al. (2006) and Chan (2009), one of the main advantages of the shear wave velocity measurement is that the numbers of specimens required are significantly reduced, because it provides a repeatable measurement of the same soil specimen under varying conditions, such as curing period.

Due to this advantage, BE test has been much adopted for measuring shear wave velocity, such as demonstrated by Sahaphol and Miura (2005), Wang et al. (2007), Landon et al. (2007) and Zhou et al. (2008).

Sahaphol and Miura (2005) reported that the maximum shear modulus ( $G_0$ ) of soils is one of the important parameters in small strain level geotechnical problems (i.e. the study of earthquake effects and soil structure interaction). In their study of crushable volcanic soils, measurements of  $G_0$  from bender element and cyclic triaxial tests were compared. The  $G_0$  values evaluated with the bender elements are plotted against those from the cyclic triaxial tests in Figure 2.6. Both measurements agreed well, though stiffness in the cyclic test was derived from direct measurement of the stress-strain change while the bender element test used transient waves. As the BE test generally requires that the transducer pair be plugged on to opposite ends of a test specimen, there is a valid concern of the effect of such intrusion on the quality of the measurements made. Chan et al. (2010) conducted a study in this direction by varying the receiver BE's penetration depth and rate, confining pressure, as well as the test specimen size, but with a fixed aspect ratio of 2. Based on the data collected (Figure 2.7), it was concluded that BE installation causes almost no disturbance irrespective of the factors mentioned above, hence can be readily performed on clay samples in the laboratory and field with little sensitivity to such variations.

## 2.6.2 Determination of Shear Wave Travel Time

The travel time of the shear wave between two points in a soil specimen can be used to calculated shear wave velocity and hence shear stiffness. However, the proposed methods that use the time-domain estimates to determine the travel time are controversial.

The detection of travel time is not straightforward and this has resulted in different researchers using a range of methods to obtain the correct travel time. For example, Jovičić et al. (1996) has recommended using the first time of arrival method (Section 2.6.3). However, they stress that great care is required to reduce system noise, and the pulse frequency must be adjusted to minimize near field affects (Section 2.6.4).

Jovičić et al. (1996) also suggested to use a sine pulse instead of a square one as the input signal when performing the BE test. Figure 2.8 shows an example of the received trace when square wave is used. As a square wave is composed of a spectrum of different frequencies, this result in significant difficulty of identifying the characteristic points on the received signal, like the point of first deflection (0) and the reversal point (1). Chan (2005) grouped the techniques to ascertain the travel time into two main categories: time domain and frequency domain. The time domain methods refer to plots of electrical signals versus time, while the frequency domain methods involve analyzing the spectral breakdown of the signals and comparing phase shifts of the components. Chan (2005) also explored the different techniques from both groups in stabilized clay specimens and drew the conclusion that neither of the methods gives more consistent results.

Kumar and Madhusudhan (2010) performed BE tests on dry sand samples at different relative densities and effective confining pressures. Three methods of interpretations, namely, (1) the first time of arrival, (2) the first peak to peak, and (3) the cross-correlation method, were employed. The explanation of these methods can be referred to in Section 2.6.3.

A comparison was made by the authors among the travel times obtained by the different methods adopted for analyzing the results of the BE testing. The travel time (t) was also obtained by using the resonant column method. Figure 2.9 shows the comparison of the travel times from the different methods associated with three different values of effective consolidation pressures. From the results, the first peak to peak, the cross-correlation method and the resonant column tests provide results close to one another. However, the first time of arrival method sometimes gave a much different result compared to the other methods. This indirectly suggests the subjectivity and inconsistency of the method for determining the shear wave arrival time, due very much in part to the difficulty of selecting the 'correct' point of departure.

### 2.6.3 Methods for Determining Travel Time

A brief description of three most common methods for determining travel time is provided as follows:

i. First time of arrival

The first time of arrival (t<sub>o</sub>) refers to the time between the start of the transmitted signal and the start of the major cycle of the received signal (Viggiani and Atkinson 1995, Jovičić et al.1996, Arulnathan et al. 1998, Kumar and Madhusudhan 2010). Figure 2.10 (a) shows an example of this method.

ii. First peak to peak time

The first peak to peak time  $(t_{pp})$  method (Viggiani and Atkinson 1995, Arulnathan et al. 1998, Kumar and Madhusudhan 2010) is based on to the time between the peak of the transmitted signal and the first peak of the cycle of the received signal (Figure 2.10a).

iii. Cross-correlation

The cross-correlation method essentially refers to the cross-correlation function  $CC_{xy}(t)$ , which is a measure of the degree of correlation of the received signal, X(T), and the transmitted signal, Y(T), versus the time shift ( $\tau$ ). An example of the cross-correlation function is shown in Figure 2.10 (b). Full details for determination of this method can be referred to in Viggiani and Atkinson (1995).

#### 2.6.4 Near Field Effect

The shape of the received signal is affected by the presence of near field effect, which can distort the received signal and makes identification of the travel time difficult, particularly in the time domain (Jovičić et al.1996 and Arulnathan et al. 1998). Sanches-Salinero et al. (1986) recognized that the R<sub>d</sub> ratio controls the shape of the received signal through the degree of attenuation that occurs as the wave travels through the sample. R<sub>d</sub> is given by:

$$R_d = l/\lambda = lf/v_s \qquad (2.14)$$

Where *l* is the distance traveled and *f* is the frequency of the transmitted signal. Figure 2.11 shows an initial downward deflection of a trace, corresponding to low values of  $R_d$ , before the actual arrival of the shear wave. This is a manifestation of the near field effect, which is almost absent in higher range of  $R_d$  values.

#### 2.6.5 Bender Element (BE) Test in Stabilized Soils

Research on stabilized soils with bender elements has gained increased attention in recent years (e.g Heineck et al. 2005, Puppala et al. 2006, Chan 2007, Hird and Chan 2008, Åhnberg and Holmén 2009 and Asaka and Abe 2009).

Bender element tests were conducted by Puppala et al. (2006) on cement and lime stabilized clay specimens and the results were analyzed to study the variation in stiffness properties of clay specimens at different chemical stabilizers. The authors reported that the  $G_0$  of untreated clay is low and in the range of 20 - 50 Mpa. The authors also reported that cement stabilized clay provided rapid enhancement of  $G_0$ with respect to curing time, whereas lime stabilized clay did not exhibit an immediate increase in  $G_0$ . These indicate that the time required for pozzolanic reactions was longer for lime stabilization than for the cement stabilization. The change in shear wave signals throughout the 7-day curing period on stabilized clays was studied by Chan (2007) using BEs. The results showed that apart from the expected shorter travel time, the amplitude of the signal improved over time too. These two observations combined reflect the increased stiffness of the material as hydration of the cement proceeded with time.

The quality of cement-stabilized grounds was inspected at two construction sites by Asaka and Abe (2009), where the BE test procedure is summarized in Figure 2.12. The stabilizers used for Sites A and B were blast-furnace slag and low Cr (VI) leaching respectively. BE test results showed significant  $v_s$  increment in Site A compared to Site B, indicating the influence of difference stabilizer type on the same soil type.

#### 2.7 Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS)

The test procedure for UCS test was as described in BS 1377: 1990: Part 7, where a cylindrical specimen of soil is subjected to a steadily increasing axial load until failure occurs. The axial load is the only force or stress which is applied (Figure 2.13). Equation for  $q_u$  is as stated in Eq. 2.15. Table 2.6 shows the description of clays according to the undrained shear strength ( $c_u$ ) values where  $c_u = q_u/2$  (Nagaraj and Miura, 2001).

$$q_u = P/A \tag{2.15}$$

Where;

qu = unconfined compressive strength

P = compressive force

A = cross section area

#### 2.7.1 UCS Test on Stabilized Soil

The unconfined compressive strength  $(q_u)$  is most frequently used as an index of the strength of stabilized soil (Lee and Lee, 2002). In spite of the different stabilizers used, many researchers have adopted the UCS test for its simplicity. Attom (2008) studied the strength characteristics of Irbid clayey soil mixed with iron filling and iron filling-cement mixture. UCS test results showed increased  $q_u$  and secantial modulus of elasticity with the addition of both stabilizers, but the iron filling-cement mixture was found to be more effective between the two.

Tang et al. (2007) carried out a study on strength of short polypropylene fiber reinforced and cement stabilized clayey soil. Figure 2.14 shows the effect of fiber content on the uncemented soil and cemented soil after curing for 28 days. It is indicated that fiber plays a more important role in cemented soil than it does in uncemented soil where the influenced of fiber inclusion on uncemented soils is not significant. This is because for fiber-reinforced cemented soil, the interactions between the fiber surface and the hydrated products make main contribution to the higher strength than the uncemented soil.

Tan et al. (2002) stated that a primary concern in the characterization study of improved soil is to estimate the expected increase in improvement, usually based on properties after 1 day or 7 days of curing. This will give an early indication of whether a particular mix proportion can achieve the design strength at 28 days, the usual benchmark for determination strength. Figure 2.15 shows the relationship between normalized strength at 28 days with the normalized strengths at other time intervals. The relationships are generally linear and range between 1.2 to 2.9 times of strength for 7 - 28 days comparison.

Kitazume and Nishimura (2009) presented the variations of  $q_u$  with temperature observed for the Kawasaki clay as shown in Figure 2.16 for different binder contents and curing days. The results indicate that the higher curing temperature and longer curing period led to higher strength achieved.

#### 2.8 Correlation between Shear Wave Velocity and UCS Test

Madhyannapu et al. (2010) developed an empirical correlation between the UCS ( $q_u$ ) versus shear wave velocity ( $v_s$ ) from the laboratory test conducted on lime-cement stabilized expansive soils. The main intent of this correlation was to interpret the strength properties from  $v_s$  and vice versa. The correlation of  $q_u$  versus  $v_s$  is presented in Figure 2.17 (Site 1 and Site 2). The authors claimed that the correlations developed are useful in field quality assessments where the strength is deduced from the  $v_s$ - $q_u$  correlation. It was further shown that the predicted  $q_u$  corresponded closely to the measured strengths of UCS tests (Figure 2.18).

The usefulness of the  $q_u$ - $v_s$  relationship was also demonstrated by Åhnberg and Holmén (2009), with stabilized soil samples collected from more than twenty different geotechnical engineering projects. The types of soil in their research range from very soft to sand. The stabilizing agents used included different types of cement, lime, ground granulated blast-furnace slag and fly ash. Figure 2.19 shows the variation in shear wave velocity, evaluated from bender element and the resonant column free-free tests (RCff), with the strengths from UCS tests. The results shown in Figure 2.24 relate only to specimens having water contents greater than 40 %. The authors also stated that the relationship between  $q_u$  and  $v_s$  in their research agree fairly well with those reported by other researchers as showed in Figure 2.20.

Clearly, such correlations are time-saving and marginally labour-intensive for quality control of stabilization on site. However, it should be cautioned that different soil and binder types result in different correlations, making site specific correlations necessary for reliable predictions to be made from the  $v_s$  measured.

#### REFERENCES

- Åhnberg, H. & Holmén, M. (2009). Assessment of Strength of Stabilized Soils By Geophysical Laboratory Testing. *International Symposium on Deep Mixing* and Admixture Stabilization. Okinawa, Japan. LT-2.
- Al-Tabbaa, A., Al-Tabbaa, A. M. & Ayotamuno, J. M. (1999). Laboratory-Scale Dry Soil Mixing of a Sand.
- Proc. of The International Conference on Dry Mix Methods for Deep Soil Stabilization. Sweden: A.A. Balkema. pp. 73-84.
- Amer, A. A & Indra S. H. (2007). Potential Of Bottom Ash, Pulverised Fly Ash and Lime For Improvement of Soft Soils. *Proc. of the World Engineering Congress.* Penang, Malaysia. pp. 415-420.
- Arulnathan, R., Boulanger, R. W. & Riemer, M. F. (1998). Analysis of Bender Element Tests. *Geotechnical Testing Journal, Vol. 21, No. 2*, pp. 120-131.
- Asaka, Y. and Abe, T. (2009). Non-destructive Testing Using Bender Elements and Its application to Cement-Treated Ground. *International Symposium on Deep Mixing and Admixture Stabilization*. Okinawa, Japan. QA-1.
- Attom, M. F. (2008). Shear Strength Characteristics of Irbid Clayey Soil Mixed With Iron Filling and Iron Filling-Cement Mixture. *Environ Geol (55):* Springer, pp. 781-788.
- Basha, E. A., Hashim, R., Mahmud, H. B. & Muntohar, A. S. (2005). Stabilization of Residual Soil with Rice Husk and Cement. *Construction and Building Materials (19):* Elsevier Ltd., pp. 448-453.

- Bergado, D.T., Anderson, L.R., Miura, N. & Balasubramaniam, A.S. (1996). Soft Ground Improvement In Lowland And Other Environments. New York: ASCE Press.
- British Standards Institution (BSI). (1990). British Standard Methods of Test for Soils for Civil Engineering Purposes. Part 5: Compressibility, Permeability and Durability Tests. BS1377.
- British Standards Institution (BSI). (1990). British Standard Methods of Test for Soils for Civil Engineering Purposes. Part 7:Shear Strength Tests (total stress). BS1377.
- Broms, B. B. (1986). Stabilization of Soft Clay with Lime and Cement Columns in Southeast Asia: Applied Research Project RP10/83. Nanyang Technical Institute, Singapore.
- Broms, B. B. (1999). Keynote Lecture: Design of Lime, Lime/Cement and Cement Columns. Proc. of The International Conference on Dry Mix Methods for Deep Soil Stabilization. Sweden: A.A. Balkema. pp. 125-153.
- Chan, C. M. & Tan, S. F. C. (2008). Peat Reutilisation Via The Comstab Method. An International Conference on Geotechnical Engineering. Chiangmai, Thailand. pp. 361-367.
- Chan, C. M. (2005). *A Laboratory Investigation of Shear Wave Velocity in Stabilized Soft Soils*. University of Sheffield: Ph.D. Thesis.
- Chan, C. M. (2009). Shear Wave Velocity Measurement in Stabilized Soil Specimen: Arrival Time Determination. *International Symposium on Deep Mixing and Admixture Stabilization*. Okinawa, Japan. QA-2.
- Chan, C.M. (2007). 1-D Compressibility of Stabilised Clays in an Instrumented Oedometer. *Prosiding Kebangsaan Awam'07*. Kedah, Malaysia. pp. 550-557.

- Chan, K. H., Boonyatee, T. & Mitachi, T. (2010). Effect of Bender Element Installation in Clay Samples. *Geotechnique*, *60(4)*, pp. 287-291.
- Coastal Development Institute of Technology (CDIT). (2002). *The Deep Mixing Method (Principle, Design and Construction)*. Tokyo: A.A. Balkema Publishers.
- Dwivedi, V. N., Singh, N. P., Das, S. S. & Singh, N. B. (2006). A New Pozzolanic Material for Cement Industry: Bamboo Leaf Ash. *International Journal of Physical Sciences, Vol. 1 (3)*, pp. 106-111.
- Erdoğdu, K. and Türker, P. (1998). Effects of Fly Ash Particle Size on Strength of Portland Cement Fly Ash Mortars. *Cement and Concrete Research, Vol. 28, No. 9: Elsevier Science Ltd*, pp. 1217-1222.
- EuroSoilStab. (2002). Development of Design and Construction Methods to Stabilize Soft Organic Soils (Design Guide Soft Soil Stabilization). Brussels: CT97-0351.
- Fam, M. and Santamarina, C. (1996). Study of Clay-Cement Slurries with Mechanical and Electromagnetic Wave Measurements in An Oedometer. *ASTM Geotechnical Testing Journal, Vol. 18, No. 3*, pp. 307-314.
- FAO. (2009). Rice Market Monitor, Vol. XII, Issue 1. Retrieved August 11, 2009, from http://www.fao.org/es/ESC/en/15/70/highlight\_71.html
- Feng, T. W., Lee, J.Y. & Lee, Y. J. (2001). Consolidation Behaviour of A Soft Mud Treated With Small Cement Content. *Journal of Engineering Geology (59)*: Elsevier Ltd., pp. 327-335.
- GDS Instruments Ltd. (2005). Bender Elements: The GDS Bender Elements System Handbook for Vertical and Horizontal Elements: Bender Element Hardware Handbook.

- Ghosh, A. and Dey, U. (2009). Bearing Ratio of Reinforced Fly Ash Overlying Soft Soil and Deformation Modulus of Fly Ash. *Journal of Geotextiles and Geomembranes: Elsevier*, pp. 313-320.
- Grigolato, E. (2008). 1 Day Seminar on X-Ray Techniques. Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia: Bruker Biosciences.
- Hassan, M. M. (2009). Engineering Characteristics of Cement Stabilized Soft Finnish Clay – A Laboratory Study. Helsinki University of Technology: Licentiate Thesis.
- Head, K. H. (1982). *Manual of Soil Laboratory Testing Volume 2: Permeability, Shear Strength and Compressibility Tests*. London: PENTECH PRESS.
- Heineck, K. S., Coop, M. R. & Consoli, N. C. (2005). Effect of Microinforcement of Soils From Small to Large Shear Strains. *Journal of Geotechnical and Environmental Engineering, Vol. 131, No. 8*: ASCE, pp. 1024-1033.
- Hird, C. & Chan, C. M. (2006). One-Dimensional Compression Tests on Stabilized Clays Incorporating Shear Wave Velocity Measurements. *Geotechnical Testing Journal, Vol. 31, No. 2*: ASTM, pp. 166-174.
- Holm, G. (1999). Keynote Lecture: Applications of Dry Mix Methods for Deep Soil Stabilization. Proc. of The International Conference on Dry Mix Methods for Deep Soil Stabilization. Sweden: A.A. Balkema. pp. 3-13.
- Horpibulsuk, S., Bergado, D. T. & Lorenzo, G. A. (2004). Compressibility of Cement-Admixed Clay at High Water Content. *Geotechnique, Vol. 54, No.2*, pp. 151-154.
- Hoyos, L. R., Puppala, A. J. & Chainuwat, P. (2004). Dynamic Properties of Chemically Stabilized Sulfate Rich Clay. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, Vol. 130, No. 2*: ASCE, pp. 153-162.

- Ibrahim, K. A. (2007). Alternative Road Construction Materials Using Clay Admixed With Natural Wastes. Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia: Final Year Project.
- Jauberthie, R., Rendell, F., Tamba, S. & Cisse, I. (2000). Origin of the Pozzolanic Effect of Rice Husks. *Journal of Construction and Building Materials (14)*. Elsevier Ltd., pp. 419-423.
- Johnson, A, C. & Yunus, N. (2009). Particleboards from Rice Husk: A Brief Introduction to Renewable Materials of Construction. JURUTERA (June 2009). pp. 12-15.
- Jovičić, V., Coop, M. R. and Simić, M. (1996). Objective Criteria for Determining Gmax from Bender Element Tests. *Geotechnique*. 46(2), pp. 357-362.
- Kamaluddin, M. (1995). Strength and Deformation Characteristics of Cement Treated Bangkok Clay. D. Eng. Dissertation No. GT-94-1, Asian Institute of Technology, Bangkok, Thailand.
- Kamon, M. & Bergado, D. T. (1992). Ground Improvement Techniques. Proc. of the 9<sup>th</sup> Asian Regional Conf. on Soil Mech. and Found. Engineering, Vol. 2. Bangkok. pp. 526-546.
- Karl, L., Haegeman & Degrande, G. (2006). Determination of The Material Damping Ratio and The Shear Wave Velocity with The Seismic Cone Penetration Test. *Journal of Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering (26):* Elsevier Ltd., pp. 1111-1126.
- Kitazume, M. & Nishimura, S. (2009). Influence of Specimen Preparation and Curing Conditions on Unconfined Compression Behaviour of Cement-Treated Clay. *International Symposium on Deep Mixing and Admixture Stabilization*. Okinawa, Japan. IC-7.

- Kumar, J. & Madhusudan, B. N. (2010). A Note on The Measurement of Travel Times Using Bender and Extender Elements. *Journal of Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering (30)*: Elsevier Ltd., pp. 630-634.
- Landon, M. M., DeGroot, D. J. and Sheahan, T. C. (2007). Nondestructive Sample Quality Assessment of a Soft clay Using Shear Wave Velocity. *Journal of Technologies (8)*, pp. 58-70.
- Larsson, S., Dahlström, M. & Nilsson, B. (2005). Uniformity of Lime-Cement Columns for Deep Mixing: A Field Study. *Ground Improvement (9), No. 1*, pp. 1-15.
- Lee, K. H. & Lee, S. (2002). Mechanical Properties of Weakly Bonded Cement Stabilized Kaolin. KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering, Vol. 6, No. 4, pp. 389-398.
- Leong, E. C., Yeo, S. H. & Rahardjo, H. (2005). Measuring Shear Wave Velocity Using Bender Elements. *Geotech. Test. J., 28(5),* pp. 488-498.
- Lorenzo, G. A. & Bergado, D. T. (2004). Fundamental Parameters of Cement-Admixd Clay-New Approach. Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, Vol 130, No 10: ASCE, pp. 1042-1050.
- Lorenzo, G. A. & Bergado, D. T. (2006). Fundamental Characteristics of Cement-Admixed Clay in Deep Mixing. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, *Vol. 18, No. 2*: ASCE, pp. 161-174.
- Madhyannapu, R. S., Puppala, A. J., Nazarian, S., P. E. & Yuan, D. (2010). Quality Assessment and Quality Control of Deep Soil Mixing Construction for Stabilizing Expansive Subsoils. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, Vol. 136, No. 1*: ASCE, pp. 119-128.
- Marian, P. R. & Raymond, S. R. (1999). *Geotechnical Materials in Construction*. Mississippi: McGraw-Hill.

- Mehta, P.K. (1992). *Rice Husk Ash A Unique Supplementary Cement Material. Advances in Concrete Technology*. Malhotra, CANMET, Ottawa, Canada.
- Nagaraj, T. S. & Miura, N. (2001). *Soft Clay Behaviour Analysis and Assessment*. Netherlands: A.A Balkema.
- Ortigao, J. A. R. (2007). Wave Propagation and Microstrain Behaviour of Soils. Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, Vol 133, No 4: ASCE, pp. 424-
- Oyetola. E. B. and Abdullahi, M. (2006). The Use of Rice Husk Ash in Low Cost Sandcrete Block Production. *Leonardo Electronic Journal of Practices and*
- Puppala, A. J., Kadam, R., Madhyannapu, R. S. & Hoyos L. R. (2006). Small-Strain Shear Moduli of Chemically Stabilized Sulfate-Bearing Cohesive Soils. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, Vol. 132, No. 2*: ASCE, pp. 322-336.
- Rao, S. M. & Shivananda, P. (2005). Compressibility Behaviour of Lime-Treated Clay. *Geotecnical and Geological Engineering (23)*: Springer, pp. 309-319.
- Robani, R. & Chan, C. M. (2009). Relationship of vs and qu for Soft Clay Stabilized with Cement-POC. *Persidangan Kebangsaan Kejuruteraan Awam Kelima* (AWAM'09). Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. GTC 13.
- Romano, J. S. & Rodrigues, F. A. (2008). Cements Obtained From Rice Hull: Encapsulation of Heavy Metals. *Journal of Hazardous Materials (154)*: Elsevier Ltd., pp. 1075-1080.
- Sahaphol, T. & Miura, S. (2005). Shear Moduli of Volcanic Soils. Journal of Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering (25): Elsevier Ltd., pp. 157-165.

- Sakr, M. A. and Shahin, M. A. (2009). Utilization of Lime for Stabilizing Soft Clay Soil of High Organic Content. *Geotech. Geol. Eng.* (27), pp. 105-113.
- Sanches-Salinero, Roesset, J. M. & Stoke, K. H. (1986). Analytical *Studies of Body Wave Propagation and Attenuation*. Report GR86-15, University of Texas.
- Sarkawi, S. S. & Aziz, Y. (2003). Ground Rice Husk as Filler in Rubber Compounding. Jurnal Teknologi, 39 (A): Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, pp. 135-148.
- Shirly, D. J. and Hampton, L .D (1977). *Shear Wave Measurements in Laboratory Sediments.* J Acoust Soc Am; 63(2).607-613.
- Show, K. Y., Tay, J. H. & Goh, A. T. C. (2003). Reuse of Incinerator Fly Ash in Soft Soil Stabilization. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, Vol. 15, No 4*: ASCE, pp. 335-343.
- Sing, W. L., Hashim, R. & Basha, E. A. (2007). Chemical Reactions of Residual Soil Stabilized by Portland Cement and Rice Husk. *Proc. of the World Engineering Congress.* Penang, Malaysia. pp. 399-407.
- Soil Map of Malaya (1962). Prepared by the Soil Science Division, Division of Agriculture, Ministry of Agriculture and Co-operates, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Tan, T. S., Goh, T. L. & Yong, K. Y. (2002). Properties of Singapore Marine Clays Improved by Cement Mixing. *Geotechnical Testing Journal, Vol. 25, No. 4*: ASTM, pp. 1-12.
- Tang, C., Shi, B., Gao, W., Chen, F. & Cai, Y. (2007). Strength and Mechanical Behavior of Short Polypropylene Fiber Reinforced and Cement Stabilized Clayey Soil. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes (25)*: Elsevier, pp. 194-202. Technology, Bangkok, Thailand.

- Viggiani, G. and Atkinson, J. H. (1995). Interpretation of Bender Element Tests. *Geotechnique*, 45(1), pp. 149-154.
- Wang, Y. H., Lo, K. F. and Dong, X. B. (2007). Measurement Biases in the Bender Element Test. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, *Vol. 133, No. 5:* ASCE, pp. 564-574.
- Xiao, H. W. & Lee, F. H. (2008). Curing Time Effect on Behavior of Cement Treated Marine Clay. Proc. of World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, Vol. 33. Singapore: PWASET. pp. 71-78.
- Zhou, Y. G. & Chen, Y. M. (2007). Laboratory Investigation on Assessing Liquefaction Resistance of Sandy Soils by Shear Wave Velocity. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, Vol. 133, No. 8*: ASCE, pp. 959-972.
- Zhou, Y. G., Chen, Y. M. and Asaka, Y. and Abe, T. (2008). Surface-Mounted Bender Elements for Measuring Horizontal Shear Wave Velocity of Soils. *Journal of Zhejiang University SCIENCE A*, 9(11): Springerlink, pp. 1490-1496.