

A Numerical Study on Magnetic Fields Effects on Stem Cell Differentiation

Azizi Miskon^{1*} and Jatendra Uslama¹,

¹Faculty of Electrical & Electronic Engineering, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, 86400 Parit Raja, Batu Pahat Johor Malaysia.

*Corresponding author / email: azizimis@uthm.edu.my

Abstract

The objective of this paper is to provide the fundamental mathematical formula to predict the effect of magnetic fields (MF) on stem cell differentiation. The data were reviewed from journals related to the effects of magnetic fields on stem cell differentiation. These data were given a value for differentiation which is related to their MF strength with these conditions; MF strength that does not affect the stem cell differentiation given the value of zero, MF strength that results in stem cells death given the value of 1, and the MF strength that affects stem cells The differentiation given the value between 0.1 and 0.9. graph was plotted according to these data and the mathematical equation is designed from the graph. From this review, we suggest that the intensity of MF that can affect the stem cell differentiation is between 600 μ T and 9.4T in which the cell differentiation will not occur with intensity of less than 10 μ T and intensity of more than 12T will cause the death of stem cells. We also suggest that the limit of MF effects on stem cell differentiation lies between 10 μ T and 600 μ T, and the limit of MF strength that can lead to the death of stem cells lies between 9.4 T and 12 T. It can be concluded that the exposure of MF on stem cell differentiation depends not only on the MF intensity, but also on the period of exposure.

Keywords: Differentiation, Ion Resonance Frequency, Magnetic Fields, Stem Cells, Tissue Engineering.

1. INTRODUCTION

Stem cells are primitive cells, which are present in all organisms and have the ability to divide and give rise to more stem cells, or switch to become more specialized cells in human body like cells in brain, heart, muscle, and kidney [1]. There are two types of stem cell; embryonic stem (ES) cell and adult stem cell. ES cells are pluripotent and harvested from inner cell mass of blastocyst and possess the ability to differentiate into all of the specialized embryonic tissues [1], [2]. ES cells also may open the door to the rapidly progressing field of therapeutic cell transplantation [3]. The adult stem cells are multipotent with capacity to differentiate or transdifferentiate into cell types other than their tissue of origin [1]. Adult stem cells and progenitor cells can be found in the adult tissue. Both of these cells act as a repair system for body, replenishing the specialized cells, and maintaining the normal regenerative of organs, such as blood, skin, or intestinal tissues.

Magnetic fields (MF) produced by moving electric charge and exist all around us like earth MF and man-made MF sources. Numerous static and alternating MF arising from man-made sources have possible biological effect [4]. There are many biological functions that are modulated by extremely low frequency magnetic field (ELF-MF) [5]-[7]. However, there is not enough evidence that the ELF-MF could endanger the human health [8]. ELF-MF is MF with a range of frequency of 3 to 30Hz. Even so, MF has been shown to affect proliferation and growth factor expression in cultured cells [9]-[11] and also interfere with endorphinergic and cholinergic system [12]-[14]. Other than MF, electrical fields (EF) also have biological effects that can influence neural growth and orientation *in vitro* [15], and have been applied for the treatment of spinal cord injuries in recent clinical trials [16]. The response of cells to the EF was essentially passive and determined by the physical properties of the cell, however cells can also actively respond to EF [17]. Electromagnetic fields (EMF) are produced when electric current flows through an electrical conductor like power line [18]. Like MF and EF, EMF also has biological effects such as altered rate

of cell growth [5], [19], altered quantities of RNA transcript and proteins [20], altered cell surface properties [21] and effect on development [22]. However EMF-based technologies have not progressed to clinical translation and the reason for this is the scepticism due to differences in experimental exposure protocols and static MF (SMF) variation applied in experiment [23].

The objective of this paper is to design the mathematical formula to predict the effect of magnetic fields on stem cells differentiation. To the extent of our knowledge, there is no standard range of suitable magnetic fields provided which can affect the stem cells differentiation ability. Therefore, we review the data of magnetic fields and its effect on stem cells differentiation used by previous researchers. From these data, we design a mathematical equation to predict the suitable range of magnetic fields that can affect the stem cells ability to differentiate. This work will provide an essential basis prior to any future *in vitro* experiment, in which we can predict the strength of MF used either to trigger the cells differentiation or vice versa. Therefore, we may avoid unnecessary failure during the experimental works.

2. METHOD

The data from several journals related to the effects of MF on stem cells differentiation that were published in various journals from the year 2004 until 2010 were reviewed [23]-[29] as shown in Table 1. Since EF and EMF can also affect the stem cells differentiation, this review only focuses on the effect of MF and EMF on the stem cells differentiation. The data in Table 1 were revalued according to the MF strength. The value for differentiation of related MF strength were given to these conditions (Table 2); MF strength that does not affect the stem cells differentiation was given the value of zero and MF strength that results in stem cells death was given the value of 1. For the MF strength that affects stem cells differentiation, the value was given between 0.1 and 0.9. The given value for MF group that affect the stem cells differentiation was done with the assumptions that the smaller or higher the value, the lesser the MF effect on

differentiation. The data were plotted into graph by using Microsoft Office Excel 2010 software and the result of project presented in Graphical User Interface (GUI) design by using the Microsoft Visual Studio Ultimate 2010.

Table 1: MF strengths that were used in reviewed journals and classified into their corresponding group; with group 0 for MF strength does not affect the stem cells differentiation, group 1 for MF strength that effects the stem cells differentiation, and group 2 for MF strength that kill the stem cells.

MF intensity (T)	Group
10 μ	0
600 μ	1
800 μ	1
1m	1
1.1m	1
10m	1
4.7	1
9.4	1
12	2
16	2

Table 2: Modified data of Table 1

MF strength, x (T)	Value, y
10 μ	0
600 μ	0.1
800 μ	0.2
1m	0.3
1.1m	0.4
10m	0.5
4.7	0.7
9.4	0.9
12	1
16	1

3. RESULT

From Table 2, we design the graph using Microsoft Office Excel 2010 as shown in Fig. 1. From Table 2, we observed that the effect of MF on stem cell differentiation still occur in 600 μ T but the differentiation is not observed in 10 μ T. This may indicate that the minimum strength of MF to influence the stem cell

differentiation in frequency of 50Hz lies somewhere between 10 μ T and 600 μ T. We also observed that the effect of MF on differentiation of stem cells still occur in MF strength of 9.4T. However, such high field strength magnet like 9.4T is not easily available and such studies may not be translated into the clinical study because the current limits for magnetic field strengths approved by U.S. Food and drug Administration (FDA) is 3T [24]. This is the reason that many of the research works were carried out using the magnetic fields less than 3T. The stem cells death will occur at the exposure of 12T for more than 24h. Therefore, the minimum exposure of MF before resulting in stem cells death lies between 9.4T and 12T.

We revalued the data in Table 1 into Table 2, and as a result, the graph was plotted as depicted in Fig. 1. The graph is reasonable as the trait of MF effect on the stem cells is almost resembling the growth model. The closer the strength of MF to the lower asymptotes or upper asymptotes, the lesser the significance the differentiation is observed. The smaller the intensity of MF used, the lesser the possibility of MF to affect the stem cell differentiation. Whereas, the higher the intensity of MF used, the more possibilities that differentiation will not occur since stem cells were more likely to die. From the logarithmic graph, we design the mathematical function as shown in equation (1). From this equation, we can predict the strength of MF which is able to influence the stem cells differentiation ability. Referring to the equation (1), the value of 'y' is assumed to be 'y=0' for value of 'x' less than 10 μ T and 'y=1' for 'x' value more than 12T. The logarithmic function is inserted in GUI code shown in Fig. 2 so that it can be used in any future *in vitro* experiment.




Fig. 1 The graph of MF effects on stem cells differentiation

$$y = 0.0684\ln(x) + 0.7518 \quad (1)$$




Fig. 2 GUI for predicting the MF effects on stem cells

4. DISCUSSION

Cardiosperes (CDps) and cardiospere-derived cells (CDCs) exposed to extremely low frequency magnetic fields (ELF-MF) (10 μ T, 18Hz) did not affect the expression of cardiac and vascular markers (cTnl, Nkx 2.5, MHC, VEGF, KDR, and SMA) during the experiment, thus this may suggest an evidence that the stem cells did not differentiate with MF of 10 μ T [23].

Human Mesenchymal stem cells (hMSC) are multipotential cells and possess high replication capacity, and there are potentials to differentiate into different lineages of mesenchymal tissue such as bone, cartilage, muscle, fat, and marrow stroma [30]. The exposure of human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSC) to 600 μ T enables the MSC to differentiate into adipogenic cells as indicated by enhanced expression of lipoprotein lipase and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor

gamma [24]. In addition, the immediate exposure of MSC to MF has enhanced the cells differentiation. This research was accomplished to investigate the effect of MF on hMSC and labelled with super magnetic particles of iron oxide (SPIO). This was done by using SPIO to label the cells, and detect ion was done by using Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI). The viability or differentiation potential of the cells has not been affected by SPIO-labelling of MSC [31], [32], but it has an impact on the iron metabolism, the migration capacity, and the colony formation of MSC [32]-[34]. The principle function of MRI is the exposure of cells to high MF and magnetic force that can direct the iron labelled stem cells *in vitro* and *in vivo* [35]-[37], guided localization of iron labelled stem cells to the desired region [35], [38], for the seeding of scaffolds with stem cells [36], [39], or for the engineering of 3D tissues by stem cells [37]. Therefore, the effect of MF strength *in vitro* experiment should be carried out in order to verify the equation (1).

The stem cell is able to differentiate in a sinusoidal MF of 800 μ T with frequency of 50Hz. Real-time quantitative reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) analysis revealed a remarkably increased of GATA-4 and Nkx-2.5 mRNA expression for both embryoid bodies (EBs) and puromycin-selected cardiomyocytes [25]. Hence, the result of exposing GTR1 embryonic stem cells with this MF was the differentiation into cardiac specific cells, and this experiment was done without an aid of gene transfer technology [25]. As for information, GATA-4 and Nkx-2.5 mRNA encode respectively for a zinc finger containing transcription factor and homeodomain, and both of these have been shown to be essential for cardiogenesis in different animal species [40], [41], and human [42].

The effects of MF were also done on P19 embryonal carcinoma cells (P19 cells) [26]. Differentiation was detected by exposing the P19 cells to 1mT of MF with frequency of 50Hz, however the analysis result was not very significant. By exposing P19 cells into more intense MF with the strength of 10mT, P19 cells were differentiated into neuronal cells [26]. The effects of ELF-MF after neuronal differentiation were evaluated by

morphological analysis, immunochemical analysis (MAP2 and GFAP), and developmental neuronal network activities recorded by the micro-electrode arrays (MEAs). From the results, the percentage of MAP2 positive cells and the spike frequencies had increased, but the percentage of GFAP positive cells has reduced. These results suggest that an exposure to 10mT ELF-MF would affect the characteristic of neuronal differentiation and functional neuronal properties [26]. These results also may suggest that the effect of MF on stem cell differentiation will become less significant with lower intensity of MF strength as verified by using our equation in Fig 1.

The stem cells are also able to differentiate in MF intensity of 1.1mT as demonstrated in previous work using the bone marrow stem cells (BMSC) [27]. It results in the differentiation of BMSC into osteogenesis and the increase of intracellular Ca^{2+} after MF stimulation. From this result, they postulated that the elevated Ca^{2+} is possibly the underlying biochemical mechanism which is responsible for the induction of terminal differentiation [27].

As mentioned above, most of the experiments were done to investigate the effect of MF on stem cells ability to differentiate, and were performed with the intensity less than 3T. Even so, there are also experiments done with the MF intensity above 3T. The MF intensity between 4.7T and 9.4T were found to affect the stem cells differentiation [28]. Therefore, our range for cells differentiation was limited at 9.4T.

The effects of microgravity (MG) modelled by large gradient high magnetic field (LGHMF) with intensity of 12T and 16T on hMSC led to cell death after 24 hours exposure. Almost all the cells died after 48 hours, but in the first 6 hours of osteogenic induction, it had resulted in suppression of the osteogenesis of hMSC [29]. Therefore, we assumed that 12T and 16T still affect the cell differentiation for a short period of exposure. This response may be due to the synergistic effect of high magnetic force and MG existed in their experiment modelling systems [43]-[46]. Hence, from the data reviewed, we suggest that the MF intensity

between 9.4T and 12T could lead to stem cell death.

As stated in the result section, the MF has been classified into three groups according to their effects on stem cell differentiation. From these groups, we know that MF less than 600 μ T or more than 9.4T do not lead to stem cell differentiation. Also, the MF effect on stem cells differentiation will not occur at MF of 10 μ T and below. However, MF of 12T and above will result in stem cell death.

The effects to stem cells differentiation by MF ranged from 600 μ T to 9.4T vary according to the MF strength itself. It is likely that the MF of 10mT affects more cells differentiation as compared with 600 μ T or 9.4T. By using the mathematical equation (1), not only we can predict whether the strength of MF is able to affect the stem cells differentiation, but can also predict the strength of MF on stem cell differentiation. This is because the mathematical formula was designed after considering the analysis of the reviewed data.

Stem cells have been used in several pre-clinical models of disease [47]-[49], and are currently applied in clinical trials of phase I-III [50]-[53]. For example, neuronal stem cells (NSC) can differentiate into neuronal or glial cells and also express trophic factors, which may be used to rescue dysfunction brain tissue [54]-[58]. Stem cells are also used in tissue transplantation, and the optimal cell type to be transplanted should have these characters: (a) spontaneous disposition to integrate with the target tissue without induction of immune reaction; (b) differentiate into specific cells commitment; (c) have the capacity to develop gap junctions with host cells; and (d) have some degree of resistance to ischaemia, in order to avoid massive apoptosis, which is currently observed during cell transfer [23], [59]. The current findings of MF effect on stem cell differentiation may open a new prospective, in particular the use of MF to direct the differentiation processes of stem cells into a specific cellular phenotype without the aid of gene transfer technologies [25]. On the other hand, there are increasing public interests of possible health risk associated with ELF-MF [8], [18], [60]. Therefore, fundamental studies

are necessary to ensure the safe method to differentiate stem cell into specific cell through MF exposure.

In conclusion, we suggest that the intensity of MF that can affect stem cell differentiation is between 600 μ T and 9.4T. Also the differentiation will not occur if the intensity is less than 10 μ T and with intensity of more than 12T, it will cause death of stem cells. We also suggest that the range of MF effects on stem cell differentiation lies between 10 μ T and 600 μ T. The limit of MF strength that can lead towards the death of stem cells lies between 9.4T and 12T. We conclude that the result of the exposure of MF on stem cells differentiation depends not only on the MF intensity, but also on the period of exposure.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Passier, C. Mummery, "Origin and use of embryonic and adult stem cells in differentiation and tissue repair," *Cardiovascular Research* 2003, vol. 58, pp. 324-335.
- [2] C.M. Metallo, S.M. Azarin, Lin ji, et al., "Engineering tissue from human embryonic stem cells," *J. Cell Mol Med* 2008, vol. 12(3), pp. 709-729.
- [3] S.G. Nir, R. David, M. Zaruba, et al., "Human embryonic stem cells for cardiovascular repair," *Cardiovascular Research* 2003, vol. 58 (2), pp. 313-323.
- [4] W.T. Kaune, "Introduction to power-frequency electric and magnetic fields," *Environmental Health Perspectives Supplements* 1993, vol. 101, pp. 73-81.
- [5] A.R. Liboff, T. Williams Jr., D.M. Strong, et al., "Time-varying magnetic fields: effects on DNA synthesis," *Science*, 1984, vol. 223, pp. 818-820.
- [6] J. Jajte, M. Zmyslony, J. Palus, et al., "Protective effects of melatonin against in vitro iron ions and 7 mT, 50 Hz, magnetic fields-induced DNA damage in rat lymphocytes," *Mutat Res* 2001, vol. 483, pp. 57-64.
- [7] S. Falone., A. Mirabilio, M.C. Carbone, et al., "Chronic exposure to 50Hz magnetic fields causes a significant weakening of antioxidant defence systems in aged rat brain," *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 2008, vol. 12, pp. 2762-2770.
- [8] D.W. Zipse, "Health effects of extremely low frequency (50 and 60 Hertz) electric and magnetic fields," *IEEE* 1991, vol. PCIC-91-09.
- [9] A. Cossarizza, D. Monti, F. Bersani, et al. "Extremely low frequency pulsed electromagnetic fields increase cell proliferation in lymphocytes from young and aged subjects," *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 1989, vol. 160, pp. 692-698.
- [10] R. Cadossi, F. Bersani, A. Cossarizza, et al. "Lymphocytes and low-frequency electromagnetic fields," *FASEB J.* 1992, vol. 6, pp. 2667-2674.
- [11] A. Cossarizza, S. Angioni, F. Petraglia, et al. "Exposure to low-frequency pulsed electromagnetic fields increases interleukin-1 and interleukin-6 production by human peripheral blood mononuclear cells," *Exp. Cell Res.* 1993, vol. 204, pp. 385-387.
- [12] A.W. Thomas, M. Kavaliers, F.S. Prato, et al. "Pulsed magnetic field induced "analgesia" in the land snail, *Cepaeanemoralis*, and the effects of mu, delta, and kappa opioid receptor agonists/antagonists" *Peptides* 1997, vol. 18, pp. 703-709.
- [13] V.V. Vorobyov, E.A. Sosunov, N.I. Kukushkin, et al. "Weak combined magnetic field affects basic and morphine-induced rat's EEG," *Brain Res.* 1998, vol. 781, pp. 182-187.
- [14] H. Lai, M.A. Carino, A. Horita, et al. "Effects of a 60 Hz magnetic field on central cholinergic systems of the

- rat,"*Bioelectromagnetics* 1993, vol. 14, pp. 5–15.
- [15] N.B. Patel, M.M. Poo, "Perturbation of the direction of neurite growth by pulsed and focal electric fields," *J Neurosci*, 1984, vol. 4, pp. 2939-47.
- [16] L.D. Duffell, "Long-term intensive electrically stimulated cycling by spinal cord-injured people: Effect on muscle properties and their relation to power output," *Muscle Nerve* 2008, vol. 38, pp. 1304-11.
- [17] H.M. Gerard, "The use of electric fields in tissue engineering," *Organogenesis* 2004, vol. 4, pp. 11-17.
- [18] R. Goodman, Y. Chizmadzhew, A. Shirley-Henderson, "Electromagnetic fields and cells" *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry* 1993, vol. 51, pp. 436-441.
- [19] K. Takahashi, I. Kaneko, M. Date, et al., "Effect of pulsing electromagnetic fields on DNA synthesis in mammalian cells in culture," *Experientia* 1986, vol. 42, pp. 185-186.
- [20] E. Czerska, H. Al-Baranzi, J. Casamento, et al., "Comparison of the effect of elf fields on c-nayc oncogene expression in normal and transformed human cells," In *Transection of the Bioelectromagnetic Society, Thirteenth Annual Meeting* 1991. Salt Lake City, UT, B-2-14.
- [21] M.T. Marron, E.M. Goodman, P.T. Sharpe, et al., "Low frequency electric and magnetic fields have different effects on the cell surface," *FEBS Lett* 1988, vol. 230, pp. 13-16.
- [22] M.R. Delgado, J. Leal, J.L. Monteagudo, et al., "Embryological changes induced by weak, extremely low frequency electromagnetic fields," *J Anat* 1982, vol. 134, pp. 533-551.
- [23] G. Roberto, L. Mario, B. Lucio, et al., "Differentiation of human adult cardiac stem cells exposed to extremely low-frequency electromagnetic fields," *Cardiovascular Research* 2009, vol. 82, pp. 411-420.
- [24] S. Richard, B. Rudiger, K. Rainer, et al., "Functional investigation on human mesenchymal stem cells exposed to magnetic fields and labeled with clinically approved iron nanoparticles," *BMC Cell Biology* 2010, vol. 11, pp. 22
- [25] C. Ventura, M. Maioli, Y. Asara, , et al., "Turning on stem cell cardiogenesis with extremely low frequency magnetic fields," *FASEB J.* 2004, vol. 19, pp. 155–157.
- [26] S. Atsushi, T. Yuzo, M. Hiroyuki, et al. "Developmental effects of low frequency magnetic fields on P19-Derived Neuronal Cells," *IEEE EMBS 31st Annual International Conference*, September 2009.
- [27] W. Zhao, W. Ma, H. Wu., "The effects of magnetic fields on the differentiation and intra-cellular free calcium of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells," *IEEE WAC* 2008.
- [28] S. Magnisky, R.M. Walton, J.H. Wolfe, et al., "Magnetic resonance imaging detects differences in migration between primary and immortalized neural stem cells," *AcadRadiol* 2008, vol. 15(10), pp. 1269-1281.
- [29] D. Shi, R. Meng, W. Deng, et al., "Effects of Microgravity Modeled by Large Gradient High Magnetic Field on the Osteogenic Initiation of Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells," *Stem Cell Rev and Rep* 2010.
- [30] M.F. Pittenger, A.M. Beck S.C. Mackay, et al., "Multilineage potential of adult human mesenchymal stem cells," *Science* 1999, vol. 284, pp. 143–147.
- [31] C. Bos, Y. Delmas, A. Desmouliere, et al., "In vivo MR imaging of intravascularly injected magnetically labeled mesenchymal stem cells in rat kidney and liver," *Radiology* 2004, vol. 233, pp. 781-789.

- [32] R. Schäfer, R. Kehlbach, M. Muller, *et al.*, "Labeling of human mesenchymal stromal cells with superparamagnetic iron oxide leads to a decrease in migration capacity and colony formation ability," *Cytotherapy* 2009, vol. 11, pp. 68-78.
- [33] E. Pawelczyk, A.S. Arbab, S. Pandit, *et al.*, "Expression of transferrin receptor and ferritin following ferumoxides-protamine sulfate labeling of cells: implications for cellular magnetic resonance imaging," *NMR Biomed* 2006, vol. 19, pp. 581-592.
- [34] R. Schäfer, R. Kehlbach, J. Wiskirchen, *et al.*, "Transferrin Receptor Upregulation: In Vitro Labeling of Rat Mesenchymal Stem Cells with Superparamagnetic Iron Oxide," *Radiology* 2007, vol. 244, pp. 514-523.
- [35] S.V. Pislaru, A. Harbuzariu, G. Agarwal, *et al.*, "Magnetic forces enable rapid endothelialization of synthetic vascular grafts," *Circulation* 2006, vol. 114, pp. 1314-1318.
- [36] K. Shimizu, A. Ito, H. Honda, "Mag-seeding of rat bone marrow stromal cells into porous hydroxyapatite scaffolds for bone tissue engineering," *J Biosci Bioeng* 2007, vol. 104, pp. 171-177
- [37] K. Shimizu, A. Ito, T. Yoshida, *et al.*, "Bone tissue engineering with human mesenchymal stem cell sheets constructed using magnetite nanoparticles and magnetic force," *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater* 2007, vol. 82, pp. 471-480.
- [38] S.V. Pislaru, A. Harbuzariu, R. Gulati, *et al.*, "Magnetically targeted endothelial cell localization in stented vessels," *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006, vol. 48, pp. 1839-1845.
- [39] D. Robert, D. Fayol, C.L. Visage, *et al.*, "Magnetic micro-manipulations to probe the local physical properties of porous scaffolds and to confine stem cells," *Biomaterials* 2010, vol. 21, pp. 1586-1595.
- [40] C. Biben, R.P. Harvey, "Homeodomain factor Nkx-2.5 controls left/right asymmetric expression of bHLH gene *eHand* during heart development," *Genes Dev.* 1997, vol. 11, pp. 1357-1369.
- [41] T.J. Lints, L.M. Parsons, L. Hartley, *et al.*, "Nkx-2.5: a novel murine homeobox gene expressed in early heart progenitor cells and their myogenic descendants," *Development* 1993, vol. 119, pp. 419-431.
- [42] D.W. Benson, G.M. Silberbach, A. Kavanaugh-McHugh, *et al.*, "Mutations in the cardiac transcription factor Nkx-2.5 affect diverse cardiac developmental pathways," *J. Clin. Invest.* 1999, vol. 104, pp. 1567-1573
- [43] Q. Airong, Z. Wei, W. Yuanyuan, *et al.*, "Gravitational environment produced by superconducting magnet affects osteoblast morphology and functions," *Acta Astronautica*, 2008, vol. 63, pp. 929-946.
- [44] P.W. Neurath, "High gradient magnetic field inhibits embryonic development of frogs," *Nature* 1968, vol. 219, pp. 1358-1359.
- [45] Q. Airong, D. Shengmeng, G. Xiang, *et al.*, "cDNA microarray reveals the alterations of cytoskeleton-related genes in osteoblast under high magneto-gravitational environment," *Acta Biochimica et Biophysica Sinica (Shanghai)* 2009, vol. 41, pp. 561-577.
- [46] Q. Airong, H. Lifang, G. Xiang, *et al.*, "Large gradient high magnetic field affects the association of MACF1 with actin and microtubule cytoskeleton," *Bioelectromagnetics* 2009, vol. 30, pp. 545-555.
- [47] P. Hematti, P. Obrtlíkova, D.S. Kaufman, "Nonhuman primate embryonic stem cells as a preclinical model for hematopoietic

- and vascular repair,” *Experimental Hematology*. 2005, vol. 33(9), pp. 980–6.
- [48] G. Martino, S. Pluchino, “The therapeutic potential of neural stem cells,” *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 2006, vol. 7(5), pp. 395–406.
- [49] R.L. Zhang, Z.G. Zhang, M. Chopp, “Neurogenesis in the adult ischemic brain: generation, migration, survival, and restorative therapy,” *Neuroscientist* 2005, vol. 11(5), pp. 408–16.
- [50] S.S. Kuthiala, G.H. Lyman, O.F. Ballester, “Randomized clinical trials for hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: lessons to be learned from the European experience,” *Bone Marrow Transplantation* 2006, vol. 37(2), pp. 219–21.
- [51] R.A. Nash, J.D. Bowen, P.A. McSweeney, et al., “High-dose immunosuppressive therapy and autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation for severe multiple sclerosis,” *Blood* 2003, vol. 102(7), pp. 2364–72.
- [52] H. Okada, I.F. Pollack, F. Lieberman, et al., “Gene therapy of malignant gliomas: a pilot study of vaccination with irradiated autologous glioma and dendritic cells admixed with IL-4 transduced fibroblasts to elicit an immune response,” *Human Gene Therapy* 2001, vol. 12(5), pp. 575–95.
- [53] C. Hesdorffer, J. Ayello, M. Ward, et al., “Phase I trial of retroviral-mediated transfer of the human MDR1 gene as marrow chemoprotection in patients undergoing high-dose chemotherapy and autologous stem-cell transplantation,” *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 1998, vol. 16(1), pp. 165–72.
- [54] E.Y. Snyder, D.L. Deitcher, C. Walsh, et al., “Multipotent neural cell lines can engraft and participate in development of mouse cerebellum,” *Cell*. 1992, vol. 68(1), pp. 33–51.
- [55] E.Y. Snyder, R.M. Taylor, J.H. Wolfe, “Neural progenitor cell engraftment corrects lysosomal storage throughout the MPS VII mouse brain,” *Nature* 1995, vol. 374(6520), pp. 367–70.
- [56] J.D. Flax, S. Aurora, C. Yang, et al., “Engraftable human neural stem cells respond to developmental cues, replace neurons, and express foreign genes,” *Nature Biotechnology*. 1998, vol. 16(11), pp. 1033–9.
- [57] R.A. Fricker, M.K. Carpenter, C. Winkler, et al., “Site-specific migration and neuronal differentiation of human neural progenitor cells after transplantation in the adult rat brain,” *Journal of Neuroscience* 1999, vol. 19(14), pp. 5990–6005.
- [58] J. Ourednik, V. Ourednik, W.P. Lynch, et al., “Neural stem cells display an inherent mechanism for rescuing dysfunctional neurons,” *Nature Biotechnology*. 2002, vol. 20(11), pp. 1103–10.
- [59] H.J. Cho, N. Lee, J.Y. Lee, et al., “Role of host tissues for sustained humoral effects after endothelial progenitor cell transplantation into the ischemic heart,” *J Exp Med* 2007, vol. 204, pp. 3257-3269.
- [60] V. Hartwig, G. Giovannetti, N. Vanello, et al., “Biological Effects and Safety in Magnetic Resonance Imaging: A Review,” *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2009, vol. 6, pp. 1778-1798.

