# ME THEOT OF ECCENTRICITY AT REAR SUPPORT TO PEAM STEELED

AHMAD ZURISMAN BIN MOHD ALI

URIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA



w in it



### UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS▼					
JUDUL:	THE EFF	ECT OF ECCEN	TRICITY A	AT BEAM SUPPORT	
	TO BEAM STIFFNESS				
	SESI I	PENGAJIAN:	2005/200	6	
Saya		AHMAD ZURISM	IAN BIN M	OHD ALI	
		(HURU	F BESAR)		
	mbenarkan tesis ( <del>PSA</del> knologi Malaysia der			i disimpan di Perpustakaan perti berikut:	
<ol> <li>Perpusta pengajia:</li> <li>Perpusta institusi;</li> </ol>	n sahaja.	knologi Malaysia	dibenarkan	membuat salinan untuk tujuan ahan pertukaran antara	
	SULIT		aysia seperti	g berdarjah keselamatan atau yang termaktub di dalam 72)	
	TERHAD			RHAD yang telah ditentukan a penyelidikan dijalankan)	
✓	TIDAK TERHAD				
/	Juane			Disahkan oleh	
(TA	NDATANGAN PEN	ULIS)	(TAI	NDATANGAN PENYELIA)	
Alamat tetap	:				
	4A,		Ι	OR REDZUAN ABDULLAH	
	JAYA, ANGOR DARUL E			Nama Penyelia	
Tarikh:	OKTOBER 200	5	Tarikh:	OKTOBER 2005	

CATATAN:

- Potong yang tidak berkenaan. Jika tesis ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa/organisasi berkenaan dengan menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh tesis ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai SULIT atau TERHAD.
  Tesis dimaksudkan sebagai tesis bagi Ijazah Doktor Falsafah dan Sarjana
- secara penyelidikan, atau disertasi bagi pengajian secara kerja kursus dan penyelidikan, atau Laporan Projek Sarjana Muda (PSM).

"I/We\* hereby declare that I/we\* have read through this project report and in my/our\* opinion this project report is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Civil - Structure)"

Signature :

Supervisor : Dr. Redzuan Abdullah

Date : October 2005

<sup>\*</sup> Delete as necessary

## THE EFFECT OF ECCENTRICITY AT BEAM SUPPORT TO BEAM STIFFNESS

## AHMAD ZURISMAN BIN MOHD ALI

A project report submitted in partial fulfillments of the requirements for the award of the degree of

Master of Engineering (Civil - Structure)

Faculty of Civil Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

OCTOBER 2005

I declare that my project report entitled "The Effect of Eccentricity at Beam Support to Beam Stiffness" is the result of my own research except as cited in references. The project report has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature : .....

Name : Ahmad Zurisman bin Mohd Ali

Date : October 2005

To my beloved sister, brothers and relatives, thanks for endless support.

To my parents, Allahyarhamah Hjh Rahimah Sulaiman and Allahyarham Mohd Ali Napiah, our wonderful memories together were the inpsiration for me to move forward.

Last but not least for special one, Siti Nazahiyah, thanks for everything...

#### Acknowledgement

Alhamdulillah, in completion of this projet report, i'm blessed with so many contacts which provide useful guidance. I wish to express my highest gratitude to my supervisor Dr Redzuan Abdullah from Structure and Material Department, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, for his wonderful ideas, guidances, advises, critics and encouragement.

I'm also indebted to Kolej Universiti Teknologi Tun Hussein Onn (Kuittho) for providing the opportunity and funding me to further my study. Appreciation for lecturers in Faculty of Civil Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia for marvellous learning experience.

To my fellow graduates students, my housemates, colleagues and others, thank you so much for moral support and encouragement throughout my entire study.

## ١

#### **ABSTRACT**

A beam reacts to loading through bending action. Therefore, beam bending stiffness can be represented by deflection. Theoretically, beam stiffness is governed by span length, elastic modulus, moment of inertia and support type. In the analytical analysis, beams are assumed simply supported or fixed supported However, based on real cases and lab experiments there are other factors that are not included theoretical equation but effect to the beam stiffness Factors such as eccentricity between beam neutral axis and beam support (vertical eccentricity), pour stop stiffness in composite beam/slab effect and column size effect were analyzed in this study. The effects were studied using plane stress element finite. Pour stop stiffness were modelled using spring element. From the analysis, vertical eccentricity does not give significant effect to beam stiffness and it can be neglected. The pour stop provides stiffness of 25000kN/m at the outer support. Beam deflection is independent with column deflection when column width is three times bigger than beam depth.

#### **ABSTRAK**

Rasuk bertindak balas terhadap pembebanan melalui lenturan. Oleh itu, kekukuhan lenturan rasuk boleh diwakili oleh pesongan. Secara toeri, kekukuhan rasuk dipengaruhi oleh panjang rentang rasuk, modulus elastik, momen sifat tekun dan jenis penyokong. Di dalam analisis, rasuk dianggap disokong secara sokong mudah atau sokong tegar. Bagaimanapun, terdapat beberapa faktor yang mempengaruhi kekukuhan rasuk yang tidak termasuk di dalam persamaan teori berdasarkan kes-kes sebenar dan eksperimen makmal. Faktor seperti kesipian antara paksi neutral rasuk dan penyokong (kesipian tegak), kekukuhan acuan hujung rasuk pada papak komposit, dan saiz tiang di analysis dalam kajian ini. Kesan-kesan ini di kaji dengan menggunakan tegasan satah unsur terhingga. Acuan hujung di model dengan menggunakan unsur spring. Daripada analisis unsur terhingga, didapati bahawa kesipian menegak tidak memberi impak yang signifikan terhadap kekukuhan rasuk dan ianya boleh diabaikan. Acuan hujung mempunyai nilai kekukuhan sebanyak 25000kN/m pada bahagian luar penyokong papak komposit. Pesongan rasuk tidak dipengaruhi oleh pesongan tiang apabila lebar tiang bersamaan tiga kali kedalaman rasuk.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF SYMBOLS / ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xx
1	INRTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Background of the research	1
	1.1.1 Beam Support	2
	1.2 Statement of the problem	4
	1.3 Objectives	5
	1.4 Scope of work	5

15

## 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Defle	ction Analysis	6
	2.1.1	Double Intergration Method	6
2.2		Support	10
<b>2.2</b>		**	
	2.2.1	Example of the Experimental on Side	11
		Effect on Failure of Bond Splices of Steel	
		Bars in Concrete Beams	
	2.2.2	Example of the Experimental on the Effect	12
		on Failure of Concrete Beam With and	
		Without Steel Fibers	
	2.2.3	Example of the Experimental on The Flexural	12
		Strength and Behavior of Babadua-Reinforced	
		Concrete Beam	
	2.2.4	Example of the Experimental on Experimental	13
		and Analytical Reexamination of Classic	
		Concrete Beam Test	
	2.2.5	Example of the Experimental on Experimental	13
		Evolution and Analytical Modeling of Shear	
		Bond in Composite Slab	

# 3 METHODOLOGY

Modelling

3.1

3.2	Modelling for Effect of Vertical	15
	Eccentricity	
3.3	Modelling for Effect of Wide Support	20
	As Oppose to Point Support	
3.4	Modelling for Effect of Column Size	24
	to Ream Stiffness	

4	RES	ULTS AND DISSCUSSIONS	
	4.1	Result	27
	4.2	Effect of Vertical Eccentricity	27
	4.3	Effect of Wide Support as Oppose to	30
		Point Support	
	4.4	Effect of Column Size to Beam	34
		Stiffness	
5	CON	ICLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
	5.1	Conclusions	38
	5.2	Recommendations	39
REFERENC	CES		40
APPENDIC	ES		41

# LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE	
3.1	Beam model properties	16	
3.2	Steel Deck Properties	21	
3.3	Concrete Properties	21	
4.1	Maximum Vertical Displacement	28	
4.2	Mid Span Deflection	31	
4.3	Maximum Column Deflection for Beam Depth 0.4m	34	
4.4	Maximum Column Deflection for Beam Depth 0.5m	34	
4.5	Maximum Column Deflection for Ream Denth 0.6m	35	

# LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE</b>	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Roller Support	3
1.2	Pin Support	3
1.3	Fixed End Support	4
2.1	Beam Deflection (Ahmad, 1999)	7
2.2	Test Setup by Sener et. al., (1999)	11
2.3	Test Setup by Sener et. al., (2000)	11
2.4	Test Setup by Kankam (2000)	12
2.5	Test Setup by Vecchio and Shim (2004)	13
2.6	Test Setup by Abdullah, (2004)	14
3.1	8-Node Plane Stress Element	16
3.2	Modelling setup for Support 2D A	17
3.3	Modelling setup for Support 2D B	17
3.4	Modelling setup for Support 3D A	18
3.5	Modelling setup for Support 3D B	18
3.6	Simply Supported Beam	19
3.7	Pour Stop Location (Abdullah, 2004)	20
3.8	Cross Section of Steel Deck	21
3.9	Lab Test Setup by Abdullah, (2004)	22
3.10	Composite Slab Modelling Setup	24
3.11	Typical Beam-Column Joint in a Building	25
3.12	Modelling Setup for Column Size Effect to Beam Stiffness	26
4.1	Displacement in Y-Direction Contour and Deformed	28
	Mesh for 2D A Support and Beam Depth 0.4m	
4.2	Maximum Vertical Deflection versus Beam Depth Graph	29

		xii
4.3	Displacement in Y-Direction Contour and Deformed	30
	Mesh for Spring Stiffness 25000kN/m and	
	Total Load 10.5kN	
4.4	Load versus Mid Span Deflection Graph	32
4.5	Values from Deformed Mesh for Maximum Column	33
	Deflection	
4.6	Maximum Column Deflection versus	36
	Column Width/Beam Depth (a/d) Ratio	

xiii

## LIST OF SYMBOLS/ABBREVIATIONS

As - Steel Deck Cross Section Area

b - Beam Width

d - Steel Deck Depth

d<sub>d</sub> - Distance from Top Slab to Centre of Steel Deck

E - Elasticity Modulus

E<sub>c</sub> - Elasticity Modulus of Concrete
 E<sub>s</sub> - Elasticity Modulus of Steel Deck

I - Moment of Inertia

I<sub>c</sub> - Equivalent Moment of Inertia of Concrete

I<sub>s</sub> - Moment of Inertia of Steel Deck

 $\begin{array}{cccc} L & & - & Span \ Length \\ L_s & & - & Shear \ Length \end{array}$ 


 $\begin{array}{cccc} M & & - & & Moment \\ n & & - & & (E_s\!\!/E_c) \end{array}$ 

P - Total Load (kN)

 $Y_{cc}$  - Composite Slab Neutral Axis (measured from top of slab)

## LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	PPENDIX TITLE	
Α	Example of Analytical Solution	40
В	Composite Slab Neutral Axis Y <sub>cc</sub> Calculation	41
C	Equivalent Moment of Inertia, Ic Derivation,	42
	Calculation and Equivalent Concrete Cross Section	
	Width Calculation	
D	Example of Lusas Output File	



#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background of the research

Beam is a main element in structural system. It is horizontal member that carries load through bending (flexure) action. Therefore, beam will deflect when it is loaded. Beam transfers the loading from slab to columns walls or girders. Generally, beam carry gravitational loads but can also be used to carry horizontal loads (i.e. loads due to a gust of wind or an earthquake).

Beams are characterized by their profile (shape of their cross section) their length and their material. In contemporary construction, beams are typically made of steel, reinforced concrete or wood. One of the most common types of steel beam is the I-beam or wide flange beam, commonly used in steel- frame buildings and bridges.

Internally, beams experience both compressive and tensile stress as a result of the loads applied. Under gravity loads, the top of the beam is under compression while the bottom of the beam is under tension, having the middle layer of the beam relatively stress-free.

Beam will deflect when it is loaded. Deflection is an important issue to the beam. Large deflection could lead to beam failure (Ahmad, 1999). There are several methods that can be used for beam analysis. The methods include Double Integration Method, MacCaulay Method, Moment Area Method, Virtual Work Method, Super Imposed Method, Coupled Beam Method, Energy Method and Castigliano Theorem (Ishak and Sulaiman, 1999). These methods can be considered as analytical solution. In analytical solution, it is assumed that beam supports were located at the beam neutral axis. On the effect of wide support, there are several composite slab experimental tests that use pour stop or end stop at the edge of the slab or beam. One example of this condition was obtained from Abdullah, R (2004). The pour stop at the outer side of the support may provide some stiffness to bending. While in fixed end condition, beam is rigidly connected to supports such as columns and therefore its stiffness increases.

## 1.1.1 Beam Support

Generally, there are three types of beam support that are idealized in design and analysis which are roller, pin and fixed.

- i) Roller
- Roller provides resistance in one direction only. Figure 1.1 shows roller connection.




Figure 1.1: Roller Support

# ii) Pin

- Pin joint will prevent beam to move in y direction and x direction, but allow beam to rotate. Therefore, no moment induce in this connection.




Figure 1.2: Pin Support

### iii) Fixed end

 Fixed end provide resistance in both x and y direction and rotation and therefore able to persist moment.




Figure 1.3: Fixed End Support

#### 1.2 Statement of Problem

Traditionally, analytical methods assumed beams to be supported at their neutral axes. In these methods, eccentricity between beam support and beam neutral axis is neglected. However, in most bending tests, beam specimens are supported at the bottom face. This produces a vertical eccentricity between beam support and beam neutral axis. Beams are also rested on wide support as oppose to point supports Pour stops were introduced at the outer side of support in most of composite slab test. For monolithically joint beam, column size effect beam stiffness. What are the effects of the eccentricity, support width and column size to beam stiffness?

#### 1.2 Objectives

The objectives of this project are

- To determine the effect of eccentricity between beam support and neutral axis to beam stiffness.
- ii) To determine the effect of wide support as oppose to point support.
- iii) To determine the effect of column size to beam stiffness.

## 1.4 Scope of Work

The scope of the work carried out in this study is limited to:

- i) Linear stress analysis of hypothetical beams
- The models are 2-D Finite Element in plane stress condition, using linear elastic materials
- iii) Beam and column materials were made from concrete unless stated.
- iv) Analysis are performed to examine
  - o The effect of vertical eccentricity at support.
  - The effect of restraining the beam, ends at supports on the beam stiffness.
  - o The effect of column beam size to stiffness.