

COMPUTATIONAL FLUID DYNAMICS (CFD) ANALYSIS FOR A CATALYTIC CONVERTER DESIGN

SHAHRIN HISHAM BIN AMIRNORDIN

UNIVERSITI TUN HUSSEIN ONN MALAYSIA



.

| BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS* | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| judul: <u>COMPUTATIONAI</u> <u>CATALYTIC CON</u> | JUDUL: COMPUTATIONAL FLUID DYNAMICS (CFD) ANALYSIS FOR A CATALYTIC CONVERTER DESIGN | | | |
| | SESI PENGAJIAN: <u>2008/2009</u> | | | |
| Saya SHAHRIN HISI | HAM BIN AMIRNORDIN (730204-10-5125) (HURUF BESAR) | | | |
| mengaku membenarkan tesis (PS dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan so | SM/Sarjana/ Doktor-Falsafah)* ini di simpan di Perpustakaan eperti berikut: | | | |
| Tesis adalah hakmilik Unive Perpustakaan dibenarkan me Perpustakaan dibenarkan mengajian tinggi. ** Sila tandakan (√) | ersiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia. embuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja. nembuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi | | | |
| SULIT | (Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972) | | | |
| TERHAD | (Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan) | | | |
| √ TIDAK TERHA | D | | | |
| All - | Disankan olen | | | |
| (TANDATANGAN PENUI Alamat Tetap: | LIS) Ponsy(FFANDA TANGAN PENYELIA) Jabaten K brutoraan Behen & Rotebentut: Fakulti Kejurutoraan Motanikai & Pombuaten Univerciti Tun Hussein Onn Melayda | | | |
| 4, JALAN MANIS 18 | PROFESOR DR ING. DARWIN SEBAYANG | | | |
| <u>TAMAN MANIS 2,</u> <u>86400 PARIT RAJA, BATU P/</u> <u>JOHOR.</u> | AHAT (Nama Penyelia) | | | |
| TARIKH: 10 APPIL 20 | 09 TARIKH: 10.4.2009 | | | |
| | | | | |

CATATAN:

*

Potong yang tidak berkenaan.

- ** Jika tesis ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa/organisasi berkenaan dengan menyatakan sekali sebab dan dikelaskan sebagai SULIT atau TERHAD.
- Tesis dimaksudkan sebagai tesis bagi Ijazah Doktor Falsafah dan Sarjana secara penyelidikan, atau disertai bagi pengajian secara kerja kursus dan penyelidikan atau Laporan Projek Sarjana Muda (PSM).

"We acknowledge that we have read this thesis and in our opinion it has fulfilled the requirement in terms of scope and quality for the award of the

Degree of Master of Mechanical Engineering".

| Signature | : | Por, INC. DR. DARWIN SEBAYANO Pensyarah Jabatah Kejuruteraan Bahan & Rekabontut |
|---------------|---|--|
| | | Fakulti Kejurutoraan Mekanikal & Pombuatan Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia |
| Supervisor I | : | PROFESSOR DR ING. DARWIN SEBAYANG |
| Date | : | 10.4.2009 |
| Signature | : | Dr. Khalld bin Hasnan Timbalan Dekan (Penyelidikan & Pembangunan) Fakulti Kejuruteraan. Metanikal & Pembuetan Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malausia |
| Supervisor II | : | DR. KHALID BIN HASNAN |
| Date | : | 10.4.09 |

COMPUTATIONAL FLUID DYNAMICS (CFD) ANALYSIS FOR A CATALYTIC CONVERTER DESIGN

SHAHRIN HISHAM BIN AMIRNORDIN

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirement for the award of the degree of Master in Mechanical Engineering

Faculty of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

APRIL, 2009

"I hereby acknowledge that this thesis is the result of my own work except the quotes and extracts which have been accordingly cited"

| Signature | : | Alfre- |
|-----------|---|-------------------------------|
| Name | : | SHAHRIN HISHAM BIN AMIRNORDIN |
| Date | : | 10. APRIL 2009 |

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my gratitude to all those who gave me the possibility to complete this thesis especially to my supervisor, Professor Dr.-Ing, Darwin Sebayang who has trusted me on this title and kindly guide me to complete this research. Special thanks to my co-supervisor, Dr. Khalid bin Hasnan who has given motivation and support especially in the technical writing, thesis arrangement and the process of research itself. I would like to show my sincere gratitude for those who have contributed directly and indirectly towards the success of this research project. I am deeply indebted to Prof. Dr. Vijay R. Raghavan from Universiti Teknologi Petronas whose help, stimulating suggestions and encouragement helped me especially in Fluid Mechanics and Computational Fluid Dynamics. My colleagues from the Faculty of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering supported me in my research work. I want to thank them for all their help, support, interest and valuable hints. Especially I am obliged to Suzairin Md. Seri, Mas Fawzi Ali, Wan Saiful-Islam Wan Salim, Zamri Noranai, Mohammad Fahmi Abd. Ghafir, Dr. Ahmad Jais Alimin, Dr. Badrul Omar, Dr. Shahruddin Mahzan and to all my colleagues with their motivation and assistance in any means to make the research success.

Especially, I would like to give my special thanks to my wife Hamimah Abd Rahman for her love, understanding and wisdom inspired my work; my children, Muhammad Firdaus and Muhammad Amir Faisal; my parents, Amirnordin Hasan and Kalsom Bahari; parents-in-law and family members whose patience and support enabled me to complete this work.

ABSTRACT

Design of catalytic converters involves four major components including casing, washcoat, catalyst and substrate. However, the design process is complicated as it involves various parameters. One of the problems faced by designers is obtaining the performance of catalytic converters where the substrate is made up of a large number of cells. Therefore, an effort to solve the problem using Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) with an alternative modeling technique is deployed. This study involved a preliminary design which employed an adapted sub-grid scale modeling as an alternative method for the analysis of substrate performance. The Pahl and Beitz's model was applied in the design process. The adapted sub-grid scale modeling was used to predict the pressure loss, select the cell shape and produce the performance chart which could show the relationship between the parameters involved. The proposed adapted sub-grid scale modelling method was found to give results within 5 % error which was better compared to the single channel method. Results also indicated the advantage of hexagonal-shaped over square-shaped cells in terms of pressure loss where the former showed a 43 % lower value than the latter. The Mechanical-Chemical Performance Mapping (MCPM) was finally obtained. The mapping could be used to assist in the substrate design of catalytic converters.

ABSTRAK

Rekabentuk penukar bermangkin melibatkan empat komponen utama termasuk bekas, lapisan, pemangkin dan substrat. Namun begitu, proses rekabentuk adalah kompleks kerana ia melibatkan pelbagai parameter. Salah satu masalah yang dihadapi oleh perekabentuk adalah untuk memperolehi prestasi penukar bermangkin di mana substrat terdiri daripada banyak sel. Oleh itu, usaha telah dilakukan untuk menyelesaikan masalah dengan menggunakan Pengiraan Dinamik Bendalir (CFD) sebagai kaedah permodelan alternatif telah digunakan. Kajian ini melibatkan rekabentuk awalan di mana ia mengadaptasikan kaedah sub-grid yang telah diperbaiki sebagai kaedah alternatif dalam analisis prestasi substrat. Model Pahl and Beitz's telah digunakan dalam proses merekabentuk. Kaedah sub-grid telah digunakan untuk meramalkan kejatuhan tekanan, memilih bentuk sel dan memperolehi carta prestasi yang menunjukkan hubungan antara parameter terlibat. Kaedah sub-grid yang dicadangkan telah menunjukkan bentuk heksagon memberikan perbezaan keputusan sebanyak 5 % dan ia lebih baik berbanding kaedah satu sel. Keputusan juga menunjukkan kelebihan sel berbentuk heksagon berbanding bentuk segiempat dari segi kejatuhan tekanan di mana bentuk heksagon menunjukkan 43 % lebih rendah kejatuhan tekanan berbanding bentuk segiempat. Carta Prestasi Mekanikal dan Kimia akhirnya diperolehi. Ia boleh digunakan untuk membantu dalam merekabentuk substrat sesebuah penukar bermangkin.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER | ITE | М | PAGE |
|---------|------|-------------------------------------|-------|
| | DEC | CLARATION | ii |
| | ACK | NOWLEDGEMENT | iii |
| | ABS | TRACT | iv |
| | ABS | TRAK | v |
| | CON | TENT | vi |
| | LIST | F OF TABLES | ix |
| | LIST | r of figures | xi |
| | LIST | COF ABBREVIATION | XV |
| | LIST | F OF SYMBOLS | xvi |
| | LIST | F OF APPENDIXES | xviii |
| I | INT | RODUCTION | |
| | 1.1 | Research Background | 1 |
| | 1.2 | Problem Statement | 3 |
| | 1.3 | Objectives | 3 |
| | 1.4 | Scope | 4 |
| | 1.5 | Importance of the Research | 4 |
| | 1.6 | Preview of the Thesis | 5 |
| II | LIT | ERATURE REVIEW | |
| | 2.1 | Introduction | 7 |
| | 2.2 | Development of Automotive Catalytic | |
| | | Converter | 7 |
| | 2.3 | Flow inside Catalytic Converter | 10 |

| 2.4 | Pressure Loss in Catalytic Converter | 12 |
|-----|---|----|
| 2.5 | The Geometry of Honeycomb Monolith | |
| | 2.5.1 Cell Density and Wall Thickness | 17 |
| | 2.5.2 Catalyst Length | 20 |
| | 2.5.3 Cross Sectional Area of Substrate | 20 |
| | 2.5.4 Cell Shape | 21 |
| 2.6 | The Performance Evaluation Criteria (PEC) | 22 |
| 2.7 | Modeling Technique | 24 |

III

METHODOLOGY

| 3.1 | Introd | luction | 27 |
|-----|--------|---------------------------------|----|
| 3.2 | Conce | eptual Design | 27 |
| 3.3 | Prelin | ninary Design | 29 |
| 3.4 | Comp | outational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) | 29 |
| 3.5 | CFD I | Process | 31 |
| | 3.5.1 | Pre-Processor | 32 |
| | 3.5.2 | Geometry | 32 |
| | 3.5.3 | Meshing | 37 |
| | 3.5.4 | CFX-Pre | 38 |
| | 3.5.5 | Solver | 43 |
| | 3.5.6 | Post-processing | 43 |

IV

PRELIMINARY DESIGN OF CATALYTIC CONVERTER

| 4.1 | Introduction | 46 |
|-----|---------------------------------------|----|
| 4.2 | Objective of the Design | 46 |
| 4.3 | Functional Analysis | 46 |
| 4.4 | Performance Specification | 49 |
| 4.5 | Generating Alternatives | 51 |
| 4.6 | Evaluating Alternatives | 55 |
| 4.7 | Determination of Substrate Parameter | 61 |
| 4.8 | Analysis on the Preliminary Design of | |
| | Catalytic Converter | 63 |

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

| 5.1 | Overv | iew | 66 |
|------|--------|--|-----|
| 5.2 | Grid I | ndependence | 66 |
| 5.3 | Valida | ation | 70 |
| 5.4 | Perfor | mance Evaluation Criteria of Hexagon and | |
| | Squar | e Cell Shape | 73 |
| | 5.4.1 | Constant Hydraulic Diameter | |
| | | (0.923 mm) | 74 |
| | 5.4.2 | Analysis of Various Hydraulic | |
| | | Diameter | 77 |
| | 5.4.3 | Constant Cross Sectional Area | |
| | | (0.992 mm^2) | 78 |
| | 5.4.4 | Analysis on Various Cross Sectional | |
| | | Area | 81 |
| | 5.4.5 | Constant Cell Density (900 cpsi) | 83 |
| | 5.4.6 | Analysis on Various Cell Density | |
| | | (400 to 1200 cpsi) at Constant Wall | |
| | | Thickness (4.5 mil) | 85 |
| | 5.4.7 | Analysis of Various Cell Density | |
| | | (400 to 1200 cpsi) with Wall | |
| | | Thickness 2.5 to 7.5 mil | 87 |
| 5.5 | Mecha | anical-Chemical Performance Mapping | 91 |
| CON | CLUSI | ONS AND FUTURE WORK | |
| 6.1 | Summ | nary | 98 |
| REFI | ERENC | ES | 101 |
| APPI | ENDIXI | ES | 106 |

VI

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE |
|-------|
|-------|

TITLE

PAGE

| 2.1 | Existing Models on the Pressure Drop Calculation | 12 |
|------|--|----|
| 2.2 | Constant Values on the Pressure Drop Calculation | 14 |
| 2.3 | Friction Factor for Different Shapes | 16 |
| 3.1 | Reference of Geometry | 32 |
| 3.2 | Geometry Employed during Validation using Sub-Grid | |
| | Scale Modeling | 33 |
| 3.3 | Air Properties at 20 °C and 1 atmosphere | 39 |
| 4.1 | Requirement List of Catalytic Converter | 50 |
| 4.2 | Requirement List of Components | 51 |
| 4.3 | Design Solution of Catalytic Converter | 53 |
| 4.4 | Alternative Solution 1 | 53 |
| 4.5 | Alternative Solution 2 | 54 |
| 4.6 | Alternative Solution 3 | 55 |
| 4.7 | Ranking of Objectives | 56 |
| 4.8 | Five-Point Evaluation Scale | 58 |
| 4.9 | Evaluation Chart of Solution 1 | 59 |
| 4.10 | Evaluation Chart of Solution 2 | 59 |
| 4.11 | Evaluation Chart of Solution 3 | 60 |
| 4.12 | Summary of Evaluation Result | 60 |
| 5.1 | Results of Pressure Drop for Hexagon Cell Shape with | |
| | Different Number of Elements using Sub-grid Scale Modeling | 68 |
| 5.2 | Results of Pressure Drop for Square Cell Shape with | |
| | Different Number of Elements using Sub-grid Scale Modeling | 69 |
| 5.3 | Difference of Pressure Drop for Hexagon Cell Shape | 71 |
| 5.4 | Difference of Pressure Drop for Square Cell Shape | 72 |

| 5.5 | Geometrical Comparison for Constant Hydraulic | |
|------|---|----|
| | Diameter (0.923 mm) | 75 |
| 5.6 | Computed Pressure Drop and Friction Factor from | |
| | Re = 305 to 2138 | 75 |
| 5.7 | Comparison of Parameters for Hexagon Shape | 77 |
| 5.8 | Comparison of Parameters for Square Shape | 78 |
| 5.9 | Geometrical Comparison for Constant Cross Sectional Area | |
| | (0.992mm ²) | 79 |
| 5.10 | Computed Pressure Drop at Air Velocity 5 to 35 m/s | 79 |
| 5.11 | Comparison of Parameters for Hexagon Shape | 81 |
| 5.12 | Comparison of Parameters for Square Shape | 82 |
| 5.13 | Geometrical Comparison for Constant Cell Density (900 cpsi) | 84 |
| 5.14 | Computed Pressure Drop at Air Velocity 5 to 35 m/s | 84 |
| 5.15 | Comparison of Parameters for Hexagon Shape | 86 |
| 5.16 | Comparison of Parameters for Square Shape | 86 |
| 6.1 | Selected Parameters of a Catalytic Converter | 99 |
| | | |

LIST OF FIGURES

TITLE

FIGURE

| 2.1 | Components of Catalytic Converter | 8 |
|------|---|-----|
| 2.2 | Metallic Substrate using Ferum Chromium Aluminium (FeCrAl) | |
| | Corrugated Foil | 10 |
| 2.3 | Effects of Different Cell Density towards Conversion Efficiency | 18 |
| 2.4 | Effects of Different Cell Density towards Hydrocarbon (HC) | |
| | Emission | 19 |
| 2.5 | Effects of Different Cell Density towards Geometric Surface | |
| | Area (GSA) | 19 |
| 2.6 | Effects of Different Cell Density towards Heat Capacity | 20 |
| 2.7 | Single Channel Modeling | 24 |
| 2.8 | Sub-Grid Scale Modeling | 26 |
| 2.9 | Domain of Sub-Grid Scale Modeling | 26 |
| 3.1 | Route of the Research | 28 |
| 3.2 | Steps Involved in the Computational Fluid Dynamics | |
| | (CFD) Process | 31 |
| 3.3 | Sub-grid Method Applied on Square Cell Honeycomb Monolith | 34 |
| 3.4 | Sub-Grid Method Applied on Hexagon Cell Honeycomb | |
| | Monolith | 35 |
| 3.5 | Geometry of Square Cell Shape Using Sub-Grid Method | 36 |
| 3.6 | Geometry of Hexagon Cell Shape Using Sub-Grid Method | 36 |
| 3.7 | Meshing of Square Cell Shape | 37 |
| 3.8 | Meshing of Hexagon Cell Shape | 38 |
| 3.9 | Geometry of Square Cell Showing the Inlet | 39 |
| 3.10 | Geometry of Square Cell Showing the Outlet | 40 |
| 3.11 | Geometry of Square Cell Showing the Wall | .40 |

PAGE

| 3.12 | Geometry of Square Cell Showing the Symmetry | 41 |
|------|--|----|
| 3.13 | Geometry of Hexagon Cell Showing the Inlet | 41 |
| 3.14 | Geometry of Hexagon Cell Showing the Outlet | 42 |
| 3.15 | Geometry of Hexagon Cell Showing the Wall | 42 |
| 3.16 | Geometry of Hexagon Cell Showing the Symmetry | 43 |
| 3.17 | Pressure Contour along the Channel of Square Cell Shape | 44 |
| 3.18 | Pressure Contour along the Channel of Hexagon Cell Shape | 44 |
| 4.1 | 'Black Box Model' of Catalytic Converter | |
| 4.2 | 'Transparent Box Model' of Catalytic Converter | 47 |
| 4.3 | Sub-function Diagram of Catalytic Converter | 48 |
| 4.4 | Objectives Tree of Catalytic Converter | 49 |
| 4.5 | Angle of Diffuser in Catalytic Converter | 50 |
| 4.6 | Weighted Objective Tree | 58 |
| 4.7 | Comparison of Pressure Drop and Specific Surface Area, | |
| | A/V for Three Alternative Solutions | 62 |
| 4.8 | Preliminary Design of Catalytic Converter | 62 |
| 4.9 | Working Domain of Catalytic Converter | 63 |
| 4.10 | Grid Generated on the Model | 64 |
| 4.11 | Pressure Distribution | 64 |
| 4.12 | Velocity Distribution | 65 |
| 4.13 | Velocity Vector | 65 |
| 5.1 | Results of Pressure Drop for Hexagon Cell Shape with | |
| | Different Number of Elements using Sub-grid Scale Modeling | 68 |
| 5.2 | Results of Pressure Drop for Square Cell Shape with | |
| | Different Number of Elements using Sub-grid Scale Modeling | 69 |
| 5.3 | Comparison of Pressure Drop for Hexagon Cell Shape | 71 |
| 5.4 | Comparison of Pressure Drop for Square Cell Shape | 72 |
| 5.5 | A Parity Chart of Correlation between Simulation and | |
| | Experimental Pressure Drop | 73 |
| 5.6 | Comparison of Friction Factor with Constant Hydraulic | |
| | Diameter (0.923 mm) | 76 |
| 5.7 | Comparison of Pressure Drop and Specific Surface Area, A/V | |
| | with Constant Hydraulic Diameter (0.923 mm), | |
| | Length = 152.4 mm , Velocity = 20 m/s (Re = 1222) | 76 |

| 5.8 | Comparison of Friction Factor at Various Hydraulic Diameter | 78 |
|------|---|----|
| 5.9 | Comparison of Friction Factor with Constant Cross Section | |
| | (0.992 mm^2) | 80 |
| 5.10 | Comparison of Pressure Drop at 20 m/s of Air Velocity and | |
| | Specific Surface Area, A/V with Constant Cross Section | |
| | (0.992 mm^2) Length = 152.4 mm, Velocity = 20 m/s | |
| | ($Re = 1417$ for hexagon, $Re = 1318$ for square) | 80 |
| 5.11 | Comparison of Friction Factor with Various Cross Sectional | |
| | Area | 82 |
| 5.12 | Comparison of Specific Surface Area, A/V with Various | |
| | Cross Sectional Area | 83 |
| 5.13 | Comparison of Friction Factor with Constant Cell Density | |
| | (900 cpsi) | 84 |
| 5.14 | Comparison of Pressure Drop at 20 m/s of Air Velocity | |
| | and Specific Surface Area, A/V with Constant Cell Density | |
| | (900 cpsi) Length = 152.4 mm, Velocity = 20 m/s; | |
| | ($Re = 1160$ for hexagon, $Re = 1036$ for square) | 85 |
| 5.15 | Comparison of Friction Factor from 400 to 1200 cpsi | |
| | at Constant Wall Thickness 4.5 mil | 86 |
| 5.16 | Comparison of Specific Surface Area, A/V from 400 to | |
| | 1200 cpsi at Constant Wall Thickness 4.5 mil | 87 |
| 5.17 | Comparison of Friction Factor from 400 to 1200 cpsi | |
| | for Hexagon with Wall Thickness (2.5 to 7.5 mil) | 88 |
| 5.18 | Comparison of Friction Factor from 400 to 1200 cpsi for | |
| | Square Shape with Wall Thickness (2.5 to 7.5 mil) | 89 |
| 5.19 | Comparison of Friction Factor from 400 to 1200 cpsi with | |
| | Wall Thickness (2.5 to 7.5 mil) | 90 |
| 5.20 | Comparison of Specific Surface Area, A/V with Various | |
| | Cell Density from 400 to 1200 cpsi with Wall Thickness | |
| | (2.5 to 7.5 mil) | 91 |
| 5.21 | Mechanical-Chemical Performance Mapping from 400 to | |
| | 1200 cpsi (with Wall Thickness 2.5 to 7.5 mil) | |
| | for Hexagon Shape | 93 |
| 5.22 | Ilustration Using Mechanical-Chemical Performance | |

| | Mapping for Hexagon Shape | 94 |
|------|---|----|
| 5.23 | Comparison of Various Substrates for Hexagon Shape | 95 |
| 5.24 | Mechanical-Chemical Performance Mapping from 400 | |
| | to 1200 cpsi (with Wall Thickness 2.5 to 7.5 mil) for | |
| | Square Shape | 95 |
| 5.25 | Illustration Using Mechanical-Chemical Performance | |
| | Mapping for Square Shape | 96 |
| 5.26 | Comparison of Various Substrates for Square Shape | 97 |
| 6.1 | A Preliminary Design of a Catalytic Converter | 99 |
| | | |

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

- AEA The United Kingdom Atomic Energy Authority
- Al₂O₃ Aluminium Oxide (Alumina)
- ASC Advanced Scientific Computing
- CFD Computational Fluid Dynamics
- CFX-Pre Solver in ANSYS CFX Software
- cpsi Cell Per Square Inch
- cpsc Cell Per Square Centimeter
- EURO European Standards of Emission Regulations
- FeCrAl Ferum Chromium Aluminium
- GSA Geometric Surface Area
- MAA Malaysian Automotive Association
- OFA Open Frontal Area
- OSC Oxygen Storage Capacity
- PEC Performance Evaluation Criteria
- PDE Partial Differential Equation
- RMS Root Mean Square
- RS Root Square
- TWCC Three-Way Catalytic Converter
- U.S United States of America
- 1D One Dimensional
- 2D Two Dimensional
- 3D Three Dimensional

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| А | - | Surface Area (mm ²) | |
|------------------|---|--|--|
| atm | - | Atmosphere | |
| A/V | - | Specific Surface Area (mm ² /mm ³) | |
| С | - | Carbon | |
| сс | - | Volume of Combustion Chamber (cm ³) | |
| CeO_2 | - | Cerium Oxide (Ceria) | |
| СО | - | Carbon Monoxide | |
| $\rm CO_2$ | - | Carbon Dioxide | |
| °C | - | Degree Celsius | |
| D_h | - | Hydraulic Diameter (mm) | |
| Е | - | Height of Surface Roughness (µm) | |
| f | - | Darcy Friction Factor | |
| f' | - | Fanning Friction Factor | |
| HC | - | Hydrocarbon | |
| h _{tot} | - | Specific Total Enthalphy (m ² /K ²) | |
| H_2 | - | Hydrogen | |
| H_2O | - | Water | |
| L_e | - | Developing Length | |
| MgO_2 | - | Magnesium Oxide | |
| mil | - | 1/1000 inch | |
| NO _x | - | Nitrogen Oxide | |
| n | - | Number of Data | |
| O ₂ | - | Oxygen | |
| р | - | Static Pressure (Pa) | |
| Pd | - | Palladium | |
| Pt | - | Platinum | |

| Re | - | Reynolds Number |
|---------------|----|---|
| Rh | - | Rhodium |
| S_E | - | Energy Source (kg/m.K ³) |
| ${\rm SiO}_2$ | - | Silicon Oxide (Silica) |
| S_M | - | Momentum Source (kg/m ² .K ²) |
| 1 | - | Time (sec) |
| Т | - | Static Temperature (°C) |
| и | - | Velocity (m/s) |
| U | - | Vector Function of U(x,y,z) |
| V | - | Volume (m ³) |
| vs | - | Versus |
| W | - | Watt |
| Xexp | - | Experimental Data |
| Xsim | - | Predicted Data |
| ρ | - | Density (kg/m ³) |
| ΔP | - | Pressure Drop (Pa) |
| λ | - | Thermal Conductivity (W/m.K) |
| μ | - | Dynamic Viscosity (kg/m.s) |
| ν | - | Kinematic Viscosity (m ² .s) |
| ▽ = | = | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ |
| | [l | U_x |

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} U_y \\ U_z \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\nabla \bullet U = \frac{\partial U_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial U_z}{\partial z}$$

$$\nabla \bullet (\rho U \odot U) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\rho U_x U_x) \div \frac{\hat{c}}{\partial y} (\rho U_y U_x) \div \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\rho U_z U_x) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\rho U_x U_y) \div \frac{\hat{c}}{\partial y} (\rho U_y U_y) \div \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\rho U_z U_y) \\ \frac{\hat{c}}{\partial x} (\rho U_x U_z) \div \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\rho U_y U_z) - \frac{\hat{c}}{\partial z} (\rho U_z U_z) \end{bmatrix}$$

LIST OF APPENDIXES

| APPENDIX | | TITLE | PAGES |
|----------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------|
| A | Pahl and Beitz's Model of | f the Design Process | 107 |
| В | Meshing Criteria of Hexag | gon and Square Shape | 108 |
| С | Mechanical-Chemical Per | formance Mapping for | |
| | Hexagon Cell Shape | | 109 |
| D | Mechanical-Chemical Per | formance Mapping for | |
| | Square Cell Shape | | 110 |
| E | Rational Design Method | | 111 |
| F | Development of Catalytic | Converter | 112 |
| | | | |

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

The need for mobility will increase in future, particularly in the fastdeveloping nations due to the growing world prosperity. In Malaysia, the number of new vehicles registration from 1980-2005 exhibits rapid increase and has contributed to the total of 15 million registered vehicles on the road (MAA Annual Report, 2006). However, this economically necessary development should not come at the expense of the environment. This is particularly important amidst of air pollution and global warming becoming a major issue nowadays. For this reason, the emission limits are being introduced around the world and continually being made stricter every year.

The history began with the introduction of 1970 United States (U.S) Clean Air Act Air which required the steep emissions reduction for the new 1975 and 1976 automobiles. Since 1975, automotive companies have developed technologies that enable the U.S Environmental Protection Agency to successfully adopt the usage of catalytic converter and three-way catalyst (Gerard and Lave, 2000). European countries had also implemented the strict regulations of the European Standard (EURO) beginning from 1992 with the intention to reduce the emissions including carbon monoxide (CO), hydrocarbon (HC) and nitrogen oxide (NO_x) from the combustion engines. Consequently, the enforcement of these regulations had finally led to the compulsory utilization of catalytic converter as an emission treatment for the vehicles exhaust gas around the world.

Looking from the engineering design point of view, it is important to optimize design parameters in order to increase the performance of catalytic converter. Miyairi *et al.* (2003) had investigated the effects of cell shape on mass transfer and pressure loss of substrates design. Structural advantages, mechanical and thermal characteristics of square and hexagonal cells had also been studied by Tanaka *et al.* (2003). Maus (1997) had mentioned that an increase of cell density and Geometric Surface Area (GSA) had reduced the HC emissions efficiency. A reduction in wall thickness also reduces the heat capacity of a substrate; hence improve the cold start problem. Inlet pipe and diffuser geometry and monolithic length also influence the overall performance of catalytic converter.

The installation of catalytic converter in the exhaust system has not been without problem. It has to be highly efficient in treating the emissions without sacrificing the engine performance. The catalyst surface area needs to be sufficient to treat the gases to meet the emission target of the vehicles. However, this will result in the increase of the pressure drop. This is the main issue to overcome since it indicates the engine loss in term of power and fuel economy. Typically the engine will lose about 300 W of power per 1000 Pa of pressure loss (Pannone and Mueller, 2001). As a result, a trade-off between the pressure loss and total surface area has become the major concern in determining the appropriate geometry of catalytic converter.

Pressure drop in catalytic converter are associated with two major components: substrate and flow distribution devices (including manifold, inlet and outlet pipe, inlet and outlet diffuser) (Lakshmikantha and Meck, 2002). The largest contribution of the exhaust backpressure is coming from the substrate. Its earlier shape was in pellet form (using spherical particulate γ -Al₂O₃ particles) before being replaced with the honeycomb monolith. The latter was more advantageous in term of lower pressure drop by having high open frontal area (~70%) and parallel channels (Searless, 2002). The honeycomb monolith is also available in different cell density and shapes offering potential flexibility. However, the geometries have