DIFFERENTIAL SANDWICH THEOREMS FOR CERTAIN ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

ROSIHAN M. ALI, V. RAVICHANDRAN, M. HUSSAIN KHAN

and

K. G. SUBRAMANIAN

(Received June 30, 2004)

Submitted by Ravi P. Agarwal

Abstract

Let q_1 , q_2 be univalent in $\Delta := \{z : |z| < 1\}$. We give some applications of first order differential superordinations to obtain sufficient conditions for normalized analytic functions f(z) to satisfy

$$q_1(z) \prec z f'(z)/f(z) \prec q_2(z)$$
.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be the class of analytic functions in $\Delta := \{z : |z| < 1\}$ ar $\mathcal{H}(a, n)$ be the subclass of \mathcal{H} consisting of functions of the form f(z) $a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$ Let \mathcal{A} be the class of all analytic function $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \dots (z \in \Delta)$. Let $p, h \in \mathcal{H}$ and let $\phi(r, s, t; z) : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}$. If p and $\phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z)$ are univalent and if p satisfithe second order superordination

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C80; Secondary 30C45.

Key words and phrases: differential subordinations, differential superordination subordinant.

^{© 2004} Pushpa Publishing Hou

$$h(z) \prec \phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z),$$

then p is a solution of the differential superordination (1.1). subordinate to F, then F is superordinate to f.) An analytic funct called a *subordinant* if $q \prec p$ for all p satisfying (1.1). A ur subordinant \tilde{q} that satisfies $q \prec \tilde{q}$ for all subordinants q of (1.1) is be best subordinant. Recently Miller and Mocanu [3] obtained cor on h, q and ϕ for which the following implication holds:

$$h(z) \prec \phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z) \Rightarrow q(z) \prec p(z).$$

Using the results of Miller and Mocanu [3], Bulboaca [2] have concertain classes of first order differential superordinations as superordination-preserving integral operators [1]. In the present we give some applications of first order differential superordinatifunctions in A.

In our present investigation, we shall need the following:

Definition 1.1 [3, Definition 2, p. 817]. Denote by Q, the sefunctions f(z) that are analytic and injective on $\overline{\Delta} - E(f)$, where

$$E(f) = \{ \zeta \in \partial \Delta : \lim_{z \to \zeta} f(z) = \infty \},$$

and are such that $f'(\zeta) \neq 0$ for $\zeta \in \partial \Delta - E(f)$.

Lemma 1.2 [2]. Let q(z) be univalent in the unit disk Δ and ϑ be analytic in a domain D containing $q(\Delta)$. Suppose that

- (1) $\Re[\vartheta'(q(z))/\varphi(q(z))] \ge 0$ for $z \in \Delta$,
- (2) $zq'(z)\varphi(q(z))$ is starlike univalent in Δ .

If $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}(q(0), 1) \cap Q$, with $p(\Delta) \subseteq D$, and $\vartheta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\varphi(p(z))$ univalent in Δ , then

$$\vartheta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\varphi(q(z)) \prec \vartheta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\varphi(p(z))$$

implies $q(z) \prec p(z)$ and q(z) is the best subordinant.

2. Sandwich Theorems

By making use of Lemma 1.2, we obtain the following results.

Lemma 2.1. Let q(z) be convex univalent in Δ and α , β , $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Further assume that

$$\Re\left[\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}+\frac{2\beta}{\gamma}\,q(z)\right]\geq 0.$$

If $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}(q(0), 1) \cap Q$, $\alpha p(z) + \beta p^{2}(z) + \gamma z p'(z)$ is univalent in Δ , then

$$\alpha q(z) + \beta q^2(z) + \gamma z q'(z) \prec \alpha p(z) + \beta p^2(z) + \gamma z p'(z)$$

implies $q(z) \prec p(z)$ and q(z) is the best subordinant.

Proof. Define the functions ϑ and φ by

$$\vartheta(w) := \alpha w + \beta w^2 \text{ and } \varphi(w) := \gamma.$$

Clearly, $\vartheta(w)$ and $\varphi(w)$ are analytic in \mathbb{C} . Also

$$\Re \frac{\vartheta'(q(z))}{\varphi(q(z))} = \Re \left[\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} + \frac{2\beta}{\gamma} q(z) \right] \ge 0$$

and the function $\gamma z q'(z)$ is starlike univalent in Δ . Lemma 2.1 now follows by an application of Lemma 1.2.

Remark 1. When $\alpha=1$ and $\beta=0$, Lemma 2.1 reduces to [3, Theorem 8, p. 822]. When $\alpha=\beta=0$ and $\gamma=1$ Lemma 2.1 reduces to [3, Theorem 9, p. 823].

By making use of Lemma 2.1, we now prove the following:

Theorem 2.2. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Let q(z) be convex univalent in Δ and $\Re q(z)$ $\geq \Re \frac{\alpha - 1}{2\alpha}. \text{ If } f \in \mathcal{A}, zf'(z)/f(z) \in \mathcal{H}(1,1) \cap Q, \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \frac{z^2f''(z)}{f(z)} \text{ is univalent}$ in Δ , then

$$(1-\alpha)q(z) + \alpha q^{2}(z) + \alpha z q'(z) \prec \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \frac{z^{2}f''(z)}{f(z)}$$

implies

$$q(z) \prec \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$$

and q(z) is the best subordinant.

Proof. Define the function p(z) by

$$p(z) \coloneqq \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}.$$

Then a computation shows that

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \frac{z^2f''(z)}{f(z)} = (1-\alpha)p(z) + \alpha p^2(z) + \alpha zp'(z).$$

By using Lemma 2.1, we have the result.

Together with the corresponding result for differential subor (see Ravichandran [4]), we obtain the following "sandwich result"

Corollary 2.3. Let $q_1(z)$ and $q_2(z)$ be convex univ t: $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Assume that $\Re q_i(z) \geq \Re \frac{\alpha-1}{2\alpha}$ for i=1, 2. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $zf'(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$, $f'(z) = \mathcal{A}$, $f'(z) = \mathcal{A}$, $f'(z) = \mathcal{A}$, $f'(z) = \mathcal{A}$, then

$$(1 - \alpha)q_1(z) + \alpha q_1^2(z) + \alpha z q_1'(z) \prec \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \frac{z^2 f''(z)}{f(z)}$$

$$\prec (1 - \alpha)q_2(z) + \alpha q_2^2(z) + \alpha z q_2'$$

implies

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec q_2(z)$$

and $q_1(z)$ and $q_2(z)$ are respectively the best subordinant dominant.

Lemma 2.4. Let $q(z) \neq 0$ be univalent in Δ and α , β . assume that $\Re[\alpha \overline{\beta} q(z)] \geq 0$ and zq'(z)/q(z) is starlike univalent $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}(q(0), 1) \cap Q$, $p(z) \neq 0$, $\alpha p(z) + \beta \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}$ is univalent in Δ ,

$$\alpha q(z) + \beta \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \prec \alpha p(z) + \beta \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}$$

implies $q(z) \prec p(z)$ and q(z) is the best subordinant.

Proof. The Lemma 2.4 follows from Lemma 1.2 when the functions 9 and φ are given by $\vartheta(w) := \alpha w$ and $\varphi(w) := \beta/w$.

By making use of Lemma 2.4, we now prove the following:

Theorem 2.5. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $q(z) \neq 0$ be univalent in Δ . Further assume that $\Re[\overline{\alpha}q(z)] \geq 0$ and zq'(z)/q(z) is starlike univalent in Δ . If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $0 \neq zf'(z)/f(z) \in \mathcal{H}(1,1) \cap Q$, $(1-\alpha)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)$ is univalent in Δ , then

$$q(z) + \alpha \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \prec (1-\alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)$$

implies

$$q(z) \prec \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$$

and q(z) is the best subordinant.

Proof. Theorem 2.5 follows from Lemma 2.4 by taking p(z) to be the function given by p(z) := zf'(z)/f(z).

Together with the corresponding result for differential subordination (see Ravichandran and Darus [6]), we obtain the following:

Corollary 2.6. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $q_i(z) \neq 0$ (i = 1, 2) be univalent in Δ . Further assume that $\Re[\overline{\alpha}q_i(z)] \geq 0$ for i = 1, 2 and $zq_i'(z)/q_i(z)$ (i = 1, 2) is starlike univalent in Δ . If $f \in A$, $0 \neq zf'(z)/f(z) \in \mathcal{H}(1,1) \cap Q$, $(1-\alpha)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)$ is univalent in Δ , then

$$q_{1}(z) + \alpha \frac{zq_{1}'(z)}{q_{1}(z)} \prec (1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) \prec q_{2}(z) + \alpha \frac{zq_{2}'(z)}{q_{2}(z)}$$

implies

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec q_2(z)$$

and $q_1(z)$ and $q_2(z)$ are respectively the best subordinant and dominant.

By making use of Lemma 2.4, we obtain the following:

Theorem 2.7. Let $q(z) \neq 0$ be univalent in Δ and zq'(z)/q(z) be univalent in Δ . If $f \in A$, $0 \neq z^2 f'(z)/f^2(z) \in \mathcal{H}(1,1) \cap Q$, $\frac{(zf)''(z)}{f'(z)}$ is univalent in Δ , then

$$\frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \prec \frac{(zf)''(z)}{f'(z)} - 2\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$$

implies

$$q(z) \prec \frac{z^2 f'(z)}{f^2(z)}$$

and q(z) is the best subordinant.

Together with the corresponding result for differential subore (see Ravichandran [4]), we obtain the following:

Corollary 2.8. Let $q_i(z) \neq 0$ be univalent in Δ and $zq_i'(z)/c$ starlike univalent in Δ for i = 1, 2. If $f \in A$, $0 \neq z^2 f'(z)/f^2(z) \in \mathcal{H}(1)$ $\frac{(zf)''(z)}{f'(z)} - 2\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$ is univalent in Δ , then

$$\frac{zq_1'(z)}{q_1(z)} \prec \frac{(zf)''(z)}{f'(z)} - 2\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{zq_2'(z)}{q_2(z)},$$

implies

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{z^2 f'(z)}{f^2(z)} \prec q_2(z)$$

DIFFERENTIAL SANDWICH THEOREMS

and $q_1(z)$ and $q_2(z)$ are respectively the best subordinant and b_i dominant.

Lemma 2.9. Let $q(z) \neq 0$ be univalent in Δ and $zq'(z)/q^2(z)$ be starlulurivalent in Δ . If $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}(q(0), 1) \cap Q$, $p(z) \neq 0$, $zp'(z)/p^2(z)$ is univalet in Δ , then

$$\frac{zq'(z)}{q^2(z)} \prec \frac{zp'(z)}{p^2(z)}$$

implies $q(z) \prec p(z)$ and q(z) is the best subordinant.

Proof. Lemma 2.9 follows from Lemma 1.2 when $\vartheta(w) := 0$ a $\varphi(w) := 1/w^2$.

Theorem 2.10. Let $q(z) \neq 0$ be univalent in Δ and $zq'(z)/q^2(z)$ starlike univalent in Δ . If $f \in A$, $0 \neq zf'(z)/f(z) \in \mathcal{H}(1,1) \cap Q$, $\frac{1+zf''(z)/f'(z)}{zf'(z)/f(z)}$ is univalent in Δ , then

$$1 + \frac{zq'(z)}{q^2(z)} \prec \frac{1 + z''f(z)/f'(z)}{zf'(z)/f(z)}$$

implies $q(z) \prec z f'(z)/f(z)$ and q(z) is the best subordinant.

Proof. The result follows from Lemma 2.9 by taking p(z) = zf'(z)/f(z)

Together with the corresponding result for differential subordinati (see Ravichandran and Darus [5]), we obtain the following:

Theorem 2.11. Let $q_i(z) \neq 0$ be univalent in Δ and $zq_i'(z)/q_i^2(z)$ starlike univalent in Δ for i = 1, 2. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $0 \neq zf'(z)/f(z) \in \mathcal{H}(1, 1) \cap \frac{1 + zf''(z)/f'(z)}{zf'(z)/f(z)}$ is univalent in Δ , then

$$1 + \frac{zq_1'(z)}{q_1^2(z)} \prec \frac{1 + zf''(z)/f'(z)}{zf'(z)/f(z)} \prec 1 + \frac{zq_2'(z)}{q_2^2(z)}$$

implies $q_1(z) \prec z f'(z)/f(z) \prec q_2(z)$ and $q_1(z)$ and $q_2(z)$ are respective the best subordinant and the best dominant.

Acknowledgement

Research of R. M. Ali and V. Ravichandran are respectively supper by a Universiti Sains Malaysia Fundamental Research Grant and a doctoral fellowship.

References

- [1] T. Bulboaca, A class of superordination-preserving integral operators, Indag. New Ser. 13(3) (2002), 301-311.
- [2] T. Bulboaca, Classes of first-order differential superordinations, Demonstr. 35(2) (2002), 287-292.
- [3] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Subordinants of differential superordin Complex Variables 48(10) (2003), 815-826.
- [4] V. Ravichandran, Certain applications of first order differential subordination East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS) 12(1) (2004), 41-51.
- [5] V. Ravichandran and Maslina Darus, On a criteria for starlikeness, Internat J. 4(2) (2003), 119-125.
- [6] V. Ravichandran and Maslina Darus, On a class of α -convex func . , J Appl. 2(1) (2004), 17-25.

Rosihan M. Ali and V. Ravichandran School of Mathematical Sciences Universiti Sains Malaysia 11800 USM Penang, Malaysia e-mail: rosihan@cs.usm.my; vravi@cs.usm.my

M. Hussain Khan Department of Mathematics Islamiah College, Vaniambadi 635 751, India e-mail: khanhussaff@yahoo.co.in

K. G. Subramanian
Department of Mathematics
Madras Christian College
Tambaram, Chennai 600 059, India
e-mail: kgsmani@vsnl.net