



exploration and manned missions to the Moon and Mars (see *Eos*, 3 February 2004); but some areas would decrease, including the Earth Science Enterprise.

ESE is slated to be cut 8%, and drop \$128 million—from \$1.61 billion in 2004 to \$1.49 billion 2005. Within ESE, Earth system science would be cut 7%, to \$1.41 billion, while Earth science applications would decrease 15%, to \$76.9 million.

Ghassem Asrar, associate administrator for the Earth Science Enterprise, indicated that the budget includes funding to complete the current generation of satellite systems. Funding is provided for the June 2004 launch of the Aura satellite that will look at the physics and chemistry of Earth's atmosphere, as well as for launches in 2005 of the CALIPSO and Cloud-Sat satellites to study climate and weather.

Two recently selected small satellite missions also are included in the budget: the Orbiting Carbon Observatory, and Aquarius, which looks at the role of sea salt on weather and climate. HYDROS, a separate satellite mission to measure and monitor changes in water retained by soil, would be delayed.

Also, full implementation of the Global Precipitation Mission likely will be delayed by up to 2 years, Asrar said.

He said that although funding decreases significantly and includes no growth for inflation, the base of the budget is protected. He added that the budget dip is normal with the completion of major program milestones, such as the first phase of the Earth Observing System.

Asrar added that "everybody up and down the chain of command within NASA" has stated their commitment to the Earth sciences as a part of the overall agency vision and mission.

NASA's mission shift would add 1% in FY2005 to the space science budget, which would increase to \$4.07 billion. The administration calls for an additional \$1.0 billion for NASA over 5 years, and also for re-allocating \$11

billion within the agency from its current 5-year budget estimate of \$86 billion. In this re-allocation, the Earth science enterprise would contribute about \$41 million in FY2005, and \$1.1 billion over 5 years.

NOAA Facing a Leaner Year

The NOAA budget would drop from \$3.69 billion to \$3.38 billion for FY2005. The budget would slash funding for the agency's "wet side," which includes the National Ocean Service and the Office of Ocean and Atmospheric Research. The Ocean Service would drop 35%, to \$394.3 million from the \$606 million in the FY2004 omnibus budget bill. Within the service, ocean resources conservation and assessment would shed \$79.9 million, and ocean and coastal management would decrease \$31 million.

The Office of Ocean and Atmospheric Research would dip 13%, to \$360.7 million from \$414.2 million. Funding for ocean, coastal, and Great Lakes Research would take the biggest hit, losing \$51.4 million. This includes a \$1.7-million reduction for the Ocean Exploration Program, and a \$1-million cut to the National Undersea Research Program, which NOAA classifies as lower-priority programs. On the flip side, climate research funding would increase \$13.5 million, and would include support for the Bush administration's Climate Change Science Program and for a sustained ocean observing system.

The budget for the agency's National Environmental Satellite, Data and Information Services would increase to \$897.9 million from \$827.2 million to support satellite systems and data needs. NOAA Administrator Conrad Lautenbacher, Jr. said that satellites represent "the backbone" for much of what the agency does and the services it provides.

Also on the plus side, the National Weather Service funding would rise from \$824.9 million to \$836.8 million. The budget transfers the

Space Environment Center to NWS from OAR; Congress last year had threatened this center, which provides operational solar forecasts. The budget would provide \$5.5 million for implementing air quality pilot forecasts for the northeast United States.

The full extent of NOAA's budget priorities and possible winners and losers is difficult to assess at this point, because the agency has not yet released detailed line item numbers.

The budget covers the agency's priorities, though the funding is tight, Lautenbacher said. "We need every nickel of this budget," he noted.

Marcus Peacock, associate director for natural resources, energy and science programs at the White House Office of Management and Budget, called the 2005 research budget the most austere for his area in 4 years. He said research and development increases 4.7% overall to \$132 billion. However, that figure includes funding for basic and applied research, as well as for development and research, development facilities, and major equipment, according to Peacock and analysis by the American Association for the Advancement of Science. The funding for basic research, including for defense, increases across the board by just 0.6%, and that figure drops to -2.5% when excluding increases for the National Institutes for Health.

Peacock said the recently enacted federal R&D budget for FY2004 includes over \$2 billion in congressional earmarks to fund specific projects. John Marburger, director of the Office of Science and Technology Management, said that amount for earmarks is "in the danger zone," because it interferes with administration priorities.

In the next issue, *Eos* will examine budget proposals for other federal agencies, including the National Science Foundation and the U.S. Geological Survey.

—RANDY SHOWSTACK, Staff Writer

MEETINGS

Focusing on Proto-Seismogenic Zone of Erosional Convergent Margin

PAGE 70

Great earthquakes in subduction zones occur after stable slip in the proto-seismogenic zone transitions to the unstable slip that characterizes seismogenic zones. Subducted material input to seismogenic zones affects this transition. Material structure, lithology, and physical properties change progressively during subduction, and according to current hypotheses, specific material transformations trigger the stable to unstable slip transition. Where accretion dominates a convergent margin, material input is trench sediment that is easily drill-sampled.

However, where erosion dominates a margin, material input is unknown because it originates

along the base of the upper plate and alters differently. The depth at which material is eroded lies beyond the sampling capabilities of past scientific ocean drilling, so the proto-seismogenic zone transformed material has never been drill-sampled; nor does geophysics resolve its structure, lithology, and physical properties. The Japanese riser drill ship *Chikyu* in the Integrated Ocean Drilling Program (IODP) overcomes this difficulty. Preparing a site for deep drilling is a much greater task than preparing the shallower sites of past programs, so this is accomplished during workshops.

Thirty-eight scientists from seven nations met in Kiel, Germany, last October at the Forschungszentrum für Marine Geowissenschaften

(Geomar) to discuss a program to drill into the proto-seismogenic zone, the second stage of the proposed Costa Rica Seismogenesis Project (CRISP). The objective of this complex drilling project is to ultimately drill into the seismogenic zone. Much of the basic geophysical and geological survey data offshore of Costa Rica was acquired by German institutions, but is currently unpublished. Thus, the workshop was held at a convenient location where original data of the German Geological Survey, (BGR), the University of Bremen, and Geomar could be displayed. Geomar is also the home of the Collaborative Research Center 574 (SFB 574), which investigates convergent margin systems of Central America.

To examine the causes of seismogenesis (CRISP Stage 3), one must characterize the proto-seismogenic zone (CRISP Stage 2) to provide reference conditions prior to material transformations that trigger large earthquakes. Fluids and material subducted to 15 km will transform as pressure increases and temperature reaches 120–150°C. Stage 2 will introduce technology not yet applied in scientific drilling

to explore environments that have never been probed. Drilling is required to understand the proto-seismogenic zone, because plate interface fault structure is not resolved with conventional geophysical techniques. Down-hole instruments can measure dynamic conditions and fluid flow close to the plate interface thrust. This aids the search for low-level signals that indicate changing stress in the seismogenic zone. Such observations are consistent with advanced scientific objectives of IODP, and are a step in the successive stages of the CRISP proposal that ultimately targets drilling an erosional margin seismogenic zone.

In the first half of the workshop, new results from investigations during the past year were presented and discussed to update participants for discussion of CRISP Stage 2. Shinichi Kuramoto summarized the expanded capabilities of the *Chikyu* and emphasized the long time—up to 4 years—required to prepare a site for deep drilling.

Studies of earthquake seismology in the proposed drill area indicate the difficulty of working with only land-based data. Five different groups are investigating seismicity in the area, and a disparate location for the M 6.4 earthquake of 2002 and its aftershocks emphasized the need for long-term offshore instrumentation. A previous on- and offshore network study, for example, showed several location inaccuracies \geq than 10 km without marine instruments. Interpreters of the 2002 earthquake results cannot differentiate between slip on the plate interface or on an intra-slab fault. Many epicenters cluster along a subducted ridge that uplifts the continental slope and outer shelf. Defining and characterizing the up-dip end of the seismogenic zone here will require longer ocean floor instrument records.

Global Positioning System (GPS) geodesy shows that locking extends into the area of proposed drilling and corresponds roughly with distribution of the 2002 aftershock seismicity. A proposed proxy for the up-dip end of the seismogenic zone is a 100–150°C temperature. Current simple models place the 150°C isotherm near the beginning of aftershock seismicity. Considerable probe and bottom simulating reflection (BSR) heatflow data are available for the three-dimensional modeling in progress that will improve temperature constraints. Thermal gradients measured during Stage 1 drilling will help locate critical isotherms even more precisely. Siting the Stage 3 deep hole in the seismogenic zone will require ocean floor instrument networks to record seismicity and stress.

A review of the latest overview and stage 1 proposals concentrated on erosional processes and the model proposed for testing. Indirect measurements of material strength show that the overriding plate shear strength can be greatly reduced near the trench axis, reaching the levels found in ocean sediment. It is proposed that overpressured fluids have intruded fractures along the plate interface that further weaken the upper plate by hydrofracturing. This loosens fragments that are dragged into the subduction channel, forming a layer of cataclastic terrigenous material input to the seismogenic zone. The data supporting the model, which also form the site survey for Stage 1 and 2 drilling, were displayed, and participants reviewed the proposed drill sites.

During the second day, investigations of the Geomar-based Collaborative Research Center 574 were reported. Widespread sea floor vents form mud mounds in the middle slope that are identified in multibeam bathymetry along the entire Middle America margin. Along Costa Rica, near-bottom sidescan mapping shows a mound at least every 2–3 km. Benthic fauna, fluids rich in H_2S , and methane mark vents, and at one vent, the flow was estimated at 300 cm³/yr. Isotopic composition indicates clay mineral dehydration at temperatures between 85°C and 130°C rather than dissociation of gas hydrate. The most likely source for these fluids is subducted sediment seismically imaged at depths $>$ 12 km along the plate interface. The SFB 574 group has proposed fluid sampling of the mounds to the IODP.

Another study of the SFB group concerns partial mantle serpentinization of the incoming oceanic plate. Evidence for normal faults that extend into the mantle and are related to plate bending was recently reported in *Nature*. Seismic refraction evidence for strongly reduced mantle velocities in this region, as well as gravity anomalies, are consistent with ~25% serpentinization near Moho depths. From study of exhumed fossil slabs, it appears that deserpentinization occurs at $>$ 90 km depth, triggering gabbro-eclogite transformation.

Finally, the researchers of the SFB presented results concerned with subduction zone output. The chemistry of water in primitive melt inclusions includes signs of subducted sediment, eroded upper plate debris, and igneous ocean crust. The Galapagos signature present in central Costa Rican volcanoes is spatially and temporally related to the subduction of the Galapagos Hotspot Track. It originates at least in part from subducted seamounts and from early Cenozoic Galapagos-type rock accreted to the upper plate that is later eroded along with other components. Cenozoic

volcanic products have compositions consistent with a long-term erosional environment along the Middle America Trench.

An overview of deep fault drilling in other environments concluded the scientific part of the workshop. The Nankai Trough (NanTroSEIZE) proposal was presented by Harold Tobin, and he asked the question of how the up-dip end of the seismogenic zone can be defined at the scale of a drill hole. The most likely solution appears to be multiple lines of evidence, including geodesy, micro-earthquake distribution, and temperature. Hypotheses to be tested relate to material changes and material states. The complementary differences between Costa Rica and Nankai are rapid versus slow plate convergence, input of trench sediment versus erosional debris, the importance of splay thrust faults in Nankai versus normal faults of middle America, and different depths of the seismogenic zone.

Jan Behrmann presented current projects of the International Continental Drilling Program (ICDP), including drilling along the San Andreas Fault that shows seismogenesis within 2 km of the surface. Drilling in deep mines of South Africa will probe faults that have produced magnitude 5.2 earthquakes. The ICDP offers the possibility of including sites on the Osa Peninsula near the proposed IODP transect where the middle of the seismogenic zone is ~ 7 km deep.

Stage 2 drilling will probe an unsampled environment of fault behavior in the proto-seismogenic zone, the last area of stable slip prior to seismogenesis. Since scientific drilling has only reached subducted trench sediment and not erosional material, drilling will characterize shear zone mineralogy and structure in a new environment. Laboratory experiments with materials recovered from the plate interface will help foretell conditions of stick-slip in an erosional seismogenic zone prior to Stage 3 drilling.

The Costa Rica Seismogenesis Project workshop was held 20–22 October 2003, in Kiel, Germany.

Acknowledgments

The workshop was sponsored by both the Collaborative Research Center 574 and Joint Oceanographic Institutions, U.S. Science Support (JOI/USSSP).

—ROLAND VON HUENE, University of California, Davis; CESAR R. RANERO, Forschungszentrum für Marine Geowissenschaften (Geomar), Kiel, Germany; PAOLA VANNUCCHI, Università di Firenze, Italy; and SANEATSU SAITO, Japan Marine Science and Technology Center, Yokosuka