



Activities to Date Project Charter Project Charter Promed Scholarly Communications Steering Committee Made up of faculty & key librarians Formed several working groups: SC Liaison Librarians; CIHR WG; SC Environmental Scan WG Programmed Scholarly Communications Workshops

- Exploring UBC Press Collaboration
- Participating in ARL New Publication Models Study

Framework for Scholarly Communications Project





Environmental Scan of SC Activities within UBC Liaison Librarians Working Group

- Data Gathering Tool: identifies specific groups faculty
 ARL New Models Study
- Faculty Steering Committee
- SC Environmental Scan Working Group
- CIHR Working Group

ARL Collaboration ARL Cornel University Library and the University of Washington ARL, Cornel University Library and the University of Washington Library - Ithaka sponsorship. Purpose of the project: Earn hwo faculty/researchers are involved in new models of scholarship. Develop a database to store this information that institutions could access and contribute to. Immeframe March 15 – April 1st, interview sample faculty & test tool April 1st – May 31st conduct data gathering more widely June ARL/Ithaka examine data August ARL/Ithaka writes up report



- Facilitate ARL New Models Data Gathering
- Facilitate compilation of data from Liaison Lib data gathering tool
- Facilitate investigation and compilation of SC disciplinary differences into knowledgebase







SC Communications Working Group


Support communication strategies of the project


Eg. Website, etc.


Exploration of New Models & Roles SC Workshops ARL New Models Study UBC Press Collaboration

- > (Digitization Project?)
 > (Research Project)
- (IR Project)
- (IR Project)









- U.K. House of Commons Science & Technology Committee
- European Union & DAREnet

CIHR & Other OA Mandates Working Group CIHR, NSERC, NIH, SSHR open access

 CIHR, NSERC, NIH, SSHR open access mandates

Consider UBC Library's role in facilitating compliance





High Energy Physics



About SCOAP³

ne Open Access (QA) tenets of granting unrestricted access to the results of luid/y-under research are in contrast with unreret models of sometic publishing here access is restricted to journal outcomers. At the same time, subscription costs recease and add considerable strain on libraries, forded to careel an increasing uniter of pumals subscriptions. This situation is particularly acute in fields like High mergy Physica (Heg), where pre-privile description granting that the valiable online. There is a graving concern within the academic community that the used for high-augity journals, and the generative system they administer is at Site.

address the situation for HBP and, as an experiment, Science at large, a new defined for Oak publicing has energied; SCOAP¹ (Sponsoring Convortium for Openarias, which today publicas journal outsorbitons to implicitly aspect the aperers service, factor to explicitly over its cost, while publishers make the chronic visions of their journals free to read. Authors are not directly charged to lish their and/set SOA.

COAP³ will, for the first time, link quality and price, stimulating comparations and abiling considerable medium—and long-term savings. Today, most publisher's quote price in the range of 1000–2000 Euros per published article. On this basis, we timate that the annual budget for the transition of HEP publishing to CA would mount to a maximum of 10 Million Euros/year, sensibly lower than the estimated obla evonothine is incliention the UME bummalic

