# Open Access at CERN status and vision

Jens Vigen, CERN

Berlin 5

From practice to impact

Padova, 19-21 September 2007

## Status (I)

#### The official framework is in place

- OA culture established some 50 years ago
- Signed the Berlin Declaration
- Official mandate in place "since ever"
  - Administrative Circular No. 29
  - Replaced by Operational Circular No. 6
  - Further stressed by various additional policy documents
- But ...

## Status (II) ... do authors submit?

A quick reality check on the annual production:

- 1. ~250 theoretical papers, we capture 0% (!!)
- 2. ~500 theses, we capture 10% (world average ...)
- 3. ~50 experimental papers, we capture 90%

How can this be compensated for?

- 1. Import from arXiv ensures 100% coverage for theory
- 2. Individually e-mailing authors retrospectively, brings the coverage up to 30%, even for theses dating 10 years back
- 3. Check for CERN authors in publishers feeds, contact the research group or import publishers' version when permitted

Author efforts -ibrary efforts

## Status (III)

## Ensuring green OA - promoting gold

- Targeted action: 11'000 theoretical articles over 54 years 1.
  - Old copies of manuscripts retrieved and scanned from the CERN Archive and private archives of the authors
- 2. Hunt for theses
- 3. Encouraging submission to OA journals
  - Special deal for some journals
    - JHEP&JINST, everything originating from CERN is published OA for a symbolic sum
    - NJP, CERN supports authors with the payment of the publication fees
    - PRSTAB, sponsorship ensuring OA without author fees •
- -ibrary effort Encouraging conference organizers to use OA outlet for 4. proceedings
  - Preparing SCOAP<sup>3</sup> 5.

### CERN IR 3/4 full; lessons learned

Mandating and advocacy have limits:

- "Top-scientists" tend to ignore both"mandating" and "mandated" librarians
- Authors needs to see an immediate return from their time investment
- Authors get this return (visibility, standing) by submitting to subject repositories, *i.e.* arXiv
- They do not get this in the HEP-sub communities where arXiv is less important, and we lose the content ...
- We observe a different situation for thesis: authors perceive that the IR offers a good preservation, and they are glad to submit theses once asked

Which are the incentives to use a repository for authors?

- Get what they want... to motivate them to go there
- So, give them what they want; techpush do rarely attract users while userpull builds communities
- What do they want?

## A poll of the HEP community >2000 answers (10% of the entire community!)

access articles arxiv author available best better cds change citation complete coverage daily data easy engine expect fast field free full google hep information interested interface journals least links list looking needs number papers physics preprints publications published references results scholar seaarch spires submission system text used

user version WOrk



## Aiming for 100% OA coverage

- Institutional and subject repositories goes hand in hand. Ensure interoperability and co-operate to develop the services required by all the partners
- Capture non-submitted papers by:
  - Monitoring publisher feeds
    - In order to be discovered publishers have a strong interest to feed subject repositories
  - Working with OA friendly publishers
    - Allowing storage on institutional web sites
      - In physics: SISSA/IOPP, AIP, APS and IEEE
- SCOAP<sup>3</sup>
  - The participating publishers will be bound by contract to fill the subject repository with OA content that can be further exported to any institutional infrastructure