Scientometric Portrait of Nobel Laureate S. Chandrasekhar

B.S. Kademani, V. L. Kalyane and A. B. Kademani

S. Chandrasekhar, the well known Astrophysicist is widely recognised as a very successful Scientist. His publications were analysed by year, domain, collaboration pattern, channels of communications used, keywords etc. The results indicate that the temporal variation of his productivity and of the types of papers published by him is of such a nature that he is eminently qualified to be a *role model* for the younger generation to emulate. By the end of 1990, he had to his credit 91 papers in Stellar Structure and Stellar atmospheres. 80 papers in Radiative transfer and negative ion of hydrogen, 71 papers in Stochastic, statistical hydromagnetic problems in physics and astronomy, 11 papers in Plasma Physics, 43 papers in Hydromagnetic and Hydrodynamic Stability, 42 papers in Tensor-virial theorem, 83 papers in Relativistic astrophysics, 61 papers in Mathematical theory of Black holes and colloiding waves, and 19 papers of general interest.

The highest Collaboration Coefficient was 0.5 during 1983-87. Productivity coefficient was 0.46. The mean Synchronous self citation rate in his publications was 24.44. Publication density was 7.37 and Publication concentration was 4.34.

Keywords/Descriptors: Biobibliometrics; Scientometrics; Bibliometrics; Collaboration; Individual Scientist; Scientometric portrait; Sociology of Science, History of Science.

1. Introduction

Subrahmanyan Chandrasekhar was born in Lahore (then a part of British India) on 19 October 1910. He had his early education by private tution till he was twelve. He had his high school education in the Hindu High School. Triplicane during the years 1922-25. He had his University education at the Presidency College during 1925-30 and received his Bachelor's degree. B.Sc.(Hon.), in physics in June 1930. He was awarded a Government of India Scholarship for graduate studies in Cambridge. England in July 1930 to work in theoretical physics, more specifically in the theory of stellar structure, the field which was dominated then by Arthur Eddington.

He became a research student under the supervision of professor R. H. Fowler (who was responsible for his admission to Trinity College). On the advice of Professor P. A. M. Dirac, he spent

Theoretisk Fysik in Copenhagen. He was awarded Ph. D. degree by Cambridge

his three undergraduate years at the Institute for

University in 1933. He was elected as a fellow at Trinity College for the period 1933-37. He was a Research Associate at Yerkes Observatory. Chicago during 1936-38. He became Assistant Professor. Chicago University during 1938-41. Associate Professor (1942-43), Professor (1943-47). Distinguished Service Professor of Theoretical Astrophysics (1947-52), Morton D. Hull Distinguished Service Professor of Theoretical Astrophysics (1952-1986). He was Professor Emeritus (1986-95). He died of heart failure in Chicago on 21st August 1995.

He was an editor of the journal Astrophysical Journal during 1952 - 1971. When he took over, the journal was nothing more than a private journal of Chicago University. By the time he resigned it had become an official journal of the American Astronomical Society

There is no doubt that he was influenced by his illustrious uncle Sir C. V. Raman the Nobel Laureate for 1930 well known for his invention on Raman Effect.

Many honours and awards were bestowed on him in recognition of his contribution in the field of Astrophysics. Important ones being :

- 1. Fellow of the Royal Society of London 1944.
- 2. Adams prize (Cambridge University) 1947.
- 3. Bruce Medal of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific 1952.
- 4. Gold Medal of the Royal Astronomical Society of London 1952.
- Elected to the National Academy of Sciences -1955.
- 6. Rumford Medal of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences 1957.
- 7. Srinivasa Ramanujan Medal of the Indian National Science Academy - 1962.
- 8. Royal Medal of the Royal Society 1962.
- National Medal of Science (United States) -1968.
- 10. Padma Vibhusan Title (India) 1968.
- Henry Draper Medal of the National Academy of Sciences - 1971. Smoluchowski Medal (Polish Physical Society).
- Dannie Heinemann Prize of American Physical Society - 1974.
- 13. Nobel Prize 1983
- 14. Dr. Tomalla Prize (ETH, Zurich).
- 15. Copley Medal of Royal Society -1984.
- 16. R. D. Birla Award 1984.
- Vainu Bappu Medal of the Indian National Science Academy - 1985.

He was also a member of following Academics

- National Academy of Sciences
- American Academy of Arts and Sciences
- Royal Astronomical Society
- American Astronomical Society
- Royal Society

As a student Chandrasekhar had received as a prize, Eddington's famous book *The Internal Constitution of the Stars* which left a lasting impression on young Chandrasekhar's mind. This perhaps was responsible for his taking up research in the field of Astronomy and Astrophysics.

Chandrasekhar's contribution is particularly multi-faceted and covers many aspects of the evolution of stars. An important part of his work is a study concerning the problems of stability in different phases of their evolution. He has studied relativistic effects, which became important because of the extreme conditions which arise during the later stages of the star's development. One of Chandrasekhar's most well known contributions is his study of the *Structure of White Dwarfs*. In recent years he had worked on *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes*.

His books : An Introduction to the Study of Stellar Structure (1939); Principles of Stellar Dynamics (1942); Radiative Transfer (1950); Plasma Physics (1960); Hydrodynamic and Hydromagnetic Stability (1961); Ellipsoidal Figures of Equilibrium (1969); and The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes (1983) have become classics in the fields of Astronomy and Space research.

He had wide interest in music and literature. and he wrote a book entitled *Truth and beauty*: aesthetics and motivations in science. His final book was a commentary on, Newton's principia for the common reader, published early 1995.

Chandrasekhar had to face several humiliating experiences in the hands of noted astronomers which did not dampen his zeal, spirit and scientific temper which was in him by birth.

It is noteworthy to mention that Chandrasekhar's students Tsung - Dao Lee and Chen Ning Yang were awarded Nobel prize in physics for 1957 at their age 31 and 35 respectively for their investigation of the so-called parity laws which led to the discoveries regarding elementary particles. S. Chandrasekhar was awarded Nobel prize jointly with A. Fowler for his contributions on the evolution of stars in 1983 when he was 73 years of age mainly for his well known discovery 'Chandrasekhar limit' named after him which states that Some stars are too massive to become white dwarf star which is formed with mass greater than a limiting value (1.4 Ma).

The Nobel prize is regarded not only by laymen but also by scientists as the most honorific

JISSI + 2(2-3) June - September 1996



recognition of scientific achievement. The prestige of the Nobel prize is so great that it enhances the standing of nations and institutions as well as the reputation of its *laureates* [1-3]. His works have been well documented [4-9].

Citation analysis of some important contributions of S. Chandrasekhar has already been carried out [10]. This study deals with six citation classics which have been identified based on the citations received to the papers of S. Chandrasekhar. These six papers received 53% of total 10,359 citations during the period under study and concluded that there is a high correlation in quantity, quality of works, citedness and receiving honours and awards.

2. Objectives

Objectives of present work are to highlight quantitative aspects of the research communications:

- (a) authorship pattern,
- (b) domainwise contribution,
- (c) author productivity,
- (d) use of channels of communication
- (e) bibliographic characteristics of publications, and
- (f) documentation of keywords from title

The main concept of working on individual scientist is to provide *Role Model Scientist* for younger generation of science graduates and post graduates who have become frustrated due to various reasons. To show them light or hope or new direction towards success. Success of others may teach many things to follow their path. The attempt however small, may prevent them to make suicide of their creativity, and channelise aggressive energies of youth towards constructive ideas [11].

A successful scientist is one who keeps on publishing his ideas or works. To be successful, capacity to communicate effectively and efficiently is most fundamental. Scientific communications have their own regime and regimentation crossing all political and geographical boundaries.

3. Methodology

Scientific publication, seems to provide the best available basis for measuring research output. One

of the first writers to suggest scientific publication as a measure of research productivity was Nobel Laureate William Shokley [12] who was interested in measuring research.productivity among individual within a group by analysing their publications. A few studies have been recently published on individual scientists [10, 13-41].

Bibliographic details of the publications of S. Chandrasekhar were documented on cards from the list appended at the end of volume six of Selected papers of S. Chandrasekhar [42] and sorting was done as per requirements of the study.

Normal count procedure [43] was followed. Full credit was given to each author regardless of whether he happens to be the first or the last author. It is widely recognised that scientists all over the world look at their own papers exclusively in that way. Similarly titles of the articles were analysed and one score was alloted for each keyword, subject, journal, etc.

The degree of collaboration [44] in a discipline was defined as the ratio of the number of collaborative research papers to the total number of research papers published in the discipline during a certain period of time (Figure 3).

Vinkler [45] defined (Table - 3) Publication Density as the ratio of the total number of papers published to the total number of journals in which the papers were published, and Publication Concentration as the ratio in percentage of the journals containing half of the papers published to the total number of journals in which those papers were published during the period under study

Sen and Gan [46] defined Productivity Coefficient as the ratio of 50 percentile age to the total productivity age.

Lawani [47] defined (Table - 8) Synchronous Self Citation rate :

Synchronous rate =
$$\frac{\text{Self references in an article}}{\text{Total no. of references in}} \times 100$$

an article

Frequency of keywords from the titles of the articles were recorded. Data obtained from above study were presented in tables and figures.

4. Results and Discussion

During 1928 - 1990 S. Chandrasekhar had published 380 research communications in the

following domains

- A = Steller structure and stellar atmospheres
- B = Radiative transfer and negative ion of hydrogen
- C = Stochastic, Statistical hydromagnetic problems in physics and astronomy
- **D** = Plasma physics
- **E** = Hydromagnetic and hydrodynamic stability
- \mathbf{F} = Tensor Virial theorem
- G = Relativistic astrophysics
- H = Mathematical theory of Black holes and colloiding waves
- I = General

Table 1 shows author productivity and distribution of authors in various domains. The research group of S. Chandrasekhar has the credits of number of authorships in various domains : A(91), B(80). C(71). D(11), E(43), F(42), G(83), H(61), and I(19). Total number of authors in the research group were 48. Researchers and their

authorships in collaboration with S. Chandrasekhar in Chronological order of their association (in first publication with S. Chandrasekhar) are depicted in Figure 1. Most active researchers and their contributions with S. Chandrasekhar were N. R. Lebövitz (22) and D. D. Elbert (15). Other active collaborators with S. Chandrasekhar and their contributions were B. C. Xanthopoulos (10). G. Münch (8), and F. H. Breen (6). Other collaborators having three papers each were 12, two papers each were 20, and single paper each were 28.

B. C. Xanthopoulos had collaborated with S. Chandrasekhar in the domain H only. D. D. Elbert had collaborated with him in the A. B, E, F and G. whereas N. R. Lebövitz had collaborated in the domains E, F, G and H.

Domainwise Collaboration of S. Chandrasekhar with his 47 Collaborators and their status of authorship in various domains is provided in Table - 2. S. Chandrasekhar had single authored papers in various domains as A(63), B(34), C(39), D(2), E(30), F(14), G(43), H(25) and I(17). He had collaborations in various domains as A(28), B(46). C(32), D(9), E(13), F(28), G(40), H(36) and I(2).

Table Author Productivity and Distribution of Authors in Various Domains

	1									1	1	
No. of			omai	nwise	Autl	orsh	ips			No. of	Total No. of	Prominent
papers	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	Authors	Authorships	Collaborators
	8	4				2	5	1	1	28	28	
2	5	7	4				4			10	20	
3				6				6		4	12	
6	1									1	6	Breen, F. H.
8										1	8	Münch, G.
10								10	5	1	10	Xanthopoulos, B. C.
					4	1	2			1	15	Elbert, D. D.
22			•			11	9	. 1		1	22	Lebovitz, N. R.
			55	5	36	28	63	43	18		380	Chandrasekhar, S.
Total	91	80	71	11	43	42.	83	61	19	48	501	



Fig. 1. Researchers Association in Chronological Order

Percentagewise contribution of authorships to various domains include A(18.16), G(16.57), B(15.97), C(14.17), H(12.18), E(8.58), F(8.38), I(3.79) and D(2.20).

He had published two papers in collaboration with the Nobel Laureate Enrico Fermi in the domain C during 1953.

His domainwise cumulative number of publications, his age, and scientific career advancements are depicted in Figure - 2.

A feature of Chandrasekhar's career was that he would write a very long series of papers in a particular research field and once he felt that he has exhausted everything in that particular field then he would summarise the whole work in the form of an authoritative monograph and then move on to another field.

It is clearly visible from the Figure - 2 that Chandrasekhar shifted his research domains very frequently. That is how he continued to remain very active in the field.

How does one not become an expert? Astrophysicist S. Chandrasekhar gave a remarkable television interview a few years ago.



Fig. 2. Domainwise Publication Productivity of S. Chandrasekhar

Scientific Career Advanements : a = Govt. of India Scholar, Cambridge Univ.; b = Fellow, Trinity College, Cambridge Univ.; c = Res.Assoc., Yerkes Observatory, Chicago; d = Asst. Prof., Chicago Univ.; e = Assoc. Prof., Chicago Univ.; f = Prof., Chicago Univ.; g = Disting. Service Prof. of Theoretical Astrophysics; h = Morton D. Hull Disting. Service Prof. of Theoretical Physics; i = Prof. Emeritus.

JISSI + 2(2-3) June - September 1996

123

He had lead a Scientific Career notable for a rate of productivity that has not slowed down at all into his 70s. When asked how he has avoided the drop in creativity and productivity that plagues many scientists, he replied that approximately every seven years he takes up a new topic. He found that he would run out of new ideas after working in an area for too long. This pattern lead him to tackle such topics as the dynamics of stellar systems, white dwarfs, relativity and radiative transfer. Although all these subjects are in astrophysics, they are different enough to present unique problem [48].

With advances in research, vision of scientist expands, one island of superspecialisation or microtheme expands and bridges connection with another island of micro-theme. A creative researcher travels through the bridges to other island and instead of returning to his original island such scientists continue to colonise and work on the latest theme of fresh interest due to intrinsic motivations which accelerate vigorous activities further and exploit new idea resources. Natives (Super Specialists) of that island (micro-theme) may have become complacent because of inbreeding of their thoughts. Creativity predominant in scientists is of two types: Convergent thinking creativity and Divergent thinking creativity [49].

The most productive researchers have changed

research field more often than the less productive researchers [50].

However, no two individuals can be identical in their creativity i.e. each individual scientist has his/her own *Stereotype* [51] and *Mentor* [52-53]. Hence, attempts to generalise may fail.

With time and advances in research a creative scientist builds-up his/her own research team. As pioneer has already established himself he becomes pivote around whom entire team revolves in spirals (not in circle, because in circle there is no advancement as end meets the beginning) the direction and progressive movement of the spiral shifts its progress slowly to next higher stratum every time. Leader or conductor of the orchestra has the responsibility to bring forth best in every individual. Thus with advancing age many individuals and groups join such an individual for their own individual success as well as to satisfy affiliation needs.

Quinquennial publication productivity of S. Chandrasekhar is shown in Figure - 3. Highest Collaboration coefficient was 0.5 during 1983-87. His productivity coefficient was 0.46 which is clear indication of his high publication productivity behaviour during early period of 29 years of research publication career.

His first paper was published in 1928 in Indian



Fig. 3 Quinquennial Publication Productivity of S. Chandrasekhar

Journal of Physics at 18 years of his age in the domain A.

Distribution of his 339 publications were in 46 journals, 16 chapters in books. 16 conference proceedings and nine books.

Journalwise scattering of publications of S. Chandrasekhar in various journals is provided

in Table - 3. He has published 139 papers in *The* Astrophysical Journal, 59 papers in Proceedings of the Royal Society A, 31 papers in Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society. 14 papers in Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. He has published 10 papers in the journal Observatory.

Sl. No	Journal .	No.of Papers	Percen- tage	Cumu- lative	Perio usag	od of Jo e	umal	SCI	JCR 199	2 Country of publi-
				percen- tage	FPY	LPY	TOTAL	IF	II	cation
1.	Astrophys. J.	39	41.0	41.0	1931	1975	45	2.93	1 0.152	US
2.	Proc. Roy. Soc. A.	59	17.4	58,4	1929	1990	62	1.67	3 0.289	UK
3.	Month. Notic. Roy.									
	Astron. Soc.	31	9.1	67.5	1931	1984	54	2.57	9 0.460	UK
4.	Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.	14	4.1	71.6	1956	1963	8	10.48	0 1.436	US.
5.	Observatory	10	3.0	74.6	1933	1972	40	0.81	4 0.227	UK
6.	Philos. Mag.	9	2.7	77.3	1930	1957	28	-	-	UK
7.	Nature	7	2.1	79.4	1935	1990	56	22.13	9 5.224	UK
8.	Phys. Rev.		2.1	81.4	1949	1971	23			US
9.	Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.	6	1.8	83.3	1935	1955	21			UK
10.	Zeit. Astrophys.	6	1.8	85.1	1931	1937	7	-		Gemany
11.	Rev. Mod. Phys.	5	1.5	86.6	1943	1949	7	14.07	1 1.759	US
12.	Science	-4	1.2	87.8	1944	1981	38	20.96	7 3.600	US
13.	Am. J. Phys.	3	0.9	88.7	1969	1972	4	0.56	3 0.134	US
14.	Contemp. Phys.	3	0.9	89.6	1973	19 8 0	8	1.54	1 0.111	US
15.	Ann. Phys.	2	0.5	90.1	1957	1958	4	0.60	8 0.509	UK
16.	Mathematika	2	0.5	90. 6	1954	1957	4	0.69	4 0.000	UK
17.	Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc.									
	London		0.5	91.1	1950	1952	3	18:	2 0.237	UΚ
18,	Proc. Am. Philos. Soc.		0.5	91.6	1939	1964	26	-	-	US.
19.	Am. Math. Monthly		0.3	91.9	1954	1954		0.193	3 0.101	US
20.	Ann. New York Acad.									
	Sci.		0.3	92.2	1943	1943		0.830) 0.141	US
21.	Astrofisika		0.3	92.5	1988	1988				Russia
22.	Astron. J. Sov. Union		0.3	92.8	1934	1934				Russia
23.	Astrophys. Norvegic		0.3	93.1	1964	1964				Norway
24.	Bull. Am. Acad. Arts &									•
	Sci.		0.3	93.4	1989	1989				US
25.	Bull. Am. Math. Soc.	1	0.3	93.7	1947	1947		0,857	7 0.137	US

Table 3. Journalwise Scattering of Publications of S. Chandrasekhar

continued.

Can. J. Phys.		0.3	94.0	1951	1951		0.461	0.099	Canada
Commun.Pure Appl.									
Math.		Ö.3	94.3	1967	1967		1.080	0.167	US
Curr. Sci.		0.3	94.6	1985	1985		0.253	0.075	India
Ind. J. Phys.		0.3	94.9	1928	1928	1	-	-	India
J. Astrophys. Astron.		0.3	95,2	1984	1984		0.464	0.105	India
J. Ind. Math. Soc.		0.3	95,5	1960	1960		-	-	India
J. Math. Anal. Appl.		0.3	95,8	1960	1960		0.291	0.081	US
J. Math. Mech.		0.3	96,1	1961	1961				US
J. Ration. Mech. Anal.		0.3	96,4	1954	1954				US
Mem.Soc.Roy.Soc.									
deLiege		0.3	96,7	1935	1935				France
Nord. Astron. Tidskr.		0.3	97.0	1935	1935				Norway
Notes. Record. Roy. Soc		0.3	97.3	1976	1976				UK
Phys. Rev. Lett.	1	0.3	97.6	1965	1965				US
Physics Today		0.3	97.9	1971	1971				US
Proc. Am. Acad. Art.									
Sci.		0.3	98.2	1957	1957			-	US
Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.		0.3	98.5	1959	1959		0.649	0.188	UK
Pub. Astron. Soc.									
Pacific.		0.3	98.8	1952	1952		.047	0.006	France
Quart. J. Mech. Appl.									
Math.		0.3	99.	1955	1955		0.567	0.115	UK
Quart. J. Roy. Astron.									
Soc.		0.3	99.4	1980	1980		0.514	0.042	UK
Scientific Month.		0.3	99.7	1947	1947				US
Trans. Am. Philos. Soc.	-	0.3	100.0	1954	1954				US,
Total	339								
	Can. J. Phys. Commun.Pure Appl. Math. Curr. Sci. Ind. J. Phys. J. Astrophys. Astron. J. Ind. Math. Soc. J. Math. Anal. Appl. J. Math. Mech. J. Math. Mech. J. Ration. Mech. Anal. Mem.Soc.Roy.Soc. deLiege Nord. Astron. Tidskr. Notes. Record. Roy. Soc Phys. Rev. Lett. Physics Today Proc. Am. Acad. Art. Sci. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. Pub. Astron. Soc. Pacific. Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math. Quart. J. Roy. Astron. Soc. Scientific Month. Trans. Am. Philos. Soc.	Can. J. Phys. Commun.Pure Appl. Math. Curr. Sci. Ind. J. Phys. J. Astrophys. Astron. J. Ind. Math. Soc. J. Math. Anal. Appl. J. Math. Mech. J. Ration. Mech. Anal. Mem.Soc.Roy.Soc. deLiege Nord. Astron. Tidskr. Notes. Record. Roy. Soc Phys. Rev. Lett. Physics Today Proc. Am. Acad. Art. Sci. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. Pub. Astron. Soc. Pacific. Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math. Quart. J. Roy. Astron. Soc. Scientific Month. Trans. Am. Philos. Soc. Total 339	Can. J. Phys. 0.3 Commun.Pure Appl. 0.3 Math. 0.3 Curr. Sci. 0.3 Ind. J. Phys. 0.3 J. Astrophys. Astron. 0.3 J. Math. Math. Soc. 0.3 J. Math. Anal. Appl. 0.3 J. Math. Anal. Appl. 0.3 J. Math. Mech. 0.3 J. Ration. Mech. Anal. 0.3 Mem.Soc.Roy.Soc. 0.3 deLiege 0.3 Nord. Astron. Tidskr. 0.3 Phys. Rev. Lett. 1 O.3 Physics Today Proc. Am. Acad. Art. Sci. Sci. 0.3 Pub. Astron. Soc. 0.3 Pub. Astron. Soc. 0.3 Pub. Astron. Soc. 0.3 Quart. J. Mech. Appl. 0.3 Math. 0.3 Quart. J. Roy. Astron. 0.3 Scientific Month. 0.3 Trans. Am. Philos. Soc. 0.3 Total 339	Can. J. Phys. 0.3 94.0 Commun.Pure Appl. Math. 0.3 94.3 Curr. Sci. 0.3 94.6 Ind. J. Phys. 0.3 94.9 J. Astrophys. Astron. 0.3 95.2 J. Ind. Math. Soc. 0.3 95.5 J. Math. Anal. Appl. 0.3 95.8 J. Math. Mech. 0.3 96.4 Mem.Soc.Roy.Soc. 0.3 96.4 Mem.Soc.Roy.Soc. 0.3 96.7 Nord. Astron. Tidskr. 0.3 97.0 Notes. Record. Roy. Soc 0.3 97.3 Phys. Rev. Lett. 1 0.3 97.6 Physics Today 0.3 97.9 Proc. Am. Acad. Art. 52 98.2 Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 0.3 98.2 Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 0.3 98.8 Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math. 0.3 99. Quart. J. Roy. Astron. 50. 0.3 99.4 Scientific Month. 0.3 99.7 7 Trans. Am. Philos. Soc. 0.3 100.0 <td>Can. J. Phys. 0.3 94.0 1951 Commun.Pure Appl. 0.3 94.3 1967 Math. 0.3 94.6 1985 Ind. J. Phys. 0.3 94.9 1928 J. Astrophys. Astron. 0.3 95.2 1984 J. Ind. Math. Soc. 0.3 95.5 1960 J. Math. Anal. Appl. 0.3 95.8 1960 J. Math. Mech. 0.3 96.4 1954 Mem.Soc.Roy.Soc. 0.3 96.7 1935 Nord. Astron. Tidskr. 0.3 97.0 1935 Notes. Record. Roy. Soc 0.3 97.0 1935 Notes. Record. Roy. Soc 0.3 97.0 1935 Physics Today 0.3 97.6 1965 Physics Today 0.3 97.9 1971 Proc. Am. Acad. Art. 52. 1957 Sci. 0.3 98.5 1959 Pub. Astron. Soc. 0.3 98.8 1952 Quart. J. Mech. Appl. 0.3 99. 1955 Quart. J. Roy. Astron. 0.3</td> <td>Can. J. Phys. 0.3 94.0 1951 1951 Commun.Pure Appl. 0.3 94.3 1967 1967 Curr. Sci. 0.3 94.6 1985 1985 Ind. J. Phys. 0.3 94.6 1985 1985 J. Astrophys. Astron. 0.3 95.2 1984 1984 J. Ind. Math. Soc. 0.3 95.5 1960 1960 J. Math. Anal. Appl. 0.3 95.8 1960 1960 J. Math. Mech. 0.3 96.4 1954 1954 Mem.Soc.Roy.Soc. 0.3 96.7 1935 1935 Nord. Astron. Tidskr. 0.3 97.0 1935 1935 Notes. Record. Roy. Soc 0.3 97.0 1935 1935 Notes. Record. Roy. Soc 0.3 97.6 1965 1965 Physics Today 0.3 97.9 1971 1971 Proc. Am. Acad. Art. Sci. 0.3 98.5 1959 1959 Pub. Astron. Soc. 0.3 98.8 1952 1957 Quart. J. Mech. Appl.</td> <td>Can. J. Phys. 0.3 94.0 1951 1951 Commun.Pure Appl. </td> <td>Can. J. Phys. 0.3 94.0 1951 1951 0.461 Commun.Pure Appl. </td> <td>Can. J. Phys. 0.3 94.0 1951 1951 0.461 0.099 Commun.Pure Appl. </td>	Can. J. Phys. 0.3 94.0 1951 Commun.Pure Appl. 0.3 94.3 1967 Math. 0.3 94.6 1985 Ind. J. Phys. 0.3 94.9 1928 J. Astrophys. Astron. 0.3 95.2 1984 J. Ind. Math. Soc. 0.3 95.5 1960 J. Math. Anal. Appl. 0.3 95.8 1960 J. Math. Mech. 0.3 96.4 1954 Mem.Soc.Roy.Soc. 0.3 96.7 1935 Nord. Astron. Tidskr. 0.3 97.0 1935 Notes. Record. Roy. Soc 0.3 97.0 1935 Notes. Record. Roy. Soc 0.3 97.0 1935 Physics Today 0.3 97.6 1965 Physics Today 0.3 97.9 1971 Proc. Am. Acad. Art. 52. 1957 Sci. 0.3 98.5 1959 Pub. Astron. Soc. 0.3 98.8 1952 Quart. J. Mech. Appl. 0.3 99. 1955 Quart. J. Roy. Astron. 0.3	Can. J. Phys. 0.3 94.0 1951 1951 Commun.Pure Appl. 0.3 94.3 1967 1967 Curr. Sci. 0.3 94.6 1985 1985 Ind. J. Phys. 0.3 94.6 1985 1985 J. Astrophys. Astron. 0.3 95.2 1984 1984 J. Ind. Math. Soc. 0.3 95.5 1960 1960 J. Math. Anal. Appl. 0.3 95.8 1960 1960 J. Math. Mech. 0.3 96.4 1954 1954 Mem.Soc.Roy.Soc. 0.3 96.7 1935 1935 Nord. Astron. Tidskr. 0.3 97.0 1935 1935 Notes. Record. Roy. Soc 0.3 97.0 1935 1935 Notes. Record. Roy. Soc 0.3 97.6 1965 1965 Physics Today 0.3 97.9 1971 1971 Proc. Am. Acad. Art. Sci. 0.3 98.5 1959 1959 Pub. Astron. Soc. 0.3 98.8 1952 1957 Quart. J. Mech. Appl.	Can. J. Phys. 0.3 94.0 1951 1951 Commun.Pure Appl.	Can. J. Phys. 0.3 94.0 1951 1951 0.461 Commun.Pure Appl.	Can. J. Phys. 0.3 94.0 1951 1951 0.461 0.099 Commun.Pure Appl.

FPY = First Paper Publishing Year; LPY = Last Paper Publishing Year IF = Impact Factor; II = Immediacy Index; IF and II values taken from SCI Journal Citation Reports 1992.

In the highest Impact Factor (22.139) journal *Nature* he has published seven papers. In other highest Impact Factor (20.967) journal *Science* he has published four papers: *Reviews in Modern Physics* having Impact Factor (14.017) where he has published five papers.

The journals from various countries publishing S. Chandrasekhar's research papers were : 21 from USA (45.65%), 13 from UK (28.26%), four from India (8.70%), whereas from France, Norway and Russia two each, and Canada and Germany one each.

Average Bradford multiplier was 3.46. Publication density was 7.37 and Publication concentration was 4.34.

The frequency and cumulative number of papers published journalwise is depicted in Figure - 4.

Keywords in the titles of the articles were counted. The data are provided in Tables 4 and 5. From the data it is revealed that the titles were very compact and expressive [54].



Fig. 4. Bibliograph on Papers of S. Chandrasekhar

	No. of Keywords	No. of publications	Percentage
	ONE	52	13.69
	TWO	166	43.68
	THREE	99	26.05
	FOUR	40	10.53
n pringe Liedžinie L	FIVE	10	2.63
	SIX	12	3.16
	EIGHT	1	0.26

 Table 4. Length of Article Titles in Terms of Number of Keywords in the Titles of Publications of S. Chandrasekhar

States and a second second

Domain	Total No.	Total No. of	Mean I	Per Title	Proportion of Keywords	
	of Words	Keywords	No. of Words	No. of Keywords	to No. of Words	
A	623	166	8.09	2.16	3.75	
В	470	115	8.55	2.09	4.09	
С	513	140	9.33	2.55	3,66	
D	39	12	7.80	2.40	3.25	
E	412	110	1.44	3.06	3.75	
F	283	81	10.11	2.89	3.49	
G	781	200	12.40	3.17	3.91	
н	459	112	10.67	2.60	4.10	
I	102	28	5.67	1.56	1 3.64	
	3682	964	84,06		33.64	
	409.11	107	9.84		3.74	

Table 5. Domainwise Keywords in the Titles of Research Papers of S. Chandrasekhar

The Keywords frequencies in the titles of the papers is provided in Tables 6 and 7. High frequency Keywords were *Stability* (39), *General Relativity* (35), *Radiative equilibrium* (30), *Stellar*

atmosphere (30), Equilibrium (26), Magnetic fields (17), Stars (17), Gaseous masses (9) and Kerr black hole (9).

Table 6.	Keyword	Frequencies in the	Titles of Papers by	y S. Chandrasekhar
----------	---------	--------------------	----------------------------	--------------------

Stability	39	Colloiding waves	6
General relativity	35	Dynamical friction	6
Radiative equilibrium	30	Gravitational waves	6
Stellar atmosphere	30	Hydrodynamics	6
Equilibrium	26	Interior of stars	6
Magnetic fields	17	Isotropic turbulence	6
Stars	17	Oscillations	6
Gaseous masses	9	Post-Newtonian effects	6
Kerr black hole	9	Thermal instability	6
Instability	8	Uniformly rotating bodies	6
Perturbation theory	8	Absorption coefficient	5
Rotating cylinders	8	Axisymmetric perturbations	
Fluctuations	7	Brightness	
Hydrodynamic stability	7	Deformed figures	
Negative hydrogen ion	7	Equations	5
Statistical theory	7		5
Stelar dynamics	7	Gravitational perturbations	5
Viscous flow	7	Jacobi ellipsoids	5
Astronomy	6		
Axisymmetric systems	6		continued

Non-radial oscillations	5	Super potentials	
Post-Newtonian approximation	5	Time relaxation	
Reissner - Nordstrom black hole	5	Uniformly rotating configuration	3
Stellar systems	5	Universe	
Turbulence	5	Variable density	3
Virial theorem	5	Adiabatic invariants	2
Distorted polytropes	4	Axisymmetric turbulence	2
Fluid motions	4	β Canis Majoris stars	2
Gravitational field	4	Cauchy horizon	2
Gravitational radiation	4	Clusters	2
Gravitational stability	4	Coaxial cylinders	2
Hydromagnetics	4	Compton Scattering	2
Layer of fluid	4	Congruent Darwin ellipsoids	2
Random distribution	4	Conservation laws	2
Rotating gaseous masses	4	Dedekind ellipsoids	2
Stationary	4	Degeneration cores	2
Stellar configurations	4	Density	2
Absorption	3	Differentially rotating configurations	2
Absorption lines	3	Diffuse reflection	2
Astrophysics	3	Distribution	2
Beauty	3	Dynamical instability	2
Black holes	3	Dynamical stability	2
Coriolis force	3	Dynamics	2
Decay	.3	Einstein	2
Eddington, A. S.	3	Evolution	2
Ellipsoidal figures	3	Expansion of functions	2
Force - free magnetic field	3	Extended stellar atmospheres	
Four boundary conditions	3	Fluid sphere	
Incompressible fluid	3	Forces	
Ionization	3	Functions Gn. m ^(f)	
Kerr geometry	ŗ	General variational principle	2
Magneto hydrodynamics	3	Homogeneous mass	2
Miline. Edward Arthur		Infinite homogeneous medium	2
New statistics	3	Inhibition of convection	2
Opacity	3	Internal motions	2
Planetary nebulae	3	Invariant theory	2
Schwarzschild black hole	3	Inviscid flow	·2
Science			
Stellar coefficient	3	continued.	

JISSI + 2(2-3) June -September 1996

ことのないないないないまで

129

Ionized gas	2	Radiative transfer	2
Kerr metric	2	Rate of escape	2
Low density	2	Reflexion	2
Magnetic rotation	2	Reversing layers of stars	2
Motions	2	Riemann ellipsoids	2
Negative oxygen ion	2	Rotating configurations	2
Neutrino waves	2	Rotating fluid sphere	2
Newtonian gravitation	2	Roche ellipsoids	2
Oort, J. H.	2	Schwarzschild limit	
Oxygen	2	Slow rotation	
Polarization	2	Solar chromosphere	2
Post-Newtonian equations	2	Sunlit sky	2
Pressure	2	Thermodynamics	2
Pulsation	2	7 Transmission	- 2
Pursuit of science	2	Viscous dissipation	2
Radial acceleration	2	White dwarfs	2

Table 7. Keywords Used Only Once in the Titles of Papers by S. Chandrasekhar

Absorbing atoms	Blanketing effect	Constitution of stars	Distorted polytropes
Absorption continuum	Blended absorption lines	Continuous spectrum	Distorted stellar
Adjoining media	Boundary value problem	Convection	configurations
Adjoint differential systems	Brownian motion	Coriolis acceleration	Double periods
Aeasthetics	Carter's theorem	Corona	Double - star problem
Amplifications	Central Radiation	Correlation	Einstein's field equations
Angular distribution	pressure	Cosmic magnetic fields	Einstein Maxwell equations
Arbitrary spin	Central temperature	Cosmological constants	Einstein - Maxwell space
Astrophysical conditions	stars	Cowlirg's theorem	times
Astrophysical interest	Centrifugal force	Curved channel	Einstein - Maxwell theory
Astrophysicist	Characteristic value	waves	Einstein - Vacuum space
Atoms	problems	Cylindrical wayes	times
Axisymmetric	Charged particles	Darwin ellipsoids	Derturbations
Asymmetric	Chromosphere	Degenerate cores	Electron
homogeneous dynamos	Collapsed configuration	Density distribution	Électron pairs
Axisymmetric magnetic	Collision	Dirac, P. A. M.	Elements
A visummetric motions	Compton effect	Dirac, equation	Ellipticity
Pasta	Condensation of stars	Dimoʻaniana	Energies
	Configurations	Dirac sviews	Eridani B.
Bell - Szekers space time	Connective instability	Dispersion	
Binary system	Constants	Dissociation formula	continued.

JISSI + 2(2-3) June -September 1996

Evolution of stars Extended photospheres Finite distance Fluid conductor Flux integral Fourier - Bessel-type expansions Fowler, Ralph Howard Frequency Galactic evidences Gaseous star Geodesics Godel's Universe Ground states of Helium Ground states of Lithium ions Ground states of oxygen ions Hartree field Heavy viscous fluid Heisenberg's elementary theory High order differenial equations High speed atoms Higher order virial equations Highly collapsed configurations Historical account Homogeneous compressible model Homogeneous ellipsoids Homogeneous turbulent medium Horizones Human culture Hydrogen atom Hydromagnetic oscillations Hyperbolic equations Illumination Integral equation

「「二日」とある

APPLICATION OF

- A GENERAL

Integral theorem Interface Invariant theory Ionization formula Isothermal cores Isothermal function Isotopes Isotropic scattering Jacobi sequences Jeans, janges hopwood Jeans sequences Jeans spheroids Lane - Emsden function θ 325 Limiting case Limiting mass Linear perturbations Lindbald's theory Liquids Maclaurin sequences Magnetic stars Main sequence stars Massless particles Maxwell's equations Metric perturbations Motions of charged particles Motivations Moving atmosphere Multiple frequencies Nebular luminosity Nebullium emission Newtonian theory Non-axisymmetric mode of oscillation Non-dissipative couette flow Non-stationary perturbed systems Novae Null dust Nutku-Halil solution

Odd - parity mode One-dimensional potential barriers Onset of convection Operation Orthogonal functions Otto struve Outer lavers Pencil radiation Perception of beauty Perfect fluid Perturbation analysis Photographs Physical content Physical state of matter Physical theory Physics Pin river Pinch Plane gravitational forces Plane - parallel atmosphere Plasma Plasma physics Post - Galilean transformation 21/2 Post - Newtonian equation Post Newtonian methods Post-Newtonian theory of Einstein Potential barriers Potentials Probability distribution Probability method Prominences Quasi normal modes Radial ejection Radial oscillation Radial speed Radiation reaction

Radial temperature gradient Radiation Rajagopal, C. T. Ravleigh scattering Recombination Reflexion coefficients Relative abundances Relativistic degeneracy Relativistic equilibrium Relativistc instability Relativistic statistics Relativistic systems Relativistic theory Richtmyer Roche model Roots of $J - (1 + \frac{1}{2}) (\lambda n) J l +$ % (λ) $J_1 + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda n) J (\lambda + \frac{1}{2}) N = 0$ Roots of $Yn(\lambda n) Jn(\lambda) - Jn(\lambda n)$ $Yn(\lambda) = 0$ Rotating liquid drops Rotating stars Rotational distortion Rotational problem Rotational velocities Rotational masses Rotating polytropes Royal Astronomical Society Rumford Medel Lecture 1957 Russel, H. N. Scattering of radiation Schwarzchild geometrics Scientific attitude Scientist

continued...

JISSI \$2(2-3) June -September 1996

Second harmonic	Stationary perturbed	Teukolsky's equation	fields
oscillations	systems	Teukolsky - Starbinsky	Uniform rotation
Second post - Newtonian	Statistical basis	constant	Uniformly rotating fluid
equations	Statistical turbulence	Thermal convection	masses
Secular stability	Stellar absorption lines	Theoretical astrophysics	Vacuum metrics
Semi-infinite	Stellar encounters	Theory of relativity	Variable viscosity
atmospheres	Stellar envelops	Third harmonics	Variational methods
Sequence	Stellar evolution	Tidal distortion	Velocity ellipsoid
Simultneous action	Stellar models	Tidal problem	 Victor ambarstsumian
Singularities	Stellar photospheres	Time - scale	Virial equations
Smart, W. M.	Stellar Scintillation	Time like cingularities	Virial relations
Softening of radiation	Stellar statistics	Third - fike singularities	Viscid flow
Solar research	Stochastic problems	Total coupse of the Sun	Viscosity
Solar system origin	Stochastic problems	Transfer of radiation	Viscous liquid globe
Source of energy	Stochastic Variation	Transformation	Weizsacker theory
Spatial correlations	Sumgs	Transmission	Weyl's solution
Speed of fluctuations	Sun	coencients	White dwarf
Spherical shells	1'S State of helium	I rumpler's stars	configuration
- Spiral arms	Temperatures	Truth and beauty	White dwarf stars
Spiral flow	Tensor virial equations	Two black holes	Wolf - Rayet stars
Star - Streaming	Tensors of high rank	Two centre problem	X - functions
State of matter	Terrestrial conditions	Two commuting killing	Y - functions

These keywords indicate his wide spectrum of interest, materials, methods, instruments used and subjects addressed to in the course of his 63 years of research paper publishing life span.

Domainwise bibliographic characteristics of publications of S. Chandrasekhar are provided in Tables 8 and 9.

It is evident from the publications of S. Chandrasekhar that they are full of Mathematical equations. It is very difficult for an ordinary reader to understand them very easily. One is awed by the depth of his physical acumen the range of his mathematical vision and the sweep of his astronomical knowledge. He was a confluence of Mathematician, Physicist and Astronomer in himself.

Highest number of equations per paper were 127.4 in the domain D, 108.3 in the domain B, and 107.4 in the domain H.

Domain	No. of	No. of	No. of	Self	Citations	Synchronous self
	equations	figures	tables	citations	to others	citation rate
A(N = 47)	46.5	1.6	2.1	0.8	6.3	11.14
B(N = 37)	108.3	2.0	1.5	1.9	7.6	20.23
C (N = 9)	84.8	1.0	1.0	2.0	8.8	18.46
D(N=5)	127.4	0.8	0.4	0.8	5.8	12.12
E(N = 26)	57.7	1.7	1.9	3.3	4.9	40.57
F(N=23)	88.8	1.3	2.7	4.9	4.8	50.00
G(N = 63)	61.7	0.6	0.5	3.9	5.1	43.02
H(N = 39)	107.4	3.0	0.3	4.0	7.1	36.32

Table 8. Domainwise Bibliographical Characteristics per Publication of S. Chandrasekhar

100 100 100

SCIENTOMETRIC PORTRAIT OF NOBEL LAUREATE S. CHANDRASEKHAR

Table 9. No. of Pages per Publication ofS. Chandrasekhar

Domain	No. of pages
A (N = 74)	17.8
B(N = 55)	14.9
C (N = 55)	19.6
D(N = 5)	20.4
E(N = 35)	10.5
F(N = 27)	14.3
G (N=62)	12.6
H(N=42)	19.4

Numbers of figures per paper were three in the domain H and two in the domain B.

Number of tables per paper were 2.7 in the domain F, 2.1 in the domain A, 1.9 in the domain E, and 1.5 in the domain B.

Self citations per paper were 4.9 in the domain F, 4.0 in the domain H, 3.9 in the domain G and 2.0 in the domain C.

Citations to other authors per paper were 8.8 in the domain C. 7.6 in the domain B, 7.1 in the domain H, and 6.3 in the domain A.

Synchronous self citation rate for the domains were A (11.14), B (20.23), C (18.46), D (12.12), E (40.57), F (50.00), G (43.02), and H (36.32). Mean Synchronous self citation rate was 24.44 whereas mean synchronous self citation rates were for C. V. Raman (15.05) [29] and for K. S. Krishnan (13.82) [33]. This has sociological implications indicating that S. Chandrasekhar was a highly productive and key figure in his research speciality [47].

Number of pages per publication of S. Chandrasekhar are provided in Table 9.

India inspite of its limitations has produced so many illustrious scientists like H. J. Bhabha, J. C. Bose, C. V. Raman, S. Ramanujan, M. N. Saha and can produce so many scientists of high calibre provided it provides congenial scientific climate for scientists to work.

Chandrasekhar admits : he sometimes wonders

how his career would have unfolded had he remained in India. Like Raman, his uncle, he might have presided over his own institute, but he then would have become enmeshed in the orcane politics of India's scientific establishment [9].

5. Conclusion

S. Chandrasekhar had contributed 380 papers during the period under study to various domains : Stellar structure and Stellar atmospheres (77); Radiative transfer and negative ion of hydrogen (55): Stochastic. Statistical hydrodynamic problems in physics and astronomy (55); Plasma physics (5); Hydromagnetic and hydrodynamic stability (36); Tensor - Virial theorem (28); Relativistic Astrophysics (63); Mathematical theory of Black holes and Colloiding Waves (43): and General (18).

He had 267 single authorship papers, 105 two authorship papers, and eight three authorship papers to his credit.

His 47 collaborators have contributed 421 authorships and domainwise collaborative authorships were A (28), B (46), C (32), D (9), E (13), F (28), G (40), H (36), and I (2).

He has published 139 papers in Astrophysical Journal, 59 papers in Proceedings of Royal Society-A. 31 papers in Monthly Notices of Royal Astronomical Society. 14 papers in Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. and 10 papers in Observatory.

High frequency keywords in the title of his papers were : Stability (39); General relativity (35); Radiative equilibrium (30): Stellar atmosphere (30); Equilibrium (30); Magnetic fields (17); Stars (17).

Mean bibliographic characteristics ranged : Equations (47-127): Figures (1-3): Tables (1-3). Self Citations (1-5); Citations to others (5-9): Synchronous Self Citation rate (11-50); Pages (11-20).

Considering all above bibliometric indicators, he represented excellence in his performance and had set up very high standards for his followers to surpass it. His work can be considered as performance of a Role Model Scientist to be emulated by present and future generations.

References

- 1. Zuckerman, H. (1967). The sociology of Nobel prizes. Scientific American, 217 (5), 25-33.
- Zuckerman, H. (1967), Nobel laureates in science : Patterns of productivity, Collaboration and authorship. *American Sociological Review*. 32, 391-403.
- 3. Zuckerman, H. (1977). Scientific elite : Nobel laureates in the United States. Free Press : New York.
- Singh, J. (1966). Some eminent Indian Scientists. Publication Division, Ministry of Information and Broadcasting : Delhi, 53-62.
- 5. Salpeter, E. E. (1983). The 1983 Nobel Prize in Physics. Science. 222 (4626), 883-885.
- 6. Wali, K. C. (1991). Chandra : a biography of S. Chandrasekhar. University of Chicago Press : Chicago.
- 7. Vemlataraman, G. (1992). Chandrasekhar and his limit. Universities Press, Hyderabad.
- Chadrasekhar, S. (1993). Subramanyan Chandrasekhar (in Nobel lectures in physics 1981-1990, edited by T. Frangsmyr and G. Ekspong. World Scientific: Singapore) 133-164.
- 9. Horgan, J. (1994). Profile : Subrahmanyan Chandrasekhar. Scientific American. March, 16-17.
- Gupta, D. K. (1983). Chandrasekhar : winner of the 1983 Nobel Prize for Physics : a citation analysis study of his works. Ann. Lib. Sci. Doc. 30 (3-4), 177-184.
- Kalyane, V. L. (1995). Role model scientist (in Wither Indian Science : third National Convention on "What is wrong with Indian Science". Sovenir of Indian Science Writers' Association, Feb. 18-19, New Delhi) 31-35.
- Shockley, W. (1975). On the statistics of individual variations of productivity in research laboratorics. *Proceedings of the IRE*. March, 279-290.
- Gupta, D. K. (1978). Plate tectonics : a case study of transmission of ideas. Ann. Lib. Sci. Doc. 25 (1-4), 86-92.
- Ruff, I. (1979). Citation analysis of a scientific career: a case study. Social Studies of Science. 9, 81-90.
- Cawkell, T. and E. Garfield. (1980). Assessing Einstein's impact on today's science by citation analysis (in Einstein : the first hundred years. edited by M. Goldsmith, A. Mackay and

J. Woudhuysen. Pergamon Press : Oxford). 31-40.

- Sinha, S. C. and I. M. S. Bhatnagar. (1980). The information profile of a plant pathologist : a bibliometric study. Ann. Lib. Sci. Doc. 21(1-4), 106-113.
- Fox, M. F. (1983). Publication productivity among scientists : a critical review. Social Studies of Science. 13, 285-305.
- Gupta, D. K. (1983). Citation analysis : a case study of a most cited author and his most cited article on sea floor spreading. *IASLIC Bull.* 28 (1), 1-12.
- Gupta, D. K. and S. Gupta. (1983). A citography on Lepichon's article on Sea-floor Spreading and Continental Drift : application of Bradford's law. *IASLIC Bull.* 28 (2), 49-58.
- Simonton, D. K. (1985). Quality, quantity and agc the careers of ten distinguished psychologists. *Int. J. Aging and Human Development.* 21, 241.
- Dieks, D. and W. J. Slooten. (1986). Historic papers in physics - the case study of Hugo Martin Tetrode, 1895-1931. Czech. J. Phys. B. 36, 39-42.
- Kragh, H. (1990). Dirac bibliometrics (in Dirac : a Scientific biography, Cambridge University Press : Cambridge) 293-301.
- 23. Todorov, R. and M. Winterhager. (1991). An overview of Mike Moravcsik's publication activity in physics. *Scientometrics*. 20 (1), 163-172.
- Garg, K. C. and M. M. S. Karki. (1992).
 Bibliometrics of research communication of INSA fellows. J. Sci. Ind. Res. 51, 929-935.
- 25. Lancaster, F. W., M. J. Seter and L. Metzler. (1992). Ranganathan's influence examined bibliometrically. *Libri*. 42 (3), 268-281.
- Kalyane, V. L. and S. V. Kalyane. (1993). Scientometric portrait of Vinodini Reddy. Journal of Information Sciences, 4 (1), 25-47.
- 27. Sinha, S. C. and M. F. Ullah. (1993). Citation profile of Dr. V. S. Ramachandran : a bibliometric analysis of his highly cited articles and books in the area of cement and concrete chemistry. *Ann. Lib. Sci. Doc.*, 40 (1), 21-31.
- Kademani, B. S., V. L. Kalyane and M. R. Balakrishnan. (1994). Scientometric portrait of P. K. Iyengar. Lib. Sci. with a Slant to Documentation and Information Studies. 31(4), 155-176.
- 29. Kademani, B. S., V. L. Kalyane and A. B

JISSI 2(2-3) June -September 1996

- Kademani. (1994). Scientometric portrait of Nobel Laureate Dr. C. V. Raman. Indian Journal of Information, Library and Society: 7 (3-4), 215-249.
- Kalyane, V. L. and S. V. Kalyane. (1994). Scientometric portrait of M. S. Swaminathan. Library Science with a Slant to Documentation and Information Studies. 31 (1), 31-46.
- Kalyane, V. L. and R. S. Devarai. (1994). Informetrics on C. S. Venkata Ram (in New Horizons in Library and Information Science : Dr. Velaga Venkatappaiah Festschrift, edited by C. P. Vasishth, L. S. Ramaiah, N. V. Jagga Rao and T. V. Prafulla Chandra, T. R. Publications, Madras) 475-478.
- 32. Kalyane, V. L. and B. S. Kademani. (1994). Scientometric portrait of U. R. Murty. *Timeless Fellowship.* 16, 1-23.
- Kademani, B. S., V. L. Kalyane and A. B. Kademani. (1996). Scientometric portrait of Sir K. S. Krishnan. Indian Journal of Information, Library and Society. 9 (1-2) (In press).
- 34. Kademani, B. S. and V. L. Kalyane. (1995). Outstandingly cited and most significant publications of R. Chidambaram (To be published).
- 35. Kademani, B. S. and V. L. Kalyane. (1995). Scientometric portrait of R. Chidambaram : a citation analysis (In press).
- 36. Kalyane, V. L. and B. S. Kademani. (1995). Scientometric portrait of R. Chidambaram : a publication productivity analysis. (In press).
- Kademani, B. S. and V. L. Kalyane. (1996). Bibliometric indicators for publication productivity analysis of an individual scientist. National seminar on progress in Bibliometric Indicators, 28-29 Feb. 1996. Dept. of Library & Information Science, Annamalai University. (In press).
- Kalyane, V. L. and S. S. Munnolli. (1995). Scientometric portrait of T. S. West. Scientometrics, 33 (2), 233-256.
- 39. Kalyane, V. L. and R. K. Samanta. (1995). Informetrics on K. Ramiah (in New Vistas in Library and Information Science : Papers in honour of Prof. G. V. S. L. Narasinha Raju, edited by A. A. N. Raju, L. S. Ramaiah, N. Laxman Rao, and T. V. Profulla Chandra, Vikas : New Delhi) 565-578.
- 40. Kalyane, V. L., M. B. Hanji, S. V. Kalyane. (1995).

Scientific School of a Botanist (in International Dr. P. N. Kaula Felicitation Festschrift) (In press).

- 41. Munnolli, S. S. and V. L. Kalyane. (1995). Scientometric portrait of R. G. Rastogi. *ILA Bulletin.* 31 (3). (In press).
- Chandrasekhar, S. (1989-91). Selected papers of S. Chandrasekhar. Vol. 1-6. University of Chicago Press; Chicago.
- 43. Pravdic, N. and C. Ouic Vukovic. (1986). Dual approach to multiple authorship in the study of Collaboration/Scientific output relationship. *Scientometrics.* 10 (2-3), 259-280.
- 44. Subramanyam, K. (1983). Bibliometric Studies of
- research collaboration : a review. Journal of Information Science. 6 (1), 33-38.
- Vinkler, P. (1990). Bibliometric analysis of publication activity of a scientific research institute (in Informetrics 89/90, edited by L. Egghe and Rousseau. Elsevier Science publishers. B. V.) 309-334.
- Sen, S. K. and S. K. Gan. (1990). Biobibliometrics: concept and application in the study of productivity of scientists. *Int. Forum Inf. and Doc.* 15 (3), 13-21.
- Lawani, S. M. (1982). On the heterogeneity and classification of author self-citations. J. Am. Soc. Inf. Sci. 33, 281-284.
- Loehle, C. (1990). A guide to increased creativity in research - inspiration or perspiration. *Bioscience*. 40 (2), 123-129.
- Kalvane, V. L. and S. V. Kalvane. (1991). Scientometric dimension of innovative communication productivity system. Annals of Library Science and Documentation. 38 (1), 8-29.
- Van Heeringen, A. and P. A. Dijkwell. (1986). Mobility and productivity of academic research scientists. *Czech. J. Phys.* B 6, 58-61.
- 51. Merton, R. K. (1973). *The sociology of science*. University of Chicago, Chicago, P.56.
- 52. Sindermann, C. J. (1985). The joy of Science : excellence and its rewards, plenum. New York.
- 53. Long, J. S. and R. McGinnis. (1985). The effects of the mentor on the academic career. *Scientometrics*. 7 (3-6), 255-280.
- 54. Mahapatra, G. and R. Kaul. (1994). Bibliometric analysis of citation classics in life sciences. *Library Science with a Slant to Documentation and Information Studies.* **31(3)**, 129-134.

Domainwise Collaboration

				A		В					C				TTO:	-	E					F				0			Н	-		1		Contract Constraints		Years			
SL No.	Name	1	8	6	1	11	15	8	11	c	-	11	-	1	111	e	1	11	8	111	c	1	1	l b	1	1	 b	1	11	b	1	8	1 b	Total	FPY -	LPY	. 17	Fotal	
1	Chandrauslihus S	62	11	7	34	16	1	at	-	12	0 1	1	,	2 7		- 1	1 5	1	1				14	-	12	20	-	75	19	17		-		290	1039	1000	-	40	
3	Ulling F A	05	11	1	100	10	- 1	-	+	ť	7 1	+	•	12		Ť	-	-	1÷			-	14	-	72	20	-	4.5	10	11	-1	-		200	1948	1990		0.3	
-	Mallar C H D	-	1	-	-			-	+	+	+-	+	-	-		+	+	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		1	1934	1934		-	
-	Personald 1	-		1	-	-		+	+	+	+-	+	+		++	+		+				-	-	-	-		-	-			-	-	-		1935	1075	_	1	
+	Rosentein, IA.	-	-	12	-	-		-	+	+	+	-	-	-		-	-	-				-	10		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	2	1935	175			
2.	Swings, r.	-	_	f	-	-				+	+	+	+	-		-+	+	-	-			-	-	-		-	-		-		-		-		1930	1076	_		
0.	Smart W H	-	-		-	-		-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-			-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-		1930	1930			
1.	Usersch Launs	-	-	+÷	-	-	-		+	-	+	+-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-			-		-	-			-			-	-		2	1938	1938		-	
0.	Williamon D F	-	+	H	-	-		+	+	-	+	+	-	-		-	+	-	-			-	-		-			-	-		-	-	-		1991	1996			
10	Vanalabil W	-		1	+	-	T	+	-+	-+-	+	+	+	-	++	-	+	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-		-	2	1941	1941			
11	Charles M		T.	11	+	-	1		-	-	+	-	-	+		-	-	+-	-			-		-	-		-	-			-	-	-	1	1042	1042		-	
12	Vac Mauronna I	-		-	+-	-	-		-	-+	+	-	2	+		-	+	-	-			-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-		-	2	1944	1944		-	
12	Caroo C V	-		-	+-	-			2	+	+	-	-	+		-	-	+	-			-		-	-		-				-	-	-		1944	1943			
13.	Sahada I	-		-	+	-	-	+	-	2	+	-	-+	-		-	-	-	+			-		-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	2	1044	1044	-		
14.	Tuilde p	-		-	+	-	+-	\vdash	+	-	+	-	-+	+		-	+	+-	-			-	-	-	-				-	-		-	-		1944	1943			
10.	William THE A		-	1	-	-	++-		-	-	+		-	-	++	-	-	+	1			-			-	-	-		-		-				1299	1046			
10.	Prese F U			<u>+-</u>	+	-	6	H	-	-	+	+	+	+	+		-	-	-			-	-	-		-	-	-	-		-	-	-		1943	1049			
10	Dreen, r. n.	-	-	-	+-	-	1	++	-	-	+	-	4	-		-	-	-	-			-	-		-		-	-	-		-	-		0	1940	1040		3	
10.	Worse () M		-	-	-	-	÷	++	-	-	+	-	<u>+</u> +	-		-	+	-	-			-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-				1047	1047			
19.	Wheet D. D.	-	-	h.,	+		t	\vdash	-	-	+	+	-	-		-	-		-	h		-		1		- 1	-		-		-	-	-	16	1947	1997		20	
20	Bueld's A	-		1-	+	-	12	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+ +	-	+		-			-	-	-			-		-		-		-	12	1921	19/8	_	48	
11	Prankin, A.	-	-	+	+	+	+-	+	-+	-1	+	+	2	-	+ +	-	+	+	+			-		-							-	-		1	1954	1952			
22	Verni, E.	-	-	+	+-	-	+-	-	-	-+	+	-	*	+	++	-	-	+	+			-	-	-	-						-	-	-		1953	1953			
	herzberg, E.	-	-	+	+	-	++	-	-	-	+	-	. 1	+	-	-	-	+	+	+		-		-	-				-		-	-	-		1955	1923	1000	3	
14	Lander, D. N.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+-		-	+	+	+	+		-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	_	-		1954	1954			
0	Dackus, U. E.	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	4		-	+	-	+	+	+	+		-	-				-	-	-		-		-		1920	1950		-	
40.	Pendergast, H.	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+ +	-	-	+	+-	+		-	-		-	-		-	-		-		-		1950	1950			
17	Kautman, A. A.		-	-	+-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	- +	+		-	3	+	+	-		-		-		-		-	-		-	_	-	3	1957	1958		2	
18	Kendell, P. C.	-	-	-	+	+	+		-	-	-	-	1	-		-	-	-	+ -	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		1957	1957	_	1	
29	. Reid, W. H.		-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	_	-			-	-	-	1	+	<u> </u>	-		-	_	-		-	<u> </u>		-	_	_		1957	1957	-	1	
30	Watson, K. M.	-	-	-	+	+	+	-				-		-	+	-	3	-	-	+		-		-	-	-	-	-	-		-			3	1957	1938	_	2	
1	Wolfer, L.	-	-	+-	+	1.	+	-			+	-	1	-		-	-	+	+	+		-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-		1958	1958	-		
24	Horak, H. U.	-	-	+	+	+	+	+			+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+		-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-		-		1961	1961	_	1	
33	Wright J. P.	-	-	-	+	+	+				+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	1.	-	-	11	-	1	-	-	1		-			22	1991	1901		24	
22	Lebovitz N. R.	-	-	+	+	+	+				+	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	1.	+.	-	-	11		y		-			-	_	-		1961	1984	_	- 24	
22	Contractor (1	-	-	+	+	-	+	+			+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	1		-	-		-	2		-						2	1907	1904		-	
30	Concopoulos, G.	-	-	1	+	-	+				+	+	-	-				-	+	+	÷	-	-	1	-	-	-				-	-		-	1903	1907		3	
20	Toorens, F. H.	-	-	-	+	+	+				-+	-	-		-	-	-	+	+-	+	-	-	-	-	-	1			-					1	1903	1903	_	1	
20	100per, r. r.	+	-	+	+	+	+	+			-	+	-	+		-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-			1994	1069	-		
39	Lee, c. r.	-	-	+	+	+	+				+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	1		-	-	-	-		-		-		1908	1908			
40	A Nunci, I.	+	-	+	+	+	+	-			-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+		-	-	-		++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		1909	1909			
1	Esposito, P.	-	-	+	+	+	+	+			-	-	-	-	+			-	+	+	+	1.1		-	-	1 2		-	-	-		-			1970	1970		1	
	Million 1 C	+-	-	-	+	+	-	+			-	-	-	-	+			-	+	+		-	-	-	-	1 î	-	-	-	-	-		-	1	1971	1973		3	
4	Miller, J. C.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-		-	-	1	-	-	1				-		1974	1974		-	
44	Detweiler, S.	-	-	+	+	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+-	-	-	-	-	-		-	1			Ť.			1070	1070		1	
1	Well, A.	-	-	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-			-		-	10		-		-	10	1979	1080	_	11	
40	Xanthopoulos, B. C.	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-		+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-		+	+-	-		-		-	-	1	10	1	-	-	-	10	1082	1082		1	
4	/, martle, J. D.	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-		-	-	-	-	+	-			+	+		-		-		-	-	1	1	-		-	1	3	1084	1000		7	
43	S. Perrary V.	-	111	1.	12	117	1.5	1		1	20	16	16	2 0	1.9	1	20		-	1.	17	14	14	14	12	20	20	26	10	10	17	1	1	501	1204	1270			
1	Iotal	0.3	1 14	114	12	11/	00	14	1 4	4	28	71	10	113	11	1.5	001	513	13	11	4	14	19	14	45	91	1 -0	100	61	1.0		10	1.	501	-		_		
10	omaniwise Authorsmp	+	10 14	4	+	-	16.00	7	-	-	1	4.17	-		2.20	-	-		9 40	-	-	-	2 79		-	16 5	7	-	12 18	-	-	3.79				-			
- 14	stcentage	18.10						V		1		4- 8-4			A-49			0.28					4195			+ ***	1	1				111.1							

 Stellar Structure and Stellar Atmospheres;
 B = Radiative Transfer and Negative Ion of Hydrogen;

 Stochastic, Statistical and Hydromagnetic problems in Physics and Astronomy;
 D = Plasma Physics;

 Hydromagnetic and Hydrodynamic Stability;
 F = Tensor - Virial Theorem

Relativistic Astrophysics; H = Mathematical Theory of Black Holes and of Colloiding Waves; Oeneral; Single Author Papers; II = Two Author Papers; III = Three Author Papers: a = First Author: Sec and Author Third Author; FPY = First Paper Published Year; LPY = Last Paper Published Year;