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#### Abstract

In this work we study the occurrence of Andronov-Hopf and zip bifurcation in a concrete ( $n+1$ )-dimensional predator-prey system modelling the competition among $n$ species of predators for one species of prey. This is a generalization of results by Farkas (1984).
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## 1 Introduction

The zip bifurcation phenomenon was introduced by Farkas [5] in 1984 for a three dimensional prey-predator system. The model was not at structurally stable although it illustrated the intuitively evident fact that at low values of the carrying capacity $K$ both predators might survive but as $K$ grew only one of them survives. Recently (see [1], [8]) the phenomenon was generalized to a four dimensional ODE system.

The purpose of this paper is to study the occurrence of periodic orbits generated by Andronov-Hopf bifurcation in an ODE system modelling the competition among $n$ species of predators for a single prey. Specifically, we will consider the system

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\dot{S} & =\gamma\left(1-\frac{S}{K}\right) S-\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} f_{i}(S) x_{i}  \tag{1}\\
\dot{x_{i}} & =\left(m_{i} f_{i}(S)-d_{i}\right) x_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $S$ denotes the quantity of prey, $x_{i}$ denotes the quantity of predator $i$ and $f_{i}(S)=\frac{S}{a_{i}+S}$ is the functional response of predator $i$. All other parameters in (1) are assumed to be non-negative and represent

- $\gamma$ : intrinsic growth rate of prey
- K: carrying capacity of the environment
- $m_{i}$ : maximal birth rate of predator $i$
- $d_{i}$ : mortality of predator $i$
- $a_{i}$ : half saturation constant of predator $i$.

Also, we study in the same model the phenomenon of zip bifurcation.
In the next section we study the equilibrium points for the system and we prove its dissipativeness. In Section 3 we establish the conditions under which the Andronov-Hopf bifurcation occurs and finally in Section 4 we determine conditions for the occurrence of zip bifurcation in the model.

## 2 Equilibrium points

First we show that system (1) is dissipative before studying its equilibrium points.

Proposición 2.1. Any solution of the system (1) with initial values $S^{0}>0$, $x_{i}^{0}>0, i=1,2, \ldots, n$ is bounded in $[0, \infty]$.

We first observe that any solution of (1) whose initial value has positive components remains with positive components, as long as the solution exists. We will prove that the solution exists for all time $t \geq 0$ and there exists a bounded set $J$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}$ which attracts the solutions starting on any bounded set in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}$. Let $d_{0}=\min \left\{d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right\}$ and $V\left(S, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=S+x_{1}+\ldots+x_{n}$. If $z(t)=\left(S(t), x_{1}(t), \ldots, x_{n}(t)\right)$ is a solution of (1), then as long as it exists, we have

$$
\frac{d}{d t} V(z(t))=\gamma\left(1-\frac{S(t)}{K}\right) S(t)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} x_{i}(t)
$$

Since $S\left(1-\frac{S}{K}\right) \leq \frac{K}{4}\left(1+d_{0}\right)^{2}-d_{0} S$ for all $S \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\frac{d}{d t} V(z(t)) \leq-\gamma d_{0} S(t)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} x_{i}(t)+\frac{K}{4}\left(1+d_{0}\right)^{2}
$$

Letting $\alpha=\min \left\{d_{0}, \gamma d_{0}\right\}$, we have

$$
\frac{d}{d t} V(z(t)) \leq-\alpha V(z(t))+\frac{K}{4}\left(1+d_{0}\right)^{2}
$$

and therefore

$$
V(z(t)) \leq V(z(0)) e^{-\alpha t}+\frac{K}{4 \alpha}\left(1+d_{0}\right)^{2},
$$

as long as the solution exists. If $B$ is a bounded set contained in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}$, then there exists $R>0$ such that $V(z(0)) \leq R$. Let $t_{0}=\frac{1}{\alpha} \log \frac{K\left(1+d_{0}\right)^{2}}{4 \alpha R}$ and $z(0) \in B$. For $t \geq t_{0}$, we have

$$
V(z(t)) \leq R \frac{K\left(1+d_{0}\right)^{2}}{4 \alpha R}+\frac{K}{4 \alpha}\left(1+d_{0}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{K\left(1+d_{0}\right)^{2}}{2 \alpha}
$$

This implies that any solution is defined for $t \geq 0$ and the compact set

$$
J=\left\{\left(S, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right): S \geq 0, x_{1} \geq 0, \ldots, x_{n} \geq 0 \text { and } S+x_{1}+\ldots+x_{n} \leq \frac{K\left(1+d_{0}\right)^{2}}{2 \alpha}\right\}
$$

attracts all bounded set $B$. Therefore the system is dissipative and its global attractor is contained in $J$.

Now, we discuss the equilibrium points of (1), that is the solutions of the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma\left(1-\frac{S}{K}\right) S-\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} f_{i}(S) x_{i}=0  \tag{2}\\
& \left(m_{i} f_{i}(S)-d_{i}\right) x_{i}=0, i=1,2, \ldots, n
\end{align*}
$$

For $i=1, \ldots, n$, let $\lambda_{i}=\frac{a_{i} d_{i}}{m_{i}-d_{i}}$ the prey threshold quantity for species $i$. Then, aside from the obvious solutions $\left(S, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=(0,0, \ldots, 0)$ and ( $\left.S, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=(K, 0, \ldots 0)$, equation (2) has biologically interesting solutions only if $m_{i}>d_{i}$ and $\lambda_{1}=\ldots=\lambda_{n}$. Henceforth we assume that $m_{i}>d_{i}$ and $\lambda_{1}=\ldots=\lambda_{n}=\lambda$, i.e, the $n$ species have equal prey threshold although they achieve this by different means.

With these notations and hypotheses, the system (1) can be written as

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\dot{S} & =\gamma\left(1-\frac{S}{K}\right) S-\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} f_{i}(S) x_{i}  \tag{3}\\
\dot{x_{i}} & =\beta_{i} g_{i}(S) x_{i}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $\quad g_{i}(S)=\frac{S-\lambda}{a_{i}+S}, \quad \beta_{i}=m_{i}-d_{i} \quad$ and $\quad i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
The equilibrium points of system (3) are the origin $\left(S, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=$ $(0,0, \ldots, 0)$, the point $\left(S, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=(K, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and the points of $(n-1)$ dimensional hyperplane

$$
\begin{align*}
H= & \left\{\left(S, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}: S=\lambda,\right. \\
& \left.\frac{m_{1}}{a_{1}+\lambda} x_{1}+\ldots+\frac{m_{n}}{a_{n}+\lambda} x_{n}=\gamma\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{K}\right), x_{i} \geq 0, i=1, . . n\right\} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

To study the stability of these equilibrium points, observe that the Jacobian matrix $J\left(S, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ of the system (3) is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
\gamma\left(1-\frac{2 S}{k}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} f_{i}^{\prime}(S) x_{i} & m_{1} f_{1}(S) & m_{2} f_{2}(S) & \ldots & m_{n-1} f_{n-1}(S) & m_{n} f_{n}(S) \\
g_{1}^{\prime}(S) x_{1} & g_{1}(S) & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
g_{2}^{\prime}(S) x_{2} & 0 & g_{2}(S) & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
g_{n-1}^{\prime}(S) x_{n-1} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & g_{n-1}(S) & 0 \\
g_{n}^{\prime}(S) x_{n} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & g_{n}(S)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

So, it is easy to see that $\left(S, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=(0,0, \ldots, 0)$ is unstable, with an $n$ dimensional stable manifold and a one-dimensional unstable manifold. Now, $\left(S, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=(K, 0, \ldots, 0)$ is asymptotically stable if $K<\lambda$ and unstable if $K>\lambda$ with a 1 -dimensional stable manifold and an $n$-dimensional unstable manifold. Note that if $K<\lambda$, then $H$ is empty, and if $K=\lambda$ then $H=\{0\}$. It is known (see [10] and [3]) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K>\lambda \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a necessary condition for the survival of each predator. Therefore (5) will also be assumed from now on. In the next section we fix our attention to the study of stability of the equilibrium points belonging to $H$.

## 3 Occurrence of Andronov-Hopf and zip bifurcation

In this section, we study the stability of the points in $H$. The study will be separated in two cases; one of them is when we consider $a=a_{1}=a_{2}=\ldots=$ $a_{n}$, that is, all predators have the same functional response and the other case is $a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots<a_{n}$, that is, the predators have different functional responses.

### 3.1 Andronov-Hopf bifurcation

In the following, we consider the case $a=a_{1}=a_{2}=\ldots=a_{n}$. Thus the system (3) takes the form

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\dot{S} & =\gamma\left(1-\frac{S}{K}\right) S-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{m_{i} x_{i}}{a+S} S \\
\dot{x_{i}} & =\beta_{i} \frac{S-\lambda}{a+S} x_{i} .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Since $\quad \lambda_{1}=\ldots=\lambda_{n}=\lambda$, we have $\frac{m_{1}}{d_{1}}=\ldots=\frac{m_{n}}{d_{n}}$. Introducing the variable $\rho_{i}=\frac{d_{i+1}}{d_{i}}=\frac{m_{i+1}}{m_{i}}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n-1 \quad$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i+1}=m_{i} \rho_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n-1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have $\rho_{i}=\frac{\beta_{i+1}}{\beta_{i}}$. Hence, we can write

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\rho_{1}=\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}} & \Rightarrow \beta_{2}=\rho_{1} \beta_{1}  \tag{7}\\
\rho_{2}=\frac{\beta_{3}}{\beta_{2}} \Rightarrow \beta_{3}=\rho_{2} \beta_{2} & \Rightarrow \beta_{3}=\rho_{1} \rho_{2} \beta_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\rho_{n-1}=\frac{\beta_{n}}{\beta_{n-1}} & \Rightarrow \beta_{n}=\rho_{n-1} \beta_{n-1} & \Rightarrow \beta_{n}=\rho_{1} \rho_{2} \ldots \rho_{n-1} \beta_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Similarly, we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m_{2}=m_{1} \rho_{1}  \tag{8}\\
m_{3}=m_{2} \rho_{2} \\
\vdots \\
m_{n}=m_{n-1} \rho_{n-1}
\end{array} \Rightarrow m_{3}=\rho_{1} \rho_{2} m_{1}\right.
$$

Considering the expressions in (7) and (8), the system (3.1) can be written in the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{S}=\gamma\left(1-\frac{S}{K}\right) S-\frac{x_{1}+\rho_{1} x_{2}+\rho_{1} \rho_{2} x_{3}+\rho_{1} \rho_{2} \ldots \rho_{n-1} x_{n}}{a+S} m_{1} S  \tag{9}\\
\dot{x_{1}}=\beta_{1} \frac{S-\lambda}{a+S} x_{1} \\
\dot{x_{2}}=\rho_{1} \beta_{1} \frac{S-\lambda}{a+S} x_{2} \\
\dot{x_{3}}=\rho_{1} \rho_{2} \beta_{1} \frac{S-\lambda}{a+S} x_{3} \\
\vdots \\
\dot{x_{n}}=\rho_{1} \rho_{2} \ldots \rho_{n-1} \beta_{1} \frac{S-\lambda}{a+S} x_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Dividing the third equation in (9) by the second, the fourth by the third and so on, up to dividing the $n$-th equation by the $(n-1)$-th, we get the following system

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{d x_{2}}{d x_{1}}= & \rho_{1} \frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}}  \tag{10}\\ \frac{d x_{3}}{d x_{2}}= & \rho_{2} \frac{x_{3}}{x_{2}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{d x_{n}}{d x_{n-1}}= & \rho_{n-1} \frac{x_{n}}{x_{n-1}}\end{cases}
$$

Integrating each equation in (10) we obtain easily the following first integrals for the system

$$
V_{1}\left(S, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}^{\rho_{1}}}, V_{2}\left(S, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\frac{x_{3}}{x_{2}^{\rho_{2}}}, \ldots, V_{n-1}\left(S, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\frac{x_{n}}{x_{n-1}^{\rho_{n-1}}}
$$

Hence, for each fixed value of $c_{i}>0, i=1,2, . ., n-1$,

$$
\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}^{\rho_{1}}}=c_{1} \quad, \quad \frac{x_{3}}{x_{2}^{\rho_{2}}}=c_{2} \quad, \ldots, \quad \frac{x_{n}}{x_{n-1}^{\rho_{n-1}}}=c_{n-1}
$$

are invariant $(n-1)$-dimensional manifolds in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with coordinates $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$.

Now, for any $c=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right)$ with $c_{i}>0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$, the intersection of these manifolds is a curve $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, which is invariant for the


Figure 1: The manifolds $M_{c}$
system (9). A straightforward computation gives the parametric equations of $\mathcal{C}$, namely

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
x_{2}=c_{1} x_{1}^{\rho_{1}} & & \\
x_{3}=c_{2} x_{2}^{\rho_{2}} & \Rightarrow x_{3}=c_{2} c_{1}^{\rho_{2}} x_{1}^{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}} \\
x_{4}=c_{3} x_{3}^{\rho_{3}} & \Rightarrow x_{4}=c_{3} c_{2}^{\rho_{3}} c_{1}^{\rho_{2} \rho_{3}} x_{1}^{\rho_{1} \rho_{2} \rho_{3}} \\
\vdots & & \\
x_{n}=c_{n-1} x_{n-1}^{\rho_{n-1}} & \Rightarrow x_{n}=c_{n-1} c_{n-2}^{\rho_{n-1}} c_{n-3}^{\rho_{n-1} \rho_{n-2}} \ldots c_{1}^{\rho_{n-1} \rho_{n-2} \ldots \rho_{2} \rho_{1}} x_{1}^{\rho_{1} \rho_{2} \ldots \rho_{n-1}} . \tag{11}
\end{array}
$$

For any $c=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n-1}$, we denote by $M_{c}$ the invariant manifold

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{c}=\left\{\left(S, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}: S \geq 0 \text { and } x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \text { satisfy }(11)\right\} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the family $\left\{M_{c}: c \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n-1}\right\}$ is a two-dimensional foliation of the first octant of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and each leaf is the image of the embedding $h_{c}: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{c}\left(S, x_{1}\right)=\left(S, x_{1}, x_{2}\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, x_{n}\left(x_{1}\right)\right) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a fixed $c=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right)$ with $c_{i}>0, i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$, we study the restriction of the system (9) to the manifold $M_{c}$, parametrized by S and $x_{1}$. Taking into account (11), this restriction is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\dot{S} & =\gamma\left(1-\frac{S}{K}\right) S+-\frac{\left(x_{1}+\rho_{1} c_{1} x_{1}^{\rho_{1}}+\rho_{1} \rho_{2} c_{2} c_{1}^{\rho_{2}} x_{1}^{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}}\right.}{a+S}+\ldots+ \\
& \frac{\rho_{1} \rho_{2} \ldots \rho_{n-1} c_{n-1} c_{n-2}^{\left.\rho_{n-1} \ldots c_{1}^{\rho_{1} \rho_{2} \ldots \rho_{n-1}} x_{1}^{\rho_{1} \rho_{2} \ldots \rho_{n-1}}\right) m_{1} S}}{a+S} \\
\dot{x_{1}} & =\beta_{1} \frac{S-\lambda}{a+S} x_{1}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

The introduction of the new parameters

$$
\begin{gathered}
\eta_{1}=\rho_{1} ; \quad \eta_{2}=\rho_{1} \rho_{2} ; \ldots ; \quad \eta_{n-1}=\rho_{1} \rho_{2} \ldots \rho_{n-1} \\
\alpha_{1}=c_{1} \rho_{1} ; \alpha_{2}=c_{2} c_{1}^{\rho_{2}} \rho_{1} \rho_{2} ; \quad \ldots ; \alpha_{n-1}=c_{n-1} c_{n-2}^{\rho_{n-1}} \ldots c_{1}^{\rho_{2} \rho_{3} \ldots \rho_{n-1}} \rho_{1} \rho_{2} \rho_{n-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

carries the previous system into

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{S}=\gamma\left(1-\frac{S}{K}\right) S-\frac{x_{1}+\alpha_{1} x_{1}^{\eta_{1}}+\alpha_{2} x_{1}^{\eta_{2}}+\ldots+\alpha_{n-1} x_{1}^{\eta_{n-1}}}{a+S} m_{1} S  \tag{14}\\
\dot{x_{1}}=\beta_{1} \frac{S-\lambda}{a+S} x_{1} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The equilibrium points of (14) are $\left(S, x_{1}\right)=(0,0),\left(S, x_{1}\right)=(K, 0)$ and the single intersection point $\left(S, x_{1}\right)=\left(\lambda, \xi_{1}\right)$, where $x_{1}=\xi_{1}$ is the unique positive solution of the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}+\rho_{1} c_{1} x_{1}^{\rho_{1}}+\rho_{1} \rho_{2} c_{2} c_{1}^{\rho_{2}} x_{1}^{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}}+\ldots+ \\
& \rho_{1} \rho_{2} \ldots \rho_{n-1} c_{n-1} c_{n-2}^{\rho_{n-1} \ldots c_{1}^{\rho_{1} \rho_{2} \ldots \rho_{n-1}} x_{1}^{\rho_{1} \rho_{2} \ldots \rho_{n-1}}=\frac{\gamma(a+\lambda)(K-\lambda)}{m_{1} K}}, \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}+\alpha_{1} x_{1}^{\eta_{1}}+\alpha_{2} x_{1}^{\eta_{2}}+\ldots \quad+\alpha_{n-1} x_{1}^{\eta_{n-1}}=\frac{\gamma(a+\lambda)(K-\lambda)}{m_{1} K} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Geometrically, the nontrivial equilibrium point of (14) is described as follows: $\xi_{1}$ is the second component of the point $\left(\lambda, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)$ obtained as the transversal intersection of the manifolds $H$ and $M_{c}$. The other components are given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{2}=c_{1} \xi_{1}^{\rho_{1}}  \tag{17}\\
\xi_{3}=c_{2} c_{1}^{\rho_{2}} \xi_{1}^{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}} \\
\xi_{4}=c_{3} c_{2}^{\rho_{3}} c_{1}^{\rho_{2} \rho_{3}} \xi_{1}^{\rho_{1} \rho_{2} \rho_{3}} \\
\vdots \\
\xi_{n}=c_{n-1} c_{n-2}^{\rho_{n-1}} c_{n-3}^{\rho_{n-1} \rho_{n-2} \ldots c_{1}^{\rho_{n-1} \rho_{n-2} \ldots \rho_{2} \rho_{1} \ldots} \xi_{1}^{\rho_{1} \rho_{2} \ldots \rho_{n-1}}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The Jacobian matrix of the system (14) is

$$
J_{1}\left(S, x_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\gamma\left(1-\frac{S}{k}\right)-\frac{\gamma S}{K}+\frac{x_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} x_{i}^{\eta_{i}}}{(a+S)^{2}} m_{1} a & -\frac{1+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i} x_{i}^{\eta_{i}-1}}{a+S} m_{1} S \\
\frac{a+\lambda}{a+S} \beta_{1} x_{1} & \frac{S-\lambda}{a+S} \beta_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since

$$
J_{1}(0,0)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\gamma & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{\beta_{1} \lambda}{a}
\end{array}\right) \text { and } J_{1}(K, 0)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\gamma & -\frac{m_{1} K}{a+K} \\
0 & \frac{(K-\lambda) \beta_{1}}{a+K}
\end{array}\right)
$$

both $\left(S, x_{1}\right)=(0,0)$ and $\left(S, x_{1}\right)=(K, 0)$ are saddle points if $K>\lambda$.
To study the stability of $\left(S, x_{1}\right)=\left(\lambda, \xi_{1}\right)$, where $\xi_{1}$ is the only positive solution of (16), we will consider $K$ as a bifurcation parameter. Next, we will show that (14) undergoes an Andronov-Hopf bifurcation around ( $S, x_{1}$ ) = $\left(\lambda, \xi_{1}\right)$. The following theorem concerns it.

Teorema 3.1. If $\lambda<K<a+2 \lambda$, then the equilibrium $\left(S, x_{1}\right)=\left(\lambda, \xi_{1}\right)$ of system (14) is globally asymptotically stable inside the positive quadrant. Moreover, for $\lambda<K<a+2 \lambda$, (14) does not have closed orbits inside the positive quadrant . Finally, at $K=a+2 \lambda$ the system undergoes an AndronovHopf Bifurcation, i.e. there is $\delta>0$ such that for $K \in(a+2 \lambda, a+2 \lambda+\delta)$, the system (14) has an orbitally asymptotically stable limit cycle surrounding $\left(\lambda, \xi_{1}\right)$.

First, we translate $\left(\lambda, \xi_{1}\right)$ to the origin by the change of coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=S-\lambda y_{2}=x_{1}-\xi_{1} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{1}$ satisfies (16). System (14) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\dot{y_{1}=} & \gamma\left(y_{1}+\lambda\right)\left(1-\frac{y_{1}+\lambda}{K}\right) S-  \tag{19}\\
& -\frac{y_{2}+\xi_{1}+\alpha_{1}\left(y_{2}+\xi_{1}\right)^{\eta_{1}}+\alpha_{2}\left(y_{2}+\xi_{1}\right)^{\eta_{2}}+\ldots+\alpha_{n-1}\left(y_{2}+\xi_{1}\right)^{\eta_{n-1}}}{a+y_{1}+\lambda} m_{1}\left(y_{1}+\lambda\right) \\
\dot{y_{2}=} & \beta_{1} \frac{y_{1}}{a+y_{1}+\lambda}\left(y_{2}+\xi_{1}\right)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

The Jacobian matrix of the previous system is

$$
J_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial f_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)}{\partial y_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)}{\partial y_{2}} \\
\frac{\partial f_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)}{\partial y_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)}{\partial y_{2}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) & =\gamma\left(y_{1}+\lambda\right)\left(1-\frac{y_{1}-\lambda}{K}\right) S+ \\
& -\frac{y_{2}+\xi_{1}+\alpha_{1}\left(y_{2}+\xi_{1}\right)^{\eta_{1}+\alpha_{2}\left(y_{2}+\xi_{1}\right)^{\eta_{2}}+\ldots+\alpha_{n-1}\left(y_{2}+\xi_{1}\right)^{\eta_{n-1}}}}{a+y_{1}+\lambda} m_{1}\left(y_{1}+\lambda\right) \\
f_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)= & \beta_{1} \frac{y_{1}}{a+y_{1}+\lambda}\left(y_{2}+\xi_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\xi_{1}$ satisfies (16), we obtain

$$
J_{2}(O, O)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\gamma \lambda(K-2 \lambda-a)}{K(a+\lambda)} & \frac{\left(1+\alpha_{1} \eta_{1} \xi_{1}^{\eta_{1}-1}+\ldots+\alpha_{n-1} \eta_{n-1} \xi_{1}^{\eta_{n}-1}\right) \lambda m_{1}}{a+\lambda} \\
\frac{\beta_{1} \xi_{1}}{a+\lambda} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence, the characteristic polynomial associate to $J_{2}(0,0)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(\mu)= & \mu^{2}+\frac{\gamma \lambda(a+2 \lambda-K)}{K(a+\lambda)} \mu \\
& +\frac{\beta \xi_{1}\left(1+\alpha_{1} \eta_{1} \xi_{1}^{\eta_{1}-1}+\ldots+\alpha_{n-1} \eta_{n-1} \xi_{1}^{\eta_{n}-1}\right) \lambda m_{1}}{(a+\lambda)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and its eigenvalues are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{1,2}(K) & =\frac{\frac{\gamma \lambda(K-a-2 \lambda)}{K(a+\lambda)}}{2} \\
& \pm \frac{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma \lambda(K-a-2 \lambda)}{K(a+\lambda)}\right)^{2}-4 \frac{\left(\xi_{1}+\alpha_{1} \eta_{1} \xi_{1}^{\eta_{1}}+\ldots+\alpha_{n-1} \eta_{n-1} \xi_{1}^{\eta_{n}}\right) \beta_{1} \lambda m_{1}}{(a+\lambda)^{2}}}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the origin $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=(0,0)$ is asymptotically stable if $\lambda<K<$ $a+2 \lambda$ and unstable when $K>a+2 \lambda$.

To show that system (19), and consequently the system (14), does not have closed orbits in the positive quadrant for $\lambda<K<a+2 \lambda$, we apply Dulac's Criterion (see [4]). Consider the function $h\left(S, x_{1}\right)=\frac{x_{1}^{q}(a+S)}{S}$, where $q$ is a constant to be appropriately chosen. A straightforward computation leads to

$$
\left(\frac{\partial f\left(S, x_{1}\right)}{\partial S}+\frac{\partial g\left(S, x_{1}\right)}{\partial x_{1}}\right) h\left(S, x_{1}\right)=\frac{\gamma K-2 \gamma S-\gamma a+\frac{\beta_{1} K(q+1)(S-\lambda)}{S}}{K} x_{1}^{q}
$$

were $f\left(S, x_{1}\right)=\gamma\left(1-\frac{S}{K}\right) S-\frac{x_{1}+\alpha_{1} x_{1}^{\eta_{1}}+\alpha_{2} x_{1}^{\eta_{2}}+\ldots+\alpha_{n-1} x_{1}^{\eta_{n-1}}}{a+S} m_{1} S \quad$ and $g\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\beta_{1} \frac{S-\lambda}{a+S} x_{1}$.

Taking $q$ such that $2 \gamma \lambda=\beta_{1} K(q+1)$ we get

$$
\left(\frac{\partial f\left(S, x_{1}\right)}{\partial S}+\frac{\partial g\left(S, x_{1}\right)}{\partial x_{1}}\right) h\left(S, x_{1}\right)=\frac{K-a-2 \lambda-\frac{2(S-\lambda)^{2}}{S}}{K} \gamma x_{1}^{q} .
$$

Hence, for $S>\lambda$ and $K<a+2 \lambda, \quad\left(\frac{\partial f\left(S, x_{1}\right)}{\partial S}+\frac{\partial g\left(S, x_{1}\right)}{\partial}\right) h\left(S, x_{1}\right)<0$, so, by Dulac's Criterion, the system (19) does not admit periodic orbits inside the positive quadrant of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Thus, if $K<a+2 \lambda$, the equilibrium $\left(S, x_{1}\right)=\left(\lambda, \xi_{1}\right)$ will be globally asymptotically stable for solutions with initial conditions inside the positive quadrant.

To complete the proof, we need to verify the hypotheses of Andronov Hopf's Theorem to show that at $K=K_{0}=a+2 \lambda$, the system (19), and consequently the system (14), undergoes a Hopf bifurcation. First, we note that at $K=K_{0}$, we have $\mu_{1,2}\left(K_{0}\right)= \pm i \omega_{0}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{0}=\frac{\left[\left(\xi_{1}+\alpha_{1} \eta_{1} \xi_{1}^{\eta_{1}}+\ldots+\alpha_{n-1} \eta_{n-1} \xi_{1}^{\eta_{n}-1}\right) \beta_{1} \lambda m_{1}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{a+\lambda}>0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, there exists $\delta>0$ such that the eigenvalues $\mu_{1,2}(K)$ are nonreal and complex conjugate. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d K} \operatorname{Re} \mu\left(K_{0}\right)=\frac{\gamma}{2(a+2 \lambda)(a+\lambda)}>0 . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, we are going to compute the first Liapunov coefficient to determine the supercriticality of the periodic orbit generate by the Hopf bifurcation. To do this, we shall use the technique given in [12] (see also [9]).

A straightforward computation shows that at $K=K_{0}$ the eigenvectors of $J_{2}(0,0)$ associated to $\mu_{1,2}\left(K_{0}\right)$ are $q_{1,2}=( \pm i \Delta, 1)$, where $\Delta=\frac{\omega_{0}(a+\lambda)}{\beta_{1} \xi_{1}}$. The eigenvectors of the transposed $J_{2}(0,0)^{T}$ associated to $\mu_{1}\left(K_{0}\right)$ is $p_{1}=\left(-\frac{i}{\Delta}, 1\right)$ and the associated to $\mu_{2}\left(K_{0}\right)$ is $p_{2}=\left(\frac{i}{\Delta}, 1\right)$.

Expanding the righthand side of system (19) in power series around $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=(0,0)$ at $K=K_{0}$ we are led to

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\dot{y}_{1}= & -\frac{\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i} \xi_{1}^{\eta_{i}-1}\right) m_{1} \lambda}{a+\lambda} y_{2}-\frac{\gamma \lambda}{a+\lambda} y_{1}^{2}-\frac{{ }_{1+1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i} \xi_{1}^{\eta_{i}-1}}{(a+\lambda)^{2}} y_{1} y_{2}-  \tag{22}\\
& \frac{m_{1} \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i}\left(\eta_{i}-1\right) \xi_{1}^{\eta_{i}-1}}{(a+\lambda)^{3}} y_{2}^{2}-\frac{\gamma a}{K} y_{1}^{3}+\frac{a+(a+\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i} \xi_{1}^{\eta_{i}-1}}{(a+\lambda)^{3}} y_{1}^{2} y_{2}- \\
& \frac{a m_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i}\left(\eta_{i}-1\right) \xi_{1}^{\eta_{i}-1}}{2\left((a+\lambda)^{2}\right)} y_{1} y_{2}^{2}-\frac{m_{1} \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i}\left(\eta_{i}-1\right)\left(\eta_{i}-2\right) \xi_{1}^{\eta_{i}-2}}{6(a+\lambda)} y_{2}^{3}+O\left(|y|^{4}\right) \\
\dot{y}_{2}= & \frac{\beta_{1} \xi_{1}}{a+\lambda} y_{1}-\frac{\beta_{1} \xi_{1}}{(a+\lambda)^{2}} y_{1}^{2}+\frac{\beta_{1}}{a+\lambda} y_{1} y_{2}+\frac{\beta_{1} \xi_{1}}{(a+\lambda)^{3}} y_{1}^{3}-\frac{\beta_{1}}{(a+\lambda)^{2}} y_{1}^{2} y_{2}+O\left(|y|^{4}\right)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Denote the coefficients of the vector field associated to $\dot{y}_{1}$ by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{0}=0, & a_{1}=0, \\
a_{2}=-\frac{\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i} \xi_{1}^{\eta_{i}-1}\right) m_{1} \lambda}{a+\lambda}, & a_{3}=-\frac{\gamma \lambda}{K(a+\lambda)} \\
a_{4}=-\frac{m_{1} a\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i} \xi_{1}^{\eta_{i}-1}\right)}{(a+\lambda)^{2}}, & a_{5}=-\frac{m_{1} \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i}\left(\eta_{i}-1\right) \xi_{1}^{\eta_{i}-1}}{2(a+\lambda)}, \\
a_{6}=-\frac{\gamma a}{K(a+\lambda)^{2}}, & a_{7}=\frac{1+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i} \xi_{1}^{\eta_{i}-1}}{(a+\lambda)^{3}}, \\
a_{8}=-\frac{a m_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i}\left(\eta_{i}-1\right) \xi_{1}^{\eta_{i}-1}}{2\left((a+\lambda)^{2}\right)}, & a_{9}=-\frac{m_{1} \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i}\left(\eta_{i}-1\right)\left(\eta_{i}-2\right) \xi_{1}^{\eta_{i}-2}}{6(a+\lambda)}
\end{array}
$$

and the coefficients of the vector field associated to $\dot{y}_{2}$ by

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
b_{0}=0 & b_{1}=\frac{\beta_{1} \xi_{1}}{a+\lambda} & b_{2}=0 \\
b_{3}=-\frac{\beta_{1} \xi_{1}}{(a+\lambda)^{2}} & b_{4}=\frac{\beta_{1}}{a+\lambda} & b_{5}=0 \\
b_{6}=\frac{\beta_{1} \xi_{1}}{(a+\lambda)^{3}} & b_{7}=-\frac{\beta_{1}}{(a+\lambda)^{2}} & b_{8}=0
\end{array} \quad b_{9}=0 .
$$

Letting $Y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ and $F(Y, 0)=\left(F_{1}(Y, 0), F_{2}(Y, 0)\right)$, we can write the system (22) as $\dot{Y}=J_{3}(0,0) Y+F(Y, 0)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{1}(Y, 0)= & a_{0}+a_{1} y_{1}+a_{2} y_{2}+a_{3} y_{1}^{2}+a_{4} y_{1} y_{2}+a_{5} y_{2}^{2}+a_{6} y_{1}^{3}+a_{7} y_{1}^{2} y_{2} \\
& +a_{8} y_{1} y_{2}^{2}+a_{9} y_{2}^{3}+O\left(|Y|^{4}\right) \\
F_{2}(Y, 0)= & b_{0}+b_{1} y_{1}+b_{2} y_{2}+b_{3} y_{1}^{2}+b_{4} y_{1} y_{2}+b_{5} y_{2}^{2}+b_{6} y_{1}^{3}+b_{7} y_{1}^{2} y_{2} \\
& +b_{8} y_{1} y_{2}^{2}+b_{9} y_{2}^{3}+O\left(|Y|^{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
F(Y, 0)=\frac{1}{2} B(Y, Y)+\frac{1}{6} C(Y, Y, Y)+O\left(|Y|^{4}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(Y, Y) & =\left(2 a_{3} y_{1}^{2}+2 a_{4} y_{1} y_{2}+2 a_{5} y_{2}^{2}, 2 b_{3} y_{1}^{2}+2 b_{4} y_{1} y_{2}\right) \\
C(Y, Y, Y) & \left.=\left(6 a_{6} y_{1}^{3}+6 a_{7} y_{1}^{2} y_{2}+6 a_{8} y_{1} y_{2}^{2}+6 a_{9} y_{2}^{3}, 6 b_{1} y_{1}^{3}+6 b_{7} y_{1}^{2} y_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is known (see, e.g. [12]) that the first Liapunov number $l_{1}(0)$ of $(22)$ is given by

$$
l_{1}(0)=\frac{1}{2 \omega_{0}} R e\left(i g_{20} g_{11}+\omega_{0} g_{21}\right)
$$

where $g_{20}=\langle p, B(q, q)\rangle, g_{11}=\langle p, B(q, \bar{q})\rangle, g_{21}=\langle p, C(q, q, \bar{q})\rangle$ and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ stands for the inner product in $\mathbb{C}$.

A straightforward computation shows

- $B(q, q)=\left(-2 a_{3} \Delta^{2}+2 i \Delta a_{4}+2 a_{5},-2 \Delta^{2} b_{3}+2 i \Delta b_{4}\right)$
- $B(q, \bar{q})=\left(2 a_{3} \Delta^{2}+2 a_{5}, 2 \Delta^{2} b_{3}\right)$
- $C(q, q, \bar{q})=\left(6 a_{6} i \Delta^{3}+2 a_{7} \Delta^{2}+2 a_{8} i \Delta+6 a_{9}, 6 b_{1} i \Delta^{3}+2 b_{7} i \Delta\right)$.

Consequently,

- $g_{20}(0)=i a_{3} \Delta+a_{4}-i \frac{a_{5}}{\Delta}-b_{3} \Delta^{2}+i b_{4} \Delta$
- $i g_{20}(0)=-a_{3} \Delta+i a_{4}+\frac{a_{5}}{\Delta}-i b_{3} \Delta^{2}-b_{4} \Delta$
- $g_{11}(0)=-i a_{3} \Delta-\frac{i a_{5}}{\Delta}+b_{3} \Delta^{2}$
- $i g_{20}(0) g_{11}(0)=i a_{3}^{2} \Delta^{2}+a_{3} a_{4} \Delta-i a_{3} a_{5}-a_{3} b_{3} \Delta^{3}+i a_{3} b_{4} \Delta^{2}+i a_{3} a_{5}+$ $\frac{a_{4} a_{5}}{\Delta}-a_{5} b_{3} \Delta-\frac{i a_{5}^{2}}{\Delta^{2}}+i a_{5} b_{4}-a_{3} b_{3} \Delta^{3}+i a_{4} b_{3} \Delta^{2}+a_{5} b_{3} \Delta-i b_{3}^{2} \Delta^{3}-b_{3} b_{4} \Delta^{3}$
- $\omega_{0} g_{21}(0)=3 \omega_{0} a_{6} \Delta^{2}-i \omega_{0} a_{7} \Delta+\omega_{0} a_{8}-3 i \omega_{0} a_{9}+3 i \omega_{0} b_{1} \Delta^{3}+\omega_{0} b_{7} \Delta^{2}$
and, therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
l_{1}(0)= & \frac{1}{2 \omega_{0}^{2}}\left(a_{3} a_{4} \Delta-a_{3} b_{3} \Delta^{3}+\frac{a_{4} a_{5}}{\Delta}-a_{5} b_{3} \Delta-a_{3} b_{3} \Delta^{3}+a_{5} b_{3} \Delta-b_{3} b_{4} \Delta^{3}+\right. \\
& \left.3 \omega_{0} a_{6} \Delta^{2}+\omega_{0} a_{8}+\omega_{0} b_{7} \Delta^{2}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2 \omega_{0}^{2}}\left(a_{3} a_{4} \Delta-2 a_{3} b_{3} \Delta^{3}+\frac{a_{4} a_{5}}{\Delta}-b_{3} b_{4} \Delta^{3}+3 \omega_{0} a_{6} \Delta^{2}+\omega_{0} a_{8}+\omega_{0} b_{7} \Delta^{2}\right) \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking into account the expressions for $a_{i}, b_{i}$, and $\Delta(i=1,2, \ldots, 9)$, we have

$$
-2 \Delta^{3} a_{3} b_{3}=-2 \frac{\omega_{0}(a+\lambda)^{3}}{\beta_{1}^{3} \xi_{1}^{3}} \frac{\gamma \lambda}{K(a+\lambda)} \frac{\beta_{1} \xi_{1}}{(a+\lambda)^{2}}=-2 \frac{\omega_{0}^{3} \gamma \lambda}{K \beta_{1}^{2} \xi_{1}^{2}}
$$

and
$\omega_{0} b_{7} \Delta^{2}-b_{3} b_{4} \Delta^{3}=-\frac{\omega_{0}^{3}(a+\lambda)^{2}}{\beta_{1}^{2} \xi_{1}^{2}} \frac{\beta_{1}}{(a+\lambda)^{2}}+\frac{\omega_{0}^{3}(a+\lambda)^{3}}{\beta_{1}^{3} \xi_{1}^{3}} \frac{\beta_{1}}{a+\lambda} \frac{\beta_{1} \xi_{1}}{(a+\lambda)^{2}}=0$.
From (20), we have

$$
\frac{\omega_{0}^{2}(a+\lambda)^{2}}{\beta_{1}}=m_{1} \lambda\left(\xi_{1}+\alpha_{1} \eta_{1} \xi_{1}^{\eta_{1}}+\ldots+\alpha_{n-1} \eta_{n-1} \xi_{1}^{\eta_{n}}\right)
$$

and this leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{a_{4} a_{5}}{\Delta} & =\frac{\beta_{1}}{\omega_{0}(a+\lambda)} \frac{m_{1} a\left(\xi_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i} \xi_{1}^{\eta_{i}}\right)}{(a+\lambda)^{2}} \frac{m_{1} \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i}\left(\eta_{i}-1\right) \xi_{1}^{\eta_{i}-1}}{2(a+\lambda)} \\
& =\frac{m_{1} a \omega_{0}}{2(a+\lambda)^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i}\left(\eta_{i}-1\right) \xi_{1}^{\eta_{i}-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore $\frac{a_{4} a_{5}}{\Delta}+\omega_{0} a_{8}=0$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta a_{3} a_{4} & =\frac{\omega_{0} \gamma a}{K \beta \xi_{1}(a+\lambda)^{2}}\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i} \xi_{1}^{\eta_{i}-1}\right) m_{1} \lambda \\
& =\frac{\omega_{0} \gamma a}{K \beta_{1} \xi_{1}^{2}(a+\lambda)^{2}}\left(\xi_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i} \xi_{1}^{\eta_{i}}\right) m_{1} \lambda \\
& =\frac{\omega_{0} \gamma a}{K \beta_{1} \xi_{1}^{2}(a+\lambda)^{2}} \frac{\omega_{0}^{2}\left((a+\lambda)^{2}\right)}{K \beta_{1}}=\frac{\omega_{0}^{3} \gamma a}{K \beta_{1}^{2} \xi_{1}^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the previous equation, $\quad \Delta a_{3} a_{4}+3 \omega_{0} \Delta^{2} a_{6}=-2 \frac{\omega_{0}^{3} \gamma a}{K \beta_{1}^{2} \varepsilon_{1}^{2}}$. Thus

$$
l_{1}(0)=-2 \frac{\omega_{0} \gamma a}{K \beta_{1}^{2} \xi_{1}^{2}}<0
$$

Hence, the system (19), and consequently the system (14), undergoes a supercritical Hopf bifurcation and the orbit generated by the bifurcation
exists for $a+2 \lambda<K<a+2 \lambda+\delta(\delta>0)$ and is orbitally asymptotically stable. The proof is complete.

### 3.2 Zip bifurcation

In the following, we are going to consider the case $a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots<a_{n}$. These conditions and $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\ldots=\lambda_{n}$ imply that $b_{1}<\ldots<b_{n}$. In fact, $\frac{a_{1} d_{1}}{m_{1}-d_{1}}=\ldots=\frac{a_{n} d_{n}}{m_{n}-d_{n}} \Rightarrow \frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}-1}=\ldots=\frac{a_{n}}{b_{n}-1} \Rightarrow \frac{a_{i}}{a_{i+1}}=\frac{b_{i}-1}{b_{i+1}-1}<1$, $i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$, and therefore $b_{i}<b_{i+1}, i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$.

Denote by $J=J\left(S, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ the Jacobian matrix of the system (3) and $j=\gamma\left(1-\frac{S}{K}\right)-\frac{\gamma S}{K}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{m_{i} a_{i}}{\left(a_{i}+S\right)^{2}} x_{i}$, so

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
j & -\frac{m_{1} S}{a_{1}+S} & -\frac{m_{2} S}{a_{2}+S} & \ldots & -\frac{m_{n-1} S}{a_{n-1}+S} & -\frac{m_{n} S}{a_{n}+S} \\
\frac{\beta_{1}\left(a_{1}+\lambda\right)}{\left(a_{1}+S\right)^{2}} x_{1} & \frac{\beta_{1}(S-\lambda)}{a_{1}+\lambda} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{\beta_{2}\left(a_{2}+\lambda\right)}{\left(a_{2}+S\right)^{2}} x_{2} & 0 & \frac{\beta_{2}(S-\lambda)}{a_{2}+\lambda} & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\frac{\beta_{n-1}\left(a_{n-1}+\lambda\right)}{\left(a_{n-1}+S\right)^{2}} x_{n-1} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & \frac{\beta_{n-1}(S-\lambda)}{a_{n-1}+\lambda} & 0 \\
\frac{\beta_{n}\left(a_{n}+\lambda\right)}{\left(a_{n}+S\right)^{2}} x_{n} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{\beta_{n}(S-\lambda)}{a_{n}+\lambda}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Computing $J\left(\lambda, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)$ at a point $\left(\lambda, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \in H$, we get

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
-\frac{\gamma \lambda}{K}+\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{m_{i}}{\left(a_{i}+\lambda\right)^{2}} \xi_{i} & -\frac{m_{1} \lambda}{a_{1}+\lambda} & -\frac{m_{2} \lambda}{a_{2}+\lambda} & \cdots & -\frac{m_{n-1 \lambda}}{a_{n-1}+\lambda} & -\frac{m_{n} \lambda}{a_{n}+\lambda} \\
\frac{\beta_{1} \xi_{1}}{1+\lambda} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{a_{2} \xi_{2}}{a_{2}+\lambda} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\frac{\beta_{n-1} \xi_{n-1}}{a_{n}-1+\lambda} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{\beta_{n} \xi_{n}}{a_{n}+\lambda} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

since $\gamma\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{K}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{m_{i} a_{1}}{\left(a_{i}+\lambda\right)^{2}} \xi_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{m_{i} a_{1}}{a_{i}+\lambda} \xi_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{m_{i} a_{1}}{\left(a_{i}+\lambda\right)^{2}} \xi_{i}=\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{m_{i}}{\left(a_{i}+\lambda\right)^{2}} \xi_{i}$, according to (4).

The characteristic polynomial of $J\left(\lambda, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\mu)=\mu^{n-1}\left[\mu^{2}+\mu\left(\frac{\lambda \gamma}{K}-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{m_{i}}{\left(a_{i}+\lambda\right)^{2}} \xi_{i}\right)+\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{m_{i} \beta_{i}}{\left(a_{i}+\lambda\right)^{2}} \xi_{i}\right] . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(\mu-J)=\left(\mu-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{m_{i}}{\left(a_{i}+\lambda\right)^{2}} \xi_{i}+\frac{\lambda \gamma}{K}\right) \mu^{n}+\frac{m_{1} \lambda}{a_{1}+\lambda} \Delta_{12}+\frac{m_{2} \lambda}{a_{2}+\lambda} \Delta_{13}+\ldots+\frac{m_{n} \lambda}{a_{n}+\lambda} \Delta_{1 n}(2 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{1 j}=(-1)^{j+1} \operatorname{det}(\mu-J)_{1 j}$ and $\operatorname{det}(\mu-J)_{1 j}$ is the determinant of the submatrix $(\mu-J)_{1 j}$ gotten from $\mu-J$ eliminating the line 1 and the column $j, j=2,3, \ldots, n+1$, i.e

So $\Delta_{12}=(-1)^{3}\left[(-1)^{2}\left(-\frac{\beta_{1} \xi_{1}}{a_{1}+\lambda}\right) \mu^{n-2}\right]=\frac{\beta_{1} \xi_{1}}{a_{1}+\lambda} \mu^{n-1} ; \quad \Delta_{13}=\frac{\beta_{2} \xi_{2}}{a_{2}+\lambda} \mu^{n-1}$; $\Delta_{14}=\frac{\beta_{3} \xi_{3}}{a_{3}+\lambda} \mu^{n-1} \ldots \Delta_{1 n}=\frac{\beta_{n} \xi_{n}}{a_{n}+\lambda} \mu^{n-1}$.

Thus, the characteristic polynomial of $J\left(\lambda, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)$ is given as in (24). This means that each equilibrium point in $H$ has 0 as an eigenvalue with multiplicity $n-2$ and two eigenvalues with negative real part if the polynomial between brackets in (24) is stable (respectively, positive real part if the same polynomial is unstable). Observe that if $\lambda<K<a_{1}+2 \lambda$, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{m_{i}}{\left(a_{i}+\lambda\right)^{2}} \xi_{i} \leq \frac{1}{a_{1}+\lambda} \gamma\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{K}\right)<\frac{1}{a_{1}+\lambda}\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{a_{1}+2 \lambda}\right)<\frac{\gamma}{K},
$$

since $a_{i}+2 \lambda<a_{i+1}+2 \lambda, i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Similarly, if $K>a_{n}+2 \lambda$, then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{m_{i}}{\left(a_{i}+\lambda\right)^{2}} \xi_{i}>\frac{\gamma}{k} .
$$

Therefore the polynomial between brackets in (24) is stable if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda<K<a_{1}+2 \lambda \text { since } \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{m_{i}}{\left(a_{i}+\lambda\right)^{2}} \xi_{i}<\frac{\gamma}{k} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and unstable if

$$
\begin{equation*}
K>a_{n}+2 \lambda \text { since } \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{m_{i}}{\left(a_{i}+\lambda\right)^{2}} \xi_{i}>\frac{\gamma}{k} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the following hyperplane

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}=\left\{\left(\lambda, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}: \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{m_{i}}{\left(a_{i}+\lambda\right)^{2}} \xi_{i}=\frac{\gamma}{k}\right\} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the previous comments we can state the following theorem


Figure 2: Hyperplane's Intersections

Teorema 3.2. Let us assume that $0<\lambda<K, \gamma, \beta_{i}, m_{i}, a_{i}$ are positive and $a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots<a_{n}$. If the carrying capacity $K$ of the environment satisfies $K<a_{1}+2 \lambda$, then all equilibrium points in $H$ are Liapunov stable, in the sense that there is a neighborhood of $H$ such that all the solutions in this neighborhood are attracted by $H$; if $K>a_{n}+2 \lambda$, then the equilibrium points are unstable. Furthermore, if $K$ is increased from one extreme to the other one of the interval $\left(a_{1}+2 \lambda, a_{n}+2 \lambda\right)$ then the hyperplane intersection of $H$ and $H_{1}$ is traveling through $H$ from the vertex on the axis $x_{n}$ to the vertex $x_{1}$ and the equilibria left behind get destabilized; For $a_{1}+2 \lambda<K<a_{n}+2 \lambda$ this hyperplane intersection divides $H$ in two parts, "the upper one" is a repellor and "the lower one" is an attractor of the system, i.e, the system undergoes a zip bifurcation.

If $\lambda<K<a_{1}+2 \lambda$, then the linearization of (3) around each equilibrium $\left(\lambda, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \in H$ has zero as an eigenvalue of multiplicity $n-1$ and two eigenvalues with negative real part, according to (26). It implies, by the Theorem of the Invariant Manifolds (see [1] Theorem A.3.1), that through each equilibrium point $\left(\lambda, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \in H$ pass one $(n-1)$-dimensional smooth invariant manifold. Further, all the orbits on this manifold tends to $\left(\lambda, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)$ when $t \rightarrow+\infty$. On the other hand, if $K>a_{n}+2 \lambda$, the equilibrium $\left(\lambda, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)$ are unstable in accordance with (27).

Let us study the more interesting case when $K$ changes from $a_{1}+2 \lambda$ to $a_{n}+2 \lambda$. We will show that when $K$ grows from $a_{1}+2 \lambda$ up to $a_{n}+2 \lambda$, the ( $n-1$ )-dimensional hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ given in (28) intersect $H$, and the properties of stability of the equilibrium points in $H$ changes. We will denote the intercepts coordinates of $H$ with the coordinate axes by ( $x_{H}^{1}, 0,0, \ldots, 0$ ), $\left(0, x_{H}^{2}, 0, \ldots, 0\right), \ldots,\left(0,0,0, \ldots, x_{H}^{n}\right)$ and of $H_{1}$ by $\left(x_{H_{1}}^{1}, 0,0, \ldots, 0\right),\left(0, x_{H_{1}}^{2}, 0, \ldots, 0\right), \ldots$, $\left(0,0,0, \ldots, x_{H_{1}}^{n}\right)$. Observe that $x_{H}^{i}=\frac{\gamma\left(a_{i}+\lambda\right)(K-\lambda)}{m_{i} K}$ is an increasing function of K; on the other hand, $x_{H_{1}}^{i}=\frac{\gamma\left(a_{i}+\lambda\right)^{2}}{m_{i} K}$ is a decreasing function of $K$; furthermore, as the function $K(a)=a+2 \lambda$ is increasing in the interval $a \in\left[0, a_{n}+2 \lambda\right)$ we have $\lambda<K_{1}<K_{2}<\ldots<K_{n}$. A simple calculation shows that if $\lambda<K<K_{i}$ then $x_{H}^{i}<x_{H_{1}}^{i}$ and $x_{H}^{i}=x_{H_{1}}^{i}$ at $K=K_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Hence, for $\lambda<K<K_{1}$ the hyperplane $H$ is below $H_{1}$ and reach $H_{1}$ at $K=K_{1}$.

In this case, inequality (26) is valid for all points in $H$ implying that all his points are stable (when we change $K$ in the interval $\lambda<K<K_{1}$ the hyperplane $H$ and $H_{1}$ are dislocated parallel). When $K$ is increased beyond $K_{1}$, the hyperplane $H$ cuts into $H_{1}$ and reach $x_{H}^{2}=x_{H_{1}}^{2}$ at $K=K_{2}$, reach $x_{H}^{3}=x_{H_{1}}^{3}$ at $K=K_{3}$ and so on up to reach $x_{H}^{n}=x_{H_{1}}^{n}$ at $K=K_{n}$. For $K>K_{n}$, the hyperplane $H_{1}$ cuts the hyperplane $H$ outside the positive octant so that now $H_{1}$ will be below $H$ (see Figure 2). In the process in that part of $H$ which is already above the hyperplane $H_{1}$ the condition (27) holds. This mean that the equilibrium on this part of the hyperplane have a $(n-1)$ - dimensional unstable manifold which fill a neighborhood of this part of $H$. The proof is complete.
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